



United States  
of America

# Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 109<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 151

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, JULY 13, 2005

No. 94

## Senate

The Senate met at 9:30 a.m. and was called to order by the Honorable SAM BROWNBACK, a Senator from the State of Kansas.

### PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Immortal, invisible God, thank You for the opportunity to share Your love and compassion with others. Guide us to those who need words of encouragement, and make us Your voice of hope in our world. Use us to bless others, and empower us with Your goodness and mercy.

Strengthen our Senators for today's work. Give them wise speech that will bring life and engender trust. Direct their steps on the roads they travel, and bring them safely to their desired destination. As they make decisions with potentially cataclysmic consequences, Lord, help them to count the cost.

Bless the many people who work with our leaders. Remind them often that their labors are not in vain.

Search our spirits and purify our motives. We pray in Your powerful Name. Amen.

### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable SAM BROWNBACK led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

### APPOINTMENT OF ACTING PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will please read a communication to the Senate from the President pro tempore (Mr. STEVENS).

The legislative clerk read the following letter:

U.S. SENATE,  
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE,  
Washington, DC, July 13, 2005.

To the Senate:

Under the provisions of rule I, paragraph 3, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby appoint the Honorable SAM BROWNBACK, a Senator from the State of Kansas, to perform the duties of the Chair.

TED STEVENS,  
President pro tempore.

Mr. BROWNBACK thereupon assumed the Chair as Acting President pro tempore.

### RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

### SCHEDULE

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, today we will return to the pending business of the Homeland Security appropriations bill. We have six amendments that were called up yesterday and are currently pending. The two managers have been working on the sequencing of amendments. Therefore, we should have an additional lineup of amendments that are expected during today's session.

As we stated at closing last night, we have two Senate delegations today, one attending the funeral of former Senator Gaylord Nelson and another delegation in Florida. It is lining up in such a way that we will have very productive debate over the course of the day, but we will be voting later this evening. I do not know exactly what time that will be. We will have the exact timing of these votes announced later today as we look at the appropriate schedules. I doubt that there will be voting before 7:45 or so tonight. We will be voting tonight.

I have said on many occasions that we will be finishing homeland security legislation before we leave this week. I

believe we have a good shot at completing that bill tomorrow night. I don't know what time that will be. We will go to another bill on Friday. That bill will be determined over the course of today.

The funding legislation we are currently addressing in the Senate is too important to not complete this week. We will be using debate time throughout the course of the day. We will have the votes this evening. We will have a very busy session tomorrow.

I also wish to take the opportunity to remind my colleagues we will have an all-Senate briefing this afternoon, for those who are interested, from 3 to 4 o'clock by Director Negroonte. I believe Secretary Chertoff will also be coming by as well.

### SUPREME COURT CONFIRMATION

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I have some brief remarks about confirmation of a new Supreme Court Justice.

As we all have witnessed, the process is off to a great start. Consultation is well underway between the President of the United States and the Senate. It is ongoing. The President and his staff are reaching out to Senators from both parties to listen to their suggestions, both in person and through phone calls. To date, the administration, the President and his staff, have contacted directly more than 60 Senators, more than two-thirds of the Democratic caucus, every member of the Judiciary Committee. The President's approach has been bipartisan and open and unprecedented in scope. I commend him for that effort.

As we look ahead, I encourage each Senator to reflect upon the nominee we will consider and the confirmation process we will undertake. As Senators, confirming a nominee to the Supreme Court is one of our highest constitutional duties. The new Justice, whomever the President chooses, will influence American law for years and

• This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

S8153

years to come. He or she will impact the lives of millions of Americans.

As Senators, we should ask ourselves, What kind of Justice does America expect on the Supreme Court? I am confident President Bush will choose a qualified nominee who will make America proud, someone of demonstrated character and integrity, someone who is fair, intelligent, open-minded, and impartial; he or she will listen to the merits of every case and make a determination based on the facts, the law, and the Constitution, not driven to prejudge cases, predetermine outcomes, or advance a personal political agenda; the nominee will treat litigants and their attorneys fairly and with dignity and respect; and above all, this person will uphold the Constitution and be fully committed to equal justice under the law.

I am confident of all these things because every day I have seen the care, seriousness, and the thoughtfulness President Bush brings to this task.

In addition to considering the type of nominee America expects, I also encourage my colleagues to ask themselves, What kind of Supreme Court nomination process does America expect from the Senate? The American people, through their votes, have put their trust in us. They have entrusted us to govern as their elected representatives. History will reflect on the Senate's deliberations, how Senators conduct themselves, how we treat a nominee, and how we reach a decision.

We owe it to the American people to conduct a fair process that treats nominees with dignity and respect. It should include a fair hearing, a floor debate in which all views are heard, and then an up-or-down vote on the confirmation. This process should not become a trial. It is a process by which we examine the character and credentials of someone willing to volunteer to serve America on its highest court.

In the past, the judicial nominations process has been marked by obstruction, many times partisan obstruction, and attacks on the character and integrity of nominees. I hope we have put this painful and humiliating process behind us. Given the monumental role this nominee will play sitting on America's highest court, we need the best of the best legal minds. This requires a process that will not deter the best of the best from serving. The fair and dignified nomination process requires civility, requires common sense and some self-restraint.

As we consider the nominee who will soon come before the Senate, I encourage my colleagues to focus on questions that are relevant to the nominee's qualifications and experience, questions such as: Will the nominee be fair, independent, and unbiased? Will the nominee consider each case before the Court with an open mind, examining the facts, the law, and the Constitution very carefully? Will the nominee place the Constitution and the law above personal political ideology?

Will the nominee approach his or her role as a Justice as an interpreter of the law and the Constitution and not as a lawmaker who will legislate from the bench? Is the nominee qualified to serve on our highest court? Does he or she have the necessary experience to serve as a Supreme Court Justice?

These are the questions nominees should be asked to answer honestly and thoroughly. They should not be asked to prejudge cases or to speculate on how they would rule or not rule on a hypothetical scenario that may or may not come before the Court.

I look forward to working with our colleagues on both sides of the aisle in the coming weeks. We should work together to conduct the kind of confirmation process America expects from its elected representatives, a fair and thorough confirmation process that treats nominees with dignity and respect and confirms a new Justice before the Supreme Court starts its new term on October 3. I am confident the President will nominate someone who will make America proud, someone who will be worthy of this seat he or she will fill. This is what the American people expect, what our justice system needs, and what our Nation and the nominee deserves.

I yield the floor.

---

#### RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Democratic leader is recognized.

---

#### SUPREME COURT NOMINATION PROCESS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, regarding the statement of my distinguished friend, my counterpart, the Republican leader, 90 percent of what he said is right on target. It is absolutely true that we need a process. That is why Senators HATCH and SPECTER have been working on this for several weeks prior to the resignation of Sandra Day O'Connor. The process is moving along very well.

I acknowledge that the meetings I have had with the President on this matter have been very productive. They have been good and are pointed in the right direction.

However, on a couple of things I disagree with my distinguished friend, the senior Senator from Tennessee; that is, we need to be very careful and put these problems we have had behind us, dealing with the so-called nuclear option. It is easy to throw words around like "obstructionism," but the fact is the vast majority of the President's nominees were approved easily. I don't know the exact numbers, but I believe 210 out of 219 were approved, and a number of them withdrew. The battles over 5 turned out to be 5 out of 219. We do not need words like that. We need to look at this in a positive sense.

There are times, as has been indicated in the recent debate that oc-

curred in the Senate, where certain nominees have to be viewed very cautiously and carefully. For example, the person the President has chosen to go to the United Nations has caused close scrutiny of this individual.

The other two people the President sent to the United Nations as our Ambassador are people who the minority proudly voted for. Ambassador Negroponte went through here very quickly. And then, of course, Jack Danforth, the former Senator from Missouri, whipped through here and was our United Nations Ambassador. John Bolton is a different story. We had to take a look at him. That is not obstructionism. We asked for certain information. It was not forthcoming.

So as I said, I agree with my friend from Tennessee that this is a process that needs to have the view of the American public, and they need to be proud of the work we do. I think we are headed in the right direction. I am cautiously optimistic we can move through this. I have given President Bush the benefit of every doubt that he is doing this with his heart in the right place. I have told him personally and in writing how much I appreciate his reaching out to me. And I continually will be optimistic until there is no need to do so.

It would be so good for the country if they could see the Senate at its best, moving a nomination that is a consensus candidate; that is, someone Democrats and Republicans both support to this very high, honorable position, a member of the U.S. Supreme Court.

I look forward to my continued consultation with the administration. I had a conversation yesterday with one of the President's representatives, his legal counsel. I am going to continue to do whatever I can to make this process move as quickly as possible, and not only as quickly as possible but as dignified as possible. And having done this, it would be a strong message for us to send to the people of America.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GREGG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

---

#### RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

---

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2006

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the