

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

## EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. DOLE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate immediately proceed to executive session to consider the following nominations en bloc on today's Executive Calendar: Calendar Nos. 534, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 592, 593, 599, and 600.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DOLE. I ask unanimous consent the nominations be confirmed en bloc, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table en bloc, and that any statements relating to the nominations appear at the appropriate place in the RECORD, the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action, and that the Senate then return to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations considered and confirmed en bloc are as follows:

## AIR FORCE

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Air Force to the grade of brigadier general under the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 624:

*To be brigadier general*

Col. William Welser III, 000-00-0000, Regular Air Force.

## PANAMA CANAL COMMISSION

Markos K. Marinakis, of New York, to be a Member of the Board of the Panama Canal Commission.

## AIR FORCE

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

Lt. Gen. Richard B. Myers, 000-00-0000

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

Lt. Gen. John P. Jumper, 000-00-0000, U.S. Air Force.

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

*To be lieutenant general*

Lt. Gen. Ralph E. Eberhart, 000-00-0000, U.S. Air Force

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601:

Maj. Gen. Carl E. Franklin, 000-00-0000, U.S. Air Force

## ARMY

The following named officer for reappointment to the grade of lieutenant general in the U.S. Army, while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under Title 10, United States Code, Section 601(a):

*To be lieutenant general*

Lt. Gen. Daniel W. Christman, 000-00-0000, U.S. Army

## NAVY

The following named officer for promotion in the Navy of the United States to the grade under title 10, United States Code, Section 624:

*To be rear admiral*

Rear Adm. (1h) James F. Amerault, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Lyle G. Bien, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy.

Rear Adm. (1h) Richard A. Buchanan, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) William V. Cross II, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Walter F. Doran, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) James O. Ellis, Jr., 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) William J. Fallon, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Thomas B. Fargo, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Dennis V. McGinn, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Joseph S. Mobley, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Edward Moore, Jr., 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Daniel J. Murphy, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Rodney P. Rempt, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Norbert R. Ryan, Jr., 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Raymond C. Smith, Jr., 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

## RESTRICTED LINE

*To be rear admiral*

Rear Adm. (1h) George P. Nanos, Jr., 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Craig E. Steidle, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) James L. Taylor, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

Rear Adm. (1h) Patricia A. Tracey, 000-00-0000, U.S. Navy

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ginger Ehn Lew, of California, to be Deputy Administrator of the Small Business Administration.

## [NEW REPORTS]

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

J. Rene Josey, of South Carolina, to be U.S. Attorney for the District of South Carolina for the term of 4 years.

## LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will return to legislative session.

## NOTE

On page S5598 of the RECORD of May 23, the statement of Mr. BOND on the introduction of S. 1816, the Wisconsin Works Act, was inadvertently attributed to Mr. GRASSLEY. The permanent RECORD has been corrected to reflect the following.

By Mr. BOND (for himself, Mr. COATS, Mr. ABRAHAM, Mr. GRAMM, Mr. ASHCROFT, Mr. CRAIG, Mr. COVERDELL, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. GREGG, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. FAIRCLOTH, and Mr. NICKLES):

S. 1816. A bill to expedite waiver approval for the "Wisconsin Works" plan, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

## THE WISCONSIN WORKS ACT OF 1996

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce a measure that will assist the President of the United States in carrying out a promise he made to the people of Wisconsin that he would approve the Wisconsin Works program. There have been some problems getting welfare actually acted on. I had a very nice letter from the President last year for the work that we did on the welfare reform bill. But that measure got vetoed and so did a subsequent measure.

Now, the President has said that he supports the welfare reform demonstration project in Wisconsin, known as Wisconsin Works. Well, today, on behalf of myself, Senators COATS, Abraham, GRAMM of Texas, ASHCROFT, CRAIG, COVERDELL, GRASSLEY, GREGG, SANTORUM, FAIRCLOTH, and NICKLES, I am submitting a very brief bill, which, in substance, says that when waivers are submitted by the Wisconsin Department of Health and Services to conduct a demonstration project known as Wisconsin Works, those waivers shall be deemed approved.

We have heard many stories about the need to reform welfare, Mr. President, and one of those stories that has been repeated recently is that of an experiment in Sedalia, MO, where applicants for food stamps were sent to an employer. Many of them took jobs, which is good. It moved them off public assistance. Those who were turned down because they were not capable could stay on public assistance. Those who refused to show up were taken off of the food stamp rolls. So there was an incentive for those who did not want to work. Two people went for the job, but they were turned down because they tested positively for drugs.

Under existing Federal law, the State of Missouri could not sanction those people, even though they were turned down for a job because they tested positive for drugs. The simple point of that is that that creates the most perverse of incentives—the incentive for people who are on public assistance and who do not want to have to take a job to get on drugs and they can stay on the public assistance rolls.

That is the kind of thing that needs to be changed. That is why we need welfare reform. Today, Mr. President, I am simply acting to expedite one of the many waivers now pending from the States, which has been delayed, I understand from the Governors, an average of 210 days. This measure, if and when adopted, will deem the waivers submitted by the State of Wisconsin to be approved.

## MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

At 12:54 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Hays, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House had passed the