

## EXHIBIT 3

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—MILITARY (051)—  
DISCRETIONARY  
(In billions of dollars)

Year	Budget authority
2002	330.8
2003	1 379.3
2004	387.9
2005	408.8
2006	429.6
2007	451.4
2008	463.7
2009	476.3
2010	489.3
2011	502.7
2012	516.4
2003-12	4,505.3

Includes \$10 billion request for the Defense Emergency Response Fund.  
Source: Office of Management and Budget, January 24, 2002.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kansas.

COMMENDING PRESIDENT  
MUSHARRAF OF PAKISTAN

Mr. BROWNBACK. Madam President, I appreciate the comments from my colleague from West Virginia and his thoughts. We have some important decisions to make.

I speak on an event taking place currently in the Capitol, the welcoming of the President of Pakistan. Yesterday, we passed a resolution welcoming President Musharraf of Pakistan to the United States. He arrived in Washington last night. He will be here for a couple of days.

I rise to call attention to this visit of President Musharraf and praise his courageous leadership in standing by the United States in its war on terrorism. President Musharraf has taken action within his own country to align with the international community to reject terrorism. It has been a very difficult task for him. Pakistan has been in a great deal of turmoil. President Musharraf has worked to bring calm and peace to that region. But when we went forward with our efforts in Afghanistan, which to date have been quite successful, this was a very trying time in Pakistan.

President Musharraf stood by his commitment to end terrorism, stood by his commitment to work with the United States. That has been a help in our efforts in that region of the world and for the future of Pakistan and relationships with the United States.

In a speech last month, President Musharraf set Pakistan on a new course with his version of a moderate, dynamic, Muslim nation. He reminded the Pakistani people that charity begins at home. It was time to fight the root causes of extremism: poverty, and illiteracy. He has done this at great risk to himself on behalf of a peaceful and prosperous future for Pakistan. He has opened the way to eventual true peace with India. It is an important message for Pakistan, for South Asia, and for the whole world.

President Bush also made note of President Musharraf's important leadership in the State of the Union Address. The President said: Pakistan is

now cracking down on terror, and I admire the strong leadership of President Musharraf.

Pakistan's support remains essential to our fight against terrorism. We are grateful to President Musharraf for his leadership. Without it, Operation Enduring Freedom could not have been accomplished and could not have received its accomplishments or made the accomplishments that it has to date. We owe much to the Pakistani people. However, the fight is not yet over and risks still remain. Violent extremists could still undermine peace and security in the region. As we isolate our enemies, so, too, must we aid and draw closer to our friends.

Pakistan's bold stand against terror alongside the United States is not made in a vacuum. There are real economic and social consequences in Pakistan for assisting the United States in our war effort. It would be a failure of U.S. foreign policy not to pursue the means of assisting our ally in its time of need. We must provide assistance to Pakistan in all the areas that will help keep it on track with President Musharraf's vision for a prosperous, strong, independent, modern Islamic state, a democracy of capital markets.

As we have all seen, a small yet very focused and vocal Islamic minority within Pakistan has spoken out against the Pakistani Government and the assistance it received from the United States. The small minority has called for and implemented damaging labor strikes and encouraged countless numbers of young Pakistanis to cross the border into Afghanistan to fight alongside the Taliban. This is a strong vocal minority in Pakistan. A further weakened economy and increased unemployment in Pakistan, the clear results of some weakened markets that have taken place because of the war on terrorism, only add to the influence of fundamentalists in Pakistan by strengthening social and economic unrest on which extremists prey.

This is why it is crucial that the United States now provide assistance and support to Pakistan. It is time to make sure that our policies of all sorts—economic, social policies, geopolitical policies—reflect what is best for America, not only in terms of our economy but also for our future security. Helping Pakistan through this difficult and necessary transition is in the direct interests of the United States. We must support those willing to take on the fight for freedom if we are to see our values flourish around the world.

I am delighted President Musharraf is visiting the United States at this time. I know he will receive a strong, positive welcome from the United States.

## PHILIPPINES

Mr. BROWNBACK. Madam President, I will draw the Senate's attention to a second matter. In the Philippines we

have troops performing training exercises with the Philippine military. This is very important in helping to subdue a terrorist group called Abu Sayyaf. They have a couple of my constituents. They are being held by the Abu Sayyaf terrorists. We are hopeful this exercise in the Philippines that the Filipino troops are carrying out and the training exercise the United States is doing with the Philippines will result in that group, the Abu Sayyaf, being subdued; the Americans being freed safely and being returned home to their families. They have been held since May of last year and have been on the move constantly in the jungle.

I am appreciative of the administration for stepping forward.

## IRAQ

Mr. BROWNBACK. Madam President, as Senator BYRD mentioned, we have serious issues to contemplate concerning Iraq. This is a country we have had conflict with before, a country that has weapons of mass destruction. Iraq has been at war with itself and its neighbors for 22 of the 23 years that Saddam Hussein has ruled that country. The people of Iraq have not known peace under Saddam Hussein.

History reveals repression at home is often the breeding ground for outside aggression. Iraq is certainly a case in point. There has been no peace in Iraq since Saddam Hussein came to power more than two decades ago. First, he declared war on Iran, a war that lasted nearly a decade. He then declared war on the Iraq Kurdish population in the north. He even used chemical weapons against them in his pursuit of total and absolute control of Iraq.

After the war with the Kurds, he declared war on Kuwait, calling Kuwait an integral part of Iraq. Since his defeat at the hands of the U.S.-led coalition, Saddam has spent the past decade defying the United Nations and the United Nations imposed agreements and building weapons of mass destruction to use against his next victims.

History has also shown that authoritarian dictators do not successfully become integrated into civilized society. On the contrary, they seek any and all means to pursue their goals and perceive any positive overtures towards them as acts of weakness on the part of their adversaries. It has been the policy of the U.S. Government to seek the overthrow of Saddam Hussein since the passage of the 1997 Iraq Liberation Act. This policy is strongly supported—it was then and is now—by both Houses of Congress and both parties. It was also embraced by President Bush in the Republican Party platform.

This is going to be a key issue as we continue to look at what we are going to do to remove Saddam Hussein from power. We are not safe. That region of the world is not safe as long as Saddam Hussein rules in Iraq. This situation is not tenable over the long term. I am hopeful we can move forward to see