

GSA: B This is a big improvement from its "D" grade last year. It's based on the percentage of renovation, testing and implementation completed.

NSF: B Based on renovation and testing completed. An increase from last year's "C."

SBA: B It went from "A" to a "B" based on its percentage of renovation, testing and implementation.

HHS: B minus It moved up from a "D" based on its renovation percentage. [GAO has more information in its summary]

EPA: C It missed the assessment deadline, but moved up from a "D" last year due to the percentage of renovation and testing completed.

FEMA: C Missed assessment deadline, has shown weakness in the renovation percentage. It improved from an "F" last year.

HUD: C It is lacking in both renovation and testing percentages.

INTERIOR: C It improved from a "D" based on renovation reported, however, it has conducted no testing.

LABOR: C It improved from an "F" but is lacking in renovation and testing.

STATE: C Its grade was reduced from a "B" due to its poor renovation and testing percents.

VETERANS: C Improved from its "D" grade, the agency has not completed its assessment.

DEFENSE: C minus DOD has half of the Federal Government's computer systems, and has not completed the assessment phase. [GAO summary provides greater detail] Last year "C."

COMMERCE: D Failed to complete assessment, poor renovation and testing percentages. Last year it received the same grade.

ENERGY: D Failed to complete assessment, poor renovation and testing percentages. It received an "F" last year. [GAO has more information in its summary]

JUSTICE: D Very poor renovation and testing percentages. Same grade last year.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY: D It dropped from a "B" due to zero renovation and testing.

OPM: D One of the biggest declines in grades ("A" last year) due to poor renovation and no testing.

AGRICULTURE: D minus Failed to complete assessment, poor renovation and testing percentages.

NASA: D minus Has not completed its assessment and has poor renovation and testing percentages.

TREASURY: D minus Failed to complete its assessment and has poor renovation and testing percentages. [See GAO's summary for additional information]

AID: F The most dramatic drop, (it received an "A" last year) is because the new system they adopted has Year 2000 problems despite statements made last year by AID that the new system would be Year 2000 complaint.

TRANSPORTATION: F For the second year in a row, it receives an F. This is due to its failure to complete its assessment, with no renovation, testing or implementation. [GAO has more information in its summary]

EDUCATION: F Dropped from a "B" due to its failing to complete its assessment and conducting no renovation, testing, or implementation.

YEAR 2000 PROGRESS FOR MISSION CRITICAL SYSTEMS OF FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES—Continued

Assessment completed Yes/No	In percent		Any implementation Yes/No	Grade	
	Renovation completed	Testing completed			
GSA (General Services Administration)	Yes	35	26	Yes	B
NSF (National Science Foundation)	Yes	33	25	No	B
SBA (Small Business Administration)	Yes	35	35	Yes	B
HHS (Department of Health and Human Services)	Yes	28	10	Yes	B-
EPA (Environmental Protection Agency)	No	33	28	Yes	C
FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency)	No	35	35	Yes	C
HUD (Department of Housing and Urban Development)	Yes	9	2	Yes	C
Interior (Department of the Interior)	Yes	43	0	No	C
Labor (Department of Labor)	Yes	15	11	Yes	C
State (Department of State)	Yes	25	0	No	C
VA (Department of Veterans Affairs)	No	51	28	Yes	C
DOD (Department of Defense)	No	40	34	Yes	C-
Commerce (Department of Commerce)	No	15	6	Yes	D
DOE (Department of Energy)	No	10	10	Yes	D
Justice (Department of Justice)	Yes	1	1	No	D
NRC (Nuclear Regulatory Commission)	Yes	0	0	No	D
OPM (Office of Personnel Management)	Yes	3	0	No	D
Agriculture (Department of Agriculture)	No	8	4	Yes	D-
NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration)	No	8	7	Yes	D-
Treasury (Department of the Treasury)	No	6	5	Yes	D-
AID (Agency for International Development)	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	F
DOT (Department of Transportation)	No	0	0	No	F
Education (Department of Education)	No	0	0	No	F

Notes: The grades are based on percentages reported by departments and agencies for four categories: Assessment, Renovation, Testing, and Implementation. The departments and agencies are responsible for the accuracy and consistency of percentages reported.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. UNDERWOOD) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. JONES, for 5 minutes each day, on September 16, 17, and 18.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART, for 5 minutes, on September 16.

Mr. UNDERWOOD, for 5 minutes, today.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. UNDERWOOD) and to include extraneous matter:)

- Mr. GORDON.
- Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas.
- Mr. CRAPO.
- Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. HORN) and to include extraneous matter:)

- Mr. PETRI.
- Mr. SANDERS.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. HORN. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 36 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, September 16, 1997, at 10:30 a.m. for morning hour debates.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

U.S. CONGRESS, OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE, Washington, DC, September 5, 1997.

Honorable NEWT GINGRICH, Speaker of the House, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to Section 304 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995, 2 U.S.C. §1384(b)(1), (e), I am transmitting on behalf of the Board of Directors the enclosed notice of proposed rulemaking (proposing amendments to regulations previously adopted by the Board) for publication in the Congressional Record.

The Congressional Accountability Act specifies that the enclosed notice be published on the first day on which both Houses are in session following this transmittal.

Sincerely yours,

GLEN D. NAGER, Chair of the Board.

Enclosure.

OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE

The Congressional Accountability Act of 1995: Extension of Rights and Protections Under the Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1988, the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, and the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

Summary: The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Office of Compliance is publishing proposed amendments to its regulations implementing sections 204, 205, and 215 of the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995 ("CAA" or the "Act"), 2 U.S.C. §§1314, 1315, 1341. The CAA applies the rights and protections of eleven labor and employment and public access laws to covered employees and employing offices within the Legislative Branch. Section 204 applies rights and protections of the Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1988 ("EPPA"), section 205 applies rights and protections of the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN Act"), and section 215 applies rights and protections of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 ("OSHAct"). These sections of the CAA will go into effect with respect to the General Accounting Office ("GAO") and the Library of Congress (the "Library") on December 30, 1997, and this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") proposes to amend the Board's regulations implementing these sections to extend the coverage of the regulations to include GAO and the Library. Several typographical and other minor corrections and changes are also being made to the regulations being amended.

The regulations under section 204, 205, and 215 were adopted in three virtually identical versions, one that applies to the Senate and employees of the Senate, one that applies to the House of Representatives and employees of the House, and one that applies to other covered employees and employing offices. This NPRM proposes that identical amendments be made to the three versions of the regulations. The proposal to amend the regulations that apply to the Senate and its employees is the recommendation of the Office

YEAR 2000 PROGRESS FOR MISSION CRITICAL SYSTEMS OF FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

Assessment completed Yes/No	In percent		Any implementation Yes/No	Grade	
	Renovation completed	Testing completed			
SSA (Social Security Administration)	Yes	78	67	Yes	A-