

industry. . . It is widely agreed that equal and accurate market information improves the price discovery and determination process." While that report was referring to cattle, not cheese, the principle that more market information is always better holds true for cheese as well.

USDA collection of prices for spot transaction of bulk cheese was recommended by the joint UW-Madison/Wisconsin Department of Agriculture report as a possible solution to the thin market problem at the Cheese Exchange. During a recent House Livestock, Dairy and Poultry Subcommittee hearing on the National Cheese Exchange, the Department of Agriculture also suggested an approach similar to that described in Section 4 of this legislation as a way to improve cheese market information. Other witnesses, such as the National Farmers Union and Kraft General Foods, also suggested increased reporting of spot transactions of cheese as a method of improving price discovery in cheese markets.

Mr. President, this is a very modest data collection effort. This is a first step towards improving market information in the dairy industry and lessening the influence of the exchange. It will not and is not intended to replace the National Cheese Exchange. The data collection required in the bill will merely supplement existing market information and hopefully, improve price discovery.

There is much more work to be done at both the State and Federal level to address the challenges posed by the National Cheese Exchange. But I think this is a logical first step forward.

Once again, I thank the minority leader for his recognition of the importance of the cheese price reporting provision in addressing concentration and market information concerns in the dairy industry and for his cooperation in including this provision in his important legislation.

#### ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 287

At the request of Mrs. HUTCHISON, the name of the Senator from Kansas [Mrs. FRAHM] was added as a cosponsor of S. 287, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow homemakers to get a full IRA deduction.

S. 607

At the request of Mr. WARNER, the names of the Senator from California [Mrs. FEINSTEIN] and the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. MCCONNELL] were added as cosponsors of S. 607, a bill to amend the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 to clarify the liability of certain recycling transactions, and for other purposes.

S. 684

At the request of Mr. HATFIELD, the name of the Senator from Florida [Mr. MACK] was added as a cosponsor of S.

684, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for programs of research regarding Parkinson's disease, and for other purposes.

S. 791

At the request of Mr. COCHRAN, the name of the Senator from Hawaii [Mr. INOUE] was added as a cosponsor of S. 791, a bill to provide that certain civil defense employees and employees of the Federal Emergency Management Agency may be eligible for certain public safety officers death benefits, and for other purposes.

S. 1701

At the request of Mr. PELL, the name of the Senator from California [Mrs. FEINSTEIN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1701, a bill to end the use of steel jaw leghold traps on animals in the United States, and for other purposes.

S. 1740

At the request of Mr. NICKLES, the name of the Senator from Montana [Mr. BURNS] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1740, a bill to define and protect the institution of marriage.

S. 1794

At the request of Mr. GREGG, the name of the Senator from Virginia [Mr. ROBB] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1794, a bill to amend chapter 83 of title 5, United States Code, to provide for the forfeiture of retirement benefits in the case of any Member of Congress, congressional employee, or Federal justice or judge who is convicted of an offense relating to official duties of that individual, and for the forfeiture of the retirement allowance of the President for such a conviction.

S. 1830

At the request of Mr. BROWN, the name of the Senator from Michigan [Mr. ABRAHAM] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1830, a bill to amend the NATO Participation Act of 1994 to expedite the transition to full membership in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization of emerging democracies in Central and Eastern Europe.

S. 1838

At the request of Mr. FAIRCLOTH, the name of the Senator from Texas [Mrs. HUTCHISON] was added as a cosponsor of S. 1838, a bill to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint and issue coins in commemoration of the centennial anniversary of the first manned flight of Orville and Wilbur Wright in Kitty Hawk, North Carolina, on December 17, 1903.

S. 1939

At the request of Mr. BAUCUS, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 1939, a bill to improve reporting in the livestock industry and to ensure the competitiveness of livestock producers, and for other purposes.

#### AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

#### THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1997

#### STEVENS AMENDMENT NO. 4439

Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1894) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 8, line 1, strike the number "\$17,700,859,000" and insert in lieu thereof "\$17,696,659,000".

On page 9, line 11, strike the number "\$9,953,142,000" and insert in lieu thereof "\$9,887,142,000".

On page 12, line 22, strike the number "\$1,069,957,000" and insert in lieu thereof "\$1,140,157,000".

#### MCCAIN AMENDMENTS NOS. 4440- 4444

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. MCCAIN submitted five amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill, S. 1894, supra; as follows:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 4440

On page 88, between lines 7 and 8, insert the following:

SEC. 8099. (a) The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly conduct an audit of security measures at all United States military installations outside the United States to determine the adequacy of such measures to prevent or limit the effects of terrorist attacks on United States military personnel.

(b) Not later than March 31, 1997, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly submit to Congress a report on the results of the audit conducted under subsection (a), including a description of the adequacy of—

- (1) physical and operational security measures;
- (2) access and perimeter control;
- (3) communications security;
- (4) crisis planning in the event of a terrorist attack, including evacuation and medical planning;
- (5) special security considerations at non-permanent facilities;
- (6) potential solutions to inadequate security, where identified; and
- (7) cooperative security measures with host nations.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 4441

On page 88, between lines 7 and 8, insert the following:

SEC. 8099. Section 221 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d) The President shall submit to Congress each year, at the same time the President submits to Congress the budget for that year under section 1105(a) of title 31, the future-years defense program (including associated annexes) that the Chief of the National Guard Bureau and the chiefs of the reserve components submitted to the Secretary of Defense in that year in order to assist the Secretary in preparing the future-years defense program in that year under subsection (a)."

Effective Date: This section shall take effect beginning with the President's budget submission for fiscal year 1999.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 4442

On page 88, between lines 7 and 8, insert the following:

SEC. 8099. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be obligated or expended for any program, project, or activity which is not included in the future-years defense program of the Department of Defense for fiscal years 1997 through 2002 submitted to Congress in 1996 under section 221 of title 10, United States Code, unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to Congress that—

(1) the program, project, or activity fulfills an existing, validated military requirement;

(2) the program, project, or activity is of a higher priority than any other program, project, or activity included in that future-years defense program for which no funds are appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act; and

(3) if additional funds will be required for the program, project, or activity in future fiscal years, such funds will be included in the future-years defense program to be submitted to Congress under such section in 1997.

AMENDMENT NO. 4443

On page 8, line 1, strike out "\$17,700,859,000" and insert in lieu thereof "\$17,698,859,000".

AMENDMENT NO. 4444

On page 88, between lines 7 and 8, insert the following:

SEC. 8099. Of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense by this Act, \$14,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of Defense for activities to meet the anti-terrorism requirements of the Department, including intelligence support, physical security measures, and education and training for anti-terrorism purposes.

THE WATER RESOURCES  
DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 1996

CHAFEE AMENDMENT NO. 4445

Mr. STEVENS (for Mr. CHAFEE) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 640) to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 65, line 9, strike "1995" and insert "1996".

Beginning on page 66, strike line 7 and all that follows through page 67, line 4, and insert the following:

(a) PROJECTS WITH REPORTS.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the following projects for water resources development and conservation and other purposes are authorized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, recommended in the respective reports designated in this subsection:

On page 67, between lines 4 and 5, insert the following:

(1) HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CALIFORNIA.—The project for navigation, Humboldt Harbor and Bay, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated October 30, 1995, at a total cost of \$15,180,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$10,116,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,064,000.

On page 67, line 5, strike "(1)" and insert "(2)".

On page 67, line 13, strike "(2)" and insert "(3)".

On page 67, line 22, strike "(3)" and insert "(4)".

On page 68, between lines 3 and 4, insert the following:

(5) ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.—The project for environmental restoration, Anacostia River and tributaries, District of Columbia and Maryland: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated October 1994, at a total cost of \$18,820,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$14,120,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$4,700,000.

On page 68, line 4, strike "(4)" and insert "(6)".

Beginning on page 68, strike line 15 and all that follows through page 69, line 5, and insert the following:

(7) ILLINOIS SHORELINE STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, WILMETTE TO ILLINOIS AND INDIANA STATE LINE.—The project for lake level flooding and storm damage reduction, extending from Wilmette, Illinois, to the Illinois and Indiana State line: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated April 14, 1994, at a total cost of \$204,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$110,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$94,000,000. The Secretary shall reimburse the non-Federal interest for the Federal share of any costs that the non-Federal interest incurs in constructing the breakwater near the South Water Filtration Plant, Chicago, Illinois.

On page 69, line 6, strike "(6)" and insert "(8)".

On page 69, between lines 16 and 17, insert the following:

(9) POND CREEK, KENTUCKY.—The project for flood control, Pond Creek, Kentucky: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated June 28, 1994, at a total cost of \$16,865,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,243,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,622,000.

On page 69, line 17, strike "(7)" and insert "(10)".

On page 70, line 3, strike "(8)" and insert "(11)".

On page 70, line 9, strike "(9)" and insert "(12)".

On page 70, line 21, strike "(10)" and insert "(13)".

On page 71, line 9, strike "(11)" and insert "(14)".

On page 71, between lines 15 and 16, insert the following:

(15) ATLANTIC COAST OF LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK.—The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Atlantic Coast of Long Island from Jones Inlet to East Rockaway Inlet, Long Beach Island, New York: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated April 5, 1996, at a total cost of \$72,091,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$46,859,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$25,232,000.

On page 71, line 16, strike "(12)" and insert "(16)".

On page 71, line 24, strike "(13)" and insert "(17)".

On page 72, strike lines 5 through 16.

On page 72, line 17, strike "(16)" and insert "(18)".

On page 72, between lines 23 and 24, insert the following:

(19) HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TEXAS.—The project for navigation and environmental restoration, Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated May 9, 1996, at a total cost of \$508,757,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$286,141,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$222,616,000.

On page 72, line 24, strike "(17)" and insert "(20)".

On page 73, line 11, strike "(18)" and insert "(21)".

On page 73, line 16, strike "\$257,900,000" and insert "\$229,581,000".

On page 73, after line 23, add the following:

(b) PROJECTS SUBJECT TO FAVORABLE REPORT.—The following projects for water resources development and conservation and other purposes are authorized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, recommended in a favorable final report (or in the case of the project described in paragraph (6), a favorable feasibility report) of the Chief of Engineers, if the report is completed not later than December 31, 1996:

(1) CHIGNIK, ALASKA.—The project for navigation, Chignik, Alaska, at a total cost of \$10,365,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$4,344,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$6,021,000.

(2) COOK INLET, ALASKA.—The project for navigation, Cook Inlet, Alaska, at a total cost of \$5,342,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$4,006,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$1,336,000.

(3) AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CALIFORNIA.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The project for flood damage reduction, American and Sacramento Rivers, California: Supplemental Information Report for the American River Watershed Project, California, dated March 1996, at a total cost of \$57,300,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$42,975,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$14,325,000, consisting of—

(i) approximately 24 miles of slurry wall in the levees along the lower American River;

(ii) approximately 12 miles of levee modifications along the east bank of the Sacramento River downstream from the Natomas Cross Canal;

(iii) 3 telemeter streamflow gauges upstream from the Folsom Reservoir; and

(iv) modifications to the flood warning system along the lower American River.

(B) CREDIT TOWARD NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—The non-Federal interest shall receive credit toward the non-Federal share of project costs for expenses that the non-Federal interest incurs for design or construction of any of the features authorized under this paragraph before the date on which Federal funds are made available for construction of the project. The amount of the credit shall be determined by the Secretary.

(C) INTERIM OPERATION.—Until such time as a comprehensive flood control plan for the American River watershed has been implemented, the Secretary of the Interior shall continue to operate the Folsom Dam and Reservoir to the variable 400,000/670,000 acre-feet of flood control storage capacity and shall extend the agreement between the Bureau of Reclamation and the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency with respect to the watershed.

(D) OTHER COSTS.—The non-Federal interest shall be responsible for—

(i) all operation, maintenance, repair, replacement, and rehabilitation costs associated with the improvements carried out under this paragraph; and

(ii) the costs of the variable flood control operation of the Folsom Dam and Reservoir.

(4) SANTA MONICA BREAKWATER, CALIFORNIA.—The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Santa Monica breakwater, California, at a total cost of \$6,440,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$4,220,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,220,000.

(5) LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, SAVANNAH RIVER, GEORGIA AND SOUTH CAROLINA.—The project for environmental restoration, Lower Savannah River Basin, Savannah River, Georgia and South Carolina, at a total cost of \$3,419,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$2,551,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$868,000.