

Lofgren	Owens	Spratt
Lowey	Pallone	Stabenow
Lucas (KY)	Pascarell	Stark
Maloney (CT)	Pastor	Stearns
Maloney (NY)	Payne	Stenholm
Markey	Pelosi	Strickland
Martinez	Peterson (MN)	Stupak
Mascara	Petri	Tanner
Matsui	Phelps	Tauscher
McCarthy (MO)	Porter	Taylor (MS)
McCarthy (NY)	Price (NC)	Terry
McCollum	Rahall	Thompson (CA)
McGovern	Rangel	Thompson (MS)
McInnis	Regula	Thurman
McIntyre	Reyes	Tierney
McKinney	Rivers	Towns
McNulty	Rodriguez	Traficant
Meek (FL)	Rogan	Turner
Meeks (NY)	Rothman	Udall (CO)
Menendez	Roybal-Allard	Udall (NM)
Millender-	Royce	Upton
McDonald	Rush	Velazquez
Minge	Ryan (WI)	Vento
Mink	Sabo	Visclosky
Moakley	Sanchez	Walsh
Mollohan	Sanders	Waters
Moore	Sandlin	Watt (NC)
Moran (VA)	Sawyer	Watts (OK)
Morella	Scarborough	Waxman
Murtha	Schakowsky	Weiner
Nadler	Scott	Weldon (FL)
Napolitano	Serrano	Weldon (PA)
Neal	Sherman	Weller
Northup	Shows	Wexler
Oberstar	Sisisky	Weygand
Obey	Slaughter	Wolf
Olver	Smith (NJ)	Woolsey
Ose	Snyder	Wynn

## NAYS—132

Aderholt	Goss	Pickering
Archer	Granger	Pitts
Armey	Greenwood	Pombo
Bachus	Gutknecht	Portman
Barr	Hall (TX)	Pryce (OH)
Barrett (NE)	Hansen	Radanovich
Bartlett	Hastert	Ramstad
Bass	Hastings (WA)	Reynolds
Bateman	Hayes	Riley
Bliley	Hayworth	Rogers
Blunt	Heger	Rohrabacher
Bonilla	Hobson	Ryan (KS)
Bono	Hostettler	Sanford
Brady (TX)	Hunter	Saxton
Bryant	Hyde	Schaffer
Burton	Isakson	Sensenbrenner
Callahan	Istook	Sessions
Calvert	Jenkins	Shadegg
Canady	Johnson (CT)	Shays
Cannon	Johnson, Sam	Sherwood
Chambliss	Kasich	Shimkus
Chenoweth	King (NY)	Shuster
Coble	Knollenberg	Simpson
Coburn	Kolbe	Skeen
Collins	Kuykendall	Smith (TX)
Combest	Lewis (CA)	Spence
Crane	Lewis (KY)	Stump
Cunningham	Linder	Sununu
DeLay	LoBiondo	Sweeney
DeMint	Lucas (OK)	Talent
Doolittle	McCrery	Tancredo
Dreier	McHugh	Taylor (NC)
Dunn	McKeon	Thomas
Ehlers	Metcalf	Thornberry
Ehrlich	Miller (FL)	Thune
English	Moran (KS)	Toomey
Everett	Myrick	Vitter
Ewing	Nethercutt	Walden
Foley	Ney	Wamp
Fossella	Norwood	Whitfield
Gekas	Nussle	Wicker
Gillmor	Packard	Wilson
Goodlatte	Paul	Young (AK)
Goodling	Pease	Young (FL)

## NOT VOTING—61

Baker	Costello	Hastings (FL)
Ballenger	Cox	Hefley
Berman	Coyne	Hoekstra
Bilirakis	Danner	Hutchinson
Boehner	Deal	Jefferson
Bonior	DeFazio	John
Boucher	Diaz-Balart	LaHood
Burr	Dicks	Luther
Buyer	Fowler	Manzullo
Camp	Frank (MA)	McDermott
Carson	Galleghy	McIntosh
Chabot	Goode	Meehan
Clay	Gutierrez	Mica

Miller, Gary	Roemer	Souder
Miller, George	Ros-Lehtinen	Tauzin
Ortiz	Roukema	Tiahrt
Oxley	Salmon	Watkins
Peterson (PA)	Shaw	Wise
Pickett	Skelton	Wu
Pomeroy	Smith (MI)	
Quinn	Smith (WA)	

□ 1412

Mr. RAMSTAD, Mr. WHITFIELD and Mrs. WILSON changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. SHOWS, ROGAN, WELLER, KINGSTON, COOK, MCCOLLUM, Mrs. CUBIN, and Mrs. EMERSON changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. ROEMER. Mr. Speaker, due to a family commitment I was unable to cast House rollcall vote 355 on July 30th, 1999, to instruct conferees on the Financial Services Modernization bill, H.R. 10. If I had been present I would have voted "yea."

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Without objection, the Chair appoints the following conferees:

From the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, for consideration of the Senate bill, and the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Mr. LEACH, Mr. MCCOLLUM, Mrs. ROUKEMA, and Messrs. BEREUTER, BAKER, LAZIO, BACHUS, CASTLE, LAFALCE, and VENTO.

As additional conferees from the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, for consideration of titles I, III (except section 304), IV and VII of the Senate bill, and title I of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mr. KANJORSKI, Ms. WATERS, and Mrs. MALONEY of New York.

As additional conferees from the Committee on Banking and Financial Service, for consideration of title V of the Senate bill, and title II of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Mr. KANJORSKI, Mrs. MALONEY of New York, Mr. WATT of North Carolina and Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut.

As additional conferees from the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, for consideration of title II of the Senate bill, and title III of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Mr. KANJORSKI, Mrs. MALONEY of New York, Ms. VELAZQUEZ, and Ms. HOOLEY of Oregon.

As additional conferees from the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, for consideration of title VI of the Senate bill, and title IV of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Ms. WATERS, Mrs. MALONEY of New York, Mr. GUTIERREZ and Mr. BENTSEN.

As additional conferees from the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, for consideration of section

304 of the Senate bill, and title V of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Mr. KANJORSKI, Ms. WATERS, and Mr. ACKERMAN.

□ 1415

From the Committee on Commerce, for consideration of the Senate bill, and the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. BILEY, OXLEY, TAUZIN, GILLMOR, GREENWOOD, COX, LARGENT, BILBRAY, DINGELL, TOWNS, MARKEY, WAXMAN, Ms. DEGETTE and Mrs. CAPPS.

Provided, that Mr. RUSH is appointed in lieu of Mrs. CAPPS for consideration of section 316 of the Senate bill.

From the Committee on Agriculture, for consideration of title V of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. COMBEST, EWING, and STENHOLM.

From the Committee on the Judiciary, for consideration of sections 104(a), 104(d)(3), and 104(f)(2) of the Senate bill, and sections 104(a)(3), 104(b)(3)(A), 104(b)(4)(B), 136(b), 136(d)-(e), 141-44, 197, 301, and 306 of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. HYDE, GEKAS, and CONYERS.

There was no objection.

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. ORTIZ. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall Nos. 354 and 355, on July 30, 1999, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall No. 354 and "yea" on rollcall No. 355.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Sherman Williams, one of his secretaries.

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FROST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas to inquire about next week's schedule.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce that we have completed legislative business for the week.

The House will next meet on Monday, August 2, at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and at 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to Members' offices this afternoon.

Mr. Speaker, subject to last night's unanimous consent agreement, we will also complete consideration of H.R. 2606, the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act, on Monday. Debate on Foreign Operations amendments will not begin before 4 p.m.

Members should note that there will be recorded votes after 6 p.m. on Monday, August 2.

On Tuesday, August 3, and the balance of next week, the House will take up the following measures:

H.R. 2031, The 21st Amendment Enforcement Act;

H.R. 987, The Workplace Preservation Act;

H.J. Res. 58, Regarding the Jackson-Vanik Waiver for Vietnam;

The VA-HUD Appropriations Act; and

The Commerce, State, and Justice Appropriations Act.

Mr. Speaker, we also expect a number of conference reports to be available next week for consideration in the House.

Mr. Speaker, because this will be our last week of legislative business before the Summer District Work Period, Members should expect late nights throughout the week. That includes, Mr. Speaker, Friday, August 6, which may stretch beyond 2 p.m. and into the evening.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the Members for their attention and I wish all my colleagues safe travel back to their districts.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I have several questions for the majority leader at this point. Will we complete action on the Juvenile Justice bill next week?

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for his inquiry. We just went to conference, Mr. Speaker, on Juvenile Justice this morning. We are obviously encouraging the conferees, we are anxious to have that, and the floor schedule will accommodate the conference report if they can bring it back. We will encourage them. I am sure the gentleman from Texas and his leadership will do the same on their side of the aisle.

Mr. FROST. I would further ask my friend from Texas, I do not see the Patients' Bill of Rights on the schedule. Is there any possibility that that will come up next week or when can we expect it to be brought to the floor?

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman will yield further, Mr. Speaker, we have three committees of jurisdiction that are working on the Patient Protection Act. That work is in progress. It is, of course, very important work. As soon as our committees complete their work and are able to make the bill available to the floor, we will have it on the floor, but I do not anticipate that next week.

Mr. FROST. I would further ask the gentleman from Texas, does he expect the tax conference report to be on the floor next week?

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for asking that.

If the gentleman will continue to yield, Mr. Speaker, yes, we do in fact expect that we will go to conference on the tax bill sometime Monday, and we anticipate having that conference report back before we complete business next week.

Mr. FROST. The only other question I would have to the gentleman from Texas is he has indicated that we will be working late, probably each night. Does the gentleman have any idea how late that will be?

Mr. ARMEY. As the gentleman from Texas knows, when we do appropriations bills, we do those under the 5-minute rule. We try to make unanimous consent requests as we did last night to expedite the consideration of a bill in consideration of all the Members with their amendments. We will still work under that 5-minute rule, hope to have those kinds of accommodations between Members, but one must anticipate that late in the evening will mean precisely that in perhaps the most rigorous terms.

Mr. FROST. As the gentleman knows, in some cities where they play baseball at night, there is a rule that no inning can begin after a certain hour. I was just wondering if there is any possibility we could go to that in our night sessions.

Mr. ARMEY. The gentleman makes a fine point. I can only assure him that at or around dinner time, we will provide a seventh inning stretch that will be sufficient to nourish our bodies so we can continue on into the evening.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, if I could ask the gentleman one final question. Is there any possibility that we will be here next Saturday? The gentleman indicated the real possibility that we will be here after 2 p.m. on Friday. Could it also be that we would be here next Saturday?

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for that question. I think that is really a key concern. We are all anxious to get on with our work in our districts for the District Work Period.

I think this is the best, most reliable answer: A prudent, experienced Member understands that the getaway day before a District Work Period of this length is tenuous. We should expect to work late in the evening, but if that prudent Member were to make their plane reservations for Saturday morning, I am confident that they could make those planes. But I do think late in the evening on Friday night could go beyond that point at which people could reasonably expect a Friday night plane. I think it would be just prudent for all of us to plan our travel for Saturday.

Mr. FROST. I would respond to my friend from Texas, that based on my 21 years of experience in the House of Representatives, I never book a flight on the day that we are scheduled to leave. I always book my flight for the following day.

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would yield for one final point on that point.

The point is very important to the Members and if I may make this point. We will monitor the process of the week's schedule as closely as we can as we see the work developing, and we will try to maintain a constant posture

where when we know things with greater degrees of certainty about that Friday and those travel arrangements, we will announce that to the House.

Mr. FROST. I thank the gentleman.

#### WAIVING SECTION 132 OF LEGISLATIVE REORGANIZATION ACT OF 1946

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 266 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 266

*Resolved*, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House a concurrent resolution waiving the requirements in section 132 of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 that the Congress adjourn sine die not later than July 31, 1999. The concurrent resolution shall be considered as read for amendment and shall not be subject to debate. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution to final adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). The gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to my very good friend, the gentleman from Dallas, TX (Mr. FROST), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, this rule simply makes in order a concurrent resolution waiving the requirement in section 132 of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 that Congress adjourn sine die no later than July 31.

As my friend from Dallas knows, this requirement that Congress adjourn by the end of July is a relic of a bygone era, although many of us wish we actually could adjourn by July 31. The last time that the Congress did it was July 31, 1956.

In fact, a decade ago, my friend from Boston, the distinguished ranking minority member of the Committee on Rules, tried desperately to repeal section 132, going so far as to get legislation passed in the House, only to have it not considered by our friends in the other body. I hope we can actually resurrect that effort in a bipartisan way and I hope that we can move ahead with this rule in a very timely manner.

I urge its adoption.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California for yielding me the customary half-hour, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I support this rule and the resolution allowing the House to