

Sanford	Spratt	Udall (NM)
Sawyer	Stabenow	Upton
Scarborough	Stark	Velazquez
Schaffer	Stenholm	Vento
Schakowsky	Strickland	Visclosky
Scott	Stump	Vitter
Serrano	Stupak	Wamp
Shadegg	Tauscher	Waters
Shays	Thompson (CA)	Watt (NC)
Sherman	Thompson (MS)	Waxman
Sherwood	Thornberry	Weiner
Shimkus	Thune	Wexler
Shows	Thurman	Weygand
Skelton	Tiahrt	Whitfield
Slaughter	Tierney	Woolsey
Smith (NJ)	Towns	Wu
Snyder	Turner	Wynn
Souder	Udall (CO)	Young (AK)

NOT VOTING—8

Berman	Lewis (CA)	Salmon
Bonilla	Minge	Thomas
Brown (CA)	Pascrell	

□ 1421

Ms. SANCHEZ and Messrs. COSTELLO, HAYES, MOLLOHAN and SHADEGG changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

So the bill was not passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. MINGE. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 244, had I been present, I would have voted "no."

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to cast a vote on final passage of H.R. 2122 because I had to catch the last available plane to Los Angeles to attend my daughter's graduation ceremony at 6:00 p.m. Pacific time. However, had I been present I would have voted "no."

**AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 1501, CONSEQUENCES FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS ACT OF 1999**

Mr. BRYANT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 1501, the Clerk be authorized to make changes in the placement of the table of contents, combine duplicative sections, correct section numbers, punctuation and cross references and to make other such technical and conforming changes as may be necessary to reflect the actions of the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. KOLBE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

**TITLE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1501, CONSEQUENCES FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS ACT OF 1999**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the amendment to the title of H.R. 1501 proposed in amendment No. 36 in Part A of House Report 106-186 is adopted.

There was no objection.

The text of the amendment to the title is as follows:

A bill to amend the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to provide

grants to ensure increased accountability for juvenile offenders; to amend the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 to provide quality prevention programs and accountability programs relating to juvenile delinquency; and for other purposes.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask for this 1 minute for the purpose of inquiring from the distinguished Majority Leader the schedule for today and next week.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to my friend from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce we have concluded legislative business for the week.

The House will not be in session on Monday, June 21.

The House will next meet on Tuesday, June 22, at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Members should note that we expect recorded votes after 2 p.m. on Tuesday, June 22. On Tuesday we will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, and H.R. 659, the Patriotic Act, under an open rule.

On Wednesday, June 23, and the balance of the week the House will consider the following legislation, all of which will be subject to rules:

H.R. 2084, the Department of Transportation Appropriation Act;

H.R. 1658, Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform;

H.J. Res. 33, Proposing an Amendment to the Constitution of the United States Authorizing the Congress to Prohibit the Physical Desecration of the Flag of the United States; and

H.R. 1802, Foster Care and Dependents Act of 1999.

Mr. Speaker, we expect to conclude legislative business by 2 o'clock p.m. on Friday, June 25, and I thank the gentleman for having yielded me the time.

Mr. BONIOR. If I could ask the gentleman from Texas: Do we expect any late nights next week, any anticipated late evenings?

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman.

We do have a fairly full legislative schedule, but it seems to me given that most of the work is considered under the rules and not very controversial we should not expect a flood of amendments, and we should be able to manage ourselves into relatively reasonable working hours.

Mr. BONIOR. I thank my colleague.

Let me ask him a further question and inquiry:

When are we going to take up campaign finance reform? I understand that the Committee on House Administration is going to have a series of hearings, and I would just implore my

friend from Texas and my colleagues on this side of the aisle in the majority that the time has come for us to have this bill on the floor where we can have an open debate on an issue in which we debated for weeks and weeks and months on end in the last Congress. I think the country is ready, we are tired of waiting, and I hope the gentleman can give us some indication of when that bill will be before this body.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, let me again remind the gentleman the summers belong to the appropriations process. The Speaker and the leadership have correctly, I think, in terms of the management of the year's flow of business placed that priority on the process, and yet the Speaker has given assurance, and I would second the assurances that he has given, that we should be able to address this matter of campaign finance reform on the floor before the end of September.

Mr. BONIOR. Before the end of September.

Mr. Speaker, I regret hearing that once again. I understand that was the Speaker's assurance and the gentleman's assurance, but that seems awfully late in terms of making sure that we have something that can change the law of this country to clean up our campaign finance.

I yield for a comment to my friend and leader on this issue, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Mr. HOYER. I thank the distinguished whip for yielding, and I will say to my friend, the Majority Leader, I quoted him yesterday in hearings that we had in the Committee on House Administration saying that he hoped initially that this would be on the floor in July, campaign finance reform. I also quoted the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. WAMP), who indicated that if we delayed until September he was fearful that it would kill campaign finance reform.

As the distinguished Majority Leader knows, we had over 50 hours of debate on the Shays-Meehan bill last Congress and we had 252 Members vote in favor of passing that bill, and frankly with all due respect the hearing that we had yesterday, three good Members of Congress, the gentleman from California (Mr. CALVERT), the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. GILCREST) and the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. SABO), came and testified, but very frankly, Mr. Leader, they testified on bills they have had in it for at least two congresses. Very little change in their testimony. They indicated to me it was essentially no different than it was before. So I fear that the hearings will simply delay us and will be a device to kill rather than pass campaign finance reform.

I would hope that the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARMEY) would consult with his leadership and see if we could accelerate that so we could bring Shays-Meehan to the floor as quickly as possible, and I thank the distinguished gentleman from Michigan (Mr.