

the rate of single taxpayers, so more families can take advantage of this. Corporations and other entities, including tax-exempt groups, are permitted to make contributions to education savings accounts. These changes are effective right now, this taxable year.

Then we have expanded consideration of prepaid tuition programs. Several provisions will encourage participation in prepaid tuition programs for higher education. Investment gains will be tax free, and private colleges and universities happen to be offering these plans. This provision goes into effect now.

There is an exclusion for employer-provided educational assistance. This extends the exclusion to graduate education and makes the exclusion for undergraduate and graduate education permanent, effective right now.

Then we have improvement in the student loan interest deduction. This eliminates the 60-month limit on the deduction of interest from a student loan. The income phaseout ranges, for eligibility for the student loan interest deduction, increasing it from \$50,000 to \$65,000 for individuals and from \$100,000 to \$130,000 for married taxpayers on joint returns. We repeal the restriction that voluntary payments of interest are not deductible. These provisions are effective right now.

Then we have tax benefits for governmental bonds for public school construction. These benefits are effective for bonds issued starting this year.

There is a deduction for college tuition, a provision allowing above-the-line deduction for college tuition expenses. It is intended to help low- and middle-income families pay for college.

In the years 2002 and 2003, individuals with adjusted gross incomes of \$65,000 may deduct \$3,000. In the years 2004 and 2005, for those same individuals it would be \$4,000. In the case of taxpayers with adjusted gross income that does not exceed \$80,000, the deduction would be \$2,000.

I just read a lot of provisions that were taken from the tax bill. I started my remarks by talking about the stimulus impact of the tax bill we passed 7 months ago, the impact it is going to have at a time of recession. People might raise some question about the education provisions to which I just referred, of their stimulative impact. In a time of recession, obviously beyond the good that education does generally to help people in their lives in the future, we have a situation where maybe in a recession, families would shy away from going to college—their kids going to college, or adults, independent adults going to college. As they look at the provisions of last year's tax bill and the benefits that come from it, they might see the advantage of continuing their education, even at a time of recession.

Any of that money that is spent as a result of that would obviously have some impact as stimulus in the economy. But for the long haul, it is a stim-

ulus, too, because as people are better educated, they are more productive; they earn more money. It helps the long-term recovery of our economy.

I want to make some reference to the estate and gift tax provisions. These have a beneficial impact, but they are not entirely stimulative for right now. Again, we have small business people who tend to be the most harmed by not being able to pass on the family business to their next generation. There is always a lot of anxiety during times of recession and during times of economic downturn.

We ought to do whatever we can to relieve the anxiety of small business people who are under very tough constraints because of the recession. We ought to relieve that anxiety to the greatest extent possible.

It gives me a chance to say what Senator KYL said just before I took the floor; that is, that we have an opportunity on this economic stimulative package to make sure that the estate tax provisions of the bill the President signed last June be made permanent.

I am going to yield the floor at this point. I thank my colleagues for their attention to some provisions of an old story—the tax bill of last year, a tax bill that is going to have beneficial impacts well into the future but, most importantly, has some impact right now as we are in a time of recession.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. CLINTON). The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. LEAHY. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate is in morning business.

Mr. LEAHY. I thank the Chair.

#### NEW YORK

Mr. LEAHY. Madam President, I compliment the distinguished Presiding Officer, and her distinguished colleague, Senator SCHUMER, for not only the State of New York but for the City of New York.

I had the privilege of attending the economic summit in New York City this weekend. I saw the distinguished Presiding Officer on several occasions. In fact, I was beginning to think that somehow she had been cloned because she was attending and speaking and was involved in so many different events.

I know the economic summit came to New York City as a gesture of solidarity with the city after the terrible events of last fall. They came there knowing that not only would they bring people from around the world as well as from our own country, but they would bring the press from around the world to show the world that New York City is open, and New York City is in a position to handle, as it always has, any group of any size for any purpose. I want to say that New York City did.

I was extraordinarily impressed with the level of everything from commun-

ication—New York's finest was there—to the continuing work at ground zero. My wife and I and our daughter visited to see again the work that continues by these brave men and women from the New York Fire Department, who are still working there. The police department is still working there, and other agencies as well as volunteers.

I was gratified to see while we were there a number of foreign visitors going to ground zero. Anybody has to be moved just reading the notes that have been left there by family members. While we were there, foreign delegations were laying wreaths and paying homage.

The point, though, is that New York City reflects, really, what is best in America. We have seen a major city of commerce, of education, of entertainment, and of history badly damaged that came right back, and was able to demonstrate that to the rest of the world.

As one coming from the State of Vermont, I sometimes hear regional accents at their best when I go to New York City. I am sure that New Yorkers feel the same way when they come to Vermont. But the accent I heard was one of hope, of excitement, of all the best things that are reflected by that city.

I commend not only the two Senators, my two friends from New York, but everybody—from the mayor to the Governor, and everyone who has worked so hard on this. New York City is open for business, as it was for some members of the Leahy family. It was a pleasure to be there.

#### ON THE CONFIRMATION OF JUDGE PHILIP MARTINEZ

Mr. LEAHY. I commend the Majority Leader and our Assistant Majority Leader for bringing the confirmation of Judge Martinez of Texas to a successful conclusion today. I also want to thank Senator DURBIN for having chaired the hearing in December that laid the groundwork for the confirmation of Judge Martinez and four other federal judges.

At the Committee meeting at which we considered the nomination of Judge Martinez, I inserted in the RECORD a letter I had recently received from Congressman SILVESTRE REYES of Texas strongly endorsing him. Congressman REYES noted that the court to which Judge Martinez is nominated is facing a criminal caseload of over 2,000 cases with a single active judge in the El Paso region personally trying to manage over 1,100 criminal cases. I say to Congressman REYES and Judge Briones, help should be on the way very soon in the person of Judge Martinez.

It was not so long ago, when the Senate was under Republican control, that it took 943 days to confirm Judge Hilda Tagle to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. She was first nominated in August 1995, but not confirmed until