

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, this debate about the tax bill is about who benefits. My Republican colleagues once again are trying to pass a tax bill that benefits the wealthiest of Americans and forgets about average middle-class families. And once again, only people who work and pay taxes are eligible for a tax cut. Do not let them distort the facts.

I will tell my colleagues that 61 percent of the people in this country are not buying their distortions because they believe that the Republican Congress is out of touch with the American people. Do not take my word for it. Newsweek magazine, an article by Jonathan Alter, said the following: A new CNN/USA Today poll shows 61 percent believing the GOP Congress is out of touch. And that is before middle-class voters even learn that the GOP wants to give a chunk of their tax cut to Donald Trump.

Donald Trump, one of the richest men in the world. They would provide a tax cut for the richest corporations in this country, yielding some of those folks a zero tax break.

Class warfare? Yes, indeed, Mr. Speaker, the Republican Party, the Republican majority in this House has declared war on middle-class America. Let us not let them get away with it.

CLASS WARFARE

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, class warfare is exactly what it is, and they are engaging in it. It seems to me that as we listen to this vitriolic attack on the capital gains tax cut, which God forbid Donald Trump might benefit from, let us look at who really benefits from reducing that top rate on capital gains.

Over a 7-year period, the average family of four would see an increase in their take-home pay of \$1,500 per year. We continue to hear talk about how \$1,500 is going to be cut from the average family with this package. Baloney. We need to realize that a capital gains tax cut is what the American people need to help those who want to emerge from middle-class status and frankly become wealthier. So they are the ones who are trying to engage in this us-versus-them argument. We are the ones who recognize that we are all in this together; because the fact of the matter is, Paul Tsongas was absolutely right when he described his political party and said, you know, the Democrats unfortunately love employees but they hate employers. We are all in this together, Mr. Speaker. Let us support the Republican tax plan.

QUINCY LIBRARY GROUP FOREST RECOVERY AND ECONOMIC STABILITY ACT OF 1997

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call

up House Resolution 180 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 180

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 858) to direct the Secretary of Agriculture to conduct a pilot project on designated lands within Plumas, Lassen, and Tahoe National Forests in the State of California to demonstrate the effectiveness of the resource management activities proposed by the Quincy Library Group and to amend current land and resource management plans for these national forests to consider the incorporation of these resource management activities. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Resources. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. In lieu of the amendment recommended by the Committee on Resources now printed in the bill, it shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute printed in the Congressional Record and numbered 1 pursuant to clause 6 of rule XXIII. That amendment shall be considered as read. Points of order against that amendment for failure to comply with clause 7 of rule XVI or clause 5(a) of rule XXI are waived. No amendment to that amendment shall be in order except an amendment printed in the Congressional Record pursuant to clause 6 of rule XXIII, which may be offered only by Representative Miller of California or his designee, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to amendment. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MILLER of Florida). The gentleman from California [Mr. DREIER] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to my very good friend, the gentleman from Dayton, OH [Mr. HALL], and, pending that, I yield myself such time as I may consume. All time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks and to include extraneous material.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, this rule makes in order H.R. 858, the Quincy Library Group Forest Recovery and Economic Stability Act of 1997 under a modified closed rule. While I share the

sentiments of the minority that bills of this nature should be considered under an open amendment process, I believe a modified closed rule in this instance is appropriate and justified.

The Quincy Library Group is a 41-member coalition of local environmental organizations, the timber industry and local officials that met in Quincy, CA. In 1993, the group developed an innovative consensus-based pilot program to permit local management of 2.5 million acres of three national forests in California. It is a responsible plan that emphasizes local cooperation and balances environmental protection with local economic needs.

H.R. 858 is intended to end the 4-year stalemate over the implementation of environmentally sound management practices for the Plumas, Lassen, and Tahoe National Forests that are aimed at preventing wildfires that are a serious threat to life and property.

The Committee on Resources has been negotiating for 8 weeks with environmental groups, the Clinton administration and even our California colleagues over in the Senate to address their substantive concerns.

The amendment in the nature of a substitute that is made in order by the rule addresses all of their concerns except the concern over local control, which is the primary purpose of this bill. In particular, the substitute amendment specifically states that the pilot project is subject to all existing environmental laws and reviews. Let me underscore that again, Mr. Speaker. The pilot project is subject to all existing Federal environmental laws and reviews.

The amendment in the nature of a substitute accurately reflects the plan that was painstakingly negotiated by this 41-member coalition. There is a legitimate concern that efforts to substantively revise that plan could cause that coalition to unravel.

The Quincy Library Group bill has bipartisan support. To strengthen that support, the rule affords the respected ranking minority member of the Committee on Resources, my colleague, the gentleman from California [Mr. MILLER], to offer a germane amendment to further address additional concerns that, in the unlikely event, may be overlooked in the substitute amendment.

The rule, Mr. Speaker, ensures ample debate by providing 1 hour of debate on the Miller amendment in addition to the 1 hour of general debate. So Mr. Speaker, this is a responsible rule that will ensure the integrity of the Quincy Library Group while allowing for an innovative and responsible forest management plan, a pilot plan to be developed by local consensus so that we can move forward.

For these reasons, Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the rule and of the bill itself.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.