

reform without talking about creating jobs for people of limited skills is public relations and nothing more.

Such a WPA program should tie in with the education recommendation of Mort Zuckerman. People who come into the program should be screened, and if they can't read and write, we should get them into the program. We have 23 million Americans who cannot fill out an employment form and who cannot read the newspaper. That is a huge drag on our productive capacity.

Those who come into the WPA type of program who have a remarkable skill should be given an opportunity to enhance that skill, whether through an apprentice program or a technical school or community college.

Mort Zuckerman ends his column by saying "but it is hope that will sustain and enrich us." He is correct.

The great division in our society is not between black and white or Hispanic and Anglo or many of the other divisions that people talk about. It is between those who have hope and those who have given up. We need programs that give people the spark of hope.

We have shown very little creativity in dealing with the problems of poverty in our Nation. We have been pandering to those who make the big campaign contributions and who are politically articulate.

It is about time we pay attention to those who make no campaign contributions and who are getting more and more disillusioned with our Government.

The editorial follows:

[From U.S. News & World Report, Feb. 26, 1996]

CRUMBS FOR THE MAJORITY

(By Mortimer B. Zuckerman)

The stock market is up over a trillion dollars in the past 14 months. The United States is five years into an economic recovery. But the opinion polls reveal the public to be in a foul mood and pessimistic about the future. What is going on?

The cake has gotten bigger, but it is not being shared equitably. The technological and educated aristocracy, and the owners of financial assets, are sharing the cream with a highly skilled and well-educated minority, a little more than a third of the work force, who have full-time, full-benefits jobs. But there are only crumbs for the majority of the population who lack a college education or specialized skills. Incomes have been falling or stagnating as this group has remained mired for more than 20 years in what has been called "the silent depression." As social analyst Daniel Yankelovich points out, we are in the midst of the erosion of one of the greatest achievements of the post-World War II era, in which not only people with a college degree could make a good living but also people without one. This gave us a middle class and a prosperous country with a sense of fairness and hope.

That optimism and faith in America have been eroded. Too many Americans cannot afford health insurance; too many can barely save; too many cannot afford to send their children to college; and as 1995's Christmas sales indicate, too many cannot afford gift buying. Both spouses have to work, and the one-earner, middle-class family is becoming extinct. Parents are now spending about 40 percent less time with their children than

they did 30 years ago. To support the children who need ever more costly education for ever longer periods of time, parents have to be willing to make larger and larger sacrifices. What's more, too many men are bailing out of these obligations.

This erosion of family life has led to a widespread sense of moral confusion and a breakdown in the shared norms that hold our society together. No value has suffered more than individual responsibility. A nation whose creed is individualism courts disaster if it then proceeds to weaken the moral responsibility of the individual by a philosophy of entitlement. The social conservatism that has re-emerged in response has found its political expression in a bipartisan readiness to cut social services and other programs, which is understandable. Americans ask, If we are spending so much, why aren't we seeing better results? Many Americans see themselves as subsidizing well-organized special-interest groups that are excessively influential in shaping the decisions of our rulers once they are in office.

The voters are rebelling not just against big government—everyone's villain these days—but against bad government. The government has proved inadequate in grappling with the problems of corporate downsizing and declining incomes that now affect tens of millions of workers. We have civil servants who are not civil, public schools that do not teach the public, a criminal justice system that neither reduces crime nor produces justice and economic insecurity even in a rapidly growing economy.

Merely cutting this and that is hardly a sufficient response. There are areas where only government can lead. Higher education and continual learning are a place to start. Higher education is an investment in the greatest strength a country has, its people. We need a modern version of the GI Bill, which provided mass higher education for more than 20 million veterans and dependents. Any student able to meet minimum standards upon graduation from high school should qualify for a scholarship for higher education for the information age, providing family income does not exceed a maximum amount of, say, \$125,000. This would be a constructive way to shrink the gap between the haves and the have-nots—much better than doing it only by taxation.

Such a program would cost billions of dollars. But government must find a way to reorder its priorities, to shift money from less valuable programs. Without positive policies to arrest our national decay, the deep anxiety that now seizes much of our society may well turn to fear, or even panic. It is fear that has provided the political basis for the success of Pat Buchanan. But it is hope that will sustain and enrich us. •

INCREASING THE FEDERAL DEBT LIMIT

• Mr. GRAMS. Mr. President, I wanted to express my concern over the increase in the public debt limit which occurred under a unanimous-consent agreement on the Thursday before the Easter recess. Having earlier expressed in a letter to the Republican leadership my intention to oppose an increase in the debt limit if it was not directly connected to a balanced budget. I believe this unanimous-consent agreement hangs over this Congress like a black cloud, marking a dark day for the American taxpayers.

The Congress had done the hard work of putting together a balanced budget

that would have put this Nation on the glidepath to eliminating the deficit. Furthermore, it represented our best hope for tackling our \$5 trillion debt.

Yet the President carelessly vetoed the bill and its key reforms which would have restored solvency to our Medicare System and ended welfare as we know it. All the while, he has sat at the other end of Pennsylvania Avenue, clamoring for more spending.

Mr. President, I believe yesterday's vote was a white flag of surrender, and a retreat on our pledge to protect the American taxpayers. Nothing in this bill ensures any progress will be made with this Administration in attempting to reach a balanced budget agreement.

Instead, we promised this President we would increase the credit limit on the Nation's charge card by \$600 billion—an amount the Congressional Budget Office estimates will be exceeded by next summer. And what did the taxpayers receive in return? The promise of bigger government, a bigger debt, and more of the status quo.

I will acknowledge that the bill did contain two riders which I have supported. The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act is similar to a measure I had supported earlier this month. And as a cosponsor of the Senior Citizens' Right to Work Act, I had advocated passage of this bill earlier this year. But I do not believe seniors or small business should be held hostage to an increase in the debt limit. Unfortunately, they were used to mask the fact that yesterday's vote dragged us deeper into financial chaos.

While the Federal Government's impending financial crisis may have been averted by this debt limit increase, the President must understand that our action does not absolve him of his responsibility in derailing the first real balanced budget produced by a Congress in over 25 years. Given that track record, we cannot allow another increase to occur without the enactment of a balanced budget plan. The Nation's credit card is ready to snap under the heavy load we have already heaped upon it—the American taxpayers are no longer willing to shoulder that burden. •

CANADA, BACKED BY MEXICO, PROTESTS TO UNITED STATES ON CUBA SANCTIONS

• Mr. SIMON. Mr. President, I cast 1 of the 22 votes against the Cuban sanction bill that passed the Senate and has been signed by the President.

I read the story in the New York Times, by Richard Stevenson, titled "Canada, Backed by Mexico Protests to United States on Cuba Sanctions," which I ask to be printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD after my remarks.

Canada is right, Mexico is right, and the Senate, House, and the President are wrong on this one.

We are capitulating to emotion, and we will have done not one thing to discourage Castro.