

as to whether or not we are going to let it go on from there. Companies cannot plan in that sort of an environment. They do not know whether or not they are going to have the money to do the research over the long haul. We need to make that permanent.

Third, we need to build the technology structure. This is about broadband communication, giving people access to the Internet. The Internet has the ability to be the greatest equalizer of all time in terms of knowledge. It is not going to divide us. It is going to give anybody with a PC and a link to their phone line to get to the Internet the ability to gather knowledge which they never would have had access to before. But we have got to give companies the incentive to build that infrastructure so that people will get that access.

This means deregulation and allowing that competition to flow so that we will build the infrastructure and get access to the Internet beyond just the urban areas which have it now and out into the rural and suburban areas where it is desperately needed.

Fourth, we need to leave the Internet alone. Overregulating the Internet can potentially strangle its ability to get that information out there and help companies grow. Too much regulation would be a very bad thing, and we need to leave the Internet alone and not overregulate it.

□ 1900

Lastly, we need to increase exports. We need to get access to more markets. Ninety-six percent of the people in the world live someplace other than the United States. If we are going to increase markets for all goods, we are going to have to do it overseas.

I want to emphasize that this is not limited to certain technology areas, the Silicon Valley or Seattle or the research triangle or Boston. Any company one can think of is affected by technology.

We just heard today that we had another 4 percent increase in productivity this last quarter. That is driven almost exclusively by advances in technology and helps grow the economy everywhere. Regardless of what business you are in, technology can help make that business more productive, help make our economy stronger and, most importantly, help people get and keep good jobs that will enable them to raise their family and take care of their bills and obligations. We must embrace the new economy and the high-tech economy so that we can prepare for the future.

THE BOMBING OF YUGOSLAVIA

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BRADY of Texas). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, many people have felt right from the start

that the President and Secretary of State made a horrible mistake in starting the bombing of Yugoslavia. The President and Secretary Albright have made this horrible mistake even worse by escalating the bombing so much. Now Yugoslavia has been bombed far more than in World War II when it was bombed by both sides.

This war has been and is so unpopular that I read last week that the main White House spin doctor had gone over to try to help improve NATO's public relations. We certainly did not have to have White House spin doctors to convince us to go to war after Pearl Harbor. At that time, only one Member of Congress voted against the U.S. entering World War II, but at that time the people were solidly behind the war effort because we and our allies had been attacked.

In Yugoslavia, for the first time ever, the U.S. has become an aggressor nation. Our foreign policy has been turned upside down.

Tony Snow, the columnist-commentator, wrote last Friday: "Three features distinguish the war in Kosovo from every other in American history. This is the first in which we have been the unambiguous aggressor; the first in which we've had no discernible national interest at stake; and the first in which we have let others act as our sovereign."

Paul Harvey, in his Friday newscast, said someday this will be called "Monica's War," meaning many people believe the President was in part attempting to improve his image as a world statesman after the embarrassment of the impeachment scandal.

Now the party line coming out of the White House is simply to label anyone who opposes the war as doing so because of hatred for the President.

Well, while I strongly disagree with the President over all these bombings, I do not hate him or even feel any personal animosity toward him. But anyone who uses this hatred argument is simply trying to avoid discussing the case on its merits or lack thereof. They are appealing to emotion and prejudice and resorting to name calling when they accuse people of opposing the war simply because of hatred for the President. It is so obvious that an argumentative ploy like that is simply an attempt to avoid discussing the merits of the war.

We bombed Afghanistan and the Sudan just 3 days after the President's apology about the Lewinsky scandal was such a flop.

We started bombing Iraq on the afternoon before the House was scheduled to begin impeachment proceedings.

When bad publicity started coming out about the Chinese espionage, on the eve of the Chinese Premier's visit, we started bombing Yugoslavia.

We should not be so eager to bomb people. We should only go to war when absolutely forced to and when our national security is threatened or our

very vital national interest is at stake. Neither is present in Yugoslavia.

The U.S., using NATO for a political cover, has now done over \$50 billion worth of damage to Yugoslavia, a very small country with less than 4 percent of our population.

It is obvious that Milosevic cannot hold out much longer, but we have already spent billions which we are taking from Social Security, and we will have to spend many billions more on this stupid war before it is all through, all to make a bad situation much worse than it was before we started. We are creating enemies all over the world, giving up our reputation as a peace-loving nation by attacking a country that had not attacked us nor had even threatened to do so. And apparently this was done mainly to help improve the President's legacy and because NATO was desperately seeking a new mission.

Very soon this war will be settled, I hope, and then the President and his spin doctors will declare a great victory. But, in reality, it will take us many years to recover from the damage that we are doing to ourselves and our country, both financially and diplomatically.

Don Feder, the nationally syndicated columnist of the Boston Herald, summed it up this way:

President Clinton and Secretary of State Madeleine Albright set the stage for the catastrophe in Kosovo. If there were a Nobel Prize for ineptitude in diplomacy, they would be its joint recipients.

He continued:

The military will be so exhausted by doing social work with bombs and troops that resources won't be there to defend the United States when our vital interests are at stake. When China confronts us in Asia, we can tell our allies there that we have spent all of our missiles in the Balkans.

He wrote this before we bombed the Chinese embassy in Belgrade.

Finally, Mr. Feder, wrote this:

Kosovo was an avoidable tragedy. Clinton and Albright should toast marshmallows over the flames of Kosovo. They lit the fire.

TCSP GRANTS AWARDED AS PART OF ADMINISTRATION'S LIVABILITY AGENDA

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. REYNOLDS). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOEFFEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Mr. Speaker, I am very pleased to join a number of my colleagues this evening in reporting on the benefits to our congressional districts of the TCSP grants that were awarded last week by the Secretary of Transportation and by the Administrator of the Federal Transit Administration.

The TCSP grants stand for Transportation, Community and System Preservation grants. These are a vital part of the transportation program as part of the administration's livability agenda.