

unintended consequence for our churches and synagogues. I share that just to give a little bit of the history.

Let me give two more examples before I close. In Kansas, the American Center for Separation of Church and State has a subgroup called the Main Street Coalition based in Johnson County, Kansas. It is sending recruits into area churches to see if IRS guidelines, which come from the Johnson amendment, are being followed. The group, which bills itself as a committee for the separation of church and state, is concerned that local clergymen might be violating their tax-exempt status by endorsing candidates for elected office.

What prompted the campaign was a public meeting where an evangelical minister spoke out against homosexual marriage. They were Protestant churches, by the way. Catholic League president William Donohue is wary of the group's tactics and released the following statement. "To conduct a covert operation in houses of worship for the purpose of monitoring homilies or sermons is not the kind of operation conducted by friends of the first amendment." I am not reading the complete statement, but part of the statement.

Let me go further with one more example, and then I will conclude my remarks.

In the Baptist Church in Arkansas, Pastor Ronnie Floyd did not have a sermon, but actually at the end of church had a little flyer that he handed out, and there was a picture of George Bush and JOHN KERRY, and he just mentioned not who to vote for, but just two issues, one being the marriage between man and woman, the other about partial-birth abortion, and because the photograph was a little bit larger of President Bush, he filed a complaint with the IRS.

Mr. Speaker, I see my time is about up, and I want to close this way. This Nation's greatness is due to the fact that we have men and women overseas now fighting and dying for freedom for the American people. If this country is going to remain morally strong, then we must, we must return the first amendment right to our houses of worship, both Catholic, Protestant, Muslim and also Jewish.

---

#### SMART SECURITY AND THE GLOBAL WAR ON TERROR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, earlier this week, when Vice President CHENEY was talking about terrorism, he told the audience something that I consider to be absolutely appalling. He said, "It is absolutely essential on November 2nd that Americans make the right choice, because if we make the wrong choice, then the danger is that we'll get hit again."

The White House would like the American people to believe that President Bush is the only person capable of confronting terrorism, even though his record has proven otherwise. They employ fear as a campaign tactic, claiming that a vote for JOHN KERRY and JOHN EDWARDS is a vote for the terrorists. This misleading connection, besides insulting the intelligence of the American people, raises a very important question: If Presidents are singularly responsible for terrorist attacks that happen on their watch, was President Bush responsible for the attacks on September 11?

While I believe that President Bush was not responsible for the events of 9/11, he is responsible for the failure to truly secure America after 9/11.

Three years ago, after the worst attacks on American soil in our Nation's history, the United States had the support of nearly all other countries in our fight against terrorism. With the anniversary of the September 11 attacks approaching, now is a good time to consider whether we have made progress in the global war on terror over the last 3 years.

Last week in Russia, Chechen terrorists shocked the world when they took 1,200 hostages at a school and killed over 300 of them, most of them children. I ask you, what is humanity becoming? We have to stop this. What a terrible tragedy. Surely there must be a better way. There must be a smarter way.

In Sudan, thousands of Sudanese Africans have been subjected to a horrific campaign of rape, looting, and ethnic cleansing driven by a militia that has the tacit support of the Sudanese Government. More than 30,000 people have needlessly been killed as a result of this campaign of genocide terror. Much more needs to be done, and despite almost unanimous passage of a House resolution calling upon the Secretary of State, the U.S. Agency for International Development, and the U.N. to immediately address this issue, it is still not being completed.

There has to be a better way, a smarter way, a smarter course of action dictated not by what is politically pragmatic, but by what is good and by what is right. And there is such a course of action.

I have introduced H. Con. Res. 392 to create a SMART security platform for the 21st century. SMART stands for Sensible, Multilateral American Response to Terrorism.

SMART security fights terrorism with stronger intelligence and multilateral partnerships than the Bush administration, and it does so without endangering our alliances around the world. It treats war as an absolute last resort.

SMART security controls the spread of weapons of mass destruction with aggressive diplomacy, strong regional security arrangements, and vigorous inspection regimes. It invests in the development of impoverished nations to

prevent the kind of terrorism occurring in Sudan and Chechnya from ever taking root in the first place.

President Bush thinks the best way to fight terrorism is to confront it head on by possessing bigger weapons and being stronger than the terrorists. But that only addresses the symptoms of the disease and certainly does not ensure a 100 percent success rate.

In order to truly defeat terrorism, we need to confront its root causes: poverty, despair, and unfair allocation of resources in so many underdeveloped nations around the world. SMART security will protect America and the world by addressing not just acts of terrorism, but also the reasons why terrorism exists. In the end, SMART security is smart, and it will keep America safe.

---

#### HONORING MS. MARTHA WYLLIE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. NORWOOD. Mr. Speaker, before I start my remarks, which will be a great pleasure to do, I would like to bring to the attention of my colleagues that just less than 5 minutes ago, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) took the floor, and I would just like to associate myself with his eloquent remarks and just absolutely, totally agree with him, that the first amendment should absolutely take effect in all of our churches around this country. So I congratulate the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) and hope we can do something about it this year.

I rise today for one of those very nice things we get to do, and that is to talk about somebody really special and really nice. I want to recognize the outstanding dedication and the compassion and the achievements on this floor of Ms. Martha Wyllie. Taking an active role in our community while greatly enriching the lives of those who come in contact with her describes how we in Georgia regard Martha Wyllie.

Interestingly enough, Martha was born in Bangor, Maine, and lived in a private orphanage until she was adopted at 9 months of age. Her next home was in Massachusetts where she went through her schooling and college work, graduating from Lesley Teaching College in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Her interests and talents began to show up at the age of 4 when she sat down at the piano and played a song for her mother that she had just heard on the radio. Music lessons then, of course, began at age 5, which were taught by her mother until she advanced to the Conservatory of Music Teachings and traveled to numerous States playing with their symphony orchestras from age 10 to 17.

Throughout these formative years, she was also involved in school sports and a member of the Brownies and the