

an anonymous staffer who avoided the spotlight. He concentrated on results, not personal credit.

Staff on both sides of the aisle were comfortable working with him. He admitted his errors, said he didn't know when he was unsure, and was generous with his praise for others. He read the material provided by constituents and advocates, returned phone calls, and was accessible. He was the consummate staffer.

Both Senators and staff knew Carl would deal with their concerns fairly, honestly, and professionally. A deal was a deal. His word was respected. This was true both on the Hill and downtown.

Carl was determined to learn all there was to know about Mississippi. He made trips back to the state to visit our catfish farms, pulp and paper plants, national forests and universities. He saw small towns, courthouse squares, topnotch telecommunications headquarters and military bases. Carl knew that learning about the lives of Mississippians was important to effectively represent the state and its citizens.

Although Carl is from Virginia—often referring to himself as my token non-Mississippian—he was an ardent defender of Mississippi's interests and people. Mississippians have grown to trust and respect Carl's devotion to ensuring that Mississippi's issues and concerns were recognized and often included. His adamant support of my home state's interests has not gone unnoticed by its citizens. Carl was named an honorary citizen of Mississippi and he proudly displayed the certificate.

For years, Carl willingly and voluntarily assumed the role of mentor to new staff members who needed help navigating the complex legislative world. As Legislative Director, he challenged staff to achieve their fullest potential, take risks and learn from their mistakes. There is no doubt that his influence spurred the professional growth made by young, eager staffers, resulting in talented and enthusiastic team players. Carl was always willing to share the lessons he learned the hard way.

There is no overstating how Carl's selflessness has enhanced the professional and personal lives of the generations of staffers who were privileged enough to work with Carl. He lived by the motto on his VMI class ring—"honor above self."

I know that I am losing a brilliant and effective legislative director, but others tell me that I am losing the man who is teacher, parent and sometimes counselor to those around him. I am quite sure that the rest of my staff will miss him as much as I will.

Carl's memos and notes were always timely, informative, and accurate. They were frequently entertaining, and sometimes caustic, but his daily paper trail ensured I had the necessary information to deal with the issues and events surrounding legislation. He was

not afraid to tell bad news, but he always proposed solutions.

Carl was the king of metaphors. He used them to make a point, to negotiate, and to educate. Still, he was eager to dig into issues and legislation. His knowledge of bills was his credibility. I do not think I ever saw him without reading material.

Mr. President, it saddens me to see a man of Carl's caliber depart my staff. He certainly leaves big shoes to fill. For Carl's talent, loyal service and dedication to me and the state of Mississippi, I am very grateful.

He is a man who was defined by his family. He always had his priorities straight and he never forgot his family as he fulfilled his commitments to the Senate and Mississippi. His wife, Ann, and his daughters, Katie, Sarah, Olivia, Allyson, and Rebecca, have reason to be proud. I wish Carl Biersack good luck in all of his future endeavors and pray that God may continue to richly bless him and his family.

REINSTATEMENT OF WEST VIRGINIA STATE COLLEGE'S ORIGINAL 1890 LAND-GRANT STATUS

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, West Virginia State College in Institute, West Virginia, was designated by Congress as one of the original 1890 land-grant schools under the Second Morrill Act. The college was the first 1890 land-grant school to be accredited and has been accredited longer than any other public college or university in West Virginia.

West Virginia was one of six states to establish a new land-grant college under state control. West Virginia State College faithfully met its duties to the citizens of West Virginia as a land-grant college in an outstanding manner.

However, on October 23, 1956, the State Board of Education voted to surrender the land-grant status of State College (effective July 1, 1957). Historical data suggests that this action was taken in an effort to enhance State College's ability to accommodate veterans returning home with GI benefits. In addition, the decision to surrender the land-grant status preceded explicit funding by Congress for land-grant institutions.

For thirty-three years, West Virginia State College has sought to regain its land-grant status. On February 12, 1991, Governor Gaston Caperton signed a bill into law that provided redesignation authority for land-grant status from the State of West Virginia. On March 28, 1994, then U.S. Department of Agriculture Secretary Mike Espy informed West Virginia Governor Caperton that State College would receive a partial land-grant designation that would entitle the college to \$50,000 annually under the Second Morrill Act.

It has become clear that funding is the issue that must be addressed to reinstate West Virginia State College's land-grant status. I authored an

amendment to the FY 2000 Agriculture Appropriations bill that will provide \$2 million in additional funds for 1890 Institution entitlements to be used for base line funding for West Virginia State College. This amendment does not grant full 1890 land-grant funding privileges to State College, but provides a \$2 million entitlement. The amendment does not cut into the current 1890 entitlement accounts. It adds additional funding with an offset from the National Research Initiative account.

My amendment provides fair treatment to West Virginia State College, an original 1890 land-grant school, and I thank my colleagues for supporting this provision.

COMMUNITY AND OPEN SPACES BONDS ACT

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I rise today as an original cosponsor of the community and Open Spaces Bonds Act (COSB). This bill provides assistance to our local communities in their continuous efforts to improve the quality of life through flexible, zero-cost financing options for protecting open spaces.

As the acreage of open space in this country continues to decline, we find ourselves in a battle of time against widespread urban sprawl. The American citizens have spoken out, demanding that this body take the action necessary to protect the remaining open spaces and outdoor recreational opportunities that they have enjoyed since the founding of this great nation. The America Farmland Trust estimates that we have been losing farmland at approximately 3,000 acres per day since 1970. This growth is not only damaging to the agricultural industry, but all those who wish to enjoy this nation's natural bounties.

I believe it is our obligation to respond to and remedy this situation. For this reason, I would like to thank my colleague Senator BAUCUS for taking the initiative in proposing legislation that provides incentives to those private land owning citizens who wish to protect our valuable open spaces. Our proposal makes available up to \$1.9 billion annually for five years in bonding authority to state, local, and tribal governments. This voluntary approach allows the local community to lead the charge in projects that will improve the quality of life of its citizens, while the Federal government simply plays a supporting role. I think that is the way to do it.

These community based projects will be supported through proceeds from the sales of the bonds. The issuers would repay the principal at the end of 15 years, but the Federal government would pay the issuers' interest or borrowing costs through the tax credit during that period. As an incentive, the holder of the bond would get an annual tax credit equal to the corporate average AA bond rating, as posted by the Treasury, multiplied by the face amount of the bond.

This bill will spur even greater innovation than we already see at the local level in dealing with growth and urban sprawl issues. The flexibility of this proposal creates many opportunities in an often limiting system to raise funding for land purchases. We simply want to give communities a system that is entirely local driven, unlike that currently offered by the Federal government. The most dynamic aspect of this bill is that it restores to local governments the power to influence the future of their communities.

The Community Open Space Bonds Act can help respond to the need to protecting our beautiful lands and precious water supply, and I strongly urge my colleagues to join in this fight against the raging war of time. Action must be taken now, so that our children will enjoy the natural wonders we have come to love.

HOLD UP OF FINAL PASSAGE OF THE MISSING, EXPLOITED AND RUNAWAY CHILDREN PROTECTION ACT

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, as I stand here today, we are hours away from beginning a month long recess and we have yet to reauthorize a critically important piece of legislation that protects our nation's youth. It has been over two months since both the House and Senate have passed S. 249, The Missing, Exploited and Runaway Children Protection Act, and we have still not voted on final passage.

There is no good excuse for why the Senate has not passed and sent to the President this noncontroversial piece of legislation. I had some minor concerns with the House amended version of S. 249, but after receiving some clarification and assurances on these concerns, I decided that these House add-on could be dealt with at later time and should not keep this important piece of legislation from passing. I have cleared the differences on our side of the aisle, but I am afraid I cannot say the same for my colleagues on the other side who continue to hold up final passage of this bill.

The Missing, Exploited, and Runaway Children Protection Act of 1999 reauthorizes programs under the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act and authorizes funding for the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. Both programs are critical to our nation's youth and to our nation's well-being.

In addition to providing shelter for children in need, the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act ensures that these children and their families have access to important services, such as individual, family or group counseling, alcohol and drug counseling and a myriad of other resources to help these young people and their families get back on track. As the National Network for Youth as stressed, the Act's programs "provide critical assistance to youth in high-risk situations all over the country."

The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children provide extremely worthwhile and effective assistance to children and families facing crises across the U.S. and around the world. In 1998, the National Center helped law enforcement officers locate over 5,000 missing children. The National Center serves a critical role as a clearinghouse of resources and information for both family members and law enforcement officers. They have developed a network of hotels and restaurants which provides free services to parents in search of their children and have also developed extensive training programs.

S. 249 should be passed today. There is absolutely no reason to stall on this legislation, but as we get down to the wire to begin August recess, it looks like we will once again face another delay. We will return to our states and to our constituents who run these crucial programs and we will be unable to tell them that we have protected the programs that allow them to ensure children and families access to their services by reauthorizing the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act. I am frustrated once again at the inaction of the Republican majority on this matter and believe that The Missing Exploited, and Runaway Children Protection Act should be passed immediately.

INCREASING SATELLITE AND CABLE COMPETITION

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, more than 3 years ago, I started raising serious concerns about the need to increase competition between cable and satellite TV providers and the need to allow satellite dish owners to receive local network stations. I felt then, and I feel now, that the best way to reduce the cable and satellite rate increases and to protect satellite dish owners is to have satellite television compete on a level playing field with cable.

I was thus very pleased when, finally, on May 20, the Senate passed a bill that I sponsored, without objection, which protects satellite dish owners and would offer them more television stations. I worked on this bill with the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, Senator HATCH, and several other Senators.

The bill would restore satellite TV service to those who lost it, and it would prevent thousands of additional cutoffs.

Also, over time, it would permit satellite carriers to offer many more stations to home satellite dish owners. Unfortunately, even though the Senate passed the bill on May 20, we have been unable to set up a Conference with the other chamber. On June 8, the Senate approved the list of Senators—the conferees—to negotiate the final bill with the House of Representatives.

The August recess is about to start. Thousands of Vermonters, and I am one of them, will continue to get minimal TV service because this bill was not able to be presented to the Presi-

dent for signature. I want to assure Vermonters that I will continue to work to get this bill before the President.

I also have been meeting with satellite company officials representing companies that will be able to offer a whole range of local stations, movie channels, sports, weather, history, PBS, superstations, and the like, to Vermonters via satellite. I want to make sure that Vermonters will be offered the full range of TV service over satellite once we can negotiate the final bill.

I am in the same situation as many Vermonters. At my home in Middlesex, Vermont, I only receive one local network channel clearly with my rooftop antenna.

I was very worried three years ago that satellite dish owners would start losing their ability to receive distant network signals. Unfortunately, my fears have come to pass. Many other Members of Congress have also been concerned about this issue.

The Satellite Home Viewers Improvement Act, S. 247, which I sponsored with the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, Senator HATCH, the Chairman of the Commerce Committee, Senator MCCAIN, the ranking member of our antitrust subcommittee, Senator KOHL, and the Majority Leader of the Senate, Senator LOTT, offered the way to promote head-to-head competition between cable and satellite providers—and lower rates and provide more services for consumers.

In November of 1997, we held a full Committee hearing on satellite issues. I agreed with Chairman HATCH to work together on a bill to try to avoid needless cutoffs of satellite TV service while, at the same time, working to protect the local affiliate broadcast system and increase competition.

In March of last year we introduced a bill but were unable to get it to the President for signature. That version was reported out of the Judiciary Committee unanimously on October 1, 1998. That bill, as with the bill I am trying to get to the President's desk this year, was also designed to permit local TV signals, as opposed to distant out-of-state network signals, to be offered to viewers via satellite; to increase competition between cable and satellite TV providers; to provide more PBS programming by also offering a national feed as well as local programming; and to reduce rates charged to consumers.

In the midst of all these legislative efforts, a federal district court judge in Florida found that PrimeTime 24 was offering distant CBS and Fox television signals to more than one million households in the U.S. in a manner inconsistent with its compulsory license that allows them to offer distant network signals. This development further complicated the situation.

Under a preliminary injunction, the satellite service of CBS and Fox networks was to be terminated on October