

In contrast, President Bush's nominees, with only a couple of early exceptions, as I noted, enjoy the full support of both home State senators. We should hold hearings and votes on those without delay. Let me mention one in particular that means a great deal to me: Michael McConnell, a nominee for the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Professor McConnell is a consensus pick not only between his home State Senators but also among many others who know his scholarship, his temperament, and his commitment to the rule of law. His nomination has been applauded by legal scholars and lawyers from across the political spectrum. Professors Laurence Tribe, Charles Fried, Cass Sunstein, Akhil Amar, Larry Lessig, Sanford Levinson, Douglas Laycock, and Dean John Sexton are among those who have praised McConnell's integrity, ability, and fairminded approach to legal issues. He enjoys broad support among the bar and the academy in his home State of Utah.

On a broader level, McConnell is regarded as fairminded and nonpartisan. He publicly opposed the impeachment of President Clinton, and wrote in support of the position taken by Justices Souter and Breyer in *Bush v. Gore*. He was part of the volunteer legal team that successfully defended Chicago Mayor Harold Washington, the city's first African American mayor, in a dispute with the Board of Aldermen. McConnell wrote an article in the *Wall Street Journal* suggesting the nomination of Stephen Breyer to the Supreme Court, and supported a number of Clinton judicial nominations. These facts are among the reasons that McConnell's appointment has been praised by a number of former Clinton administration officials, including Acting Solicitor General Walter Dellinger, Deputy White House Counsel William Marshall, Domestic Policy Advisors Bill Galston and Elena Kagan, and Associate Attorney General John Schmidt.

Professor McConnell is best known in academic circles for his scholarship in the area of Free Exercise. He has generally sided with the "liberal" wing of the Supreme Court on this issue, arguing for a vigorous protection for the rights of religious minorities. In one opinion, Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia described McConnell as "the most prominent scholarly critic" of Scalia's more limited view of Free Exercise rights. In the related area of Establishment of Religion, McConnell has argued that religious perspectives should be given equal—but not favored—treatment in the public sphere. Thus, he has testified against a School Prayer amendment, while supporting the rights of religious citizens and groups to receive access to public resources on an equal basis. This record indicates a thoughtful and principled approach that is worthy of great respect from all sides. Professor McConnell will be a careful, thoughtful and unquestionably fair judge when he is confirmed to the Tenth Circuit. We

should have voted to confirm him last summer. There is certainly no reason to put off his hearing any further.

As I said at the beginning of my remarks, I am optimistic that the committee will continue the good start we have made in the past 2 weeks. There is no reason not to. We have plenty of work ahead of us. For those who look to the past for guidance, note that in 1994, the second year of President Clinton's first term, the Senate confirmed 100 judicial nominees. I am confident that Republicans and Democrats can work together to achieve, or even hopefully exceed, 100 confirmations in 2002—President Bush's second year in office. I look forward to working together with Chairman LEAHY and my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to accomplish this goal.

THE DISASTER IN NIGERIA

Mr. FEINGOLD. Madam President, I rise to express my concern regarding recent events in Nigeria. On January 27, an armory of the Nigerian military located within the massive city of Lagos erupted in a series of explosions, prompting desperate residents to flee the area. Reports indicate that more than 1,000 Nigerians were killed that night, many trampled to death or drowned in nearby canals as they tried to escape the disaster. Many of those who escaped with their lives lost their possessions and remain displaced. Disturbingly, reports quickly surfaced suggesting that child traffickers attempted to take advantage of the tragedy, raising questions about the fate of the missing. The entire episode, is horrifying, and my deepest sympathies go out to the families of the area.

But, I fear that this incident, whatever its precise cause, is only one more in a series of horrors visited on the Nigerian people. My colleagues have undoubtedly read about soaring levels of communal violence in this critically important African state. Such violence now grips parts of Lagos, adding to the sense of insecurity and fear in a city that just suffered such a terrible series of blasts. Yet sadly, reports of fighting in Lagos sound all too familiar, given recent history in Jos, in Kano, in Nasarawa, in Bauchi, and in the delta region.

In some cases, the government failed to act. For example, Human Rights Watch recently released a report indicating that the Nigerian authorities could have done more to prevent the massacres in Jos in September, where as many as a thousand Nigerians may have been killed in one week.

Yet in other cases, security forces have turned on civilians, as is alleged to have happened in Benue in October. Consistent and reliable reports indicated that many unarmed civilians were killed and a great deal of private property destroyed when members of the armed forces sought revenge for the murder of their fellow soldiers by a local militia group. The facts sur-

rounding this incident are still in dispute, but coming in the wake of the 1999 incident in Odi, where the Nigerian military massacred hundreds of civilians, this incident calls into question the wisdom of continued engagement with the Nigerian military. If that force is truly committed to reform, those responsible for killing civilians in Benue must be held accountable for their actions.

In addition, the manner in which sharia, or Islamic law, is being implemented in parts of northern Nigeria calls into question the country's commitment to fundamental and universal human rights. The case, recently highlighted by the *New York Times*, of a woman sentenced to be stoned to death after having been found guilty of adultery, raises a number of important questions. In her case, her pregnancy was evidence of her guilt in the eyes of the court, although the alleged father of the baby was set free after the same court concluded it lacked sufficient evidence to prosecute him. The relationship between the court's decision, the sentence, and the protections contained in Nigeria's constitution is utterly unclear. The Nigerian government's silence on these pressing issues is baffling.

It is not my intention to encourage pessimism about Africa in this body. And no one wants Nigeria's democracy to succeed more than I do. But all is not well in Nigeria, and we do our Nigerian partners no favors when we pretend that the situation is better than it is. The Nigerian people want what all people want—a chance to improve their lives and the lives of their children. It is no surprise that many are dissatisfied, as it is hard to seize opportunities in a context of violence and corruption. Elections were an important first step in Nigeria's transition from the dark days of military rule. But for too many Nigerians, the days are still quite dark.

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Madam President, I rise today to speak about hate crimes legislation I introduced with Senator KENNEDY in March of last year. The Local Law Enforcement Act of 2001 would add new categories to current hate crimes legislation sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

I would like to describe a terrible crime that occurred in March 1996 in La Verne, CA. The president of a gay students' organization was attacked by two men. The assailants, Eric Britton, 20, and David Riffle, 19, were each charged with battery and civil rights violations in connection with the incident.

I believe that government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act of 2001 is now a symbol that can become substance. I believe