

color, until education is unaware of race, until opportunity is unconcerned with the color of men's skins . . . emancipation will be a proclamation but not a fact." For well over a century, Historically Black Colleges and Universities have led the way, opened the doors and provided the tools for a quality education for all.

I yield any time I might have remaining. Thank you, Mr. President.

#### LOW-INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, I wish to announce on the floor of the Senate that 34 colleagues—Democrats and Republicans alike—join me in a letter to the White House today.

We are talking about what is going on with oil prices and what is going on with home heating costs. The projections are very frightening.

We see home heating oil costs up 30 percent and natural gas costs up 40 percent. For many of us in cold-weather States, this is a crisis issue. Specifically, we are talking about the Low-Income Energy Assistance Program.

My colleague, Senator HARKIN, has been a leader in this fight for a long, long time.

The point is that the President has about \$500 million right now in LIHEAP emergency funding that we could get back to the cold-weather States. LIHEAP is a terribly important addition to the negotiations on the appropriations bill this year. Also, for funding next year, we are saying add an additional \$500 million. Otherwise, I think probably maybe 15 percent of the people who are eligible for LIHEAP funding will not get any.

In the State of Minnesota, you are talking about, roughly speaking, 90,000 households. About a third of them are elderly. This is a lifeline program. It is not a lot—maybe \$350 a year. But it helps people with their heating costs.

What is going on now means that the heating costs are going to go way up. If we don't add some funding to this program, we are going to have people who are cold, or they will not buy prescription drugs, or they will not have food on the table. This is a huge issue.

I urge the President and the White House in negotiations to be strong on funding for LIHEAP. We need the additional \$500 million now and an additional \$500 million next year. We have to make sure this important lifeline program is funded.

I visited a lot of people in their homes. Many of them are elderly people. This makes a huge difference to them. I am really worried about what is going to happen.

By the way, for the information of colleagues, it is interesting to me that we have focused on OPEC countries. An interesting story came out in the past couple of days that the non-OPEC oil countries, that collectively produce more than half the world's crude oil, rather than producing more to meet

the additional demands, are producing less.

Exxon-Mobil—we have these mergers, acquisitions. We have monopolies and a cartel. I think they are in a position to fix prices. If there ever was a case to be made for antitrust action, this is a pretty decisive area in the economy where we ought to be looking at these conglomerates and holding them accountable for putting more competition into this industry.

#### APPROPRIATIONS AND HEALTH CARE

Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, Senator MOYNIHAN, Senator DASCHLE, and others have introduced a bill of which I am a cosponsor. It is really important. I didn't support the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. I thought it was a mistake. I didn't understand how this projected \$116 billion in Medicare cuts was actually going to work on the ground with our hospitals, HMOs, and nursing homes—you name it. The projected cost is actually \$200 million less by way of funding.

Last year, we did a "fix." We restored approximately an additional \$16 billion or \$17 billion. It did not solve the problem. We now have a bill and a request of \$8 billion over the next 10 years. This is critically important. In Minnesota, in 1999, 54 of our 139 hospitals operated with less than a 2-percent margin, and 27 percent of them are in the red.

Whether it is an inner-city hospital, such as Hennepin County General, or rural hospitals, I tell Senators—Democrats and Republicans alike—that we made a huge mistake. We should have never voted for these draconian cuts in Medicare reimbursements. I don't know what is in the world we were thinking. I didn't vote for it. But I say "we" because I am a Member of the Senate, and proud to be a Member of the Senate.

But we have to restore a significant amount of this funding because both in the inner city and in the rural areas where there is a disproportionate number of elderly and low-income people, these providers are not making it. Rural hospitals will shut down. This is not just a crisis for rural communities. Employers lack health care for people. And Hennepin County General, which is, I think, a sacred place, is such an important hospital. They are struggling because of what we did in 1997.

This piece of legislation we have introduced will call for \$80 billion to be restored for this funding. It is critically important if we care about the care for the elderly, low-income, rural, and inner-city communities.

I hope Democrats and Republicans alike in this final week of negotiations will come together and support not only our providers but also support the people in our State who really count on this care.

As long as we are talking about the last couple of weeks, I want to ask Sen-

ator HARKIN to share with me his reaction.

We had a vote yesterday. We had two appropriations bills, Postal-Treasury and legislative branch appropriations, which were merged together. Legislative branch got through and Postal-Treasury never came to the floor of the Senate. It was put into the conference report. Part of the idea was that you could have a salary increase, which may be fine, but of course we don't raise the minimum wage for people. The idea would be then we would have an opportunity to have up-or-down amendments and a vote on the minimum wage. If we can raise the salaries above \$140,000, we ought to be able to vote for the minimum wage for the working poor people of the country. Senators voted against that bill.

Now I hear that the majority leader is talking about a lame duck session. Am I correct? I ask my colleague from Iowa. I would like to go back and forth in some discussion with my colleague from Iowa about this.

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, I thank my friend from Minnesota for bringing this up, and for his earlier statement on the plight of our small rural hospitals and relief for them. He was talking about the smaller hospitals, but it is really the people in our small towns and communities who need the relief. I thank him for bringing that up.

I serve on the Appropriations Committee. I have been on it now for 15 years. I am ranking member on the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Subcommittee I also serve on a number of others—Agriculture, Foreign Operations, and others.

I was disturbed, I say to my friend, to read in Congress Daily this morning that Senate Majority Leader LOTT said our failure to pass these two bills yesterday "increases the possibility of a lame duck session after the November elections." He told reporters: I always thought that was a possibility anyway. Senate Appropriations Committee Chairman STEVENS told reporters: In my opinion, now we are ready for a postelection session. We just don't have time to get 11 bills through in 9 days.

I say to my friend from Minnesota, we have been here for 9 months, haven't we? What have we been doing? What has happened to the 9 months? We've done nothing. Eleven out of thirteen appropriations bills have not been passed—11. Here is what's going on: The Republicans in charge don't want to vote on a Patients' Bill of Rights. They don't want to vote on it. They don't want to vote on prescription drugs for the elderly. They don't want to vote on increasing the minimum wage. What do they want to do? Put it off until after the election, have a lame duck session.

I don't understand how this complies with what our responsibilities are, what the people elected us for, what we get paid to do around here. That is, to