

USA Today says, "How would you like to raise a family on \$8,800 a year?" That is what we get with the current minimum wage. We ought to raise it. I am appalled when I hear my Republican colleagues who make over \$100,000 a year say that they will fight a 90-cent increase in the minimum wage with every fiber in their body. It is absolutely shocking.

We need to maintain a high minimum wage so that we can have the high standard of living in this country. The current minimum wage is \$1,100 less than the poverty level. People cannot exist on the current minimum wage.

If we increase the minimum wage, 12 million Americans will benefit. And do not let the Republicans tell us they are just teenagers. Thirty-nine percent of those Americans, 39 percent of those 12 million, are breadwinners, heads of households.

Mr. Speaker, the equation is very simple. Decent minimum wages mean less welfare. The people who are getting welfare are there because many of them cannot get a decent wage even though they work.

GIVING STATES AUTHORITY WILL ENHANCE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I want to follow on the statement that was made eloquently by my friend from Cleveland about the issue of the environmental commitment of the 104th Congress.

I come from a State which is very sensitive to environmental concerns. In fact, the district which I represent has had the highest number of first stage smog alerts in the Nation. We have very serious groundwater contamination problems.

The fact of the matter is, this Congress is committed to moving in the next several weeks with very important legislation, the Safe Drinking Water Act, which continues to be a top priority. And as my friend said, this concept of one-size-fits-all regulations emanating from right here in Washington has failed.

In fact, we have seen improved environmental quality in spite of, not because of, the bureaucracy that has existed here. Every shred of evidence demonstrates that we will, in fact, be able to enhance environmental quality in this country and in my State of California if we are able to give the States the kind of authority that is desperately needed. That is the commitment that we have.

REJECT GET-GREEN GIMMICKS

(Ms. SLAUGHTER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, our colleagues on the other side of the aisle have recently attempted to improve their environmental image to the public at large. They know that the American people want our environment protected, and they have felt intense heat for their relentless attacks on our public health and safety.

But we know better than to believe their get-green gimmicks. This Congress has the worst environmental record in 40 years. We have fought attacks on public health standards, meat inspection regulations, national parks, endangered species, and pesticide protections, to name only a few. And now, while these attacks in Washington continue, we are subjected to their pro-environment rhetoric. We can expect to witness them planting trees, adopting highways, or volunteering to clean up a river or lake in order to polish up their image.

As we prepare to celebrate Earth Day, we cannot stand for this hypocrisy. We must protect and cherish our environment, both in the laws we write—and in the lives we live.

POLLUTERS, NOT TAXPAYERS, SHOULD BEAR COST OF CLEANUP

(Mr. ROMERO-BARCELÓ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROMERO-BARCELÓ. Mr. Speaker, as we approach the celebration of Earth Day it behooves us to take the time to see what we have done to our planet. The Superfund Program helps us accomplish what we must, clean all polluted sites. Superfund is based on the principle that the parties responsible for the pollution should pay for the cleanups.

Unfortunately, some Members want to shift cleanup costs from polluters to taxpayers. Whose interest does it serve to shift this burden off the polluters and onto the backs of the public?

A high percent of the Superfund sites currently listed on the national priorities list involve human exposure to hazardous substances or threats to drinking water. Over 70 million people live within 4 miles of one Superfund site. In my district, more than 168,000 people get their drinking water from aquifers over which a site is located.

H.R. 2500, the Superfund reform bill, rejects the polluter-pays principle and undercuts responsible remedies, allowing polluters to walk away from sites. H.R. 2500 caps the national priorities list at 125 sites, while States have testified that there are 1,700 Federal caliber sites. Under this plan, responsibility for 1,575 sites would be left to the States, whether they have resources to clean them or not.

Although the program has been criticized for the slow rate of cleanups, 349 site cleanups have completed since the program started in 1981. Nearly 60 percent of these cleanups have been completed under the Clinton administration.

Under the last Democratic Congress, a compromise Superfund reform bill received the support of three committees and was supported by the Clinton administration, State governments, and environmental groups. The compromise dealt with reducing litigation, speeding cleanups, and narrowing liability.

As we celebrate Earth Day we should not allow lobbyists to rewrite out environmental laws in ways that benefit polluters and hurt the health of our good citizens. Let me pledge to seek new opportunities so that we can be proud to pass along a safer and healthier planet to our children.

A SERIOUS PLAN FOR WHAT AILS THE DISTRICT

(Ms. NORTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, the Washington Post front page story this morning is an urgent action alert for this Congress "In Threadbare D.C., Hopes Wear Thin."

This Congress, which claims constitutional responsibility for the Capitol of the United States, bears a heavy responsibility for the decline and fall of the District, at least this year: the shutdown of the Government, the hold-up in the appropriation, the cuts before a plan was in place against the recommendation of your own control board.

On April 15, I introduced the D.C. Economic Recovery Act, to give a tax break to D.C. residents, to stop the hemorrhage of taxpayers out of this city. The Washington Times calls it, in a headline in its editorial, "A Serious Plan for What Ails the District."

Save the Capitol of the United States before it is too late. It is, I remind you what you always tell me, your constitutional responsibility.

DO NOT RAISE TAXES ON WORKING AMERICANS

(Mr. TATE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. TATE. Mr. Speaker, 3 years ago my good friends across the aisle raised taxes on senior citizens, raised taxes on working Americans in the form of higher gas taxes, raised taxes on small business owners. But the new Republican Congress tried to provide tax relief for working Americans in the form of a \$5,000 tax credit for working Americans that want to adopt a child, tax relief for small businesses.

Now it is an election year, and my good friends across the aisle say raise the minimum wage. Well, they controlled the Congress and the Presidency for 2 years. If I look at their record and look closely enough, what the folks across the aisle truly want to do is raise taxes on working Americans. That is what they are truly interested in.