

with the other body to provide the necessary funding for these important programs.

I want, once again, to commend the committee for its hard work, and I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Delaware (Mr. CASTLE).

Mr. CASTLE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished gentleman from Georgia for yielding time to me.

I would just like to take my time here to discuss an amendment which I am afraid I cannot write so it would be germane to the legislation that will be before us for which this rule has been written, but it does pertain to Commerce-Justice-State, and it pertains to something that is happening in this country.

On September 13, the automatic assault weapon ban is going to expire. If I looked at my calendar correctly, that is 17 legislative days from now. This is a ban which has been in effect for a period of 10 years now in this country. It is supported by the President of the United States, that is, the extension of it. It is supported by both Presidential campaigns; and in my judgment, it is very, very important that we bring this, however we possibly can.

We are talking about semiautomatic weapons. In this case, we are talking about the AK-47, Uzis. We are talking about high levels of ammunition, depletion of guns in rapid time, various aspects that have frankly caused every law enforcement entity that I know of in the United States of America to support this ban.

We also know that there has been a reduction in crime with the use of these weapons since the ban has been in effect. In fact, that reduction has been more than 65 percent since the ban went into effect in 1995. So we now have a situation in which we have proven, I believe, that the assault weapon ban is something that actually makes sense as far as the safety of Americans is concerned.

As far as the right to bear arms and the rights that are prevalent, I believe in those. I believe they should be continued, but I do believe that the assault weapon ban needs to be continued as well.

It also shows that most Americans believe this. If one looks at polls, they virtually in every category, or 75 percent or more of Americans believe that we should continue this assault weapon ban.

I have legislation introduced, and that legislation would do that for 10 years. It does not change another word. It just extends it for 10 years because I believe it has worked well.

My concern is are we going to be able to bring it to the floor in a reasonable period of time that will allow a debate, that will allow a vote on this so we can consider it before the House of Rep-

resentatives, a piece of legislation which seems to be so supported by so many individuals living in America today. I would encourage the leadership to consider this.

I do not frankly think it should be an amendment to an appropriation bill, or an amendment to anything. It should have its own set of committee hearings, its own time on the floor of the House of Representatives and the opportunity to vote for it. So I will not introduce an amendment.

I do appreciate a great deal the time yielded to me by the gentleman from Georgia to discuss this. I would encourage the leadership of the House and the Senate to take a good look at this legislation and make absolutely sure that that date does not come and go without us doing anything about it.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

We have no other requests for speakers, but let me just close by again saying that while I wish the overall funding level for this bill were higher and I wish there was more money available for the COPS programs and for a number of other small business programs, I nonetheless want to again commend the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WOLF), the chairman, and the gentleman from New York (Mr. SERRANO), the ranking member, for really an excellent job. They have worked together in a bipartisan way, and the entire subcommittee deserves credit for the final product that is before us, a bill which I will support.

Let me also conclude, Mr. Speaker, by saying something that I rarely get an opportunity to say, but I gladly say it today, and that is, I support this rule.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I also want to commend the gentleman from Virginia (Chairman WOLF) and the gentleman from New York (Ranking Member SERRANO) for a very fine job done under strained circumstances. I urge my colleagues to support this rule and support the underlying legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill (H.R. 4754) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and for other purposes, and that I may include tabular and other extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LINDER). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 701 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 4754.

□ 1225

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 4754) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and for other purposes.

The Chair designates the gentleman from Washington (Mr. HASTINGS) as chairman of the Committee of the Whole, and requests the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) to assume the chair temporarily.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered as having been read the first time.

Under the rule, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WOLF) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. SERRANO) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WOLF).

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to bring the fiscal year 2005 Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary and related agencies appropriations bill before the House. In this bill, we have taken an austere allocation and done our best to arrive at a bill that funds important national priorities, including counterterrorism, State and local crime-fighting and embassy security. The result is a solid bill, and I encourage the Members to support the bill today; and my understanding is that we will finish the bill today.

I want to thank the gentleman from Florida (Chairman YOUNG) for supporting us. I also want to thank the gentleman from New York (Ranking Member SERRANO) for his help in crafting the bill. I very much appreciate the close and cooperative relationship we have established, and I want to thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), the ranking member of the full committee, for his assistance.

The recommendation we bring before the House today includes \$39.8 billion in discretionary spending. Program increases are focused on most critical areas including counterterrorism, State and local law enforcement, assistance to American manufacturers,