

the coast of India, in the middle of the Indian Ocean. The island is 40 miles around and encompasses an area of 6,720 acres, most of it dominated by a large lagoon. The land mass is actually very small. It is home to a joint British-United States Naval Support Facility, and while there are only a small handful of British Royal Navy personnel on the island, there is a larger, tight-knit team of American Air Force, Navy, and Army personnel on the island. These men and women serving on Diego Garcia are supporting B-52 bombing missions and other operations over Afghanistan. Many of them are from the 2nd Bomb Wing and the 917th Wing. Both units call Barksdale Air Force Base in Louisiana their home.

As a Nation, we provide members of our armed forces with a variety of benefits, all of them deserve. They receive hardship duty pay of \$150 per month for serving in austere regions of the World. They get imminent danger pay of \$150 per month as compensation for being in physical danger. One of the most generous benefits for those serving in the war on terrorism is the combat zone tax exclusion. Members of the armed services do not pay Federal tax on compensation they for any month of service inside a combat one. They only have to serve on day in the combat zone to get this benefit. The exclusion only applies to personnel who receive imminent danger pay.

On Diego Garcia, the pilots and flight crews who fly the missions over Afghanistan are eligible for the income tax exclusion because they receive imminent danger pay. But the men and women who load the bombers, fuel them, and maintain them are not eligible because they do not enter the combat zone. My office was contacted by the officers who fly the bombing missions about this discrepancy. They asked me to help out their support crews, a gesture of selflessness that I want to honor.

I recognize that the support crews may not receive imminent danger pay, but their situation is not too different from Naval personnel performing the same tasks on ships in the Arabian Sea. Naval support crews receive imminent danger pay and are eligible for the tax exclusion, but they do not enter Afghanistan.

Diego Garcia is a beautiful place, but it is a long way from home. The least we could do is treat everyone who has served on the island the same. That is what my bill will do.

My second bill will correct an omission in the Tax Reform Act of 1986. That Act contained a provision consolidating the laws regarding the tax treatment of certain military benefits. The Conference Report to that Act contains a long list of benefits to be excluded from gross income of military personnel. According to the report, this list was to be exhaustive. The problem is that child care benefits are not on that list.

I do not know if this omission was intentional. Perhaps at that time, child

care benefits were relatively unknown in the military. The Conference Report gives the Treasury Secretary the authority to expand the list of eligible benefits, but so far the Secretary has not provided any guidance to the Department of Defense as to how these benefits should be treated for tax purposes. While military families are not currently being taxed for child care benefits, the Department of Defense has indicated that it would like Congress to clarify that child care benefits are not subject to tax. My bill will give our military families and the Department of Defense a greater degree of certainty.

Throughout our history, in time of war we have worked to make sure that our armed forces have everything they need and we have spared no expense in meeting that need. But the men and women on the ground often have families back at home. We should make sure that we support them as well. I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

STATEMENTS ON SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 307—RE-AFFIRMING SUPPORT OF THE CONVENTION ON THE PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF THE CRIME OF GENOCIDE AND ANTICIPATING THE COMMEMORATION OF THE 15TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE ENACTMENT OF THE GENOCIDE CONVENTION IMPLEMENTATION ACT OF 1987 (THE PROXIMITY ACT) ON NOVEMBER 4, 2003

Mr. TORRICELLI submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 307

Whereas, in 1948, in the shadow of the Holocaust, the international community responded to Nazi Germany's methodically orchestrated acts of genocide by approving the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide;

Whereas the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide confirms that genocide is a crime under international law, defines genocide as certain acts committed with intent to destroy a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, and provides that parties to the Convention undertake to enact domestic legislation to provide effective penalties for persons who are guilty of genocide;

Whereas the United States, under President Harry Truman, stood as the first nation to sign the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide;

Whereas the United States Senate ratified the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide on February 19, 1986;

Whereas the Genocide Convention Implementation Act of 1987 (the Proximire Act) (Public Law 100-606), signed into law by President Ronald Reagan on November 4, 1988, amended the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1091) to criminalize genocide under the United States law;

Whereas the enactment of the Genocide Convention Implementation Act marked a

principled stand by the United States against the crime of genocide and an important step toward ensuring that the lessons of the Holocaust, the Armenian Genocide, the genocides in Cambodia and Rwanda, among others, will be used to help prevent future genocides;

Whereas, despite the international community's consensus against genocide, as demonstrated by the fact that 133 nations are party to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide and through other instruments and actions, denial of past instances of genocide continues and many thousands of innocent people continue to be victims of genocide; and

Whereas November 4, 2003 is the 15th anniversary of the enactment of the Genocide Convention Implementation Act of 1987 (the Proximire Act); Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) reaffirms its support of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide;

(2) anticipates the commemoration of the 15th anniversary of the enactment of the Genocide Convention Implementation Act of 1987 (the Proximire Act) on November 4, 2003; and

(3) encourages the people and Government of the United States to rededicate themselves to the cause of bringing an end to the crime of genocide.

SENATE RESOLUTION 308—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE "ONCE-A-DAY" PROGRAM TO PROMOTE LOCAL FARM PRODUCTS

Mrs. CLINTON submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry:

S. RES. 308

Whereas agriculture is a major industry in the United States, contributing \$82,000,000,000 to the gross domestic product of the United States in 2000;

Whereas the farmers in every State produce a wide variety of local foods;

Whereas locally-grown, seasonal foods are fresh and wholesome, with superior taste and nutrition;

Whereas eating fresh foods in season is vital to a healthy diet, promotes health, and supports an active lifestyle;

Whereas reduced time from field to table allows farmers to harvest fully-ripened produce;

Whereas this flavorful produce can be prepared with less fat, sugar, and salt;

Whereas during the months of August, September, and October there is a tremendous selection of fresh, locally-grown produce;

Whereas local farms provide jobs, attract tourists, and recirculate dollars into the local economy of our Nation;

Whereas local produce can be found at many locations such as farmers' markets, community-supported agriculture farms, farm stands, local stores, and restaurants;

Whereas if citizens of the United States would eat 1 item of local produce each day, every dollar spent on the produce would support independent family farms that contribute to the economic health of the United States; and

Whereas Dutchess County, New York, has already begun a "Once-a-Day" program to encourage local residents to buy local produce in support of their local farmers and their own health: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that—