

The siting of a disproportionate number of New York City's waste transfer and waste processing facilities in the Hunts Point area of my South Bronx congressional district, and the related particulate-spewing diesel truck traffic, have led to disproportionate levels of asthma and other respiratory illnesses among my Hunts Point constituents, especially the children. Without attention to environmental justice, the more disenfranchised a community is, the likelier it is to find itself the depository for more powerful people's waste.

TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE
LOUIS STOKES

SPEECH OF

HON. JERRY LEWIS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, July 23, 1998

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I insert the following for the RECORD:

I want to add my voice to the tributes offered by the Congressional colleagues of the Honorable LOUIS STOKES. When I first came to Washington, nearly six years ago, as the Assistant Secretary of Community Planning and Development at the Department of Housing and Urban Development, LOUIS STOKES was Mr. Chairman. He was the Chairman of the Subcommittee that controlled the purse strings for all the creative ideas that a new Administration wanted to implement—an unprecedented increase in funding for the homeless, funding for partnerships between the Federal Government and not for profit organizations to build and rehabilitate affordable housing, a new economic development grant program. And he agreed with those initiatives and helped restore the Department as the agency that is dedicated to assisting the most vulnerable among us and to revitalizing our cities and towns.

Now as ranking member of that same Subcommittee, he continues to help this Administration and me as Secretary of the Department. He has been with me every step of the way as we have "reinvented" HUD and I counted on his advice and counsel. Now that we are beginning to see the results of that reinvention, he has fought to give the Department the resources it needs to create jobs and economic opportunity to meet the challenges of the global economy and the demands of American cities. He has fought steadfastly to expand and preserve housing opportunities for renters in public and assisted housing, for homebuyers, and for the homeless. He has fought unabashedly to end the scourge of housing discrimination. He has taken on all these battles even in the face of terribly tight budget strictures.

Perhaps it was growing up in public housing, but, whatever the reason, Congressman Stokes sought to serve on the two appropriations subcommittees that reach those most in need—VA, HUD and Independent Agencies and the Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, & Related Agencies. And serve those in need, he has. He is a man who cares deeply about the programs of this Department and the people they impact.

So I want to pay tribute to him and to say how deeply I appreciate his long, hard work. I will miss him and the people who rely upon HUD's programs will miss him.

IN TRIBUTE

SPEECH OF

HON. ROBERT A. UNDERWOOD

OF GUAM

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 28, 1998

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, upon my return from my home district of Guam yesterday, I had the privilege to pay respects to slain Officers Jacob J. Chestnut and John Gibson. As Members of Congress join the nation in mourning the passing of these two gentlemen who paid the supreme sacrifice for our safety and protection, I could not help but reflect upon my constituents from Guam, people who, like me, have to overcome the rigors of traveling several thousand miles in order to experience, to participate, or maybe even just to catch a glimpse of their government at work.

As with everyone, the highlight of my constituents' Washington, D.C. trip is a visit to Members' offices and a tour of the Capitol. Times like these remind us of the valuable service provided by police officers stationed at different posts within the Capitol complex ensuring the safety of constituents who travel the many miles in order to visit members who represent them in this body.

Speaking not only for myself but for the people of Guam, I wish to express appreciation to the Capitol Hill Police Force who, by the loss of Officers Gibson and Chestnut, demonstrated their willingness to lay down their lives for the safety and protection of Members of Congress and our constituents. As quoted from the Book of John, "Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends." John Gibson and J.J. Chestnut gave their lives so that others may live.

Roman Benavente, a retired Capitol Police officer—a native son of Guam who has chosen to reside in the State of Maryland, has called together members of the Guam Society of America to honor the slain officers in a Memorial Mass to be celebrated this Friday at St. Ignatius Catholic Church in Oxon Hill, Maryland. I hope that my colleagues would be able to join Guam residents in the area for this memorial service.

The sacrifice of Officers Gibson and Chestnut will never be forgotten. On behalf of the people of Guam, I extend sincerest thanks to Officer Chestnut and Officer Gibson for their sacrifice. To the families and loved ones of these two American heroes, we offer our most heartfelt sympathies.

FUNDING FOR THE
INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION

HON. TIM ROEMER

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 29, 1998

Mr. ROEMER. Mr. Speaker, this year, to my disappointment, the House of Representatives voted to continue funding the International Space Station. The amendment I introduced with Representative CAMP to cancel the space station program would have ended the single largest wasteful government program in history.

Today, I am proud and pleased to have introduced my amendment to the VA-HUD appropriations bill for fiscal year 1999 that was supported by 109 Representatives. I strongly believe that my amendment reflects the best interests of the United States, the taxpayers and certainly of NASA and the American space program.

Like most of my colleagues, I am a strong supporter of the American space program. However, I find it sad to see that productive and more worthwhile space programs are being shut down so that larger and larger amounts of NASA funding are claimed by a space station program that has already cost almost \$20 billion with no hardware in space to show for it.

In 1993, upon NASA's final redesign of the space station, we were told that the program would cost no more than \$17.4 billion and that our partnership with the Russian Government would save American taxpayers around \$2 billion. This was still a huge increase over the Reagan Administration's initial plan to build the station for \$8 billion and complete it by 1994. Now NASA has accepted the findings of the Cost Assessment and Validation Task Force, also known as the independent Chabrow committee, which concluded the program will cost \$24 billion and an additional \$130 million to \$250 million for each month that the station assembly is delayed. And it will be delayed—probably by at least two years.

Also, Mr. Speaker, GAO now tells us that the program will cost more than \$100 billion. This does not include additional costs associated with Russia's potential withdrawal from the partnership or the costs of upgrading the station's defense system to protect it against meteorites and orbital debris. Nor does the \$100 billion pricetag include disassembly costs, which GAO says could be "prohibitively expensive" and could exceed \$5 billion. These "unforeseen" funding contingencies are indeed shocking and clearly jeopardize the future and integrity of the entire U.S. space program.

The magnitude of these dramatic cost overruns and assembly delays are unacceptable and sure to result in the cannibalization of the so-called "smaller, better, faster, cheaper" space missions. If we do not move to cancel the space station now, then these smaller, but important, missions will most likely never share the tremendous success of projects like the Hubble Space Telescope and the Mars Sojourner Pathfinder. This is a shame, and a disappointment to the entire scientific community.

While the Russians remain competent in repeating missions that have been flown for three decades, they have been unable to fund development of reliable new technologies or to deliver critical component parts such as the Service Module. Everything that worked on Mir involves 20-year-old technologies. A year ago, when a fundamentally new space docking procedure was attempted, the result was a collision that punched a hole in the space station, crippling it and almost killing the crew. Other new Russian space vehicles such as the Mars probe and its plutonium batteries have also failed. This does not bode well for the space station.

The Russians have repeatedly promised to develop a series of new and improved space vehicles to help assemble the space station.

However, over the past several years, Russia's work on the components has fallen far behind schedule, causing significant delays and cost overruns which have spilled over into NASA's share of the work. Russia's Finance Ministry has repeatedly misled NASA and the American people, and we should not tolerate this continued foot-dragging. As I have said over the past six years, NASA's dependence on Russian participation in the space station will cripple other, more worthwhile U.S. space programs, and this will most likely continue to result in more assembly delays and cost overruns.

When the Administration approved the space station redesign in 1993, NASA promised the taxpayers that no more than \$2.1 billion would be spent each year for the program. At that time, it was estimated that Russia's inclusion as a partner would reduce costs by \$1.6 billion. Nevertheless, NASA has told us that the cap should be broken, despite Russia's repeated promises that the money and the critical hardware components like the Service Module would be delivered.

Far too many questions remain unanswered. NASA has yet to determine or release any cost figures for the program reflecting the likely scenario that Russia will drop out of the partnership, but continues to offer robust assurances that it will save money. While I support efforts to engage our former adversaries, and sharing our knowledge of important scientific issues, I do not believe it is prudent to perpetuate a back-door foreign aid project that makes Russia look more like an international welfare recipient than the major partner in the single largest construction project in the history of mankind.

While space station cost overruns to date are currently estimated at \$800 million, NASA has cut mission control, shuttle safety, and more deserving programs such as Mission to Planet Earth and space education grants. Already \$227 million has been diverted from space station science and \$200 million has been shifted from the space shuttle payload and utilization operations. This year, NASA has asked for the authority to shift an additional \$375 million.

Like our efforts aboard Mir, NASA has cannibalized the station's scientific research missions simply because all the funds are being consumed on construction. NASA has transferred a whopping \$462 million from its science funding to space station development in fiscal years 1996 through 1998. Case in point: NASA dropped the centrifuge, a critical research component, and now depends on negotiations with the Japanese Government to provide it.

Throwing more money at the space station is adding fuel to the fire. We should not continue to approve NASA's repeated request for supplemental funding. Rather, we should hold NASA and the Russian Government's feet to the fire. The American taxpayers deserve accountability and demand that the integrity of our space program be maintained. We should therefore end this program before it kills NASA and its mission.

Mr. Speaker, for several years, we have known the solution to the many problems associated with the space station. In fact, the House almost got it right in 1993, when my amendment to terminate space station funding lost by a single vote. I suggest that we allow NASA the time and resources to improve its

management structure, redefine its mission first, rather than move ahead with a mammoth, multi-billion dollar program whose costs will assuredly go over and beyond all reasonable budgetary expectations. All of the station's problems can be solved by simply canceling this wasteful, over-budget boondoggle, returning \$80 billion to the American taxpayers, and saving the life and health of the rest of the U.S. space program. I will continue to fight this program and strongly encourage my colleagues to closely monitor this program as cost overruns and schedule delays will most assuredly continue to cheat the scientific community of funding that could be better spent on more worthwhile space research endeavors.

TRIBUTE FOR MAJOR GENERAL
CLAUDE W. REINKE

HON. RON PACKARD

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 29, 1998

Mr. PACKARD. Mr. Speaker, I would like to acknowledge a brave soldier, strong leader, caring father and a very good friend. Major General Claude W. Reinke is the retiring Commanding General of the Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, which is located in my District. I have grown very fond of General Reinke and would like to commend his leadership at the base.

General Reinke is a Texan by birth but has always been ready to move anywhere the Marines needed to send him, including a tour in Vietnam. The position of Commander General to a base like Pendleton is often like being the mayor of a city, as both require outstanding managerial skills. General Reinke has gone above and beyond the call of duty as Commander. His leadership has had a positive impact on both the Marines and the entire community.

Part of what makes General Reinke so special is how much he cares for his troops. Very few Commanding officers are more sensitive to the needs of their troops than Claude Reinke. General Reinke has become a champion for quality of life for our troops by emphasizing the need for improved base housing and training facilities for members of the Corps.

General Reinke has been decorated with the Legion of Merit, Bronze Star Medal with Combat "V," Meritorious Service Medal and the Combat Action Ribbon. He is a proud husband and father of five. I might also add that he plays a very good game of golf! If he reacts to the challenges of work like he reacts to the challenges on the golf course, I think the men and women of Camp Pendleton have been in very able hands!

Mr. Speaker, I would like to wish Claude my best and commend him on a job extremely well done.

A TRIBUTE TO DEPARTING HOUSE
BANKING COMMITTEE STAFF
ROBERT AUERBACH AND
STEFANIE MULLIN

HON. MAURICE D. HINCHEY

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 29, 1998

Mr. HINCHEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to two dedicated members of the House Banking Committee Minority staff who are leaving the Committee this week to pursue endeavors in higher education. The efforts of Robert Auerbach, the Democratic staff economist, and Stefanie Mullin, the Democratic press secretary, will be greatly missed by all members of the Committee.

Bob Auerbach is a first-rate financial economist with a keen understanding of money and banking, the payments system, and the Federal Reserve System. He has served the members of the House Banking Committee well in more than 10 years and two separate tours of duty on Capitol Hill. During this time, he has worked on a number of initiatives from the deregulation of interest rates to the promotion of openness at the Federal Reserve Board. I have personally worked with Bob on a number of issues pertaining to monetary policy and have found his knowledge, insight, and guidance to be invaluable.

Bob is leaving Capitol Hill for the ivory tower of academia. Starting this fall, he will be a Professor at the LBJ School of Public Policy at the University of Texas where he will be teaching courses on money and banking. He also has plans to write a book. Though I will miss Bob's wise counsel here in Washington, I know that our loss is most definitely the University of Texas' and his students' gain.

As press secretary for the Democrats, Stefanie Mullin has the often thankless job of reminding the world that there is another perspective on the Banking Committee. For the past five years, she has accomplished this with grace and dignity, always making sure that the views of the minority were heard by the world outside the Rayburn Building. Stefanie is also leaving us to return to school, but as a student. She will be attending Columbia University in a masters program in the prestigious School of Journalism. I wish her luck, and look forward to the day when I meet her again as a member of the news media.

COMMEMORATING "HEARTS AND
STARS"

HON. BRUCE F. VENTO

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, July 29, 1998

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor and recognize James M. McNeely's recent bronze sculpture "Hearts and Stars" that depicts the anguish, pain, honor and heroism displayed by young men and women while engaged in war.

Born and raised in St. Paul, Minnesota, James M. McNeely, was drafted into the United States Army in May of 1969. He served as an infantryman with the 196th Light Infantry Brigade, American Division, in I Corps, CCU Law, Vietnam. Serving courageously, McNeely