

Accordingly the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS) having assumed the chair, Mr. LINDER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2160) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 7, 1997, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

TAX RELIEF FOR MIDDLE CLASS FAMILIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. KINGSTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I wanted to talk to my colleagues tonight about taxes. I think it is very, very important to understand why the working middle class families of America need tax relief. Here is a chart that I hope everyone can see that shows in the 1950's the average American family, of average income, paid about 6 percent Federal income tax. In 1994, it was 23 percent Federal tax burden. Today, the Federal tax burden, 1995, is 39 percent. As my colleagues can see, the working middle class families are paying higher taxes than ever before in history.

We need tax relief. Because the less taxes people pay, the less taxes families pay, the more time they can spend with each other. One of the key benefits of that is so that moms and dads can spend time with their children and impart information and help raise them.

□ 2115

Now what does our tax relief bill do? Our tax relief bill gives 76 percent of the tax relief to middle-income families making between 20 and \$75,000. That is this big chunk right here. That is who is getting the tax relief. That is who needs tax relief. I think that we should get over class envy, but it is very important to point out that most of the tax relief, 76 percent, goes to people earning or families earning between 20 and \$75,000.

Now over a 10-year period of time, if you look at the tax relief, you can see that 90 percent of the tax relief goes to family and education or families for educational purposes. Seventy-five billion dollars in tax relief for educational uses over a 10-year period, and \$150 billion over 10 years for the \$500 per child tax credit; that is a huge tax reduction, and it all goes for the right purposes.

Now we got a big debate going on that you may hear about, about the tax bill, and that is why I invite Members of Congress and members of the public to look this up on the Internet. Find out what the family tax relief plan could mean to your family.

I am going to say what the Internet number is. It is <http://hillsourse.house.gov>, and there is also a Senate page that you can get too, but today you can look up on the web page exactly what this tax relief bill could mean to you for your \$500 per child tax credit, HOPE scholarship, for your children to enter an education, your IRA dream savings account expansion.

There is a lot to it, and I would urge members of the public to look it up on the Internet.

And, Mr. Speaker, I will be happy to yield to my friend from Pennsylvania.

Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania. I understand the gentleman yields.

Mr. KINGSTON. Yes.

Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I think the fact is that this has widespread support among the public and also Congress. Was this not the agreement that the President has made with Congress to move forward with this family tax plan?

Mr. KINGSTON. Yes, and it is very interesting that the gentleman will point out that the President is working with the Republican Party on a bipartisan basis to give this middle class tax relief.

There are Members, liberal extremists, on one fringe element of his party who is against tax relief for the middle class, but for the most part this is a bipartisan middle class tax relief bill.

I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania. Not only will it help seniors with the estate tax assistance and also helps with the capital gains tax to grow jobs and the economy, but the education tax credits will help families send students to college.

I know my own district, 108,000 families will benefit from the \$500 per child tax credit.

So this is an idea whose time has arrived.

Mr. KINGSTON. Absolutely, and I know in my First District that I represent of Georgia it will give tax relief, we figure, to about 300,000 people in the coastal Georgia area alone.

But you know the more money you have as a wage earner, the more money you have in your pocket, because we as a confiscatory government take less of it, that means you are going to spend more. You are going to buy more shoes, more shirts, more records, you are going to go out to eat more. When you do, businesses will expand because of the demand. When they expand, they create more jobs. When they create more jobs, more people are working, more people are paying taxes, fewer people are on welfare, and cutting taxes, therefore, is very consistent with the goal of deficit reduction.

Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania. You are absolutely right.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Guam [Mr. UNDERWOOD] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UNDERWOOD addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

REPUBLICANS ON THE SIDE OF THE WEALTHY WHILE DEMOCRATS ARE FIGHTING FOR MIDDLE-CLASS FAMILIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Connecticut [Ms. DELAURO] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, yesterday Speaker Gingrich stood in the well of this House and he invited, as my colleagues just did, the American people to visit the House Republican web site, calculate their estimated tax savings under the Republican plan. So I thought let us see how the average working taxpaying mother with two kids would fare under the Republican plan.

So I entered in an income of approximately \$25,000. I received an error message saying that they could not calculate her savings. Perhaps that is because this family would get a big fat zero, no tax break at all under the Republican tax plan.

Then I entered in the data for someone making \$1 million a year, half of that in capital gains. The Republican calculator had no problem figuring out their tax break, \$40,000.

That is right, a millionaire gets \$40,000 back, and the average working taxpaying mother gets nothing, gets zero.

The Washington Post editorial this morning hit it right on the nose, and I quote: "The Republicans have written a tax bill tilted heavily toward the better off."

If anything, this was an understatement. The Post labels their editorial, and I quote again, "Tax Trash," which perfectly describes the Republican tax bill. In fact, there are so many bad things in this bill it is hard to know where to begin.

But let me tell you the story of three young people which drives home the point of how unfair this Republican tax proposal really is.

Today I received a visit from three students: Anthony Dugdale, Scott Saul and Lori Brooks. They are all graduate students at Yale University in my hometown of New Haven. These young people took the train all night from Connecticut for the express purpose of protesting the fact that in this bill the Republicans actually raised taxes on graduate students in this country, and they brought with them the signatures of 600 other graduate students protesting this provision in the Republican tax plan.