

Latham	Otter	Shows
LaTourette	Owens	Shuster
Leach	Oxley	Simmons
Lee	Pallone	Simpson
Levin	Pascarell	Skeen
Lewis (CA)	Pastor	Skelton
Lewis (GA)	Paul	Slaughter
Lewis (KY)	Payne	Smith (MI)
Linder	Pelosi	Smith (NJ)
Lipinski	Pence	Smith (TX)
LoBiondo	Peterson (MN)	Smith (WA)
Lofgren	Peterson (PA)	Snyder
Lowey	Petri	Solis
Lucas (KY)	Phelps	Souder
Lucas (OK)	Pickering	Spratt
Luther	Pitts	Stearns
Lynch	Platts	Stenholm
Maloney (CT)	Pombo	Strickland
Maloney (NY)	Pomeroy	Stump
Manzullo	Portman	Stupak
Markey	Price (NC)	Sununu
Mascara	Pryce (OH)	Sweeney
Matheson	Putnam	Tancredo
Matsui	Quinn	Tanner
McCarthy (MO)	Radanovich	Tauscher
McCarthy (NY)	Rahall	Tauzin
McCollum	Ramstad	Taylor (MS)
McDermott	Rangel	Taylor (NC)
McGovern	Regula	Terry
McHugh	Rehberg	Thomas
McInnis	Reyes	Thompson (CA)
McIntyre	Reynolds	Thornberry
McKeon	Riley	Thune
McKinney	Rivers	Thurman
McNulty	Rodriguez	Tiahrt
Meehan	Roemer	Tiberi
Meek (FL)	Rogers (KY)	Tierney
Meeks (NY)	Rogers (MI)	Toomey
Menendez	Rohrabacher	Towns
Mica	Ros-Lehtinen	Trafficant
Millender-	Ross	Turner
McDonald	Rothman	Udall (CO)
Miller, Dan	Roukema	Udall (NM)
Miller, Gary	Roybal-Allard	Upton
Miller, George	Royce	Velazquez
Miller, Jeff	Rush	Viscosky
Mink	Ryan (WI)	Vitter
Mollohan	Ryun (KS)	Walden
Moore	Sabo	Walsh
Moran (KS)	Sanchez	Wamp
Moran (VA)	Sanders	Waters
Morella	Sandlin	Watkins (OK)
Murtha	Sawyer	Watson (CA)
Myrick	Saxton	Watt (NC)
Nadler	Schaffer	Watts (OK)
Napolitano	Schakowsky	Waxman
Neal	Schiff	Weiner
Nethercutt	Schrock	Weldon (FL)
Ney	Scott	Weldon (PA)
Northup	Sensenbrenner	Weller
Norwood	Serrano	Whitfield
Nussle	Sessions	Wicker
Oberstar	Shadegg	Wilson
Obey	Shaw	Wolf
Olver	Shays	Woolsey
Ortiz	Sherman	Wu
Osborne	Sherwood	Wynn
Ose	Shimkus	Young (FL)

NAYS—2

Berkley

NOT VOTING—9

Brown (FL)	Hall (OH)	Thompson (MS)
Cubin	Herger	Wexler
Dunn	McCrery	Young (AK)

□ 1116

Messrs. STEARNS, SHAYS and ABERCROMBIE changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the conference report accom-

panying H.R. 2311, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Alabama?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2311, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2002

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 272, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 2311) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 272, the conference report is considered as having been read.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of October 30, 2001, at page H7418.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Alabama (Mr. CALLAHAN) and the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. VISCLOSKY) each will control 30 minutes.

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to present to the House the conference report on H.R. 2311, the fiscal year 2002 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

At the outset, I would like to state how pleased I am that the conference committee was able to work out the dramatic differences between the House and Senate bills so amicably and to such a positive effect. Given the great divide over the House and Senate priorities, many concluded that we would never be able to resolve our differences. Not only did we resolve those differences, we did so in such a way that the critical priorities of the House and Senate were carefully protected.

I am proud of the agreement struck between the House and Senate on energy and water development programs. It was a difficult and arduous negotiation, but the product of our deliberations is a package that will help strengthen our defense, rebuild our critical infrastructure, and increase our scientific knowledge.

The total amount included in the conference agreement for energy and water programs is \$24.6 billion. This is \$391 million over the amount included in the House-passed bill and about \$2.1 billion over the budget request.

I am especially pleased with the level of funding we have recommended for the civil works program of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. At \$4.5 billion, the recommended funding is \$586 million higher than the administration's inadequate budget request. The majority of this increase, about \$391 million, is in the Corps' construction program. While that may sound like a large increase, the amount we have

recommended is about the same as the amount the Corps spent in fiscal year 2001 on construction. If we had funded the construction program at the level requested by the administration, the result would have been schedule delays, increased project costs, and the loss of project benefits.

For the Bureau of Reclamation, we have provided \$914 million, which is \$95 million above the budget request.

For the nondefense programs of the Department of Energy, we were able to provide modest increases over the last year for several programs. The basic research performed by the Department of Energy has led to many of the technological breakthroughs that have helped our economy grow. These programs will even be more important as we move into the 21st century.

I am pleased to report that the additional allocation we received has enabled us to fund these programs slightly above the levels requested by the administration. For renewable energy programs, we were able to provide about \$19 million over the House-passed level.

For the Atomic Energy Defense Programs of the Department of Energy, the conference agreement includes \$14.7 billion, a significant increase of almost \$1.2 billion over the budget request. These funds will ensure that we have a reliable and safe nuclear weapons stockpile, continue to fund important nuclear nonproliferation programs to secure nuclear materials in Russia, and meet our commitments to communities throughout the United States to clean up the damage done to the environment over the past 40 years.

I want to thank my Senate counterpart, Chairman HARRY REID, and his ranking minority member, Senator PETE DOMENICI, for their cooperation and hard work. Moreover, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my colleagues on the House Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development, whose devoted efforts made this conference report possible.

I am especially grateful to my good friend and ranking member, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. VISCLOSKY). I want to thank our full committee chairman, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG), and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) for their cooperation in enabling us to bring this conference report before the House today.

Finally, I would like to express my deep appreciation and sincere gratitude to the House Appropriations staff for the Subcommittee for Energy and Water Development: Bob Schmidt, Jeanne Wilson, Kevin Cook, Paul Tuminello, Tracey LaTurner, Dave Killian, Rich Kaelin, Jennifer Watkins, and my personal staff, Mike Sharp and Nancy Tippins.

Their expertise, knowledge, and negotiating skills have helped produce the bipartisan product that we present for Members' consideration today, and each is to be commended for their fine