

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 344, noes 56, answered “present” 1, not voting 33, as follows:

[Roll No. 227]

YEAS—344

Abercrombie	Dingell	Kelly
Ackerman	Doggett	Kerns
Akin	Dooley	Kildee
Allen	Doolittle	Kilpatrick
Andrews	Doyle	Kind (WI)
Baca	Dreier	King (NY)
Bachus	Duncan	Kingston
Baker	Dunn	Kirk
Baldacci	Edwards	Klecзка
Ballenger	Ehlers	Knollenberg
Barcia	Ehrlich	Kolbe
Barr	Emerson	LaFalce
Barrett	Engel	LaHood
Bartlett	Eshoo	Lampson
Barton	Etheridge	Langevin
Bass	Evans	Lantos
Becerra	Everett	Larson (CT)
Bentsen	Farr	LaTourette
Bereuter	Fattah	Leach
Berkley	Ferguson	Lee
Berman	Flake	Levin
Berry	Foley	Lewis (CA)
Biggert	Fossella	Lewis (KY)
Billirakis	Frank	Linder
Bishop	Frelinghuysen	Lipinski
Blumenauer	Frost	Lofgren
Blunt	Gallegly	Lowe
Boehlert	Ganske	Lucas (KY)
Boehner	Gekas	Lucas (OK)
Bonilla	Gephardt	Luther
Bonior	Gibbons	Lynch
Boozman	Gilchrest	Maloney (CT)
Boswell	Gillmor	Maloney (NY)
Boucher	Gilman	Mascara
Boyd	Gonzalez	Matheson
Brady (TX)	Goode	Matsui
Brown (FL)	Goodlatte	McCarthy (MO)
Brown (SC)	Gordon	McCarthy (NY)
Bryant	Goss	McCollum
Burr	Graham	McCrey
Buyer	Granger	McHugh
Callahan	Graves	McIntyre
Calvert	Greenwood	McKeon
Camp	Grucci	McKinney
Cannon	Gutierrez	Meehan
Cantor	Hall (TX)	Meek (FL)
Capito	Hansen	Meeks (NY)
Capps	Harman	Mica
Cardin	Hastings (WA)	Millender-Hayes
Carson (IN)	Hayes	McDonald
Carson (OK)	Hayworth	Miller, Dan
Castle	Herger	Miller, Gary
Chabot	Hill	Miller, Jeff
Chambliss	Hinojosa	Mink
Clay	Hobson	Mollohan
Clement	Hoeffel	Moore
Clyburn	Hoekstra	Moran (VA)
Coble	Holden	Morella
Collins	Holt	Murtha
Condit	Honda	Myrick
Conyers	Hooley	Nadler
Cooksey	Horn	Napolitano
Cox	Hostettler	Neal
Coyne	Hoyer	Nethercutt
Cramer	Hunter	Ney
Crenshaw	Hyde	Northup
Crowley	Inslee	Norwood
Cubin	Isakson	Nussle
Culberson	Israel	Obey
Cummings	Issa	Ortiz
Cunningham	Istook	Osborne
Davis (CA)	Jackson (IL)	Ose
Davis (FL)	Jackson-Lee	Otter
Davis (IL)	(TX)	Oxley
Davis, Jo Ann	Jefferson	Pascarell
Davis, Tom	Jenkins	Pastor
Deal	John	Paul
DeGette	Johnson (CT)	Pelosi
Delahunt	Johnson (IL)	Pence
DeLauro	Johnson, Sam	Peterson (PA)
DeMint	Jones (NC)	Petri
Diaz-Balart	Kanjorski	Phelps
Dicks	Keller	Pickering

Platts	Saxton	Thomas
Pombo	Schiff	Thornberry
Pomeroy	Schrock	Thune
Portman	Sensenbrenner	Thurman
Pryce (OH)	Serrano	Tiahrt
Putnam	Sessions	Tiberi
Radanovich	Shadegg	Tierney
Rahall	Shaw	Toomey
Rangel	Shays	Turner
Regula	Sherman	Upton
Rehberg	Sherwood	Velazquez
Reyes	Shimkus	Vitter
Reynolds	Shows	Walden
Riley	Shuster	Walsh
Rivers	Simmons	Wamp
Rodriguez	Simpson	Watkins (OK)
Roemer	Skeen	Watson (CA)
Rogers (KY)	Skelton	Watt (NC)
Rogers (MI)	Slaughter	Watts (OK)
Rohrabacher	Smith (MI)	Waxman
Ros-Lehtinen	Smith (NJ)	Weiner
Ross	Smith (WA)	Weldon (FL)
Rothman	Snyder	Weldon (PA)
Roukema	Solis	Wexler
Roybal-Allard	Souder	Whitfield
Royce	Spratt	Wilson (NM)
Rush	Stearns	Wilson (SC)
Ryan (WI)	Sullivan	Wolf
Ryun (KS)	Sununu	Woolsey
Sanchez	Tauscher	Wynn
Sanchez	Tauzin	Young (FL)
Sandlin	Taylor (NC)	
Sawyer	Terry	

NAYS—56

Aderholt	Hulshof
Baird	Kennedy (MN)
Baldwin	Kucinich
Borski	Larsen (WA)
Brady (PA)	Latham
Brown (OH)	Lewis (GA)
Capuano	LoBiondo
Costello	Manzullo
Crane	Markey
DeFazio	McGovern
Filner	McNulty
Fletcher	Menendez
Green (TX)	Miller, George
Gutknecht	Moran (KS)
Hart	Oberstar
Hastings (FL)	Oliver
Hefley	Pallone
Hilliard	Payne
Hinche	Ramstad

ANSWERED “PRESENT”—1

Tancredo

NOT VOTING—33

Armye	Green (WI)	Peterson (MN)
Blagojevich	Hall (OH)	Pitts
Bono	Hilleary	Price (NC)
Burton	Houghton	Quinn
Clayton	Johnson, E. B.	Smith (TX)
Combest	Jones (OH)	Stark
DeLay	Kaptur	Stenholm
Deutsch	Kennedy (RI)	Stump
English	McDermott	Towns
Forbes	McInnis	Trafficant
Ford	Owens	Young (AK)

□ 1102

So the Journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island. Mr. Speaker, on June 13, 2002, I was unavoidably detained at the Martin Luther King Jr. National Memorial Project Board of Directors Meeting. Consequently I missed two votes.

Had I been here I would have voted: “yea” on rollcall No. 226; “aye” on rollcall No. 227.

PERMANENT MARRIAGE PENALTY RELIEF ACT OF 2002

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 440, I call up the bill (H.R. 4019) to provide that the marriage penalty relief provisions of the

Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 shall be permanent, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Pursuant to House Resolution 440, the bill is considered read for amendment.

The text of H.R. 4019 is as follows:

H.R. 4019

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. MARRIAGE PENALTY RELIEF PROVISIONS MADE PERMANENT.

Title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 (relating to sunset of provisions of such Act) shall not apply to title III of such Act (relating to marriage penalty relief).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. After 1 hour of debate on the bill, it shall be in order to consider an amendment printed in House Report 107–504, if offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL) or his designee, which shall be considered read, and shall be debatable for 1 hour, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent.

The gentleman from California (Mr. THOMAS) and the gentleman from California (Mr. MATSUI) each will control 30 minutes of debate on the bill.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMAS).

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, yesterday the House was privileged in a joint session to hear from the Prime Minister of Australia. It was, I hope, for most Members a rather refreshing presentation of the closeness of the two countries, because he provided us with a speech which pointed with pride and viewed with alarm.

He talked about areas in which we have common purpose, and areas where the Australians, through the Prime Minister as the head of the government, had some concern about legislation that we might be passing.

But I want to focus on one small statement that he made which I think has profound significance and which I had not quite heard it put the way the Prime Minister put it. He said that the best structure for social welfare is the family. And although we have discussed in many different ways the value and virtues of the family, the idea that from a societal point of view the ability to nurture the family structure as the best social welfare unit is, I think, what we are about today.

In this system, or in any system, if you do not want something, if you want to discourage it, you put up barriers. One of the cleanest barriers that you can put up to stop activity is to tax something. If it costs you more to do a particular behavior, you tend to do less of it. If we want to encourage a particular kind of behavior, we should reward it or create incentives for it, or, at the very least, make sure that in the