

Resolved by the Senate, That we memorialize the Congress of the United States to pass and submit to the states for ratification a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States to require a balanced federal budget with Social Security and Medicare removed from consideration so long as the funds in those programs are guaranteed and are not used to offset, or otherwise be made to serve as collateral for, debt expenditure elsewhere in the federal budget; and be it further

Resolved, That we urge that the proposed balanced budget amendment provide for line item veto for cutting appropriations as measures to achieve a balanced budget; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the President of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, and the members of the Michigan congressional delegation. Adopted by the Senate, February 27, 1997.

POM-44. A concurrent resolution adopted by the House of the Legislature of the State of South Dakota; to the Committee on Rules and Administration.

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 1006

Whereas, the expenditures for election campaigns for Congress have been rising each election year; and

Whereas, the State of South Dakota just experienced an election campaign for the position of United States Senator where the candidates spent eight million dollars on campaign expenses and bombarded our citizens with campaign advertisements for a year prior to the election; and

Whereas, despite the huge cost of this election in South Dakota, it is a mere drop in the bucket when compared to similar elections in more heavily populated states; and

Whereas, the increasing cost of Congressional elections has led to a never-ending solicitation by candidates for contributions from businesses, political action committees, and individuals; and

Whereas, these high campaign expenditures and the corresponding need for campaign contributions has given the voters of the State of South Dakota and the nation the perception that campaign contributions buy influence in Congress; and

Whereas, these expenditures and contributions tarnish the image of representative government and fuel voter apathy; and

Whereas, the Congress must pass meaningful election finance campaign reform to help restore voter confidence in our federal election process: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the Seventy-Second Legislature of the State of South Dakota, the Senate concurring therein, That the Congress of the United States pass election campaign finance reform which would call for campaign expenditure limits on each candidate for the United States House of Representatives and on each candidate for the United States Senate; and be it further

Resolved, That the Congress of the United States should also provide in such legislation for campaign limits on in-kind contributions for each candidate for the United States House of Representatives and for each candidate for the United States Senate; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this Resolution be transmitted to the President of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives of the United States, and each Member of the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second time by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. DODD:

S. 426. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to adjust the needs analysis to protect more of a student's earnings; to the Committee on Labor and Human Resources.

By Mr. THOMAS (for himself and Mr. SHELBY):

S. 427. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to restore the deduction for lobbying expenses in connection with State legislation; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. KOHL (for himself, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. DURBIN, and Mr. CHAFFEE):

S. 428. A bill to amend chapter 44 of title 18, United States Code, to improve the safety of handguns; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GRASSLEY:

S. 429. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow certain cash rent farm landlords to deduct soil and water conservation expenditures; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. BINGAMAN):

S. 430. A bill to amend the Act of June 20, 1910, to protect the permanent trust funds of the State of New Mexico from erosion due to inflation and modify the basis on which distributions are made from those funds; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. MURKOWSKI (for himself, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. GORTON, Mr. BURNS, Mr. CRAIG, Mr. KEMPTHORNE, and Mr. SMITH):

S. 431. A bill to amend title 28, United States Code, to divide the ninth judicial circuit of the United States into two circuits, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ABRAHAM (for himself, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. HUTCHINSON, and Mr. COATS):

S. 432. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow the designation of renewal communities, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. BROWNBACK (for himself, Mr. KYL, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. COATS, Mr. ENZI, Mr. HAGEL, and Mr. SESSIONS):

S. 433. A bill to require Congress and the President to fulfill their Constitutional duty to take personal responsibility for Federal laws; to the Committee on Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. MOYNIHAN (for himself and Mr. BYRD):

S. 434. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to correct the treatment of tax-exempt financing of professional sports facilities.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. DODD:

S. 426. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to adjust the needs analysis to protect more of a student's earnings; to the Committee on Labor and Human Resources.

THE BETTER FINANCIAL AID FOR WORKING STUDENTS ACT OF 1997

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I rise here this morning to introduce a piece of legislation which I have entitled the

Better Financial Aid for Working Students Act of 1997. At the appropriate time here, Mr. President, I will send the bill to the desk and ask that it be referred to the appropriate committee. But let me take a few minutes, if I can, to explain what I am trying to do with this proposal.

This legislation is designed, Mr. President, to assist America's working students to cope with the growing financial burdens of a college education. One hardly even needs to use the words "growing financial burden." It is to state the obvious.

There is not a family in America that does not have children in school or going on to college or who have already been there that does not appreciate what a significant burden the cost of a higher education is in our country.

For the parents of college-aged children, of course, this is a trying time of year, not only for the parents, but for those who are anticipating going on to higher education. These parents and students are today anxiously awaiting the acceptance letters or rejection letters from our Nation's colleges and universities around the country.

However, for the vast majority of families, beyond waiting for an acceptance or rejection letter in March and April from institutions they have applied to, the biggest concern is not whether they are going to get into college or into a community college or into a university; the biggest question, the biggest challenge facing these families is: How are we going to pay for this? If they get in, how are we possibly going to finance this incredible burden that we see increasing all the time?

In fact, Mr. President, I think this week or maybe the past week one of our national magazines—I believe it was Time magazine—has a special issue out on the cost of higher education. It is their cover story. I commend them for it. I believe it was Time. I apologize if it was another periodical. But it is at an appropriate point with these acceptance and rejection letters coming to seniors in high school and others who have been out of school for some time but anxious to get back in.

So I am stating again the obvious. This is a time of some anxiety. But I would argue, the greatest anxiety is not "whether or not I'm going to be able to go on to a higher educational opportunity," but rather, "How am I possibly going to afford this? How are we going to afford this so our children or myself will be able to acquire the skills and educational levels that are going to be necessary for us to succeed or for my children to succeed in the future?"

That is why the letter they await, Mr. President, with the most anxiety, of course, is the financial aid letter. Working families understand as well as anyone that a college education has never been more important than it is today.

Thirty years ago, Mr. President, a high school diploma could get you a