

For Joyce Gaines, just seeing Clinton was "a dream come true," let alone becoming the recipient of his praise.

"It was like a mirage having the President there. I was so excited just to be in his presence. He's such a fantastic President, the best the United States has ever had. He's done so much for the country," Joyce said.

If Clinton's speech wasn't divinely inspired, Tiewaasha doesn't know what is.

"Sometimes you pray and pray and wonder, 'Is this a sign or is that a sign?'" Tiewaasha said.

"But that was a clear, Tiewaasha Gaines, here you go. Do what you were put here, what you were destined to do," said Tiewaasha, who plans to one day open the home for abused children she's dreamed of since age 11.

"You can be something, no matter where you come from," she said.

## CONSEQUENCES FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS ACT OF 1999

SPEECH OF

**HON. JOHN B. SHADEGG**

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, June 16, 1999*

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1501) to provide grants to ensure increased accountability for juvenile offenders:

Mr. SHADEGG. Mr. Chairman, first, I want to thank my colleagues from Florida, Mr. GOSS and Mr. MCCOLLUM for bringing this important issue before the House today. I strongly support the amendment to H.R. 1501, Consequences for Juvenile Offenders Act, to increase the number of District Court judges for Arizona, Florida, and Nevada.

The need for additional judgeships for the U.S. District Court of Arizona can be best demonstrated by a letter sent from District of Arizona Chief Justice Robert C. Broomfield to the Honorable Proctor Hug, Jr., Chief Justice of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. In this letter, Chief Justice Broomfield mentions that in the same week as the letter was dictated, one of the eight senior judges died and two more were hospitalized, leaving the District of Arizona courts literally paralyzed under an unmanageable caseload with only five justices able to hear cases.

This issue is of particular interest to citizens of Arizona due to the dramatic increase in drug-related crimes in our state and the tremendous burden currently facing the sitting judges of the U.S. District Court for Arizona. Over the last several months, Arizona has been plagued with a series of massive drug seizures totaling hundreds of pounds of marijuana, methamphetamine, and cocaine, and millions of dollars in drug money.

Most recently, on May 13th, federal and state law enforcement officials in Phoenix confiscated \$3 million worth of drugs and seized 9 kilograms of cocaine, 11.25 kilograms of methamphetamine, 636 grams of heroin and 36 kilograms of marijuana, along with illegal firearms and stolen vehicles. All those arrested were indicted in federal district court on charges that include distribution of controlled substances, possession of controlled substances with the intent to distribute, possession of firearms, and money laundering.

In February of this year, authorities seized 22 pounds of marijuana and 3 pounds of methamphetamine, and five weapons from a suspected drug dealer in Arizona. Furthermore, Border Patrol Agents assigned to the Tucson Border Sector of the U.S./Mexico border have found in recent months several intricate systems of tunnels used to smuggle illegal drugs into Arizona.

The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has identified 28 drug trafficking groups believed to be major drug trafficking organizations within Arizona. Large quantities of drug money, over \$2 million in 1998, have been seized by the Phoenix Police Department Commercial Interdiction Unit.

Arizona law enforcement reports that powder and crack cocaine are readily available in the region's metropolitan areas. Arizona is a primary drug shipment corridor for movement of drugs from Mexico to the many areas of the United States. The more sophisticated, modern highway system of metropolitan Phoenix and the convenience of Phoenix's Sky Harbor International Airport make Phoenix an ideal drug transport city to other major cities around the country.

In an effort to battle the ever-increasing presence of drugs in our community, Arizona has been designated as a High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area, or "HIDTA". This designation has provided law enforcement the ability to commit resources to respond to the drug trafficking problems in Arizona. Law enforcement agencies including the Phoenix and Tucson Police Departments, the Maricopa and Pinal County Sheriff's Departments, and the Arizona Department of Public Safety work in conjunction with the FBI, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), and the U.S. Customs Service to coordinate interdiction efforts.

These efforts have resulted in a 429% increase in methamphetamine arrests and a 52% increase in cocaine arrests in the last decade. Since 1992 alone, arrests for possession of dangerous drugs have doubled while arrests for the sale or manufacture of methamphetamine have increased 251%.

As evidenced by these figures, attempts to crack down on organized drug trafficking groups have been successful. Unfortunately, the increased attention on law enforcement has not been accompanied with an increased focus on our federal court system and the judges needed to prosecute and convict these drug offenders.

Arizona's justice system has continued to grow through the years while the number of judgeship appointments have remained the same. The last time the District of Arizona was granted additional permanent judgeships was 1978—twenty-one years ago! Chief Justice Broomfield has cited several factors to justify the need for an increase in permanent judgeships, including:

The large increase in criminal cases filed is permanent in nature. There has been an increase of 764 permanent federal law enforcement officers in Arizona, leading to a significant increase in caseloads and filings.

Since 1994 Arizona has added an additional 600 new border patrol agents which also have made a significant increase in caseloads and filings.

The U.S. Attorney's Office in Arizona (which contributes a major portion of the District Court caseload) continues to expand. Since 1978 the U.S. Attorney's Office has grown

from 30 attorneys to 103, an increase of 243%. That office is now the 13th largest among the 94 districts; yet with the current complement of 8 judges, the Arizona District Court ranks 29th.

There has been a substantial population shift to the West and the Southwest in the last several decades. For example, the City of Phoenix is now the sixth largest city in the country, having grown from 106,818 in 1950 to 1,205,285 in 1997.

The District of Arizona criminal felony filings have increased by 10 percent since 1993. Currently, Arizona is ranked third in the nation for criminal felony filings. These filings range from possession of drugs with the intent to sell to violent criminal acts such as assault with a deadly weapon, and murder.

Along with the increase of criminal felony filings District of Arizona judges are burdened with a sharp increase in the number of cases. Each judge currently assigned to the District of Arizona has a caseload of roughly 834 cases, the fourth highest among the nation's 94 districts.

Arizona is a state which is growing significantly and it does not have the judicial system to keep up with its growth. Without a strong judicial system we will continue to have the imbalance that our judges are currently experiencing today.

For these reasons, I believe the three additional judgeships for the District of Arizona created by Mr. GOSS's amendment to H.R. 1501 are desperately needed to effectively address the abundant caseload, and more importantly the high number of criminal felony filings in Arizona.

PERSONAL STATEMENT TO JACQUELYN ISABEL SPINELLO ANDREWS AND JOSEPHINE CAROLYN ANDREWS

**HON. ROBERT E. ANDREWS**

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, June 18, 1999*

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Speaker, there are times in our public careers when the obligations of office require us to forego the immediate interests and needs of those whom we love most. Because it is my duty to attend to our business here in the Capitol, I am unable to attend a special Father's Day celebration with my oldest daughter, Jacquelyn Isabel Spinello Andrews, at her kindergarten class (Mrs. Esler's class) at the Atlantic Avenue School in Haddon Heights, New Jersey. Six year olds do not readily understand the absence of their fathers at important events.

The pain of separation is further compounded by the fact that a voting session last week required me to miss an end of the year celebration for my youngest daughter, Josephine Carolyn Andrews, age 4, at the Beechwood School's pre-kindergarten class (Mrs. Rutkowski and Mrs. Provans). I hope that my children will understand that the exercise of duty does not negate the intense love I feel for them and pride my wife Camille and I draw from their lives and progress.

In the instance of Jacquelyn's Father's Day celebration, duty took on a special meaning, because we were debating proposals to protect her and all children from school violence