

later said was a landmark decision in civil rights, ordering the integration of the Little Rock public schools.

Most people will not know the name of Ron Davies, but Judge Davies is one of North Dakota's proudest sons. He was made a Federal judge by the appointment of President Eisenhower in 1955. While on temporary assignment in Arkansas, he issued the decision that would become one of the landmark decisions on the issue of civil rights. He required the integration of the schools in Little Rock.

Judge Davies was not a tall man. In fact, he was just over 5 feet—about 5 foot 1, 5 foot 2—but he will certainly be remembered as a giant in the history of civil rights and integration. Despite threats on his life and National Guardsmen guarding the doors, this man sat in a courthouse and rendered the pivotal decision that will echo throughout this Nation's history. He replied, "I was only doing my job," when asked about that decision. He was unassuming and unwilling to be in the national spotlight. In fact one news program called him an "obscure judge." He agreed. He said, "We judges are obscure and should be."

Back then, he was also called "the stranger in Little Rock." But he was no stranger to justice and no stranger to decency and no stranger to common sense. Men such as Judge Davies should be remembered. I think it is appropriate that we recognize this Federal judge with the fiery spirit, a man with an unerring sense of duty who went to Little Rock in a very difficult circumstance and did his job.

When schoolchildren and citizens and visitors pass through the door of the Federal building in Grand Forks, ND, they will be reminded of the courage Judge Davies showed America as he sat and did his job in those difficult times in Little Rock. It was a turning point in our Nation's history.

I can think of no better way to celebrate the life of Judge Davies, and also the important achievements his decision 43 years ago this month have rendered this country, than to put his name on the Federal building in Grand Forks, ND. So when this legislation becomes law later this year, that Federal building will be named the "Ronald N. Davies Federal Building and United States Courthouse."

#### CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

#### LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001—CONFERENCE REPORT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will now resume consideration of the conference report to accompany H.R. 4516, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows: The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the

amendments of the Senate to the bill H.R. 4516 making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses this report, signed by a majority of the conferees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I understand that under this conference report that is now on the floor, the Senator from Wyoming has an hour reserved.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. CRAIG. I ask unanimous consent that I be allowed to use up to 10 minutes of that hour.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PRESCRIPTION DRUGS

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, for the course of the last hour and a half, I have been both in committee and in my office. While in my office, I watched a good deal of the discussion going on here on the floor by some of my colleagues on the other side—Senator GRAHAM from Florida, Senator BOXER from California, Senator DURBIN from Illinois, and Senator DORGAN from North Dakota—talking about the issue of prescription drugs.

There isn't a Senator here who does not recognize the importance of this issue primarily with the senior community in America today—primarily with the poorer of that community who cannot afford some of the new drugs that are on the market that are clearly improving their lifestyle, extending their health, and allowing many of our citizens to live better and longer.

That is why some of us, if not all of us, for the last couple of years have recognized the need to respond to the prescription drug issue within Medicare as a primary health provider in this country for our seniors. When that belief first came about, it came about in the context of the reform of Medicare. I think it is important to give a little history.

With a health care program in this country that is 30 years old, we began to recognize that it was in trouble; that it was continuing to pay for health care needs that were sometimes no longer needed and costs continued to go up. We were constantly working to adjust it.

In the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, we made adjustments. Some of those were right; some of those were wrong. Some of those were interpreted by the Federal health care administrators in a way that Congress didn't intend, and we are going to make some of those corrections this year for nursing homes and hospitals. The fundamental question is and should be, Was Medicare providing the necessary health care needs of our seniors?

Out of that grew the prescription drug issue. No question about it, as the President knows, these new designer

drugs that are out on the market that are a result of our science, our technology, are doing wonderful things. They are not included. They are not a part of the old Medicare model that we created 30-plus years ago. That is why in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 this Congress and this Senate said: Let's create the National Bipartisan Commission on the Future of Medicare. Let's reform it to fit the 21st century and the needs of the seniors of America in the 21st century, and let's do that in the context of shaping it differently, making sure prescription drugs are a piece of it. That will be the new health care paradigm.

The President appointed people. We appointed people. We worked. They studied. We brought in the best health care experts in the country and they brought about a report. Something happened along the way. We were getting closer and closer to an election cycle, and it appeared tragically enough that the other side saw this much more as a political issue than a need for substantive reform. As a result, that commission reported it lacked the one vote necessary for a majority to report back to Congress its findings and its proposal for the Congress to act.

Interestingly enough, the two Democrats from the Senate, Senator BREAUX and Senator KERREY, who served on that committee, voted for the report. They saw it as a major step in the right direction and, of course, the President's appointees were advised to vote against the report, or so we understand. They voted against it. Eleven votes were needed to approve the commission's recommendation; 10 of the 17 commissioners voted yes. We needed one more and we simply did not get it.

Before the vote ever took place, President Clinton announced the commission had failed and that his own advisers would draft a plan to serve the Medicare program. I think what he was saying was that his own advisors would draft a political plan to serve the next Presidential election.

The politics of Medicare and prescription drugs moves now into the political arena. That announcement occurred in March of 1999. It literally was the sounding of a trumpet, the sounding of the fact that prescription drugs and Medicare without reform would become a part of the political mantra of the day; every Senator, Democrat and Republican, recognizing that we had to deal with prescription drugs. In fact, it was interesting to me that Senator BREAUX said: We are not going to fix Medicare; we are going to be looking for issues to beat each other over the head with once again.

That is what he said in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD of March of 1999—a Democrat, referring to the commission and a failure of the commission and a failure of this President to stand up and be counted for at a time when we had a chance, a window of opportunity to make major national reform in