

THE DEBT TO THE PENNY—Continued

	Amount
9-30-1993	4,411,488,883.139.38
9-30-1992	4,064,620,655.521.66
9-30-1991	3,665,303,351.697.03
9-28-1990	3,233,313,451.777.25
9-29-1989	2,857,430,960.187.32
9-30-1988	2,602,337,712.041.16
9-30-1987	2,350,276,890.953.00

Source: Bureau of the Public Debt.

Mr. HOLLINGS. I yield the floor.
The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CARPER). The Senator from Nevada.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that in the order of speakers already identified, Senator KENNEDY be removed from the list and that following Senator BYRD—Senator BYRD has indicated he will speak for 20 or 25 minutes—Senator ENZI then be recognized, followed by Senator DORGAN. Following that, Senators BOND, BINGAMAN, and LINCOLN will then be next recognized. They have some legislation on which they want to have a colloquy. Following Senator DORGAN, we will have a presentation by Senators BOND, BINGAMAN, and LINCOLN.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from West Virginia.

APPROPRIATIONS BILLS: AT AN IMPASSE

Mr. BYRD. I thank the Chair. I also extend my thanks to the distinguished Democratic whip for his help in arranging for me to speak at this moment.

Mr. President, today is October 2. In 2 days, the continuing resolution that Congress approved last week will expire. While the days flip by on the calendar, the work on appropriations bills remains at an impasse, a standstill.

As a result of White House efforts to slow down the appropriations process—and those efforts have been successful—not 1 of the 13 appropriations bills has been sent to the President as of October 1, the beginning of the new fiscal year. This is the worst record for progress in the appropriations process since 1987.

In 1987, my wife and I celebrated our 50th wedding anniversary. Here it is 15 years later, so we are now 65 years along on our journey, but how much further along are we in the appropriations process? Let me say again, this is the worst record for progress in the appropriations process since 1987.

I would be very unhappy to say this with respect to my wife's and my journey of wedding anniversaries. Fifteen of them have passed since we had our worst year in 1987 in the appropriations process. So today, 15 years later, we are as bad as we were then.

As a result of White House intransigence on total discretionary spending for this fiscal year, the other body, the House of Representatives, has not taken up a single appropriations bill on the House floor for 10 weeks—10 weeks.

God created the Earth and all of the universe and created man in 1 week—6 days and rested on the 7th. Here we are, the House has not taken up an appropriations bill on the floor for 10 weeks—10 weeks. Rather than working with the House Appropriations Committee and moderates in their own party on a level of spending that could be approved on the House floor, the House Republican leadership, at the request of the White House, simply shut the appropriations process down. That is it.

As a result, one of the most fundamental duties of the President and the Congress—namely, to make careful and responsible choices about how to spend the taxpayers' hard-earned dollars—has been put on automatic pilot.

While the days slip away, the 4 million veterans in this country who rely on the Veterans Administration for their health care will have to worry as to whether or not that care is going to be available for them.

While the days slip away, the 11,420 FBI agents who are supposed to be combating the war on terrorism will have to wonder whether they have the necessary resources to continue to fight that war. Why? Because of this administration's do-nothing policy when it comes to the appropriations process. Slow down the process. Stall.

While the days slip away, the Government's effort to root out corporate fraud would be put on hold.

While the days slip away, the President appears to be satisfied to forget his "no child left behind" promise and turn the commitment to educating America's children into another unfunded mandate, another unfulfilled promise.

The President is quick to champion homeland security on the political speech tour. Yes, he will stand out there with a backdrop of marines, a backdrop of soldiers, a backdrop of sailors, a backdrop of the National Guard, and he will say: Congress, pass my homeland security bill.

The President is quick to champion homeland security on the hustings when he is making fundraising trips, raising big dollars for the campaign. He is quick to champion homeland security, but his budget priorities reflect an entirely different agenda. The administration's adamant refusal to move off the dime in these appropriations discussions could jeopardize homeland security—and already has jeopardized homeland security.

No matter when or how or whether any new Department of Homeland Security is created, by jeopardizing the appropriations bills, the White House jeopardizes critical funds for the new Transportation Security Administration. Many of the requirements of the Transportation Security Act require large expenditures in the first quarter of fiscal year 2003. Are they going to flow?

Local airports are required to purchase explosive detection equipment to

keep bombs from being placed on airliners. To do that, the airports need help. But the administration's refusal to be more flexible in its appropriations approach means that help is not on the way.

Federal funds are also needed to hire new Federal screeners to make our Nation's seaports more secure. Is help on the way?

Help is not on the way.

The Immigration and Naturalization Service is at a critical juncture in developing a comprehensive entry and exit system to protect our Nation's borders. The Senate bill provides \$362 million for this initiative. Is help on the way? No. The administration's inflexibility means that help is not on the way.

The Customs Service is scheduled to hire more than 620 agents and inspectors to serve at the Nation's high-risk land and seaports of entry. Homeland security? The Senate provides the funding for the Customs Service. But is help on the way? No. The administration is being inflexible. Help is not on the way.

Thousands of FEMA fire grants, grants for interoperable communications equipment, grants to upgrade emergency operations centers, grants to upgrade search and rescue teams, grants for emergency responder training, and grants to improve State and local planning would be funded under the Senate's appropriations bill. Is help on the way? No. The administration is inflexible.

These are the special interests, I suppose, that the President was talking about, these firemen, policemen, and emergency health personnel who appeared before the Senate Appropriations Committee last spring in support of more money for homeland security.

So here are these special interests—the firemen, the policemen, the emergency help personnel, the people from the hospitals, and the nurses who came before our Senate Appropriations Committee and pleaded for more money for homeland security. Are these the special interests the President is talking about?

Help is not on the way.

Talk is cheap. Homeland security is not cheap. By forcing the Government to operate on autopilot, the administration wants the Nation to fight terrorism at home with one hand tied behind our backs. The President needs to come out of the White House war room long enough to focus on the situation at home. There is no need to go to Iraq, no need to go to the Middle East. The war on terrorism is being waged at home.

Is help on the way? No.

By December 31, 2.3 million unemployed Americans will be cut off from employment assistance. As the days slip away, our Nation's farmers and ranchers are left with no assistance in the face of the worst drought since the Dust Bowl days of the 1930s. I remember those Dust Bowl days of the 1930s.