

deal with these challenges. Democrats believe that education is the key to our children's future and the key to our country's continued success.

Under this Republican Congress, however, education has not fared well. In 1995, Senator Dole supported the largest cuts in Federal education funding in the history of our Nation, and the assault continues. Instead of considering further education cuts, we should be involved in debating increasing Federal commitment to our children's education.

Most of education is paid for at the local and State level, but as a Nation we have to be competitive with the world. It is time to stop blaming teachers for our educational problems and start blaming those who have consistently opposed funding for education. We will be judged by how we treat and educate our children.

Senator Dole, who has a poor record on education, should be judged appropriately on November 5.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. BLILEY, JR., MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr., Member of Congress:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE,

Washington, DC, September 18, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that Reid Stuntz, currently the minority general counsel of the Committee on Commerce and formerly the staff director and chief counsel for the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations for the Committee on Energy and Commerce, has been served with a subpoena issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter of United States v. Jeffrey M. Levine, Cr. No. 94-034.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that the subpoena appears not to be consistent with the rights and privileges of the House and, therefore, should be resisted.

Sincerely,

THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. BLILEY, JR., MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr., Member of Congress:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE,

Washington, DC, September 18, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that a trial subpoena (for documents and testimony) issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter of United

States v. Jeffrey M. Levine, Cr. No. 94-034, has been served on me.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that the subpoena appears not to be consistent with the rights and privileges of the House and, therefore, should be resisted.

Sincerely,

THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. BLILEY, JR., MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr., Member of Congress:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE,

Washington, DC, September 18, 1996.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that a trial subpoena (for documents and testimony) issued by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in the matter of United States v. Jeffrey M. Levine, Cr. No. 94-034, has been served on me as custodian of records for the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the Committee on Commerce.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that the subpoena appears not to be consistent with the rights and privileges of the House and, therefore, should be resisted.

Sincerely,

THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.

THE EXAMPLE OF HARRY TRUMAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California [Mr. DORNAN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DORNAN. Mr. Speaker, this is going to be hot stuff.

I said last week I would quote from battling Harry Truman. Everybody wants to be Harry Truman if they are coming from behind in an election, and I said that Harry Truman was tough on adultery, loyal to his Bess.

My dad was Harry Dornan, D Artillery Battalion, World War I, 30th Division. Harry was 34th Division, Battery D, Artillery. Harry. Harry.

Listen to this on adultery, Mr. Speaker, and I bring this up during a Presidential race period for obvious reasons. "Harry Truman said, 'Any man who was dissolute with women,' Truman believed, 'was not a man to be trusted entirely. He discovered that'—two names from the past—"both loved the ladies and kept telephone girls on the payroll. 'I'll say this for the big boss,'" referring to the Tom Pendergast of Pendergast machine fame, "he has no feminine connections."

This is the book called "Truman" that won a Pulitzer Prize for an author and biographer of some note, David McCullough. David McCullough.

Listen to this paragraph. It seems that Harry Truman was plagued with

headaches, as was my mother, as I was at one point studying for exams, but lucky in my later years. "Harry Truman says he worried always about possible entrapment with women," would have saved a lot of careers in the Senate and this body if people had taken this advice, "an old device for destroying politicians. Once, responding to a call for a meeting in a room at the Baltimore Hotel," this is in Missouri, "He asked Edgar Hine to go along, just in case. When they knocked at the room, Hine remembered a blond woman was there in a negligee. She opened the door. Harry Truman spun on his heels and ran back down the hall, disappearing around the corner. Hine thought it was a fear verging on the abnormal." Or maybe the decent.

"Three things rule a man,' Harry would tell a reporter long afterward, 'power, money and women.'" The great archbishop and evangelist in the Catholic church, Fulton Sheen told me the same thing. Only he put women in the first category, the downfall in the twenties and thirties; then came power, the obsession of men in their thirties, forties, and fifties; and then money, for men in their older years, the accretion of power, money you are never going to get to spend at the end of your life.

Hine wrote this: "I have been around Legion conventions with Harry Truman. He would have his room there. Naturally, everybody would kind of gravitate to the Senator's room. If some fellow brought a woman in there, or even his wife, I have seen Truman pick up his hat and coat, take off out of there, and that would be the last you would see of him until those women left. He just didn't want women around his hotel room. He had a phobia about it."

This is not the story of Little Rock, AK, folks. This is the story of Harry Truman and Missouri.

I would like to put in the RECORD, Mr. Speaker, the editorial from the Wall Street Journal on Monday, the 16th, titled "Will Anyone Believe?" It is all about the Clintons stonewalling on both their medical records, but particularly the commander-in-chief. Shalikhshvili's medical records are out there.

Every combat commander down to a private, the whole chain of command, their whole medical records are out there. It was asked for of Perry before he became Secretary of Defense. But only these doctor summaries.

So the Wall Street Journal says nobody is going to believe because it is a stonewalling pattern, as it was with the tax return commodity trade stonewall, as it was with the health care task force stonewall, as it was with the White House passes stonewall, as it was with the billing records stonewall, as it stonewalled House committees here on Waco, on every other scandal, on Haiti, on Bosnia now, on Somalia, stonewalling on people in drug programs at the White House.