

INTRODUCTION OF THE STOP
SWEATSHOPS ACT OF 1997

HON. WILLIAM (BILL) CLAY

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, January 7, 1997

Mr. CLAY. Mr. Speaker, last year, I joined with Senator KENNEDY and more than 50 other Members of Congress to introduce legislation to curb the reemergence of sweatshops in the domestic garment industry. Today, I am introducing that legislation once again.

Sweatshops have returned to the apparel industry in the United States in numbers and forms reminiscent of the turn of the century. Sweatshop employers exploit those who work for them, sometimes subjecting workers to slave-like conditions. By exploding workers, sweatshop employers derive an unfair and unlawful competitive advantage that harms law abiding employers, as well as workers and their families.

The Stop Sweatshops Act of 1997 strengthens the ability of the Department of Labor to enforce the Fair Labor Standards Act [FLSA] and improves the ability of workers in the garment industry to obtain redress for violations of the act. As importantly, at a time when the Congress is reducing funds available for enforcement of the labor laws, the bill encourages manufacturers in the garment industry to deal with reputable contractors and acts to balance market pressures that have encouraged the reemergence of sweatshops.

The reemergence of sweatshops represents a problem that cannot be allowed to continue to grow. As we approach the 21st century, we have an obligation to eliminate this vestige of the 19th century. I urge my colleagues to support this humane legislation.

THE FLORIDA WETLANDS MITIGATION
BANKING STUDY ACT OF
1997

HON. BILL McCOLLUM

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, January 7, 1997

Mr. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing legislation to authorize a study on a topic of growing environmental importance, mitigation banking. Specifically, this bill authorizes the Army Corps of Engineers to conduct a 2-year study in Florida on the process of authorizing mitigation banking and its effectiveness.

In an effort to minimize impacts to wetlands, mitigation banks have been created. In the past, developers who adversely impacted a wetland area were required to either restore an existing wetland or create a new one. The restoration was usually performed on the impact site and often resulted in small, scattered wetlands which were not effective in maintaining or restoring the overall health of the watershed.

A mitigation bank typically consists of a large parcel of land on which an entity voluntarily restores, enhances, creates, or preserves wetlands and uplands. These entities may be a developer or group of developers, a public agency, or a private firm that has rights to land for the creation of a mitigation bank. A

bank is formed through an agreement between regulatory agencies and the bank sponsor. The entity establishing the mitigation bank is then given mitigation credits for work on the wetlands. Credits are assigned by State and Federal regulators, including local water management districts and the Army Corps of Engineers. These credits can be used as a "debit" at another site to offset unavoidable damage to wetlands.

Mr. Speaker, this process is becoming more and more widespread. Because of the potential impact mitigation banking has for the nation, it is important to examine it further to better identify both the advantages and disadvantages of the process. My bill allows the Corps to conduct a study which analyzes the establishment and use of mitigation banks under current federal guidelines and Florida law to determine if any further federal action is needed. Florida was chosen as a study state because it has some of the most advanced statutes and regulations on mitigation banks, and a large number of mitigation banks have already been established and used.

As this realivly new procedure begins to spread, I believe that it is important that all aspects and potential effects are examined. My bill will provide a study that I hope will clarify the future federal role. I encourage your support for this bill and look forward to working with many of my colleagues on its passage.

REPRESENTATIVE PELOSI HONORED
FOR HUMAN RIGHTS WORK

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, January 7, 1997

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, Representative NANCY PELOSI was cited in a recent New York Times article for her work as a tireless advocate on behalf of human rights in China. She has been the persistent voice reminding this Congress and the administration that we cannot ignore the atrocities in China. They are too awful, too numerous for us not to recognize.

A large market like China can be seductive for those who see commercial gain to be made. They do not want to see the pain wrought by the Chinese Government operating in its normal course whether it be false imprisonment, loss of freedom of religion, speech and association, proliferation of nuclear weapons or even the illegal shipping and sale of AK-47s to our own streets.

Representative PELOSI is the voice that reminds us that there is no such thing as business as usual with China. She is to be commended for her tireless efforts. I commend to you the enclosed article by A.M. Rosenthal:

CLINTON'S CHINA WRIGGLE

(By A.M. Rosenthal)

President Clinton, his supporting cast of bureaucrats and even most of his political opponents are so twisting the essence of the visit to the White House of Communist China's top weapons dealer that the deeply important meaning is wrung right out of it. And that is no accident.

Mr. Clinton is doing what comes naturally at times of political embarrassment, the old Washington dance. Wriggle, two, three, four, wriggle, two three, gliide, everybody sing out together: "Doin' the White House wriggle!"

"It was inappropriate," the President says with a fine show of chin. Screening must be tightened!

Republicans and Democrats un-in-love with Mr. Clinton say no, the problem is political money.

Wang Jun, the Chinese Army's chief arms broker, missile salesman and weapons smuggler, was brought to a White House reception by an Arkansas businessman who became a hotshot Democratic fund-raiser.

Taking some of the stink out of fund-raising would be real nice. But it won't get at the why and how come of Mr. Wang, whose job is to make money and build power for the Chinese armed forces by peddling weapons worldwide, and whose name is known to every China expert, spook and high military officer in the world, getting to a White House do with the President.

Nor will it deal with the hypocrisy of the Administration now clucking about this fellow's visit in February when the man he reports to was the official guest of the United States Government just a couple of weeks ago. This one got to the White House not for a handshake but for a real sit-down meeting with none other than the old screening-tightener-upper, Mr. Clinton himself. He is Gen. Chi Haotian, who gave the order to kill dissidents in and around Tiananmen Square in 1989 and was promoted to Defense Minister by a grateful Politburo.

No, the answer to how these characters got to the White House is not political money or screening. It is Mr. Clinton's decision to base America's policy about Communist China on trade.

For Beijing, the principal purpose of trade is to build up its police and military power. The biggest owner of Chinese industry and commerce is the military establishment. It uses the profit to build more weapons to sell, particularly missiles amusingly forbidden under U.S. regulation, and to modernize its armies, including the police army operating the Chinese gulag.

There is no hiding place, not for Mr. Clinton, not for America's allies, not for American C.E.O.'s, not for the American consumer or stockholder: doing business with China means providing money for the Chinese armed forces. So let's not get all wriggly when China's killers and arms-selling chiefs show up at our parties.

Most of Mr. Clinton's political opponents are trapped by and with him. They went along with him in sacrificing democracy and American security to the Trade Gods. So, like him, they have to do something when a killer-salesman comes to Washington. Watch them dance.

How did a nice young fellow from Arkansas, who preached human rights when he ran for President the first time, sell them out a year later? Why did that nice Assistant Secretary of State for China affairs go along, after attacking the early Bush clone of the Clinton policy?

Why did Bob Dole, and his party, wipe out any difference of principle between them and Mr. Clinton on providing China with the huge trade profits to build its military power? Oh, who cares why; they did.

Well, it is holiday time. Here's a fine present: three names among those Washingtonians who fight for Chinese human rights and American democratic honor. In government, Nancy Pelosi, San Francisco's Representative, and in this cause truly all America's. Among the experts: William C. Triplett 2d, former chief Republican counsel to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee; indispensable to the struggle. In journalism, the conservative Washington journal *The Weekly Standard*—may its editorials against the sellout of China reach the conservative movement and awaken the liberal.