

Shows	Talent	Walden
Shuster	Tanner	Walsh
Simpson	Tauscher	Wamp
Sisisky	Tauzin	Watkins
Skeen	Taylor (MS)	Watt (NC)
Skelton	Taylor (NC)	Watts (OK)
Slaughter	Terry	Waxman
Smith (MI)	Thompson (CA)	Weiner
Smith (NJ)	Thompson (MS)	Weldon (FL)
Smith (TX)	Thune	Weldon (PA)
Smith (WA)	Thurman	Weller
Snyder	Tierney	Wexler
Spence	Toomey	Weygand
Spratt	Towns	Whitfield
Stabenow	Trafficant	Wicker
Stark	Turner	Wilson
Stearns	Udall (CO)	Wise
Stenholm	Udall (NM)	Wolf
Strickland	Upton	Woolsey
Stupak	Velazquez	Wu
Sununu	Visclosky	Wynn
Sweeney	Vitter	Young (FL)

NOES—39

Barr	Doolittle	Oxley
Barton	Hayworth	Paul
Bateman	Hefley	Peterson (PA)
Bonilla	Hergert	Pitts
Burton	Hostettler	Pombo
Canady	Jenkins	Radanovich
Chenoweth-Hage	Johnson, Sam	Ryun (KS)
Coburn	Kingston	Schubert
Combest	Lewis (CA)	Stump
Cooksey	Linder	Tancredo
Crane	Manzullo	Thomas
DeLay	Mica	Thornberry
Dickey	Myrick	Tiahrt

NOT VOTING—11

Cook	McIntosh	Vento
Markey	Northup	Waters
Martinez	Oberstar	Young (AK)
McCarthy (MO)	Schaffer	

□ 0007

So (two-thirds having voted to favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mrs. NORTHROP. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 341, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Ms. MCCARTHY of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 341, had I been present, I would have voted "aye".

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 341, I was detained on an emergency call in my office and was not present on the floor when rollcall 341 was voted.

Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Pursuant to House Resolution 532 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 4733.

□ 0010

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 4733) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for

other purposes, with Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the amendment of the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. RYUN) had been disposed of and the bill was open for amendment on page 39, line 19.

The Clerk will read the final lines of the bill.

The Clerk read as follows:

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2001".

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, the hour is late because many hours ago we started the final energy and water bill under the guidance of the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD). As we all know in this Chamber, the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) has served all of us, his country and his family well, both in the military service, local and Federal service. I think as we conclude consideration of a well-done work product, which we have come to expect from the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) day in and day out, that we owe the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) our appreciation and a round of applause.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there any other amendments to the bill?

If not, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) having resumed the Chair, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4733) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 532, he reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 407, nays 19, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 342]

YEAS—407

Abercrombie	Aderholt	Archer
Ackerman	Allen	Army

Baca	Dunn	Kolbe
Bachus	Edwards	Kucinich
Baird	Ehlers	Kuykendall
Baker	Ehrlich	LaFalce
Baldacci	Emerson	Latham
Baldwin	Engel	LaHood
Ballenger	English	Lampson
Barcia	Eshoo	Lantos
Barr	Etheridge	Largent
Barrett (NE)	Evans	Larson
Barrett (WI)	Everett	Latham
Bartlett	Ewing	LaTourette
Barton	Farr	Lazio
Bass	Fattah	Leach
Bateman	Filmer	Lee
Becerra	Fletcher	Levin
Bentsen	Foley	Lewis (CA)
Bereuter	Forbes	Lewis (GA)
Berkley	Ford	Lewis (KY)
Berman	Fossella	Linder
Berry	Fowler	Lipinski
Biggert	Frank (MA)	LoBiondo
Bilbray	Franks (NJ)	Loftgren
Bilirakis	Frelinghuysen	Lowey
Bishop	Frost	Lucas (KY)
Blagojevich	Gallegly	Lucas (OK)
Bliley	Ganske	Maloney (CT)
Blumenauer	Gejdenson	Maloney (NY)
Blunt	Gekas	Manzullo
Boehlert	Gephardt	Mascara
Boehner	Gilchrist	Matsui
Bonilla	Gillmor	McCarthy (MO)
Bonior	Gilman	McCarthy (NY)
Bono	Gonzalez	McCollum
Borski	Goode	McCrea
Boswell	Goodling	McDermott
Boucher	Gordon	McGovern
Boyd	Goss	McHugh
Brady (PA)	Graham	McInnis
Brady (TX)	Granger	McIntyre
Brown (FL)	Green (TX)	McKeon
Brown (OH)	Green (WI)	McKinney
Bryant	Greenwood	McNulty
Burr	Gutierrez	Meehan
Burton	Gutknecht	Meek (FL)
Buyer	Hall (OH)	Meeks (NY)
Callahan	Hall (TX)	Menendez
Calvert	Hansen	Metcalfe
Camp	Hastings (FL)	Mica
Campbell	Hastings (WA)	Millender-
Canady	Hayes	McDonald
Cannon	Hayworth	Miller (FL)
Capps	Hefley	Miller, Gary
Capuano	Hergert	Miller, George
Cardin	Hill (IN)	Minge
Carson	Hill (MT)	Mink
Chabot	Hilleary	Moakley
Chambliss	Hilliard	Mollohan
Chenoweth-Hage	Hinchee	Moore
Clayton	Hinojosa	Moran (KS)
Clement	Hobson	Moran (VA)
Clyburn	Hoeffel	Morella
Coble	Hoekstra	Murtha
Coburn	Holden	Myrick
Collins	Holt	Nadler
Combest	Hoolley	Napolitano
Condit	Horn	Neal
Conyers	Hostettler	Nethercutt
Cooksey	Houghton	Ney
Costello	Hoyer	Northup
Cox	Hulshof	Norwood
Coyne	Hunter	Nussle
Cramer	Hutchinson	Oberstar
Crane	Hyde	Obey
Crowley	Isakson	Olver
Cubin	Istook	Ortiz
Cummings	Jackson (IL)	Ose
Cunningham	Jackson-Lee	Owens
Danner	(TX)	Oxley
Davis (FL)	Jefferson	Packard
Davis (IL)	Jenkins	Pallone
Davis (VA)	John	Pascarell
Deal	Johnson (CT)	Pastor
DeFazio	Johnson, Sam	Payne
DeGette	Jones (NC)	Pease
DeLauro	Jones (OH)	Pelosi
DeLay	Kanjorski	Peterson (PA)
DeMint	Kaptur	Petri
Deutsch	Kasich	Phelps
Diaz-Balart	Kelly	Pickering
Dickey	Kennedy	Pickett
Dicks	Kildee	Pitts
Dingell	Kilpatrick	Pombo
Dixon	Kind (WI)	Pomeroy
Dooley	King (NY)	Porter
Doolittle	Kingston	Portman
Doyle	Kleczka	Price (NC)
Dreier	Klink	Pryce (OH)
Duncan	Knollenberg	Quinn
		Radanovich

Rahall	Shows	Tierney
Rangel	Shuster	Toomey
Regula	Simpson	Towns
Reyes	Sisisky	Trafficant
Reynolds	Skeen	Turner
Riley	Skelton	Udall (CO)
Rivers	Slaughter	Udall (NM)
Rodriguez	Smith (MI)	Upton
Roemer	Smith (NJ)	Velazquez
Rogan	Smith (TX)	Visclosky
Rogers	Snyder	Vitter
Rohrabacher	Souder	Walden
Ros-Lehtinen	Spence	Walsh
Rothman	Spratt	Wamp
Roukema	Stabenow	Waters
Roybal-Allard	Stark	Watkins
Rush	Stenholm	Watt (NC)
Ryan (WI)	Strickland	Watts (OK)
Ryun (KS)	Stump	Waxman
Sabo	Stupak	Weiner
Salmon	Sununu	Weldon (FL)
Sanchez	Sweeney	Weldon (PA)
Sanders	Talent	Weller
Sandlin	Tanner	Wexler
Sawyer	Tauscher	Weygand
Saxton	Tauzin	Whitfield
Scarborough	Taylor (MS)	Wicker
Schakowsky	Taylor (NC)	Wilson
Scott	Terry	Wise
Serrano	Thomas	Wolf
Sessions	Thompson (CA)	Woolsey
Shadegg	Thompson (MS)	Wu
Shaw	Thornberry	Wynn
Sherman	Thune	Young (FL)
Sherwood	Thurman	
Shimkus	Tiahrt	

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET TO INSERT COMMUNICATIONS IN THE RECORD

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KASICH) be permitted to insert Committee on the Budget communications into the RECORD at this point.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, in accordance with section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290, I hereby submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD adjustments to the 302(a) allocation for the House Committee on Agriculture, set forth in H. Rept. 106-577, to reflect \$5.5 billion in additional new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2001 and for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 2005.

Section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290 authorizes the Chairman of the House Budget Committee to increase the 302(a) allocation of the Committee on Agriculture for a conference report on a bill that provides assistance for producers of program crops and specialty crops. Under the terms of section 218, the adjustments is in the amount of budget authority provided by that bill for the specified purpose but may not exceed \$5.5 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2001.

This adjustment is for the conference report accompanying H.R. 2559 (H. Rept. 106-300). If you have any questions, please contact Jim Bates of my staff at 6-7270.

STATUS REPORT ON CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS OF ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FY 2000 AND THE 5-YEAR PERIOD FY 2000 THROUGH FY 2004

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, to facilitate application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 2000 and for the 5-year period of fiscal year 2000 through fiscal year 2004.

The term "current level" refers to the amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature as of June 15, 2000.

The first table in the report compares the current level of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues with the aggregate levels set by

H. Con. Res. 290. This comparison is needed to implement section 311(a) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 2000.

The second table compares the current levels of budget authority and outlays of each direct spending committee with the "section 302(a)" allocations for discretionary action made under H. Con. Res. 290 for fiscal year 2000 and fiscal years 2000 through 2004. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 302(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2000 with the revised "section 302(a)" sub-allocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. This comparison is also needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act because the point of order under that section also applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 302(b) sub-allocation.

The fourth table compares discretionary appropriations to the levels provided by section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. Section 251 requires that, if at the end of a session discretionary spending in any category exceeds the limits set forth in section 251(c) (as adjusted pursuant to provisions of section 251(b)), there shall be a sequestration of funds within that category to bring spending within the established limits. This table is provided for information purposes only. Determination of the need for a sequestration is based on the report of the President required by section 254.

REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2000 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN H. CON. RES. 290

(Reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000—On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 2000	Fiscal year 2000-2004
Appropriate level (as amended):		
Budget authority .....	1,471,750	( <sup>1</sup> )
Outlays .....	1,453,390	( <sup>1</sup> )
Revenues .....	1,465,500	7,768,100
Current level:		
Budget authority .....	1,465,562	( <sup>1</sup> )
Outlays .....	1,44,558	( <sup>1</sup> )
Revenues .....	1,465,492	7,871,246
Current level over (+)/under (-) appropriate level:		
Budget authority .....	-6,188	( <sup>1</sup> )
Outlays .....	-8,832	( <sup>1</sup> )
Revenues .....	-8	103,146

<sup>1</sup> Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for Fiscal Year 2001 through 2004 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

BUDGET AUTHORITY

Enactment of any measure providing new budget authority for FY 2000 of more than \$6,188,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2000 budget authority to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

OUTLAYS

Enactment of any measure providing new outlays for FY 2000 of more than

NAYS—19

Andrews	Luther	Sensenbrenner
Castle	Paul	Shays
Doggett	Peterson (MN)	Smith (WA)
Gibbons	Ramstad	Stearns
Goodlatte	Royce	Tancredo
Inslee	Sanford	
Johnson, E. B.	Schaffer	

NOT VOTING—8

Clay	Markey	Vento
Cook	Martinez	Young (AK)
Delahunt	McIntosh	

□ 0027

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON EXPANDED THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 263)

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

*To the Congress of the United States:*

Enclosed is a report to the Congress on the Expanded Threat Reduction Initiative, as required by section 1309 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65).

WILLIAM J. CLINTON,  
THE WHITE HOUSE, June 27, 2000.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1598

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor to the bill H.R. 1598, the Patent Fairness Act of 1999.