

We are basically turning our gaze from that and are quite uncritical. The good work that has been done has been done by Senator LEAHY. There are other Senators who care as well, and I appreciate some of the work on human rights conditions, and I appreciate some of the work he has done to slow this down.

Senators, I want it on the record—I will have a better formal statement in writing with much more clear evidence, many more facts and figures—that I believe we are making a profound mistake.

I say to the Ambassador, Anne Patterson, whom I met, I know we don't agree on all things. She is doing a heroic job under very difficult circumstances, but I do not believe this war against drugs has been anything close to a success. We are now making a change in policy that is of great concern to me. I don't want someone to say that nobody talked about this, or that there were no Senators who raised the questions about this change in policy. It is a small part of the overall bill, so I will vote for the bill, but I am absolutely opposed to this change in policy in relation to Colombia.

The administration is going in the wrong direction. I ask the administration to take human rights conditions more seriously.

With all due respect, do not certify that there has been compliance with human rights standards when that is patently not the case. I challenge anyone to go to Colombia and on the basis of 1 day come back here and say the military is doing a good job of protecting people. The people you met there, I am not talking about ELN or FARC, the civil society people, the people everyone here would respect who do the human rights work and economic development work, have nothing to do with the left guerrilla organizations. They are not opposed to the military and police but want their protection. They want to know how it can be that so many of them—innocent people who have had the courage to do this work—are murdered with impunity.

This administration seems to put all of those concerns in parenthesis, and this Senate, in this supplemental appropriations bill, to tell you the truth, is not giving a change in policy the kind of scrutiny and the kind of analysis or thoughtful deliberation we ought to give it. We are making a mistake.

MENTAL HEALTH RALLY

Mr. REID. Will the Senator answer a question?

Mr. WELLSTONE. I would be pleased.

Mr. REID. I was scheduled to appear with the Senator from Minnesota at a public hearing involving mental health. I was not able to be there because of floor duties. Would the Senator be kind enough to indicate what went on at that gathering today?

Mr. WELLSTONE. I thank the whip. First, we know Senator REID was not at the rally because of his duties in the Senate.

Senator REID from Nevada is a perfect example of someone who has taken his own life story in the most personal, powerful, and eloquent way and given a lot of other people inspiration. Thank you, thank you, thank you for your work.

It was very moving. I don't know what the temperature is out there, but it feels like it is 120. It was on the west side of the Capitol. The estimates were 1,500 or 2,000 people in attendance. There were a lot of people there for well over an hour.

A couple of things happened: First, this is a bill on the House side that now has 224 cosponsors. That is over a majority. That is enough to get a discharge petition. This is the work of MARGE ROUKEMA, Republican, and PATRICK KENNEDY, Democrat.

On the Senate side, the bill has 66 cosponsors. In addition, there are 200 organizations that support it. In addition, the majority of the people are saying end the discrimination. That is what it was about. Do not tell someone whose daughter is struggling with depression, and they are worried she might take her life: You only can have a few days in the hospital and that is it. You can only see a doctor a few times and that is it. Treat the illness like an illness, like any other illness, like a physical illness. End the discrimination.

It was very moving. People came to say end the discrimination. They came also to say it seems everyone is for it except the health insurance industry. Obviously, they are trying to block it. People are saying: We do not want to wait any longer.

My hope is the White House will be very involved in the negotiations. The President has called for full mental health parity. That is very important. We need the help. Last time we passed it on the Senate side and put it in the appropriations bill of Labor, Health, and Human Services, it was blocked in conference. This time, my hope is that we will get a chance to bring it to the floor this month. We have more than enough support. The House must pass it. The White House will weigh in. It may not be 100-percent perfect, but what a difference it will make.

Just to give some context, the people who came from all around the country, came to say the time is now. Just to give some context, I mentioned the New York Times, and the journalist should get a Pulitzer Prize for a three-part, front-page story. I could not bear to read it. It talked about adult care in New York City. Just imagine, in other States as well, people jumping out of windows because they do not get any care. They do not get pharmacological treatment—clients, men and women, adults in urine-stenched clothing day after day because of no adequate staffing; elderly people dying in the heat, in

the United States of America, in the year 2002. We can do better.

You asked me about the rally. Senator DOMENICI just came in, and it was really wonderful. Then we had a vote, so we had to leave. But Nancy Domenici was, what do you say, emceeding it? My own concern is that people drank enough water because it was so hot. But people are determined. People are determined to end the discrimination, to get this legislation passed. It was wonderful. I think it was really important.

They are working very hard today as citizen lobbyists, talking to Members of the House, hoping we will move to this legislation. That is what it is all about.

I see my colleague from New Mexico is here.

Mr. REID. The Senator from New Mexico and the Senator from Minnesota are in the Chamber. The Senator from Minnesota was speaking on another subject, and I asked him about the rally that I could not attend today, and he proceeded to tell us.

I want to spread on the record of this Senate the admiration and respect I have for the two Senators, both differing in political philosophies except that on this issue they are marching in lockstep to fruition. Because of their leadership and their advocacy, we are going to have, in this country, mental health care so someone who has a mental illness is going to be treated like someone who has a physical illness. They should be on a par. Because of the leadership of the two Senators, the Senator from Minnesota and the Senator from New Mexico, that is going to happen.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Mexico.

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I did not quite get here in time to ask the distinguished majority whip where we were in terms of the business of the Senate. Might I ask, what is the parliamentary situation? What is pending before the Senate?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. An amendment of the Senator from Arizona was set aside by consent to recur at 2 o'clock.

Mr. DOMENICI. I yield the floor.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2001—CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I submit a report of the committee of conference on the bill (S. 1372) and ask for its immediate consideration.