

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves to recommit the conference report to the committee of conference.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The motion to recommit was rejected.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the conference report.

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 383, nays 29, not voting 21, as follows:

[Roll No. 413]

YEAS—383

Abercrombie	Conyers	Goodling
Ackerman	Costello	Gordon
Allard	Cox	Goss
Andrews	Coyne	Graham
Archer	Cramer	Green (TX)
Army	Crane	Greene (UT)
Bachus	Crapo	Greenwood
Baesler	Creameans	Gunderson
Baker (CA)	Cubin	Gutierrez
Baker (LA)	Cummings	Gutknecht
Baldacci	Cunningham	Hall (OH)
Ballenger	Danner	Hall (TX)
Barcia	Deal	Hamilton
Barr	DeLauro	Hancock
Barrett (NE)	DeLay	Hansen
Barrett (WI)	Dellums	Harman
Bartlett	Deutsch	Hastert
Barton	Diaz-Balart	Hastings (FL)
Bateman	Dickey	Hastings (WA)
Becerra	Dicks	Hayworth
Beilenson	Dingell	Heffley
Bentsen	Dixon	Hefner
Bereuter	Doggett	Herger
Berman	Doilittle	Hilliard
Bevill	Dornan	Hinches
Bilbray	Doyle	Hobson
Bilirakis	Dreier	Hoekstra
Bishop	Duncan	Hoke
Bliley	Dunn	Horn
Blumenauer	Durbin	Hostettler
Blute	Edwards	Houghton
Boehrlert	Ehlers	Hoyer
Boehner	Ehrlich	Hunter
Bonilla	Engel	Hutchinson
Bonior	English	Hyde
Bono	Eshoo	Inglis
Borski	Evans	Istook
Boucher	Eversett	Jackson (IL)
Brewster	Ewing	Jackson-Lee
Browder	Farr	(TX)
Brown (FL)	Fattah	Jacobs
Brown (OH)	Fawell	Jefferson
Brownback	Fazio	Johnson (CT)
Bryant (TN)	Fields (LA)	Johnson (SD)
Bunn	Fields (TX)	Johnson, E. B.
Bunning	Filner	Jones
Burr	Flanagan	Kanjorski
Buyer	Foglietta	Kaptur
Callahan	Foley	Kasich
Calvert	Forbes	Kelly
Camp	Ford	Kennedy (MA)
Campbell	Fowler	Kennedy (RI)
Canady	Fox	Kennelly
Cardin	Frank (MA)	Killdee
Castle	Franks (CT)	Kim
Chambliss	Franks (NJ)	King
Chapman	Frelinghuysen	Kingston
Chenoweth	Frisa	Kleccka
Christensen	Frost	Klink
Chrysler	Funderburk	Knollenberg
Clayton	Furse	Kolbe
Clement	Gallegly	LaFalce
Clyburn	Gejdenson	LaHood
Coble	Gephardt	Lantos
Coburn	Geren	Largent
Coleman	Gibbons	Latham
Collins (GA)	Gilchrist	LaTourette
Collins (IL)	Gillmor	Laughlin
Collins (MI)	Gilman	Lazio
Combest	Gonzalez	Leach
Condit	Goodlatte	Levin

Lewis (CA)	Ortiz
Lewis (GA)	Orton
Lewis (KY)	Owens
Lightfoot	Packard
Linder	Pallone
Lipinski	Parker
Livingston	Pastor
LoBiondo	Paxon
Lofgren	Payne (VA)
Longley	Pelosi
Lowe	Peterson (FL)
Lucas	Peterson (MN)
Luther	Pickett
Maloney	Pombo
Manton	Pomeroy
Manzullo	Porter
Markey	Portman
Martinez	Poshard
Martini	Pryce
Mascara	Quillen
Matsui	Quinn
McCarthy	Radanovich
McCollum	Rahall
McCrery	Rangel
McDade	Regula
McDermott	Riggs
McHugh	Rivers
McInnis	Roberts
McIntosh	Rogers
McKeon	Rohrabacher
McKinney	Rose
Meehan	Roth
Meeke	Roukema
Menendez	Roybal-Allard
Metcalfe	Rush
Mica	Sabo
Millender-	Salmon
McDonald	Sanders
Miller (CA)	Sawyer
Miller (FL)	Saxton
Minge	Scarborough
Mink	Schaefer
Moakley	Schiff
Molinari	Schumer
Mollohan	Seastrand
Serrano	Serrano
Shadegg	Shadegg
Murtha	Shaw
Shuster	Shuster
Sisisky	Sisisky
Skaggs	Skaggs
Skeen	Skeen
Skelton	Skelton
Slaughter	Slaughter
Smith (MI)	Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)	Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)	Smith (WA)
Solomon	Solomon

NAYS—29

Burton	Johnston
Chabot	Klug
Cooley	McHale
Davis	Moran
DeFazio	Morella
Ensign	Neumann
Gekas	Obey
Hilleary	Oxley
Holden	Petri
Johnson, Sam	Ramstad

NOT VOTING—21

Bass	Flake	Payne (NJ)
Brown (CA)	Ganske	Richardson
Bryant (TX)	Hayes	Ros-Lehtinen
Clay	Heineman	Scott
Clinger	Lincoln	Smith (TX)
de la Garza	McNulty	Stokes
Dooley	Meyers	Zeliff

□ 1150

Messrs. PETRI, SHAYS, and BURTON of Indiana changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mrs. MALONEY, Mrs. LOWEY, and Mr. SCHUMER changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EXTENDING MOST-FAVORED-NATION TREATMENT TO CAMBODIA

Mr. CRANE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 1642) to extend nondiscriminatory treatment—most-favored-nation treatment—to the products of Cambodia, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, and concur in the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment, as follows:

Senate amendment: Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS.

The Congress finds that—

(1) despite recent increases in acts of repression by the Cambodian Government and growing government corruption that has contributed to substantial environmental degradation, Cambodia has made some progress towards democratic rule after 20 years of undemocratic regimes and civil war, and is striving to rebuild its market economy;

(2) extension of unconditional most-favored-nation treatment would assist Cambodia in developing its economy based on free market principles and becoming competitive in the global marketplace;

(3) establishing normal commercial relations on a reciprocal basis with Cambodia will promote United States exports to the rapidly growing Southeast Asian region and expand opportunities for United States business and investment in the Cambodian economy; and

(4) expanding bilateral trade relations that includes a commercial agreement may promote further progress by Cambodia on human rights and democratic rule and assist Cambodia in adopting regional and world trading rules and principles.

SEC. 2. EXTENSION OF NONDISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT TO THE PRODUCTS OF CAMBODIA.

(a) HARMONIZED TARIFF SCHEDULE AMENDMENT.—General note 3(b) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is amended by striking “Kampuchea”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) applies with respect to goods entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after the effective date of a notice published in the Federal Register by the United States Trade Representative that a trade agreement obligating reciprocal most-favored-nation treatment between Cambodia and the United States has entered into force.

SEC. 3. REPORT TO CONGRESS.

The President shall submit to the Congress, not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, a report on the trade relations between the United States and Cambodia pursuant to the trade agreement described in section 2(b).

Mr. CRANE (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate amendment be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. (Mr. LATOURETTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the original request of the gentleman from Illinois?

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I have discussed

this with the chairman of the Subcommittee on Trade, it is a non-controversial addition that has been placed on it by the Senate, and I do not object.

Mr. CRANE. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. RANGEL. Further reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Illinois.

Mr. CRANE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking minority member of our distinguished trade subcommittee for his comments and would remind colleagues that this already cleared the House on a bipartisan basis. The only thing that the Senate did was modify the House language in a specific way noting that Cambodia has made progress toward democratic rule and is striving to rebuild its economy. The amendment finds that expanding our bilateral trade relations may promote further progress by Cambodia on human rights and democracy and may assist that country in adopting regional and world trading principles. Given its progress already, I would urge my colleagues to support passage of this important legislation.

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I support the gentleman from Illinois, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CRANE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 1642.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FAZIO of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY], the distinguished majority leader, to inquire of the schedule for the remainder of the week and next week.

Before I yield, I would like to pose a question as well to the leader. We on this side of the aisle have repeatedly requested that you schedule a vote on House Resolution 288, a resolution commending the U.S. Armed Forces for carrying out the military mission in Iraq.

As you know, the Senate passed this resolution by a vote of 96 to 1 on September 5. I do not note that it is scheduled for the week. I do not believe it has been scheduled for next week. We have heard from staff that it will not be scheduled. We believe that the House

should act as we traditionally do after a military engagement. I think we should act, as the Senate has, to support our Armed Forces. That is really all the resolution does. I am once again asking if the leader would schedule a vote immediately on this important resolution.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the majority leader for any response he wants to give.

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I do not know whether the two gentlemen, the gentleman from Pennsylvania and the gentleman from California, would prefer that I let you have your colloquy and then we go to the schedule.

Mr. FAZIO of California. This is related to the schedule if the gentleman has any response. Otherwise I could yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. ARMEY. It seems that I might be able to more neatly conduct my business of announcing the schedule. If the two of you gentlemen want to have a bit of a colloquy, go ahead and have that first.

Mr. FAZIO of California. I would be interested in whatever comment the gentleman from Pennsylvania, a member of the Committee on Armed Services, has.

Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania. I thank my colleague and friend for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, as a senior member of the Committee on National Security and chair of one of the subcommittees, I understand the gentleman's concern about having a vote on this floor and very frankly I agree with him, but I have a great deal of concern with the Senate resolution in light of the actions that have taken place over the past several days. In fact, in a recently adjourned House Committee on National Security meeting where I asked Chairman FLOYD SPENCE if he or Vice Chairman RON DELLUMS have been briefed on what is occurring now, they both replied no. I questioned the chairman of the Committee on International Relations, BEN GILMAN, and he has not been briefed. Chairman LIVINGSTON has not been briefed.

We have a President announcing that we are sending F-117 planes to the base in Kuwait because we cannot base them in Saudi Arabia and now Saddam Hussein is saying by basing them in Kuwait, that is in fact Kuwait declaring an act of war against Iraq.

These are situations that require under the War Powers Act this body to be consulted with. That, in fact, is not taking place. In face the U.N. resolution which authorizes us there in the first place in fact requires this President to abide by that resolution.

To my dear friend and colleague, I say we have to have a vote but not on the Senate resolution. This body needs to vote on whether or not we support this President and what he is doing with our troops right now. I am going to demand that next week and I will be

glad to support my colleague but not with a Senate resolution and giving this President the authority to put our people in harm's way with no plan. That is my question to my friend.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Reclaiming my time, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the leader would want to associate himself with the position taken by the Member in the well.

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman will yield further, let me, Mr. Speaker, just predicate my remarks on the schedule by responding to the gentleman from California.

If the gentleman from California will continue to yield, it is very clear, it seems to most of us, that current events have outpaced the resolution on Iraq passed by the Senate last week. It is not likely that the House will bring the resolution passed last week up for a vote. While I say that, let me say, Mr. Speaker, that I am sure I speak for every Member of this body when I say the House of Representatives stands in support of our men and women in uniform everywhere they may be stationed in the world and that our support for men and women in this area of the world is of particular interest to us today.

Having made those comments, I would just say that the leadership has not had brought to its attention from any of the relevant committees in the House that might initiate a resolution related to current events in Iraq, a resolution that they would have us to bring to the floor.

□ 1200

At this point, we have nothing in leadership under consideration to bring to the floor on that subject.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, and I appreciate the candid response, it seems to me totally inappropriate for us to allow events that may be taking place even as we speak to get in the way of an effort to resolve as a group, bipartisanly, that we want to support the American men and women who are engaged in this conflict. It seems to me that is a minimum thing.

We may want to talk about other aspects of this. We are in the middle of a political campaign. But this is a bottom line request, and I know the leader has brought other matters to the floor without a direct committee jurisdiction. So I guess I would still hope that he would reconsider that decision, let us get this behind us, and move on to other issues.

I yield to the gentleman from California [Mr. MILLER].

Mr. MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I find it incredible that we might stall a vote for support of our troops that may or may not be in harm's way, but are clearly flying at this time missions of risk, and we would stall that based upon whether or not Saddam Hussein believes this is an