

that they have helped bring into this world. I think it sets the priorities right, and this offers a mechanism by which this money can be made available for the support of the children.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Let me reiterate, the intent and purpose of the Shaw amendment is of the highest import, because we have attempted in different ways to parallel that intent in language that we have already incorporated either in the basic bill or in amendments to that bill.

All of us are interested in making certain of the priority, highest priority for support payments. I still have reservations about the workability of the amendment that the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW) has offered, but he has now created new language which may make it more acceptable.

I will continue to monitor it between now and the time of conference and work with the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW) for even more perfect language, for the perfection that he has already accomplished, and still reserve the right to work against it if I think it hurts the overall concept of the bill.

In other words, I do not know where I am on the gentleman's amendment.

Mr. SHAW. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GEKAS. I yield to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. SHAW. Mr. Chairman, I can appreciate the gentleman's position at this late date, coming in, particularly, with the new language. But I thank him for his consideration of this new language, and I thank him for holding fire at this particular time. And also I would like to thank the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER). I think this is a very, very good addition to the bill that is on the floor.

□ 1600

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW).

The amendment as modified was agreed to.

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SHAW) having assumed the chair, Mr. MILLER of Florida, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 3150) to amend title 11 of the United States Code, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

PERMISSION FOR MEMBER TO OFFER AMENDMENT OUT OF ORDER DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3150, BANKRUPTCY REFORM ACT OF 1998

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, during further

consideration of the bill, H.R. 3150, pursuant to House Resolution 462, that the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. DELAHUNT) or his designee may be permitted to offer the amendment numbered 3 in House Report 105-573 out of the specified order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

BANKRUPTCY REFORM ACT OF 1998

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 462 and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 3150.

□ 1601

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 3150) to amend title 11 of the United States Code, and for other purposes, with Mr. MILLER of Florida in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, amendment number 6 printed in House Report 105-573 had been disposed of.

Pursuant to the previous order of the House, it is now in order to consider amendment number 3 printed in House Report 105-573.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. DELAHUNT

Mr. DELAHUNT. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Mr. DELAHUNT:

Page 25, after line 6, insert the following (and make such technical and conforming changes as may be appropriate):

SEC. 105. AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE FEES PAYABLE FOR COSTS INCURRED TO ADMINISTER THE AMENDMENTS MADE BY SECTIONS 101 AND 102.

Section 1930(b) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) The Judicial Conference of the United States may prescribe additional fees that are both—

"(A) payable from disbursements to unsecured, nonpriority creditors in cases under chapter 13 of title 11; and

"(B) based on the estimated increased costs incurred in cases under chapters 7 and 13 of title 11 of the United States Code, by the Government to carry out the amendments made by title I and subtitle A of IV of the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1998."

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to House Resolution 462, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. DELAHUNT) and the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. GEKAS) each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. DELAHUNT).

Mr. DELAHUNT. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, let me begin by acknowledging the courtesy extended to me by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. GEKAS), the chair of the Subcommittee on Commercial and Administrative Law of the Committee on the Judiciary. I appreciate that and acknowledge that. I was misinformed. I thought that it was listed on today's report that it was to be last, but I am glad that I am not last, I am glad that I am here, and I appreciate his courtesy.

Mr. Chairman, this amendment is about credit cards. This is because, in many respects, the entire bill is about credit cards. Credit cards are the reason many people are in bankruptcy today, and credit cards are the reason we are here today.

We all know there are some individuals who abuse the bankruptcy system. And those who let their financial affairs get out of control should take responsibility for the consequences of their action.

But responsibility is a two-way street. I find it extraordinary that people who solicit relentlessly and indiscriminately, without hardly any limitations on their lending practices, should pontificate about the need for personal responsibility.

Few of us are sympathetic to that argument when we hear it from the tobacco companies or when we hear it from the liquor industry or from gambling interests, so why should the credit card industry get away with this sort of hypocrisy?

My amendment would require the credit card companies to assume their fair share of responsibility for the situation they have done so much to create. It would authorize the Judicial Conference of the United States to use a portion of the money paid to credit card companies and other unsecured creditors in Chapter 13 cases to pay for the additional costs of administering the new debt collection system the bill would create.

That is, after all, what this bill is about. It could be said that it deputizes Federal bankruptcy judges as collection agents for Visa and MasterCard. I do not think and submit that it is not unreasonable for the public to ask how this new service will be paid for.

It is not as though, in all likelihood, the public will actually see any of the proceeds. Despite the industry-funded advertising blitz and propaganda about the money that it will save every man, woman and child in America, there is absolutely no reason to believe that these companies will pass on any benefit to consumers in the form of lower interest rates. That is something that they have never done historically. As other interest rates have come down considerably, credit card interest rates have continued to either stagnate or