

You are using the statement of "absolutely the best technology" to delay the deployment of a strong and effective ballistic missile defense. You are needlessly placing the lives of tens of millions of Americans at risk of destruction by long-range ballistic missiles. You are attempting to deceive Congress.

**Additional Funding**—You claim that additional funding of ballistic missile defense programs will not buy back any time in its already "fast-paced schedule." You contradict the Navy's report on its Theater Wide ballistic missile defense program, which points out how additional funding can bring development by 2002 rather than 2006. You contradict the experience of the Space Based Laser program, where lack of funding, especially under President Clinton, has restrained progress. Your views are invalid.

President Clinton is starving the funding of the Space Based Lasers, precluding their deployment. President Clinton canceled Brilliant Pebbles. Yet funding can revive those programs. Still you deny the American people a defense against long range ballistic missiles.

**ABM Treaty**—You and the Chiefs of Staff believe adherence to the ABM Treaty is consistent with our national security interests. But the ABM Treaty invited the massive buildup of the Soviet nuclear missiles, and the Soviet Union flagrantly violated its provisions. You have been silent about these violations of "arms control" agreements.

Furthermore, in April 1991, Dr. Henry Kissinger, author of the 1972 ABM Treaty, repudiated the treaty for being inconsistent with our national security interests, writing, "Limitations on strategic defense will have to be reconsidered in the light of the Gulf War experience. No responsible leader can henceforth leave his civilian population vulnerable."

You are irresponsible with American lives, leaving tens of millions of Americans vulnerable to swift, massive destruction by long-range ballistic missiles.

**Position of the Joint Chiefs of Staff**—The Joint Chiefs of Staff recommends the deployment of a ballistic missile defense at 25 U.S. cities to save the lives of 30 to 50 million U.S. citizens. The Joint Chiefs of Staff believes it is worthwhile deploying a ballistic missile defense to save the lives of tens of millions of Americans.

The Joint Chiefs of Staff believes that the deployment of a ballistic missile defense will limit the ability of a ballistic missile attack to damage our population, industry, and military.

The Joint Chiefs of Staff believes that the deployment of a ballistic missile defense will provide the U.S. a strategic advantage that will enable us to peacefully settle crises around the world.

These views of the Joint Chiefs of Staff for the deployment of a ballistic missile defense, confident in our technological ability to build an effective ballistic missile defense, provide timely advice for Congress although made in 1966.

In spite of the increasing dangers we face, and in spite of the advances in ballistic missile defense technology we have had in 32 years, you find the advice of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to be without merit.

**Summary**—There is no substitute for a strong defense against long-range ballistic missiles. Your actions and policy of leaving the American people undefended from long range ballistic missiles is indefensible.

Your letter presents Congress with more than a credibility gap. Your leadership, the leadership of President Clinton and his Administration, and the defense of the American people are incompatible.

You, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and President Clinton are needlessly risking the lives

of tens of millions of Americans. You are inviting a nuclear Pearl Harbor. But the defense of the American people from the threat of long-range ballistic missiles will not admit delay.

It is inconceivable, sir, to arrive at any other conclusion but that you are culpable of dereliction of duty, leaving the lives of tens of millions of Americans undefended from long-range ballistic missile attack.

Your Commander-in-Chief President William Jefferson Clinton and his assistant Vice-President Al Gore are also derelict in their duty to defend American lives.

Very truly yours,

BOB SCHAFFER,  
*Member of Congress.*

TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE  
SIDNEY R. YATES

SPEECH OF

**HON. NITA M. LOWEY**

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 1, 1998*

Mrs. LOWEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to my good friend and colleague, SID YATES.

Since first joining this Congress a remarkable fifty years ago, SID has been a paragon of conscience and decency, shaping this great Nation for the better through quiet perseverance and boundless idealism.

I have had the pleasure of serving with SID on the Appropriations Committee and have watched in admiration as he successfully funded scores of worthwhile projects, many of enormous benefit to our environment. Years from now, when our children and grandchildren enjoy scenic vistas and waterways, when they walk along gleaming lake fronts and thrill to the diversity of our Nation's wildlife, they will have SID YATES to thank. He has always understood our powerful moral obligations to be custodians of the great outdoors.

Just as important has been SID's championship of the arts. In the midst of controversy and contention, SID has always been a staunch and eloquent defender of the NEA. To those who would inflame public passions about the controversial margins of the artistic world, SID responded with a calm affirmation of the arts' central role in our national life. How many orchestras and exhibitions, how many performances and plays, owe their very existence to SID's faithful leadership? Indeed, the NEA itself might have been overwhelmed by its critics had not SID YATES been a member of this Congress.

For me, it has also been a great honor to sit with SID YATES on Appropriations' Foreign Operations Subcommittee. There, he has been an articulate spokesperson for American leadership around the world and a fierce defender of Israel's interests. It is entirely fitting that SID's first election to Congress should occur in the very year of Israel's declaration of statehood. And that, from this high vantage, SID should be able to watch Israel's development from a threatened outpost between the desert and the sea, to a modern, thriving nation, bursting with technology, artistry, and innovation. SID YATES played no small role in Israel's inspiring progress.

Mr. Speaker, SID YATES leaves this House diminished by his departure, yet wiser for his service. I know that SID YATES' integrity and

courage will remain a model to countless public servants for many years to come.

HU KOMPLIMENTA I PLANUN  
HAGÁTÑA

SPEECH OF

**HON. ROBERT A. UNDERWOOD**

OF GUAM

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, October 7, 1998*

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, today I wish to commend the efforts of the many citizens on Guam who organized and actively took part in "Project Hagátña." This island-wide initiative has connected generations on Guam with our Chamorro heritage and has instilled in us our common values as a people longing to strengthen our identity and culture as native pacific-islanders. Project Hagátña Project Hagátña incorporated a multi-faceted approach by sponsoring scores of events that built upon our cultural backgrounds and renewed our energy to learn our history. The events were planned in confluence with the Centennial of the Spanish American War.

As my colleagues may know, the Guam legislature recently changed the name of our capital city from "Agana" to "Hagátña" (Guam Public Law 24-162) in hopes of restoring and promoting our ancestral village names while at the same time trying us to our cultural roots.

I would like to commend the following individuals for their remarkable efforts in coordinating Project Hagátña: Lourdes C.N. Ada, Benigno-Joseph Umagat, John San Nicolas, Annabelle Perez, Jeffrey Eudabalad, Teresita N. Taitano, Robert J. Umagat, John Garica, Donna Paulino, Lelani Farrales, Lourdes Alonso, Kennedy Jim, Mayleen San Nicolas, Josusa M. Hayes, Clotilde R. Peredo, Patrick S. Leddy, and Peter Alexis Ada.

My congratulations to the people of Guam on the success of Project Hagátña, may its work continue to remind us of our unique cultural place in the world and strengthen our heritage.

H.R. 4717: DRAFT OF THE CONSERVATION AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 1999

**HON. DON YOUNG**

OF ALASKA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, October 7, 1998*

Mr. YOUNG of Alaska. Mr. Speaker, since July 17, 1998, Congressman JOHN DINGELL, W.J. "BILLY" TAUZIN, RICHARD BAKER, CHRIS JOHN, and I have been circulating a discussion draft and asking for comments to help further this legislative proposal. Our proposal is based on the idea that funds derived from outer continental shelf or OCS activities should be shared with coastal states impacted by the development, as well as reinvested into conservation. Today, we, along with several of our colleagues, will be introducing H.R. 4717.

To set the stage we must digress to the topic of oil and gas revenues paid to the Federal Treasury by companies involved in producing the federal mineral estate. Currently, would-be oil and gas operators on our public lands, and in federal waters, must bid for a