

RECORD between himself and Senator REID, I think all concerns that have been raised on our side are resolved. There is no objection to the adoption of the amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

The amendment (No. 3009) was agreed to.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, I rise in support of the amendment by the senior Senator from New Mexico. I appreciate the junior Senator's acceptance of it.

The amendment, as noted, establishes an Office of Spent Fuel within the Department of Energy. It is important that Congress address the range of alternatives to deal with spent fuel from nuclear reactors. This amendment goes a long way to accomplish that.

I have served here 21 years with Senator DOMENICI. He has been a tireless advocate of pursuing the advancement of nuclear energy. Last year he introduced S. 472, which is a comprehensive energy bill and nuclear bill, and the committee held several hearings. He understands we must have a diverse and responsible energy mix if we ever hope to reduce our dependence significantly on Saddam Hussein and his oil.

Currently, nuclear energy provides 20 percent of the electricity in this country. It is taken for granted by many. It is a clean, nonemitting generation and produces no greenhouse gases, no SO<sub>x</sub>, no NO<sub>x</sub>. There are 103 operating reactors in 31 States.

Senator DOMENICI's Office of Spent Fuel is an important part of the future of nuclear energy in this country, and we must deal with the issue of spent fuel. This will require research on all fronts.

The language of the amendment was part of S. 1287, the Nuclear Waste Act amendments that passed the Senate in the last Congress. The office would examine the treatment, recycling, and disposal of high-level reactive wastes and spent fuel, and consequently I strongly urge its support. I thank the Members for the adoption of this amendment.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION NOMINATIONS

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, I come to the floor to talk again about two

nominees, Mr. Emil Frankel, to be Assistant Secretary of Transportation, and Jeffrey Shane, to be Associate Deputy Secretary of Transportation.

I, again, urge the holds that are being placed on these nominations to move forward. It is been 3 months since they were reported unanimously out of the Commerce Committee.

I know both individuals and they are highly qualified. Both of them are nominated for very important jobs in the Department of Transportation. All of us know, in light of the events of September 11, that these jobs are vital to America's security.

I said earlier in my remarks that I had not put a hold on a nominee. What I meant to say—and I would like to correct the record at this time—is that I have put holds on nominees, but I have never done so anonymously. I have stood up and said that I had holds on nominees. On the holds I have put on over the years, I have been here and stated my reasons why. I have not done so anonymously.

I hope the unnamed Member or Members who have a hold on Mr. Shane and Mr. Frankel will come forward. So, I hope, again, that the Senate will consider these two highly qualified nominees. If there are areas that are not related to these nominees, as far as transportation is concerned, I will be pleased to work with any Member to try to get those concerns satisfied.

Again, I would like to correct the record when I stated earlier that I had never put a hold on a nominee. I have never anonymously put a hold on a nominee. And I have forced votes on other nominees as well.

I hope the holds on Mr. Frankel and Mr. Shane will be removed soon. We are in danger of losing those individuals because, understandably, after a period of 3 months, they have to get on with their lives. And that certainly is understandable.

So I hope we will move forward with their nominations soon and the holds will be lifted. Again, I stand ready to work with any Member who has a hold on their nominations if there is any way we can resolve any problems that they might have.

I also state that I never put a hold on a nominee because there was some unrelated issue. I put holds on nominees in the past because I did not think they were qualified, and I stated so.

So I hope that clarifies the record on that. But that does not detract from the fact—whether I ever did or did not—that these are two qualified nominees. It has now been over 3 months since they were reported out of the Commerce Committee and they deserve to have the opportunity to serve.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Mexico.

#### NATIONAL LABORATORIES PARTNERSHIP IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2001—Continued

AMENDMENTS NOS. 3010 AND 3011, EN BLOC, TO  
AMENDMENT NO. 2917

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I send two amendments to the desk and ask that they be considered en bloc and adopted en bloc. I believe they have been cleared on both sides.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the pending amendments are set aside.

The clerk will report the amendments.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from New Mexico [Mr. BINGAMAN] proposes amendments numbered 3010 and 3011 en bloc to amendment No. 2917.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent reading of the amendments be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendments, en bloc, are as follows:

#### AMENDMENT NO. 3010

(Purpose: To include biobased polymers and chemicals in the biofuels program)

On page 405, strike line 16 and all that follows through line 23, and insert the following:

(6) BIOFUELS.—The goal of the biofuels program shall be to develop, in partnership with industry—

(A) advanced biochemical and thermochemical conversion technologies capable of making liquid and gaseous fuels from cellulosic feedstocks that are price-competitive with gasoline or diesel in either internal combustion engines or fuel cell vehicles by 2010; and

(B) advanced biotechnology processes capable of making biofuels, biobased polymers, and chemicals, with particular emphasis on the development of biorefineries that use enzyme based processing systems.

For purposes of this paragraph, the term "cellulosic feedstock" means any portion of a food crop not normally used in food production or any non-food crop grown for the purpose of producing biomass feedstock.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 3011

(Purpose: To direct the Secretary of Energy to study designs for high temperature hydrogen-producing nuclear reactors)

On page 443, strike lines 21 through page 444, line 2 and insert the following:

(2) examine—

(A) advanced proliferation-resistant and passively safe reactor designs;

(B) new reactor designs with higher efficiency, lower cost, and improved safety;

(C) in coordination with activities carried out under the amendments made by section 1223, designs for a high temperature reactor capable of producing large-scale quantities of hydrogen using thermo-chemical processes;

(D) proliferation-resistant and high-burn-up nuclear fuels;

(E) minimization of generation of radioactive materials;

(F) improved nuclear waste management technologies; and

(G) improved instrumentation science;

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alaska.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, the amendments have been cleared on this