

Lakes of America where 40 million Americans get their daily drinking water. I am interested in the area of waste, fraud, and abuse; and I would like to talk about it.

In the area of veterans, we have a \$6 billion cut here at home for our veterans for their health care and other benefits, and yet what do we plan? To rebuild Iraq's national army.

So when it comes to waste, fraud, and abuse, I would hope my colleagues would join me on the floor and talk about some of the waste, the fraud, and the abuse that will go on in the \$60 billion reconstruction project the American taxpayers are being asked to pay and foot the bill for, while 3.1 million Americans have lost their jobs, 5 more million Americans have lost their health insurance, \$1 trillion worth of corporate assets are foreclosed on, and 5 million Americans have walked out of middle class into poverty; and that has been the net result over the last 3 years in economic stewardship.

□ 2000

THE COST TO AMERICANS OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PORTER). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. GUTKNECHT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, I rise again to speak tonight on the issue of prescription drugs and what Americans pay relative to the rest of the industrialized world.

I want to call all Members' attention, if you have not seen this yet, get a copy of today's USA Today and read the lead story. And if you missed it today we are going to try and put this on our Web site, so Members may go to my Web site at Gil.house.gov; and we will have this on by sometime tomorrow afternoon.

And we will have the charts, because this is the most compelling article, I think, that I have read so far because it tells the story, it tells the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in terms of what Americans pay and the sad and pathetic excuse by the FDA about how unsafe this is. It is just outrageous. It is the Food and Drug Administration.

This estimate is 1 million. We have had estimates that as many as 10 million Americans next year will buy their drugs from another country.

Now, what my vision is is not that people will buy their drugs from other countries, but once you open up markets and you begin to use tamper-proof, counterfeit-proof packaging, you will be able to buy those drugs from your local pharmacy and take advantage of market forces to bring the drug prices down.

As my colleague from Indiana pointed out earlier, the chart on the front talks about how much more Americans pay than the consumers in other coun-

tries. I have often said I am willing to subsidize the people in sub-Saharan Africa, I do not think we ought to have to subsidize the starving Swiss. It is time for them to pay their fair share for the cost of prescription drugs. The surest way to do that is to open up markets so you will see prices level around the world. And Americans will pay a lot less.

How much less? Well, the Swiss pay 58 percent less than American consumers. In Great Britain they pay 60 percent less. In Canada they pay 67 percent less than American consumers. In France they pay 102 percent less than American consumers. And in Italy, 112 percent. Now these are not backwater countries, ladies and gentlemen. These are industrialized countries that have regimens similar to ours.

If you go to the inside of USA Today it even gets better because they list some of the prices. I have shown my charts and I have had people say, Oh, well, that is from some goofy group, or, You cannot accept that. Well, these are not my charts anymore. These are charts that were done with research by USA Today.

When you look at the price differentials, it is exactly what my charts have shown. And that is, for example, on the drug Coumadin, which my 87-year-old father takes, it is a blood thinner, I have a package right here that we bought in Munich, Germany. It is Coumadin, it was developed at the University of Wisconsin Agricultural Schools as a rat poison, but Coumadin can be bought in Canada, 10 milligrams, 100 tablets, for \$43.58. That same package in the United States sells for \$99.99.

Tamoxifen, we have talked about that before here on the House floor. In the United States this particular package that they bought was \$61.99. The same package of the drug in Canada sells for \$9.04. That is more than six times as much.

Members, the time has come for us to take real action.

I want to congratulate others in the House who helped lead the fight so that at least the House now has gone on record that this is unacceptable. More importantly, we have sent a message not only to the pharmaceutical industry but to our own FDA. The FDA does not work for them; they work for us. It is time for the Senate, the Members in the other body to do the same thing, to send the message that the status quo is not acceptable.

Americans are voting with their feet. They are voting with their feet to buy prescription drugs. But remember, Members, next November they are going to vote for us or our opponents based on how we voted on issues like whether or not we will have affordable drugs here in the United States of America.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. May I remind Members that it is not in order to encourage the other House to take a certain action.

THE COST OF REBUILDING IRAQ

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, well, we heard some amusing speeches earlier about the new Washington Waste Watchers, the gentleman from Texas and some other Republican over there. I guess that their vision does not extend too far.

First of all, the Republicans control the White House, every agency of the Federal Government, the House and the Senate. If there is waste, fraud and abuse, well then, they should take care of it. But they are too busy asking the American people to borrow \$87 billion to build Iraq in the vision of the neoconservatives in the White House that put this failed policy on us and pursuing this adventure.

Right here, here it is. The gold-plated guide to war profiteering in Iraq, otherwise known as the Coalition Provisional Authority Request to Rehabilitate and Reconstruct Iraq. Most of it has nothing to do with reconstructing Iraq or war damage that we caused.

Now, there is an argument that could be made that we should repair war damage. But these are things that the Iraqis never had, they have not had ever. We are talking about, well, they have 50-year-old steam boilers, so we have got to spend \$6 billion on their electric grid. Guess what? The lights are blinking out here in the eastern United States and on my coast a couple of summers ago, the West Coast, because we are underinvesting here.

The President can ask to borrow \$6 billion not only to rebuild the little bit of damage we did, but to give them a state-of-the-art system. The American people will pay for that for 30 years. How much is he asking to invest in the United States of America? A big goose egg.

Yeah, I guess the eye on Waste Watchers on Washington does not go to waste watchers in the war profiteering and the rebuilding of Iraq.

Let us take up a couple of other subjects. \$1 billion will be borrowed by the American people at the request of the President and Republican Congress to train the police in Iraq. Certainly and arguably, we should have a trained police force. But it is extraordinarily expensive because they say, Well, first off, they have to build a facility to train them outside the country. They cannot train them in Iraq.

The French, they offered to train the Iraqi police in Iraq for free. But, God forbid, those French, we should accept anything from them. They are a lot

better at policing, military policing in these sorts of situations, have a lot more experience than we do, but we are going to hire consultants and pay \$1 billion, borrow \$1 billion to take the Iraqis out of their country to train them.

Then we have got to arm them. Of course, the U.S. military has confiscated hundreds of thousands of weapons, many in the original grease and wrappers. But, no, that is not good enough for the Iraqi police force. We are going to spend \$200 million to buy them brand-new weapons. I thought, well, maybe this is good. Maybe it has got a Buy America component to it. Maybe we are going to buy from American arms manufacturers. No, AK-47s, that is what the Iraqi police want. We have confiscated tens of thousands of them, but instead of reissuing the ones we have confiscated, we are going to buy them brand-new ones at more than list price from our friends, the Chinese.

This is not waste, fraud, and abuse. No, the Washington Waste Watchers over there do not have their eyes set on Iraq and this kind of stuff, they are talking about the \$100,000 missing over there at the Department of Education that might have fed some hungry kids. But, no, if it is a huge contract, wasting billions of dollars in Iraq; that is just fine. The President asked for it. We have to do this.

Let us talk about the cement plant in northern Iraq. Our estimators looked at it and said \$15 million to rebuild that plant. So the Bush administration put out a request for proposal for \$15 million. The Iraqis didn't want to wait. They rebuilt it themselves. Cost: \$80,000.

Now, there is Mr. al-Barak, who is a member of the Ruling Council which we named. You would think he would be beholden to us since we put him in place. But he has been a little critical of our spending. He says, "You know what? We can do it for 10 cents on the dollar. The Americans are wasting incredible amounts of money."

We are going to be asked to borrow nearly \$20 billion, indebt Americans for the next 30 years for waste, fraud, and abuse and war profiteering, and the Iraqis say they can do it for 10 cents on the dollar.

There was a contract to feed the Iraqi Ruling Council, which we named, which Mr. Bremer put out, no bid, of course. I guess it was from Sardi's in New York because to feed 25 people a day, \$5,000 a day. The Iraqi council was aghast, and Mr. al-Barak said, No, thanks, we can feed ourselves for a lot less than \$5,000 a day. They think we are nuts. They really do.

Waste, fraud, and abuse, apparently is only when it might benefit Americans. But when it can benefit war profiteers and no-bid contractors overseas in the gold-plated building, not rebuilding, of Iraq, there is a blind eye being turned here.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gen-

tleman from Florida (Mr. FEENEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. FEENEY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE ADMINISTRATION'S IRAQ REQUEST

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maine (Mr. ALLEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Speaker, in the last 24 hours, three more American soldiers have died in Iraq. They are part of a group of young Americans who are doing everything they can to stabilize the situation over there in extraordinarily difficult circumstances. They deserve our support.

There are reports in Iraq of some successes on the ground, but as we look at the situation in Iraq today, it is hard not to come to the conclusion that this was an enormous strategic mistake, because we are now there with 130,000 of our military men and women for a very long time to come if this President's request is to be understood for what it is.

Before we invaded Iraq, representatives of the White House and the Pentagon came to Members of Congress and said to the American people that Iraq had developed some of the most lethal weapons ever invented, that it was an imminent threat not only to the neighbors of Iraq, but to this country as well. We were told over and over again that we would be welcomed as liberators. We were told over and over again that, in this case, Iraq, because of its oil resources, could fund its own reconstruction. None of that was true. And today we know it was not true.

This is a case where the administration hyped, overstated, distorted the intelligence that we had which was a lot more obscure and uncertain than we were led to believe.

But today we are there. We are in Iraq. We have 130,000 people. We have replaced the government. We have a responsibility to try to create stability in that country and restore it to a better place.

Now, we should begin, I believe, in trying to figure out what to do. It seems to me there are three basic changes we have to make, three basic policy approaches we have to make. First of all, we have to take this \$87 billion request we have been given and scrub it, look at it carefully, review it. There is an enormous waste in this particular request, and we ought to do our best to figure out what some of the cases are.

As previous speakers have said, Look, you have got \$3.6 million for 600 radios and phones, \$6,000 apiece. And according to Business Week on May 12, this is a quote, "When Baghdad's telephone system was knocked out during the war, small-time Iraqi businessmen ordered up satellite phones from Jordan for \$900 each." As the previous

speaker said, Let us go to Radio Shack. We can do better than that.

The \$87 billion includes \$33,000 apiece for 80 pickup trucks. Well, go down to your local auto dealer. You can buy pickup trucks in this country for \$14,000. What are we talking about? And those who paraded up here earlier on the other side of the aisle and said waste, fraud, and abuse is a problem for this government, we can begin with waste by simply looking at the administration's request.

One more item. \$50,000 per prison bed, double the average cost in the United States.

Those who want simply to rubber stamp the administration's proposal are making a big mistake.

The second thing we ought to do is we ought to pay for this. We should not be borrowing this money from our children and grandchildren. That is simply an outrage. We ought to reduce, roll back the tax cuts that have been given to people earning over \$330,000 a year, the wealthiest 1 percent in this country. And we simply have to do that. If we are going to be fiscally responsible, we have to take that kind of step.

□ 2015

Third, we need to step forward and do what the administration is trying to do, although past actions make it difficult, we need to build international support. More international resources, more international police, more international troops to try to get us through what is an extraordinarily difficult problem. But having abused and alienated our allies, it is hard now to get them back into Iraq the way they need to.

Fourth, we need to change the way we are doing this postwar reconstruction. It has been another fundamental mistake to have the Pentagon overseeing this operation. The very people who said we would be welcomed as liberators, that Iraqi oil would pay for its own reconstruction, those people are in charge of the postwar planning, and the postwar planning has been bungled. We need to give back authority to the State Department, USAID and those Federal agencies that have shown in the past they can deal with this kind of reconstruction effort. Those who came to this Congress and misrepresented the intelligence that was available to them should also be replaced.

MEDICARE PHYSICIAN REIMBURSEMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PORTER). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. GINGREY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GINGREY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to call attention to the decline in Medicare reimbursement for physicians. Effective January 1, 2004, physicians and other providers paid pursuant to the Medicare physician fee schedules face at least a 4.2 percent cut