

has more than demonstrated their commitment to ensuring a safe and enjoyable boat ride for Canal Walk visitors.

It should be noted this bill does not waive Federal, environmental or labor laws. It also ensures that safety regulations are in place and gives the Secretary of Transportation the authority to revoke the nonnavigable designation if the Secretary determines the tour boat concessions are not being operated in the interest of public safety.

H.R. 1034 gives the city of Richmond the freedom to continue its efforts to rejuvenate an historic part of the city, bringing renewed economic opportunity to downtown Richmond and a new historical perspective for the enjoyment of tourists and Richmonders alike.

I thank the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER), the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. OBERSTAR) and the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT) for their efforts in working to produce a common-sense bipartisan bill. I urge its swift passage by the House.

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT).

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time, and I rise in support of the bill, H.R. 1034, which I have cosponsored with the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BLILEY). The legislation, H.R. 1034, declares a portion of the James River and Kanawha Canal in Richmond, Virginia, between the Great Ship Lock on the east and the city limits on the west as nonnavigable waters. The bill gives jurisdiction and authority of the canal to the city of Richmond for the purpose of operating boats along the canal adjacent to downtown Richmond.

□ 1515

In the late 19th century the canal was used to transport commerce from other parts of Virginia on the James River and into the canal. The canal was eventually closed, and, as has been said, filled with dirt for many years. In 1973, a federal judge declared parts of the waterway nonnavigable. Nevertheless, due to its former use, to move commerce along the river, the Coast Guard has maintained that the canal has retained its technical classification as a navigable waterway.

Now the City of Richmond has redeveloped the area with Canal Walk, a project that will revitalize the area along the James River and Kanawha Canal. The canal, as has been stated, averages 3 feet in depth and has a width of approximately 23 feet when it opens, the city will use canal boats as a major attraction to draw tourists to the restored area of the river. The Canal Walk is expected to generate thousands of visitors who will enjoy numerous attractions and seasonal activities along the James River and Kanawha Canal, and it will play a valuable role in the revitalization of the river front.

This legislation makes clear that the City of Richmond may operate the boats on the canal with a number of accepted requirements and standards that will satisfy public safety concerns of Federal, State and local regulators. I would like to thank the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER), the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. OBERSTAR), the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO), the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. GILCREST) and the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. TAYLOR) for working in cooperation with the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BLILEY) and myself in such an expeditious and bipartisan manner. H.R. 1034 has gained the unanimous support of the House Committee on Transportation, and I urge its acceptance by the House.

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. OBERSTAR), the ranking minority member of the committee.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding this time to me. I, too, rise in support of H.R. 1034.

Mr. Speaker, I had concerns originally about this legislation as introduced, but those concerns have been addressed by an amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER) during committee consideration of the bill. My primary concern was that the purpose of the introduced bill was to exempt vessels that would be operating on this stretch of the canal from all Coast Guard safety laws. Now these vessels would be transporting up to 35 passengers up and down the canal for admittedly a very limited distance, but those passengers would include small children, elderly persons, people in wheelchairs.

I was concerned also that the bill would exempt vessels from all other maritime laws of the United States, including the Jones Act and marine pollution laws, from my standpoint, a very unwelcomed precedent. In ordinary conduct of business the public has a right to expect that vessels they board will be safe, that is laws of the United States under which vessels operate will protect them.

Mr. Speaker, the primary purpose of these vessels is to serve the cause of tourism, and I am a very strong supporter of tourism. I chaired the Congressional Travel and Tourism Caucus for several years and advocated tourism. I want to see developments of this kind take place. This is a very ambitious, a very attractive waterfront development in the City of Richmond, which indeed started under the aegis of the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BLILEY) when he was mayor there.

So I met with the gentleman from Virginia, and I expressed to him my concerns about the rather overly broad sweep of the language and was satisfied that the consequences of that language were not intended by any means by the gentleman from Virginia, nor the other gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT)

who was the principle co-author of this legislation, and after rather extensive discussion, we came to a very clear meeting of the minds, that adjustments should be made. The gentleman went back to his City of Richmond, talked with the mayor and city council and came back with a narrowing of the scope of the bill so that the designation as nonnavigable applies to a very much smaller and narrower set of Coast Guard laws.

Second, the language provides for the Coast Guard to revoke the designation and make the vessels operating on the canal subject to safety regulations if the vessels are not built, maintained and operated in a manner consistent with public safety, the City of Richmond will be primarily responsible for ensuring that the vessels are operated safely, and third, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BLILEY) also worked out with the City of Richmond an agreement to consult with the Coast Guard before allowing any material change in the operation of the vessels on the canal. So the city is the primary line of defense and responsibility for public safety and common wiefld.

The Mayor of Richmond, in fourth place, has agreed to introduce a city ordinance restricting the carrying capacity of these vessels to 40 people, the maximum allowed under Coast Guard guidelines and recommendations.

Mr. Speaker, I think these four changes make this a very acceptable bill. I know it took a good deal of effort on the part of both the principle author and the co-author of the legislation to make these adjustments, but they are in the best public interest, and I appreciate their cooperation. I think the public will appreciate their concern and action on behalf of safety, and certainly we should all rest assured that the traveling public will have a very safe medium in which to enjoy the pleasures and the extraordinary history of this beautiful City of Richmond.

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1034, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 1034, as amended, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. STEARNS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Sherman Williams, one of his secretaries.

EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO THE TRAGIC SHOOTING AT COLUMBINE HIGH SCHOOL IN LITTLETON, COLORADO

Mr. TANCREDO. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H.Con.Res. 92) expressing the sense of Congress with respect to the tragic shooting at Columbine High School in Littleton, Colorado.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. CON. RES. 92

Whereas on April 20, 1999, two armed gunmen opened fire at Columbine High School in Littleton, Colorado, killing 12 students and 1 teacher and wounding more than 20 others; and

Whereas local, State, and Federal law enforcement personnel performed their duties admirably and risked their lives for the safety of the students, faculty, and staff at Columbine High School: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That Congress—

(1) condemns, in the strongest possible terms, the heinous atrocities which occurred at Columbine High School in Littleton, Colorado;

(2) offers its condolences to the families, friends, and loved ones of those who were killed at Columbine High School and expresses its hope for the rapid and complete recovery of those wounded in the shooting;

(3) applauds the hard work and dedication exhibited by the hundreds of local, State, and Federal law enforcement officials and the others who offered their support and assistance; and

(4) encourages the American people to engage in a national dialogue on preventing school violence.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. TANCREDO) and the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MCCARTHY) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. TANCREDO).

Mr. TANCREDO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the veneer that separates civilization from barbarism, that separates good from evil, is very thin, and it appears everywhere to be wearing thinner. Last week it wore through in my hometown, and the evil seeped out and stole the lives of 12 innocent children and one valiant teacher at Columbine High School. Mr. Speaker, yesterday my son Ray gave me something he had written in response to this tragedy. I believe it is not just fatherly pride that compels me to read parts of

it here today. I believe he eloquently captures the nature of the cultural abrasives that ever so relentlessly eat away at our national soul, and I would like to cite just a part of it:

“Do you believe in God?” “Yes, I believe in God.”

“Seventeen year old Cassie Bernal’s life ended with that answer. Our answers to the Columbine High School murders begin with the same question, and our answer must be the same as Cassie Bernal or the nihilistic fury unleashed by those two young murderers will surely prevail.”

People search for meaning in these brutal senseless acts. People question the norms of a society in which monstrous violence can be countenanced. People question the righteousness, even the existence of a God who can allow such pain and violence into the world. These are valid, but unanswerable questions.

We can speculate and hypothesize, we can blame and vent, but in the end we know we cannot fathom the meaning of this event or presume to comprehend this evil. Nevertheless, our choice is stark: Do we believe in God or not? An answer to that question is the whole of what we take away from the Columbine massacre, for the answer means everything.

We either coast in the cultural currents of a facile nihilism, or we embrace God on our knees and pray for His grace and forgiveness. Nihilism or God, that is the choice. The comfortable in-between is now gone.

In reporting on Adolph Eichmann’s 1960 trial in Jerusalem, philosopher Hannah Arendt noted the banality of evil; that is, how small, petty and unoriginal evil appears. She was speaking of Eichmann, a trivial bureaucrat who efficiently and systematically undertook the murdering of the Jewish people in Europe. Likewise here, evil’s banality is made plain to us. Two disaffected punks have changed life in my hometown forever.

In the end my conclusions are unsatisfying and incomplete: sin is real, evil is real. The inscrutable evil of these men made perfect sense from within their world. If I do not believe, if we do not believe, then their nihilism is right, and even if we ourselves do not embrace it, we have no means to stop others from doing so.

Pray the Lord’s mercy on us.

Stopping it is one thing, but where and how did it start? The comfortable, prosperous suburbs of Denver, Colorado should not foster such dark realities. Moreover, high schools have always had this same group of disaffected bright kids, who flirted with the darker regions of the culture. What changed for the diabolical fantasies of murder to be made real? No doubt a confluence of factors coalesced to make these young men’s revenge fantasies turn into reality. I offer some comments on three factors in particular: the culture, technology and institutions.

THE CULTURE

Ours is a culture wrapped in cotton candy nihilism. Poses and attitudes of nihilism are

struck and celebrated. The academy has its au courant ideologies. Feminism, postmodernism, structuralism, scientific materialism all presuppose a purposeless universe without any transcendent order where society is predicted on power and violence. Entertainment has its explicit nihilistic messages—the goth rock of Marilyn Manson and KMFDM—its ironically hip ones—the accomplished, but immoral, films of Quentin Tarrantino—and its implicit nihilism—Jerry Springer, or the titillation cum therapy of MTV’s Loveline. Indeed, nihilism in a soft and weak form is everywhere.

Meanwhile, “adult society” complacently indulges the destruction of cultural traditions. Legal norms are in shambles—murderers and perjurers escape punishment, and civil justice has become an elaborate shakedown scheme. Rampant materialism fuels a vicious cycle of decadent consumption and unending labor. Finally, cynicism and lassitude are the “adult” responses to the widespread cultural decay.

Our culture not only whispers, but veritably screams, that anything goes. While this is the cultural undertow, the current at the surface holds up ideals that are betrayed almost immediately—democracy is in disrepair; big business alternately rentseeks of foists cultural rot onto a complacent public; and education is mind-numbingly dumbered-down and awash in psychological fads.

An idealistic (yes, idealistic) young man regarding this spectacle can easily be drawn into the depths of the undertow. It is a wrong, but facile, conclusion that all is power, and that the ideals of this country are fraudulent. Reinforce this with bombs, guns and music—and someone just might, indeed, did, snap.

TECHNOLOGY

The internet is praised for its promise and ability to connect people in ways hereto before unthinkable. The commercial and intellectual potential of the internet is a marvel. But there is a dark side to all this. An absolute majority of internet traffic is pornography. Subcultures that used to be isolated, can now connect and reinforce one another.

As I said before, the type of student that Harris and Klebold represent has always roamed the halls of American high schools. Such students endure cruelties and indignities in the remorseless culture of high school, but they do not end up killing their classmates and trying to blow up the school.

With the internet, however, instead of hanging out with a few like-minded outcasts in their parents’ basement, these youths can log-on and interact with a whole underground world. These internet “communities” promote the ultimate in social atomization—a whole new self-created virtual identity. Wann-be Supermen could formerly only hear one-way communication through records and, for the semi-literate, books. Now, that communication is two way—bomb recipes can be exchanged, home pages can advertise and promote the rage, chat rooms can stiffen the resolve of would-be mad bombers.

INSTITUTIONAL

Columbine high school houses nearly 2000 students. The principal of the school has said that he didn’t even know these two students; nor had he heard of the “trench coat mafia,” the disaffected coterie of students to whom these men belonged.

It was easy for Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold to get lost at Columbine. They apparently did get lost, to all of our detriment.