

THE ON-BUDGET CURRENT LEVEL REPORT FOR THE U.S. SENATE, 104TH CONGRESS, 2D SESSION, SENATE SUPPLEMENTARY DETAIL FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996 AS OF CLOSE OF BUSINESS APRIL 30, 1996—Continued

(In millions of dollars)

	Budget authority	Outlays	Revenues
Farm Credit System Regulatory Relief Act (P.L. 104-105)	-1	-1	
National Defense Authorization Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-106)	369	367	
Extension of Certain Expiring Authorities of the Department of Veterans Affairs (P.L. 104-110) To award Congressional Gold Medal to Ruth and Billy Graham (P.L. 104-111)	-5	-5	
An Act Providing for Tax Benefits for Armed Forces in Bosnia, Herzegovina, Croatia and Macedonia (P.L. 104-117)	(*)	(*)	
Contract with America Advancement Act (P.L. 104-121)	-120	-6	
Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act (P.L. 94-127)	-325	-744	
Federal Tea Tasters Repeal Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-128)			(*)
Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (P.L. 104-132)			2
Total enacted second session	292,699	201,740	-36
ENTITLEMENTS AND MANDATORIES			
Budget resolution baseline estimates of appropriated entitlements and other mandatory programs not yet enacted	11,913	13,951	
Total Current Level ⁴	1,301,058	1,302,495	1,042,421
Total Budget Resolution	1,285,500	1,288,100	1,042,500
Amount remaining:			
Under Budget Resolution			79
Over Budget Resolution	15,558	14,395	

¹P.L. 104-99 provides funding for specific appropriated accounts until September 30, 1996.

²This bill, also referred to as the sixth continuing resolution for 1996, provides funding until September 30, 1996 for specific appropriated accounts.

³The effects of this Act on budget authority, outlays, and revenues begin in fiscal year 1997.

⁴In accordance with the Budget Enforcement Act, the total does not include \$4,547 million in budget authority and \$2,399 million in outlays for funding of emergencies that have been designated as such by the President and the Congress.

* Less than \$500,000.

Notes: Detail may not add due to rounding.

RECOGNIZING DR. PAUL KREIDER FOR HIS ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND YEARS OF GOOD SERVICE

• Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, this June, Dr. Paul Kreider will be retiring from his position as president of Mount Hood Community College in Gresham, OR. I would like to recognize Dr. Kreider for his exceptional accomplishments and leadership during his many years of service.

Through strategic planning, program review and improvement, staff and organizational development, management information systems, and participatory decisionmaking, Dr. Kreider has played a significant role in the successful development of Mount Hood Community College. His effectiveness as a leader has not gone unnoticed; Dr. Kreider has received a number of awards, among them the National Council for Research and Planning 1991 Management Recognition Award, the National ACCT Marie Y. Martin CEO of the Year Award, and the National Council for Staff, Program, and Organizational Development Leadership Award.

Dr. Kreider's leadership did not stop at the doors of Mount Hood Community College; he has extended his knowledge and expertise to others in the community as well. In particular,

he founded and chaired the Consortium for Institutional Effectiveness and Student Success in the Community College, an American Association Community Colleges-affiliated consortium. Additionally, he reached out to assist other community colleges in developing assessment tools to measure student outcomes, strategic planning, and program improvement.

Dr. Kreider remains quite active on State, national, and international levels. In the past, he served as president of the Board of Education Partners for International Cooperation, Inc. and the Oregon Community College Presidents' Council. Presently, he sits on the boards of several organizations including the American Association of Community Colleges and Community Colleges for International Development, Inc.

Again, I would like to both pay tribute to Dr. Kreider and congratulate him for his accomplishments and contributions to the educational community. Mount Hood Community College, as well as Oregon at large, has most certainly benefited from his initiative and leadership. I wish him the best of luck in his future endeavors.●

TRIBUTE TO DAVID IFSHIN

• Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, today, we laid to rest a dear friend of mine, and of many of my colleagues, David Ifshin. His family honored me by inviting me to be among the eulogists at David's funeral. I want to include in the RECORD a copy of my remarks so that those many Americans who review our proceedings will know that a good and much loved man and an authentic American patriot has been lost to us.

I ask that those remarks be printed in the RECORD.

The remarks follow:

EULOGY FOR DAVID IFSHIN BY SENATOR JOHN MCCAIN

It has become a common appeal of eulogists for the bereaved to celebrate the life rather than mourn the passing of the loved one to whom we bid goodbye. It is a hopeful and well-intended appeal. Gathering in sorrow is not, I suspect, what David Ifshin would have us do on this occasion. But he was such a lovely guy, and his company such a blessing, that the loss of him is a great weight which only a word from David could lift from my heart today.

Yet, the sadness of this day will not long intrude on our memories of David; memories which illuminate for me a way to live my own life. As we grow older, we all learn how brief a moment life is. David's was far too brief, but he filled his moment with so much passion and love and with such a ceaseless striving for grace that it would exhaust the lives of lesser men who manage to stay among us for more years than David could. Few people, having reached the end of a long life, will have done as much good, lived with grater dignity, deserved more honor, bestowed more love, traveled as far as David Ifshin did in his forty-seven years.

David had an uncommon capacity for personal growth. When I was in his company, I always had a sense that David derived much of his own happiness from discovering virtue in others. And I believe those discoveries

made him grow. They nourished his own humanity.

David was a patriot because he found, as all patriots must, virtue in his country's cause. He always felt passionate about his country. But when we are young our passion is not always governed by wisdom gained from long experience, and, thus, is often indiscriminate in the emotions it animates. While living in Israel David discovered his country's virtue, and his love of country became the object of his enlightened passion.

David also possessed an animating love of justice. He worked to make our society more just, and he sought justice for those who were not blessed to live in this country. Even more importantly, he always tried in his personal relationships to do justice to others. And that explains why, no matter where his reason and his love took him, David never left a friend behind.

We friends of David are cast across the spectrum of contemporary American politics. Some may think that David and I became friends because David's political views became more compatible with my own. That is not really true. My regard for David is more personal than political affinity. We remained partisans in different camps. What David taught me, and, I suspect, what he taught a great many people, was how narrow are the differences that separate us in a society united in its regard for justice, in a country in love with liberty.

In this town, we accentuate our political differences to advance our respective agendas and our professional ambitions. David kept such things in perspective. He was loyal to his political beliefs, but he pledged a greater devotion to the bonds of friendship and love that connected him to so many people of diverse backgrounds, creeds and aspirations.

He was extraordinarily generous in his regard for others' virtues, and self-effacing in considering his own attributes. Because of that capacity, I always felt in David's company that I was in the presence of a better man.

Regrettably, it was not human virtue, but human weakness which created the occasion for me to publicly declare my personal regard for David. Some people who did not know David based their judgment of his character in their resentment over one brief episode in David's life. I am ashamed to admit that I once made the same mistake. My subsequent discovery of David's true character taught me to refrain in future from using snapshots of another's life as the full measure of a person's value. That was a valuable lesson to learn, and I am indebted to David for having taught it to me.

To honor that debt, I tried to impart the lesson to others who had rushed to a wrong judgment of David. Three years ago, I went to the Senate floor to respond to a protest at the Vietnam War Memorial. One of the protestors had held up a sign questioning David's patriotism and his association with the President. I wanted the protestors to know that they were bearing false witness against a good man. That this small gesture meant so much to David meant even more to me. David Ifshin was my friend, and his friendship honored me, and honors me still.

Most of the important and lasting friendships I have made in my life were formed in the shared experience of war. David and I did not fight a war together, but neither did we fight a war against each other. We chose instead to make a peace together.

I found little to differentiate the quality of our friendship from the quality of those that were begun in Vietnam. I learned about courage, honor and kindness from all my friendships. From David, I learned to look for virtue in others, and I also learned the futility