

(1) welcomes the President, Her Excellency Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo, to the United States;

(2) expresses profound gratitude to the Government and the people of the Philippines for the expressions of support and sympathy provided after the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, and for the Philippines' strong cooperation in the on-going war against global terrorism, membership in the coalition to disarm Iraq, and assistance in helping to rebuild that country; and

(3) reaffirms its commitment to the continued expansion of friendship and cooperation between the Governments and the people of the United States and the Philippines.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED & PROPOSED

SA 757. Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. TALENT, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2004 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

SA 758. Mr. DASCHLE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 759. Mr. NELSON, of Florida proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 760. Mr. COCHRAN (for himself, Mr. REED, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. NELSON, of Nebraska, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. BOND, and Mr. NELSON, of Florida) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 761. Mr. GRAHAM, of South Carolina (for himself, Mr. MILLER, and Mrs. CLINTON) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 762. Ms. COLLINS (for herself and Mr. VOINOVICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 763. Mrs. HUTCHISON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 764. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 765. Mr. BINGAMAN (for himself, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. REED, and Mr. BIDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 766. Mr. NELSON, of Florida (for himself, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 767. Mr. NELSON, of Florida (for himself, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 768. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 769. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 770. Mr. DODD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 771. Mr. DODD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 772. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself, Mr. HARKIN, and Mr. DURBIN) submitted an

amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 773. Mr. SMITH (for himself and Mr. WYDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 774. Mr. HARKIN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 775. Ms. MIKULSKI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 776. Mr. BENNETT (for himself, Mr. REID, and Mr. ALLEN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 777. Mr. VOINOVICH (for himself and Mr. DEWINE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 778. Mr. McCAIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 779. Mr. ALLARD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 780. Mr. McCAIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 781. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 782. Mr. VOINOVICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 783. Mr. McCAIN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 784. Mr. CHAMBLISS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 785. Mr. DODD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 786. Ms. LANDRIEU submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 787. Mr. SANTORUM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 788. Mr. SANTORUM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 789. Mr. BUNNING submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 790. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 791. Mr. DASCHLE (for himself and Mr. JOHNSON) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1050, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 792. Mr. WARNER proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 793. Mr. LEVIN (for Mr. WYDEN (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. BYRD, and Mr. LAUTENBERG)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 794. Mr. WARNER (for Mr. McCAIN (for himself and Mr. BAYH)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 795. Mr. WARNER (for Mr. ROBERTS) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 796. Mr. LEVIN (for Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for himself and Mr. STEVENS)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 797. Mr. LEVIN (for Mr. LIEBERMAN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

SA 798. Mr. WARNER proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, supra.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 757. Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. TALENT, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1050, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2004 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 222, between the matter following line 12 and line 13, insert the following:

SEC. 866. CONSOLIDATION OF CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.

(a) AMENDMENT TO TITLE 10.—(1) Chapter 141 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2381 the following new section:

“§2382. Consolidation of contract requirements: policy and restrictions

“(a) POLICY.—The Secretary of Defense shall require the Secretary of each military department, the head of each Defense Agency, and the head of each Department of Defense Field Activity to ensure that the decisions made by that official regarding consolidation of contract requirements of the department, agency, or field activity, as the case may be, are made with a view to providing small business concerns with appropriate opportunities to participate in Department of Defense procurements as prime contractors and appropriate opportunities to participate in such procurements as subcontractors.

“(b) LIMITATION ON USE OF ACQUISITION STRATEGIES INVOLVING CONSOLIDATION.—(1) An official of a military department, Defense Agency, or Department of Defense Field Activity may not execute an acquisition strategy that includes a consolidation of contract requirements of the military department, agency, or activity with a total value in excess of \$5,000,000, unless the senior procurement executive concerned first—

“(A) conducts market research;

“(B) identifies any alternative contracting approaches that would involve a lesser degree of consolidation of contract requirements; and

“(C) determines that the consolidation is necessary and justified.

“(2) A senior procurement executive may determine that an acquisition strategy involving a consolidation of contract requirements is necessary and justified for the purposes of paragraph (1) if the benefits of the acquisition strategy substantially exceed the benefits of each of the possible alternative contracting approaches identified under subparagraph (B) of that paragraph. However, savings in administrative or personnel costs alone do not constitute, for such purposes, a sufficient justification for a consolidation of contract requirements in a procurement unless the total amount of the cost savings is expected to be substantial in relation to the total cost of the procurement.

“(3) Benefits considered for the purposes of paragraphs (1) and (2) may include cost and, regardless of whether quantifiable in dollar amounts—

“(A) quality;

“(B) acquisition cycle;

“(C) terms and conditions; and

“(D) any other benefit.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section: