

Bryant Goodling McCreery
 Bunning Gordon McDade
 Burr Goss McGovern
 Burton Graham McHale
 Buyer Granger McHugh
 Callahan Green McInnis
 Calvert Greenwood McIntosh
 Camp Gutierrez McIntyre
 Campbell Gutknecht McKeon
 Canady Hall (OH) McKinney
 Cannon Hall (TX) McNulty
 Capps Hansen Meehan
 Cardin Harman Meek
 Carson Hastert Menendez
 Castle Hastings (FL) Metcalf
 Chabot Hastings (WA) Mica
 Chambliss Hayworth Millender-
 Chenoweth Hefley McDonald
 Christensen Hefner Miller (CA)
 Clay Herger Miller (FL)
 Clement Hill Mink
 Clyburn Hilleary Moakley
 Coble Hilliard Mollohan
 Coburn Hinchey Moran (KS)
 Collins Hinojosa Morella
 Combest Hobson Murtha
 Condit Hoekstra Myrick
 Cook Holden Nadler
 Cooksey Hooley Neal
 Costello Horn Nethercutt
 Cox Hostettler Neumann
 Coyne Houghton Ney
 Cramer Hoyer Norwood
 Crane Hulshof Nussle
 Crapo Hunter Oberstar
 Cubin Hutchinson Olver
 Cummings Hyde Ortiz
 Cunningham Inglis Owens
 Danner Istook Oxley
 Davis (FL) Jackson (IL) Packard
 Davis (IL) Jackson-Lee Pallone
 Davis (VA) (TX) Pappas
 Deal Jefferson Parker
 DeFazio Jenkins Pascrell
 DeGette John Pastor
 Delahunt Johnson (CT) Paxon
 DeLauro Johnson (WI) Payne
 DeLay Johnson, E. B. Pease
 Deutsch Johnson, Sam Peterson (MN)
 Diaz-Balart Jones Peterson (PA)
 Dickey Kanjorski Pickering
 Dicks Kaptur Pitts
 Dixon Kasich Pombo
 Doggett Kelly Pomeroy
 Dooley Kennedy (MA) Porter
 Doolittle Kennedy (RI) Portman
 Doyle Kennelly Poshard
 Dreier Kildee Price (NC)
 Duncan Kilpatrick Pryce (OH)
 Dunn Kim Quinn
 Edwards Kind (WI) Radanovich
 Ehlers King (NY) Ramstad
 Ehrlich Kingston Rangel
 Emerson Kleczka Redmond
 Engel Klink Regula
 English Klug Reyes
 Ensign Knollenberg Riggs
 Eshoo Kolbe Riley
 Etheridge LaFalce Rivers
 Evans LaHood Rodriguez
 Everett Lampton Roemer
 Ewing Lantos Rogan
 Fattah Largent Rogers
 Fawell Latham Rohrabacher
 Fazio LaTourette Ros-Lehtinen
 Filner Lazio Rothman
 Foglietta Leach Roukema
 Foley Levin Roybal-Allard
 Forbes Lewis (CA) Royce
 Ford Lewis (GA) Rush
 Fowler Lewis (KY) Ryun
 Fox Linder Sabo
 Frank (MA) Lipinski Salmon
 Franks (NJ) LoBiondo Sanchez
 Frelinghuysen Lofgren Sanders
 Frost Lowey Sandlin
 Furse Lucas Sanford
 Gallegly Luther Sawyer
 Ganske Maloney (CT) Saxton
 Gejdenson Maloney (NY) Scarborough
 Gekas Manton Schaefer, Dan
 Gephardt Manzullo Schaffer, Bob
 Gibbons Markey Scott
 Gilchrest Martinez Sensenbrenner
 Gillmor Mascara Serrano
 Gilman Matsui Sessions
 Gonzalez McCarthy (MO) Shadegg
 Goode McCarthy (NY) Shaw
 Goodlatte McCollum Shays

Sherman Stenholm Vento
 Shimkus Stokes Visclosky
 Shuster Strickland Walsh
 Sisisky Stump Wamp
 Skaggs Stupak Waters
 Skeen Talent Watkins
 Skelton Tanner Watts (OK)
 Slaughter Tauscher Waxman
 Smith (MI) Tauzin Weldon (FL)
 Smith (NJ) Taylor (MS) Weldon (PA)
 Smith (OR) Taylor (NC) Weller
 Smith (TX) Thomas Wexler
 Smith, Adam Thompson Weygand
 Smith, Linda Thornberry White
 Snowbarger Thune Whitfield
 Snyder Thurman Wicker
 Solomon Tiahrt Wise
 Souder Tierney Wolf
 Spence Torres Woolsey
 Spratt Towns Wynn
 Stabenow Turner Yates
 Stark Upton Young (AK)
 Stearns Velazquez Young (FL)

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 437, NATIONAL SEA GRANT COLLEGE PROGRAM REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1997

Mr. GOSS, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged resolution (Rept. No. 105-127) on the resolution (H. Res. 164) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 437) to reauthorize the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
 OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
 Washington, DC, June 9, 1997.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
The Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives,
 Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 5 of Rule III of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, I have the honor to transmit a sealed envelope received from the White House on June 9, 1997 at 2:34 p.m. and said to contain a message from the President whereby he returns without his approval, H.R. 1469, the "1997 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act."

With warm regards,
 ROBIN H. CARLE,
Clerk, U.S. House of Representatives.

1997 EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR RECOVERY FROM NATURAL DISASTERS, AND FOR OVERSEAS PEACEKEEPING EFFORTS, INCLUDING THOSE IN BOSNIA—VETO MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-96)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following veto message from the President of the United States:

To the House of Representatives:
 I am returning herewith without my approval H.R. 1469, the "Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act, FY 1997." The congressional majority—despite the obvious and urgent need to speed critical relief to people in the Dakotas, Minnesota, California, and 29 other States ravaged by flooding and other natural disasters—has chosen to weigh down this legislation with a series of unacceptable provisions that it knows will draw my veto. The time has come to stop playing politics with the lives of Americans in need and to send me a clean, unencumbered disaster relief bill that I can and will sign the moment it reaches my desk.

On March 19, 1997, I sent the Congress a request for emergency disaster assistance and urged the Congress to approve it promptly. Both the House and Senate Appropriations Committees acted

NAYS—17

Bonior	Kucinich	Petri
Clayton	McDermott	Rahall
Conyers	Minge	Sununu
Dellums	Moran (VA)	Traficant
Dingell	Obey	Watt (NC)
Hamilton	Paul	

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Bateman

NOT VOTING—10

Blumenauer	Molinar	Schiff
Farr	Northup	Schumer
Flake	Pelosi	
Livingston	Pickett	

□ 1900

Mr. WATT of North Carolina and Mr. MINGE changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. DICKEY and Mr. CONDIT changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. NORTHUP. Mr. Speaker, on rolcall No. 176, my pager malfunctioned and therefore did not alert me of the pending vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 54, PROHIBITING THE PHYSICAL DESECRATION OF THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. GOSS, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 105-126) on the resolution (H. Res. 163) providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 54) proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States authorizing the Congress to prohibit the physical desecration of the flag of the United States, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

expeditiously to approve the legislation. The core of this bill, appropriately, provides \$5.8 billion of much-needed help to people in hard-hit States and, in addition, contains \$1.8 billion for the Department of Defense related to our peacekeeping efforts in Bosnia and Southwest Asia. Regrettably, the Republican leadership chose to include contentious issues totally unrelated to disaster assistance, needlessly delaying essential relief.

The bill contains a provision that would create an automatic continuing resolution for all of fiscal year 1998. While the goal of ensuring that the Government does not shut down again is a worthy one, this provision is ill-advised. The issue here is not about shutting down the Government. Last month, I reached agreement with the Bipartisan Leadership of Congress on a plan to balance the budget by 2002. That agreement is the right way to finish the job of putting our fiscal house in order, consistent with our values and principles. Putting the Government's finances on automatic pilot is not.

The backbone of the Bipartisan Budget Agreement is the plan to balance the budget while providing funds for critical investments in education, the environment, and other priorities. The automatic continuing resolution would provide resources for fiscal year 1998 that are \$18 billion below the level contained in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, threatening such investments in our future. For example: college aid would be reduced by \$1.7 billion, eliminating nearly 375,000 students from the Pell Grant program; the number of women, infants, and children receiving food and other services through WIC would be cut by an average of 500,000 per month; up to 56,000 fewer children would participate in Head Start; the number of border patrol and FBI agents would be reduced, as would the number of air traffic controllers; and our goal of cleaning up 900 Superfund sites by the year 2000 could not be accomplished.

The bill also contains a provision that would permanently prohibit the Department of Commerce from using statistical sampling techniques in the 2000 decennial census for the purpose of apportioning Representatives in Congress among the States. Without sampling, the cost of the decennial census will increase as its accuracy, especially with regard to minorities and groups that are traditionally undercounted, decreases substantially. The National Academy of Sciences and other experts have recommended the use of statistical sampling for the 2000 decennial census.

The Department of Justice, under the Carter and Bush Administrations and during my Administration, has issued three opinions regarding the constitutionality and legality of sampling in the decennial census. All three opinions concluded that the Constitution and relevant statutes permit the use of

sampling in the decennial census. Federal courts that have addressed the issue have held that the Constitution and Federal statutes allow sampling.

The enrolled bill contains an objectionable provision that would promote the conversion of certain claimed rights-of-way into paved highways across sensitive national parks, public lands, and military installations. Under the provision, a 13-member commission would study the issue and provide recommendations to resolve outstanding Revised Statute (R.S.) 2477 claims. R.S. 2477 was enacted in 1866 to grant rights-of-way for the construction of highways over public lands not already reserved for public uses. It was repealed in 1976, subject to "valid, existing rights."

This provision in the enrolled bill is objectionable because it is cumbersome, flawed, and duplicates the extensive public hearings conducted by the Department of the Interior over the last 4 years. In addition, the proposed commission excludes the Secretary of Defense, but military installations are among the Federal properties that would be affected by the recommendations of the commission. Furthermore, there is no assurance that the proposed commission would provide a balanced representation of views or proper public participation. Under the provision, the Secretary of the Interior can disapprove the commission's recommendations, preventing their submission to the Congress under "fast-track" procedures in the House and Senate. I believe—and my Administration has stated—that a better approach would be for Interior to submit a legislative proposal to the Congress within 180 days to clarify R.S. 2477 claim issues permanently, with full congressional and public consideration.

The enrolled bill contains an objectionable provision that funds the Commission for the Advancement of Federal Law Enforcement. I agree with the Fraternal Order of Police and other national law enforcement organizations that certain activities of the Commission, such as evaluating the handling of specific investigative cases, could interfere with Federal law enforcement policy and operations. This type of oversight is most properly the role of Congress, not an unelected review board. If external views about law enforcement programs are needed, a better approach would be to fund the National Commission to Support Law Enforcement.

I also object to two other items in the bill. One reduces funding for the Ounce of Prevention Council by roughly one-third. This reduction would substantially diminish the work of the Council in coordinating crime prevention efforts at the Federal level and assisting community efforts to make their neighborhoods safer. The Council is in the process of awarding \$1.8 million for grants to prevent youth substance abuse and of evaluating its ex-

isting grant programs. The Council has received over 300 applications from communities and community-based organizations from all across the country for these grants. In addition, the bill reduces funding for the Department of Defense Dual-Use Applications Program. That program helps to develop technologies used and tested by the cost-conscious commercial sector and to incorporate them into military systems. Reducing funding for this program would result in higher costs for future defense systems. The projects selected in this year's competition will save the Department of Defense an estimated \$3 billion.

Finally, by including extraneous issues in this bill, the Republican leadership has also delayed necessary funding for maintaining military readiness. The Secretary of Defense has written the Congress detailing the potential disruption of military training.

I urge the Congress to remove these extraneous provisions and to send me a straightforward disaster relief bill that I can sign promptly, so that we can help hard-hit American families and businesses as they struggle to rebuild. Americans in need should not have to endure further delay.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, June 9, 1997.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The objections of the President will be spread at large upon the Journal, and the message and bill will be printed as a House document.

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. MCDADE

Mr. MCDADE. Mr. Speaker, I move that the message together with the accompanying bill be referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. McDade] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. MCDADE. Mr. Speaker, by prior agreement with my distinguished friend, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY], I yield 15 minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY], and I yield back 30 minutes of the 1 hour.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MCDADE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the veto message of the President to the bill, H.R. 1469, and that I may include tabular material and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The effort that we knowledge making tonight is an effort to speed to the disaster victims of the country as quickly as we can the assistance which they so direly need. All of us know that there has been a stalemate between the two bodies, between the White House and between the Congress, and this motion which refers this bill back to committee is the beginning of the process,

once again, to pass this bill, hopefully in a way that the President will sign it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes and 30 seconds.

Mr. Speaker, 90 days ago the President sent the Congress an emergency message asking that we appropriate supplemental funds to help flood victims and to help meet the costs of our activities in Bosnia. Last week, instead of responding to that request, the Congress in essence decided to load up that proposal with a series of unrelated riders. One related to roads on public lands, another related to census sampling, and a third created a change in budget rules which would allow Congress to pass appropriations which it prefers but bottle up the passage of the President's budget priorities. That is not the way to establish a bipartisan relationship with the other branch of government.

The President vetoed that proposal. He told us ahead of time he would.

□ 1915

And he has told the Congress to do it right. He said, in essence, do not try to gain political leverage by using the distress of innocent Americans.

Now, I do not hesitate to speak out publicly when I think the President is wrong. I think people on this floor understand that. But the fact is the President is not wrong in this instance. He is absolutely correct.

He recognizes that farmers need this money to get on with their planting. He recognizes that they need it to replace livestock that were killed in the floods. He recognizes that local communities need the community development money in order to plan for their communities' futures. And he recognizes that the Joint Chiefs of Staff have indicated that they will have to stand down in terms of a number of important training exercises and other military activities unless Congress quits fiddling and sends the President the package that he has asked for.

So, very simply, what will happen here tonight is this. At the end of this discussion, when the motion comes to refer this matter to committee, I will ask Members to vote no on the previous question so that, in the event the previous question fails, we can immediately ask unanimous consent to bring up H.R. 1796, which would have the effect of stripping from this proposal the three riders that caused the President to veto the bill and sending a clean bill back to the White House.

It would contain every other provision that was fashioned by the majority in this House except those three political riders. That is all our motion would seek to do.

What we are asking people to do is to recognize that for the people in the affected areas, who we are trying to help with this supplemental, for them, refusal of the Congress to provide needed assistance in a timely fashion is nothing

but a second government shutdown. That is what it represents in those areas.

So I ask my colleagues to end that second government shutdown for those purposes by voting no on this proposal to send it to the committee tonight and get on with doing this week what we should have done last week, which is to pass a clean supplemental appropriation.

Mr. MCDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from South Dakota [Mr. THUNE].

Mr. THUNE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Pennsylvania for yielding me this time, and I say to my colleagues on the floor this evening, "I told you so," because I have been suggesting to Members on both sides for some time now that this is where ultimately we would end up.

We have a bill that has been under consideration for several weeks, and the people in this country, one thing they are not missing is that what is delaying consideration of this bill, what is delaying disaster relief, is politics. I am not sure that everybody understands exactly all the intricacies of the continuing resolution or of the census and what is trying to be accomplished there, but one thing they do know is that this institution, Washington, DC, is playing politics with disaster assistance.

When I was out there this week, and I guess I would urge my other colleagues, because many of them have not seen what I have seen, but when they have looked at the mud-filled basements and seen the disastrous effects the floods and the blizzards have had on the cattle and the livestock industry of my State and the people who are waiting for assistance, when we have said in Washington help is on the way, and we have made a commitment that we are going to deliver, and yet we have failed to do it, what I heard repeatedly this last week was, "Can you in Washington not get it right? You do not seem to get it."

These people want the Republicans and the Democrats and the White House and the Congress to work together in a way that will get a consensus so that we can get this process on the way.

I was on Highway 281, Federal Highway 281 this last week, north of Tulare, SD, just south of Redfield, and there was a gentleman sitting on the center line of Highway 281 fishing for northerns. Highway 281 is completely under water, and with it is the railroad that transports the grain commodities on which our State depends for its economic survival.

We have railroad assistance in this bill. We have several things that are going to be important for agriculture to recover. So I urge this body and our colleagues in the Senate and the White House to get together and to work something out to get this job done.

I believe the message has been sent. Whatever that message was, and it still

eludes me, but the fact of the matter is people are waiting, patience is wearing thin, and temperatures are on the rise all over the country. And I am glad to say not just in South Dakota, I think people elsewhere around the country are getting the message we need to do something. Congress needs to act, the White House needs to act, Republicans and Democrats need to develop a consensus in order to get this done. I hope we will get that process underway tonight.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. FAZIO].

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, we have had an opportunity for 83 days, since the administration sent an urgent disaster relief package to Congress, to work out the details and send it on for Presidential signature so we could really address the overwhelming needs of people in 35 different States around the country, some of whom, as in the upper Midwest, continue to suffer as we speak.

We have played around, we have squabbled over details that, frankly, did not even need to be included in this bill, and we have allowed a number of extraneous matters to become an impediment to getting it signed into law. It is time we bring an end to this charade. The public expects us to deliver on fundamental promises we make people, and that is if we have people suffering in this country, we will all get together to help them address it.

The President has indicated that there are two particular amendments he cannot live with. At the moment, it seems we are dead set on sending them right back to him, prolonging the gridlock, bringing down additional disrespect on this institution. We have an opportunity in a few minutes to offer our support for a clean bill that can be signed within several days that will let us restore public trust in this institution and get about the business of doing what we were elected to do, and that is deal with basic problems.

My district suffered in January. We are concerned that we will not be able to prevent another disaster next winter in northern California because we do not have the funds to go about improving our levee system, bringing it back to a level of protection we thought we had last January. It is unconscionable that we continue to argue about the census or about some automatic mechanism by which we could pass all appropriations bills when we all know what we have to do is stick to the business of appropriating funds for disaster relief.

Mr. Speaker, I hope we will act tonight to support this motion which will be made that will give us an opportunity to pass a clean disaster relief bill.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3½ minutes to the distinguished gentleman from North Dakota [Mr. POMEROY].

Mr. POMEROY. Mr. Speaker, I believe that each and every one of us is

here as a Member of the House of Representatives because the bottom line is we care about people. We believe differently as to how we best help people, but we are here to help people. Let us remember that this bill is about helping people.

Six and a half weeks ago the levees broke on the Red River, inundating Grand Forks and East Grand Forks. This is a photograph that appeared in the newspaper, of a woman being told in the dead of night that she has to get out of her home, leave all her possessions, because the water is about to take everything she knows and holds dear.

The trauma of such an event in such a middle America place like Grand Forks, ND, is beyond my ability to describe to my colleagues, but I was there and, believe me, it was God awful. Now the people are being traumatized by another occurrence, this one not a natural disaster but a Congress-made one.

We need help. It is very clear. It is very clear to any American that has watched the news footage about what we have gone through just how badly we need help. People from around the country have responded in wonderful ways, small ways, like the 7-year-old that dropped off some canned goods so I could send them back to the people I represent; and, large ways, like the woman who gave \$15 million in individual grants of assistance.

But they expect fundamentally their government to respond, and we have been unable to respond, unable to respond because we have played to our worst instincts in this body, putting shallow, crass partisan politics in the middle of an effort to get help to people who need it.

This clipping says it all. It says what so many are saying to me as I go back to Grand Forks every weekend: "You are playing with our lives."

My colleagues have to understand that there are people that are not in homes tonight, there are families that are not together, and they cannot make a fundamental decision about even where they are going to live until we pass this bill.

FEMA does not fund the initial buy-out program that Grand Forks is going to launch. That is funded by the community development block grant funds in this bill. There is not money in the pipeline to help these people on these home buy-out decisions. We have to pass the bill first. And so until we pass the bill, these people are stuck. They are in limbo.

Again and again and again, when one goes back to our districts, we hear about how we are in limbo. I would invite any Member of this body to come with me to Grand Forks. If my colleagues do not believe it, come with me to Grand Forks. We will go tomorrow. If Members do not want to miss votes to do that, we will get on the phone. Come with me to my office. We will call Democrats in Grand Forks, we will

call Republicans in Grand Forks, we will call anyone my colleagues want to in Grand Forks to hear from the people themselves.

Sometimes maybe in our partisan warfare we forget what this is all about, but it is about helping people. And the people in our area are in a state of tremendous need tonight. Do not play with the lives of those we represent. These are Americans, they need our help. This is our Government, they deserve no less.

Let us act now and, for that reason, take precisely the action the gentleman from Wisconsin is suggesting. Do not go to committee. We have had enough of committees. Let us, as a body tonight, strip off the extra provisions and get the aid out of the House.

Mr. MCDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. GEKAS].

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time. Had the President signed this bill, the aid which the gentleman who just appeared in the well wanted to see flow back to his region would have started. We would have had 3 days of moneys out of this bill flowing already into the distressed areas.

So who is playing with whose lives? Could not the President have signed that and understood that to prevent the Government shutdown is another good measure that would have been swept into the mix of providing this relief for the distress of the Middle West?

I have been trying, and everybody knows it, for 10 years now to produce an automatic methodology by which we could prevent Government shutdowns. It has nothing to do with politics. It has nothing to do with trying to get the President to succumb to some political pressure, because I did it when President Bush was President. I did it when President Reagan was President. I did it with a Democrat controlled Congress and a Republican President, and now the reverse, a Republican Congress and a Democrat President.

It merely says that, if we fail as a Congress, which we have done 50-some times in the last 10 years, to come to an agreement on a budget within the budget deadline, that automatically, the next day, last year's appropriations would go into being until the full budget can be completed.

The President in his veto message says, "While the goal of preventing a Government shutdown is a worthy one". That is his language, "is a worthy one"; he proceeds to veto a vehicle that would provide for a method to prevent Government shutdown.

□ 1950

That is politics. That is game playing. He says, on the one hand, it is bad to shut the Government down. Then when the Government was shut down, he blamed the Republicans. Now the Republicans fashion a bill that would prevent the Government shutdown, and he vetoes it, saying we want to see the

possibility of a shutdown occur again. That is politics.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1¼ minutes.

Mr. Speaker, that is precisely the same line of argument we heard from the majority party last year when they announced ahead of time that they were going to shut down the Government in order to leverage the President to swallow things that he did not feel he ought to swallow. And then after he stood up for principle, then they said, see, you caused the problem, you caused the problem, after they told the country for 3 months ahead of time they were going to shut the Government down.

What my colleagues have to recognize on that side of the aisle is that for the people in the areas affected by these floods, their refusal to let this legislation go to the White House in shape that can be signed is tantamount to a second Government shutdown. Now it is time that they put their own subjective judgments second to the needs of the people in the affected areas and deliver the aid that they have a right to expect.

Government is either going to be on their side or it is going to be against them. In this case, unless we let this legislation go, they have a perfect right to conclude that Government is against them, and that is not where it ought to be tonight.

Mr. MCDADE. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the distinguished minority whip, the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. BONIOR].

Mr. BONIOR. The gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] is absolutely right, Mr. Speaker. For millions of people across this country, this amounts to another Government shutdown. It amounts to the Government turning their back on them, not being there for them when they need the help.

Week after week, we have urged our Republican colleagues to pass a disaster relief bill that would rush help to families struggling to recover from the worst floods to hit the northern plains in 500 years. Disaster relief, emergency relief, nothing more, nothing less, disaster relief; this is help that people desperately need. As the gentlemen from South Dakota and North Dakota so eloquently said this evening, they need to rebuild their homes, to reopen their businesses, to replant their fields, to resuscitate their economy.

And what did my Republican colleagues do? Ignoring President Clinton's promised veto, they loaded up the disaster bill with extraneous provisions, provisions that had nothing whatsoever to do with flood relief, provisions aimed at undermining the accuracy of the U.S. census in the year 2000.

People need help now. We are arguing about a problem in the year 2000. It took the President all of 19 minutes to veto the bill. Now we are back where we were 2 weeks ago. Meanwhile, flood

victims are still waiting. They have waited for 83 days. They waited while Congress went on vacation. They waited all weekend. And they are still waiting. They are waiting for some sign of hope. They are waiting without their homes, in trailers. They are waiting without jobs. They are waiting without the ability to work in their fields. They are waiting without their businesses.

I stand ready with my Democratic colleagues to pass a disaster relief bill that just does that, it provides disaster relief to working people who are struggling to get on with their lives and provide it today, now, in a few minutes. Disaster relief. Nothing more. Nothing less. No census formulas. No Government shutdown clauses. Disaster relief.

It is not complicated. It should not be controversial. Enough is enough. The flood victims have run out of patience. Let us vote on disaster relief and do it now. Nothing more. Nothing less. Stay with the proposal that the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] will be offering on the previous question to vote it down to bring a clean bill to the floor. Stay with the gentleman from South Dakota [Mr. THUNE], who got up here and gave an eloquent statement about the misery of the people that he represents. Stay with your colleague, who wants a clean bill. My colleagues would want no less if they were in his shoes.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I shall speak for just a few seconds, Mr. Speaker.

The one way to begin to bring relief tonight to the people who are affected in this disaster is to vote to send this back to committee so the process can be rejuvenated and worked out. If my colleagues vote for the previous question, Mr. Speaker, it creates chaos in this body. I urge my colleagues to assist the people in our country who are crying out for relief in the disaster by voting to send this bill to committee.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the motion to refer.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5 of rule XV, the Chair will reduce to a minimum of 5 minutes the period of time within which a vote by electronic device, if ordered, will be taken on the question of the motion to refer.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 205, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 177]

YEAS—216

Aderholt
Archer
Arney
Bachus
Baker
Ballenger
Barr
Barrett (NE)
Bartlett
Barton
Bass
Bateman
Bereuter
Billbray
Bilirakis
Bliley
Blunt
Boehlert
Boehner
Bonilla
Bono
Brady
Bryant
Bunning
Burr
Burton
Buyer
Callahan
Calvert
Camp
Campbell
Canady
Cannon
Castle
Chabot
Chambliss
Chenoweth
Christensen
Coble
Coburn
Collins
Combest
Cook
Cooksey
Cox
Crane
Crapo
Cubin
Cunningham
Davis (VA)
Deal
DeLay
Diaz-Balart
Dickey
Doolittle
Dreier
Duncan
Dunn
Ehlers
Ehrlich
English
Ensign
Everett
Ewing
Foley
Forbes
Fowler
Fox
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen
Gallegly
Ganske

Gekas
Gibbons
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gilman
Goodlatte
Goodling
Goss
Graham
Granger
Greenwood
Gutknecht
Hansen
Hastert
Hastings (WA)
Hayworth
Hefley
Herger
Hill
Hilleary
Hobson
Hoekstra
Horn
Hostettler
Houghton
Hulshof
Hunter
Hutchinson
Hyde
Inglis
Istook
Jenkins
Johnson (CT)
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Kasich
Kelly
Kim
King (NY)
Kingston
Klug
Knollenberg
Kolbe
LaHood
Largent
Latham
LaTourette
Lazio
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (KY)
Linder
Livingston
LoBiondo
Lucas
Manzullo
McCollum
McCrery
McDade
McHugh
McInnis
McIntosh
McKeon
Mica
Miller (FL)
Moran (KS)
Morella
Myrick
Nethercutt
Neumann
Ney
Northup
Norwood

NAYS—205

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Allen
Andrews
Baesler
Baldacci
Barrett (WI)
Bentsen
Berman
Berry
Bishop
Blagojevich
Blumenauer
Bonior
Borski
Boswell
Boyd
Brown (CA)
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Capps
Cardin

Carson
Clay
Clayton
Clement
Clyburn
Condit
Conyers
Costello
Coyne
Cramer
Cummings
Danner
Davis (FL)
Davis (IL)
DeFazio
DeGette
Delahunt
DeLauro
Dellums
Deutsch
Dicks
Dingell

Green
Gutierrez
Hall (OH)
Hall (TX)
Hamilton
Harman
Hastings (FL)
Hefner
Hilliard
Hinchey
Hinojosa
Holden
Hooley
Hoyer
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee
(TX)
Jefferson
John
Johnson (WI)
Johnson, E. B.
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kennedy (MA)
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Kilpatrick
Kind (WI)
Owens
Klecza
Klink
Kucinich
LaFalce
Lampson
Lantos
Leach
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lipinski
Lofgren
Lowey
Luther
Maloney (CT)
Maloney (NY)
Manton
Markey
Martinez

Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy (MO)
McCarthy (NY)
McDermott
McGovern
McHale
McIntyre
McKinney
McNulty
Meehan
Meek
Menendez
Millender-
McDonald
Miller (CA)
Minge
Mink
Moakley
Mollohan
Moran (VA)
Murtha
Nadler
Neal
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Owens
Pallone
Pascrell
Pastor
Payne
Pelosi
Peterson (MN)
Pickett
Pomeroy
Poshard
Price (NC)
Rahall
Ramstad
Rangel
Reyes
Rivers
Rodriguez
Roemer
Rothman

Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sabo
Sanchez
Sanders
Sandlin
Sawyer
Scott
Serrano
Sherman
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith, Adam
Snyder
Spratt
Stabenow
Stark
Stenholm
Stokes
Strickland
Stupak
Tanner
Tauscher
Taylor (MS)
Thompson
Thune
Thurman
Tierney
Torres
Towns
Traficant
Turner
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Waters
Watt (NC)
Waxman
Wexler
Weygand
Wise
Woolsey
Wynn
Yates

NOT VOTING—13

Barcia
Becerra
Boucher
Farr
Fattah

Fawell
Flake
Metcalf
Molinari
Packard

Schiff
Schumer
Tauzin

□ 1956

Messrs. MARTINEZ, HALL of Texas, and McDERMOTT changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. BILBRAY changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore [Mr. PEASE]. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. McDADE].

The motion was agreed to. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, June 9, 1997.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 5 of Rule III of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives. I have the honor to transmit a sealed envelope received from the White House on June 9,