

Amendment to the Constitution of the United States to ban the desecration of the Flag. I feel this amendment runs contra to the spirit of America's Founding Fathers. When the British sought to oppress the colonies, they attacked the ability to speak freely, they sought to snuff out any different opinions, snuff out that which makes a democracy strong. From this blatant oppression came the impetus for the first Amendment, our Founding Fathers felt so strongly that we should be able to speak our mind regarding political process they embedded the right in our Constitution's Bill of Rights. Now, after 200 years, they seek to change this cherished principle.

I love my flag, but I love it for what it stands for and the principles it represents. People have died for the principles the flag represents, not for the cloth which it is made. Burn the symbol of our country, tear it up, and we only become stronger, more dedicated to the principles the flag represents. An attack against our flag is really an affirmation of our Nation and all that we as a people stand for.

Our courts told us burning the flag is a protected form of free speech and we must respect this. The American Flag is an exceptional symbol of an extraordinary nation that has protected personal liberty for more than 200 years. We must recognize that the flag is an icon, only an icon, and our beliefs and principles are the rock on that we stand.

BRENDA McDONNELL AND HELEN MOONEY RECOGNIZED AS TWO EXTRAORDINARY TEACHERS

HON. MICHAEL E. CAPUANO

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 25, 1999

Mr. CAPUANO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize two extraordinary teachers from the eight Congressional District of Massachusetts, Brenda McDonnell and Helen Mooney. Both are educators at East Somerville Community School and have been selected to receive the Time Warner Cable's 1998-1999 National Teacher Award. These exceptional women are two of only fifteen teachers selected out of hundreds of entries from across the country.

Ms. McDonnell and Ms. Mooney together organized a project for their seventh grade students called the "Cable Enhanced Classroom." through this project, students were encouraged to explore an area of their interest that was related to the themes of National History Day: Science, Technology and Inventions. Over a ten-week period, forty-seven seventh-grade students prepared media presentations, plays, display boards, and research papers by referencing programs from sources such as A&E, the Discovery Channel, the History Channel, the Learning Channel, and the Internet.

Time Warner Cable has recognized Ms. McDonnell's and Ms. Mooney's project as an example of exemplary teaching. Both teachers will share the \$1,000.00 grant presented to them at an awards dinner honoring their initiative and achievement. These teachers have been a positive influence and an inspiration to other educators and students nationwide. Their achievement illustrates the significant impact teachers make when challenging their students with thought provoking assignments.

Education is of great importance to me. In particular, I believe it is vital to attract and encourage enthusiastic teachers. Honoring dedicated instructors such as Mr. McDonnell and Ms. Mooney helps to reveal our immense appreciation and encourages the same innovation in future projects.

IN HONOR OF LILLIAN WASHINGTON

HON. LYNN N. RIVERS

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 25, 1999

Ms. RIVERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Mrs. Lillian Washington, who will be celebrating her 100th birthday on July 1, 1999.

Mrs. Washington was born in Montecello, Georgia, in 1899. She is the eleventh child of Charlie and Amanda Tuggle. During her childhood, she was most happy running and playing outside in the natural settings around her home. She attended Sardis Grade School, and graduated from Montecello High School.

Since moving to the Detroit area over 75 years ago, Mrs. Washington has been a generous and active member of both her church and in her community. After marrying Charles Smith in 1922, she joined the Russel Street Baptist Church. Following the passing of Mr. Smith, she later remarried and joined Smith Chapel African Methodist Episcopal Church. She served faithfully on the church's Stewardess Board for many years, and her loving concern for others, especially children, has touched many hearts. Although she did not have biological children, she has been a "mother" to countless "daughters."

Mrs. Washington lives alone in her apartment surrounded by precious mementos, a testament to her strong will and the fact that she is still "going strong." Her persevering spirit may best be symbolized by her favorite song, "Through it All." She is certainly a treasure for our community, and I ask my distinguished colleagues to join me in wishing her a wonderful birthday and many more years of health and happiness.

CONGRATULATING MARTHA MCKINLEY, MOTHER OF THE YEAR

HON. GEORGE RADANOVICH

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 25, 1999

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Martha McKinley for being honored as Mother of the Year by the Fresno County Women in Chambers of Commerce. Martha is an outstanding and deserving mother in Fresno County.

Martha McKinley was nominated by her daughter, Monna Romagnoli, three grandchildren, and four great grandchildren. Martha married Pete McKinley on February 6, 1937 and they shared their lives together for 40 years. In 1978 Pete passed away.

Martha has worked most of her adult life in several different fields to support her parents and family. She was a nursery school teacher; office manager at Maier Island Naval Ship-

yard; department head of CA Industrial Division of Welfare. Martha was also active in community and civic organizations and volunteered on several political campaigns. She was a member of the Women's Zonta Club and the Coachella Valley Women's Club. In 1950, Martha McKinley was the first President of Fresno County Women in Chambers of Commerce. She remains an active member today as she enjoys her retirement.

Martha is an exemplary mother who possesses the qualities of compassion, warmth, generosity, humor, and humility. Martha has also instilled these values in her entire family. Furthermore, her great spirit, integrity, loyalty, wit, and generosity are the reasons for her long-lasting friendships.

Martha is quick to share herself with others in need, often times bringing them into her life and home. Martha became a second mother to her neighbor Sally, when she lost her mother. Martha made sure to include Sally in everything her family did. She guided and comforted Sally, treating her like a daughter.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleges to join me in congratulating Martha McKinley for being awarded Mother of the Year. Her desire to put family first, her love of God, life, and her neighbor make her truly deserving of this recognition.

U.S. MILITARY AIRCRAFT DENIED REQUEST AT HONG KONG'S CHEK LAP KOK AIRPORT

HON. DOUG BEREUTER

OF NEBRASKA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 25, 1999

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Speaker, unfortunately, the People's Republic of China has denied a request for a United States military aircraft at Hong Kong's Chek Lap Kok airport and indicated it was "denied in view of current circumstances." Undoubtedly this is a Chinese reaction to our bombing of the Chinese Embassy in Belgrade. However, as the following editorial from Hong Kong's South China Morning Post indicates, that action is not only counterproductive for Sino-American relations, it raises further questions in America and the world regarding the autonomy of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (SAR) within the Chinese governmental system. It is true that the People's Republic of China does maintain full responsibility for foreign policy and national security for the Hong Kong SAR, but this decision seems an unnecessary further aggravation in the relations between China and the United States of America. I urge my colleagues to read the following editorial in the June 24, 1999, editorial of the South China Morning Post.

EDITORIAL

More than a month after the bombing of the embassy in Belgrade, Beijing's fury is apparently still undiminished. Profound and repeated apologies by the US, including the telephone call from President Bill Clinton to President Jiang Zemin, have failed to get diplomatic communications back on track.

The mainland Government's response was understandable in the emotion of the moment; after all, staff members tragically lost their lives. But by refusing to help defuse the ongoing row, Beijing now risks deepening the harm to Sino-US relations.

No doubt the spying row and repercussions from the Cox report have helped to keep tensions on the boil, but it is disheartening to know the SAR is still a casualty of the discord, more than six weeks after the tragedy.

Banning US warships may have driven home the extent of China's anger, even if it was taken at the cost of HK\$385 million in lost revenue at a time when the economy is still struggling to revive. But the decision to refuse US military aircraft permission to land here will inconvenience none but the country concerned, and then only mildly. However, if it is applied to military planes bringing in US delegations during the Washington midsummer break, it will appear to be rather a petty act, and will certainly not enhance Hong Kong's image.

What an irony it would be if Christopher Cox, author of the controversial report, was refused permission to land in a USAF aircraft, after he accepted Chief Secretary for Administration Anson Chan Fang On-sang's invitation to come and witness the mechanisms to prevent the export of sensitive technology across the border.

It is, of course, the mainland's business to decide how long it will continue to wreak revenge, but the point has been made very forcefully with the warship ban, and that should suffice. To implicate the SAR in any further repercussions can only hurt its claims to autonomy.

THE SMALL BUSINESS LIABILITY
REFORM ACT OF 1999

HON. JAMES E. ROGAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 25, 1999

Mr. ROGAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today and with several of my colleagues from both sides of the aisle to introduce the Small Business Liability Reform Act of 1999. This legislation will provide common sense protection for small businesses in America.

Small businesses in California and across the nation each day face the threat of burdensome litigation. One frivolous lawsuit can put a small business owner out of commission. In many instances, even the threat of a lawsuit can force a small business to settle a frivolous claim for more than it is worth.

Small businesses, like the "mom and pop" family stores, are the backbone of our nation's economy. The Research Institute for Small and Emerging Business estimates that over 20 million small businesses in America generate 50 percent of our country's private sector output. We must protect their right to grow and free them from the threat of frivolous litigation.

Mr. Speaker, every dollar a business spends on litigation is a dollar that could be spent to expand small businesses, provide more jobs, improve employee benefits, and strengthen our economy.

According to a recent Gallup survey, one in every five small businesses decides not to hire more employees, expand its business, introduce a new product, or improve an existing product because of the fear of lawsuits.

Products sellers—like the corner grocery store—incur high legal costs when they are needlessly drawn into product liability lawsuits. Today a business such as this, which does not even produce the product, can still be sued for product defects. While the product seller is rarely found liable for damages, it

must still bear the cost of defending itself against these frivolous suits. This unfair treatment of small businesses must stop.

The Washington Legal Foundation reports that punitive damages are requested in 41% of suits against small businesses. Is it possible that such a large number of small businesses are engaging in egregious misconduct that warrants a claim of punitive damages? The National Federation of Independent Business reports that 34% of Texas small business owners have been sued or threatened with court action seeking punitive damages. This hinders business and punishes the backbone of our economy.

My bill will ensure that small businesses will be protected from frivolous suits by limiting the amount of punitive damages that may be awarded against a small business. In most civil lawsuits against small businesses, punitive damages would be available only if the claimant proves that the harm was caused through a conscious and flagrant indifference to the rights and safety of the claimant. Punitive damages would also be limited to the lesser of \$250,000, or three times the compensatory damages awarded for the harm.

Second, this legislation limits joint and several liability so that a small business owner would only be liable for non-economic damages in proportion to his or her responsibility for causing the harm. If a small business is responsible for 100% of an accident, then it will be liable for 100% of non-economic damages. But if it is only 70%, 25%, 10%, or any other percent responsible, then the small business will be liable only for the proportional responsibility they share.

Mr. Speaker, the examples of unfairness to small business are just as shocking. In one instance, a product seller was dragged into a product liability suit even though the product it sold was shipped directly from the manufacturer to the plaintiff. In the end, the manufacturer—not the product seller—had to pay compensation to the plaintiff. Unfortunately, this was after the product seller had been forced to spend \$25,000 in court expenses—\$25,000 that could have been used to expand the business or to provide higher salaries.

Mr. Speaker, the time for small business legal reform is now. Let's remove the threat of unnecessary litigation and help small businesses focus on what is really important—keeping this economy growing. I ask my colleagues to support this important bipartisan and common sense business legislation.

SMALL BUSINESS LIABILITY
REFORM ACT OF 1999

HON. TIM HOLDEN

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 25, 1999

Mr. HOLDEN. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join with my colleagues to introduce the Small Business Liability Reform Act of 1999. Like the other pieces of civil justice reform legislation that have recently been enacted into Federal law, this bill departs from the comprehensive approach that advocates of broad product liability and tort reform have taken in the past. Instead, this bill focuses on a few key specific liability issues: the exposure of very small businesses—those with fewer than 25 full-time

employees—to joint liability for non-economic damages and punitive damages, and the exposure of retailers, wholesalers, distributors and other non-manufacturing product sellers to product liability lawsuits for harms they did not cause.

Last month, similar legislation was introduced in the other body (S. 1185) and it is my hope and expectation that our efforts in this body will combine with the work of our Senate colleagues to enable the Congress to respond positively and on a bipartisan basis to the concerns we hear year after year from smaller employers about our civil justice system.

Let me emphasize, Mr. Speaker, that the bill we introduce today is careful not to overreach. As I previously indicated, this is a narrowly crafted, tightly focused bill. The provisions restraining joint liability and punitive damages do not apply to civil cases that may arise from certain violations of criminal law or egregious misconduct. Nor do they apply in States that elect to opt-out with respect to cases brought in State court in which all parties are citizens of the State. The product seller liability provisions are strictly confined to product liability actions and protect the ability of innocent victims of defective products to fully recover damage awards to which they are entitled.

Mr. Speaker, the provisions of this legislation have previously won bipartisan support in both houses of Congress. Although limited in scope, their enactment into law will reduce unnecessary litigation and wasteful legal costs and improve the administration of civil justice across this country. I look forward to working with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to pass this limited but meaningful civil justice reform bill with strong bipartisan support.

CELEBRATING THE LIBERTY
FESTIVAL

HON. JOHN ELIAS BALDACC

OF MAINE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, June 25, 1999

Mr. BALDACC. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize a group in my home state of Maine. This dedicated group of volunteers has banded together to produce an exceptional celebration of our nation's Independence called the Liberty Festival.

The neighboring cities of Lewiston and Auburn for years hosted the traditional 4th of July fireworks display. Several years ago, a group got together and shared a dream of a more elaborate celebration of our nation's freedoms, ideals and history. They envisioned an event that would give families a place to gather, enjoy time together and celebrate our country.

These volunteers worked hard and created the Liberty Festival, which has quickly become one of Maine's premier 4th of July celebrations. The three day event features performances by many of Maine's finest bands and the Portland Symphony Orchestra. This year the celebration will be opened by the first ever greater Lewiston/Auburn Air Show. It will conclude with an impressive fireworks display in the heart of the downtown district, launched over the majestic falls of the Androscoggin River.

More than 100,000 citizens—including me—are expected to celebrate our nation's independence at the Liberty Festival. I want to