

URGING CONGRESS TO COMPLETE LEGISLATION AUTHORIZING DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

(Mr. PENCE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, America is about to go to war. One of the fundamental principles of war is that before we project force, we secure our base of operations and supply lines.

In July of this year, the House of Representatives labored mightily for hours and days and weeks to craft legislation creating a new Department of Homeland Security. We passed legislation to secure our base and ensure lines of communication between those who ensure our domestic tranquility.

As we prepare to engage an enemy capable of attacking our Nation and our homeland, I rise today to urge my colleagues in the other body to act. This Congress must not adjourn before the elections until we create a Department of Homeland Security, and prepare this Nation for the realities and the dangers that lie ahead.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members will be reminded not to urge action from the other body.

RECOMMENDING PASSAGE OF A COMPREHENSIVE AND BALANCED ENERGY PLAN

(Mr. REHBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. REHBERG. Mr. Speaker, another week has passed without a comprehensive and balanced energy plan. In the first 6 months of this year, we paid terrorists an average of \$13 million a day for their oil. This must end. Because America does not have a comprehensive energy plan, we continue to purchase oil from the Middle East; but there is a balanced plan, and it does include increasing domestic oil production.

More domestic oil will give us a stable supply and allow us to diminish our dependence on foreign oil. From January to June, we paid \$2.3 billion to the countries that give suicide bombers thousands of dollars to threaten the very existence of democracy.

Total reliance on energy resources from nations that harbor animosity towards America and our allies must become a thing of the past. Mr. Speaker, we need to unify as Americans and pass a comprehensive and balanced energy plan. The security of our Nation depends on eliminating our dependence on foreign oil.

SENSE OF HOUSE THAT CONGRESS SHOULD COMPLETE ACTION ON H.R. 4019, PERMANENT MARRIAGE PENALTY RELIEF ACT OF 2002

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 547, I call up the resolution (H. Res. 543) expressing the sense of the House that Congress should complete action on H.R. 4019, making marriage tax relief permanent, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of H. Res. 543 is as follows:
H. RES. 543

Whereas there are more than 36,000,000 American working couples that are affected by the unfair marriage tax penalty;

Whereas this unfair tax punishes our society's most basic institution by discouraging couples from getting married;

Whereas this burdensome tax forces married couples to pay higher taxes than they would if they were single;

Whereas a bipartisan majority of the House of Representatives passed H.R. 4019 on June 13, 2002, permanently extending the marriage penalty relief provided by the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001;

Whereas failure to enact permanent marriage tax relief will reimpose the unfair marriage tax penalty after 2010 on more than 36,000,000 married working couples;

Whereas permanent marriage tax penalty relief will encourage and promote the values of marriage, family and hard work; and

Whereas the Senate has not passed H.R. 4019 or equivalent legislation: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the House of Representatives that the Congress should complete action on H.R. 4019 and the Congress should present it to the President prior to adjournment of the 107th Congress so that 36,000,000 married couples can benefit from permanent marriage penalty tax relief.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 547, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. WELLER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. MATSUI) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. WELLER).

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity to bring House Resolution 543, expressing the sense of the House that Congress should complete action on H.R. 4019, before the House today.

H.R. 4019, which passed the House on June 13, 2002 by an overwhelming bipartisan vote of 271 to 142, makes the marriage tax penalty relief provisions of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Act of 2001 permanent.

There are 42 million American working families, 42 million American working couples, that are impacted by the unfair marriage tax penalty and who would benefit from this legislation.

My colleagues and I have often asked ourselves, is it right, is it fair, that under the Tax Code, that 42 million married working couples pay on average higher taxes, almost \$1,700 more, just because they are married. Is that

right? Is it fair that we punish society's most basic institution? We need to permanently eliminate the marriage tax penalty.

□ 1100

Last year's tax legislation, which we nicknamed the Bush tax cut, included efforts to eliminate the marriage tax penalty. It was signed into law by President Bush on June 6, 2001. Unfortunately, that legislation was temporary and expires in just a few short years.

We helped married couples in a number of ways by eliminating the marriage tax penalty. First, we doubled the standard deduction to twice that of singles, helping families that do not itemize their income taxes. It is estimated that 21 million American families will be affected by provisions relating to the standard deduction each year.

Second, we help those who itemize such as home owners and those who give to their church, charity or synagogue by widening the 15 percent tax bracket. And it is estimated that 20 million American couples benefit from the widening of the 15 percent tax bracket to twice that of singles.

Third, we also help the working poor by eliminating the marriage tax penalty which existed in the earned income credit. This is currently helping 4 million low-income working couples annually, many who have children.

Since 1969 our tax laws punished married couples when both the husband and wife were in the workforce. For no other reason than to be joined in holy matrimony 42 million married working couples who are both in the workforce pay higher taxes, what we call the marriage tax penalty, each year. They pay more in taxes than if they just lived together as two singles.

Not only is the marriage tax penalty unfair, it is just plain wrong that our Tax Code has punished society's most basic institution. The marriage tax penalty exacts a disproportionate toll on working women and also on lower-income couples with children, all the more reason to make this legislation permanent.

Many are familiar with a young couple from the district that I represent, Shad and Michelle Hallihan and how they suffered the unfair marriage tax penalty. And I have also recently introduced another couple from my district, Jose and Magdalena Castillo of Joliet, Illinois. And Jose and Magdalena Castillo have a combined salary of almost \$82,000 a year. Jose earns \$57,000 and Magdalena earns \$25,000. They suffer on average a \$1,125 marriage tax penalty. They have two children, Eduardo and Carolina. And as a result of the tax law passed last year, their marriage tax penalty will be reduced under the Bush tax cut under the marriage tax penalty provisions by \$1,125; and that is real money in Joliet, Illinois. This represents a 12 percent overall tax cut for the Castillo family.