

When we look at all these requirements, what we are doing is creating a system where for an 11-year-period these people are going to be punished and they are going to go through what I call a purgatory of time. It is an 11-year waiting period before they are eligible to obtain citizenship.

So this legislation ought to be correctly characterized as legislation that stands up for law and order, that addresses our broken borders and the lawlessness that comes from those broken borders.

I wish to briefly also address the tenor of the debate in the United States of America with respect to this issue of immigration reform, which we are debating in Washington, DC, and across our great Nation.

I think President Bush had it right when he talked about this issue a few days ago. He said:

When we conduct this debate, it must be done in a civil way. It must be done in a way that brings dignity to the process. It must be done in a way that doesn't pit one group of people against another. It must be done in a way that recognizes our history.

That is what President Bush said about the kind of debate we ought to be having in America today on immigration.

Yet the reality is that the kind of debate that is going on in some places in America is a debate that is very vitriolic and is very poisonous. It serves to divide our country as opposed to uniting our country.

I myself have been the subject of many of these attacks by telephone and e-mails as well, I am sure, as many of my colleagues who are working in the Senate today. Some of those attacks that have been launched against me have said I should simply go back to Mexico because I am a "spic." I resent that because my family founded a great part of this country, including the city of Santa Fe, NM, some 400 years ago. My family has supported this country through war and depression and a whole host of different ways.

Like all Americans, I believe we are equal and that we should be celebrating the diversity that makes us a strong country. So the kind of comments and the kind of poison that sometimes comes from these comments we are getting from around the country, including my own State of Colorado, is not helpful for us as we move forward to create comprehensive immigration reform.

I have received other kinds of comments such as from someone calling from my State:

I am not a racist against Mexicans. I want all minorities kicked out.

Another one:

Put all the illegal aliens on trains and deport them out of the country. They come in vans. Railcars would be a step up.

Those are just a few samples of the thousands of negative messages I have received in my office as we have engaged in this debate.

I go back to the President's statement that as we move forward in this

debate on this Senate floor and in this country, we should appeal to the better angels of people to ensure we can have a civil debate about a very important issue, that goes to the heart of America's national security, that addresses the economic realities that are addressed in the package that came out of the Judiciary Committee, and that also addresses the humanity involved in the immigration chaos in which we find ourselves.

Mr. President, I yield the floor, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the hour of 12:30 p.m. having arrived, the Senate stands in recess until 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:28 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. VOINOVICH).

#### SECURING AMERICA'S BORDERS ACT—Continued

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I have been advised that amendments are not being accepted at the moment, so I will withhold it until the appropriate time. I ask unanimous consent to speak to the amendment so that my colleagues will be apprised of its contents.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, last December, Senator BROWNBACK of Kansas and I went to Africa and went to a part of Africa I had never visited before. It is a part most Americans are not familiar with. It is called the Democratic Republic of Congo. We have known of it throughout history as the Congo. It is a huge expanse of country, with its capital of Kinshasa in the western part of the Democratic Republic of Congo, and then in the far eastern regions is a section of the world that has been hit hard time and again by devastating loss.

In the area around Goma, in the eastern part of Congo, a few years ago they were hit by a volcano that left 2½ feet of lava in this poor town, destroying most of the buildings that were there. They have been victims of disease, of all of the trappings of poverty, which we are aware of in the continent of Africa, while at the same time there has been an ongoing war, which has killed so many innocent people. It is amazing, the resilience and the courage of the people in east Congo.

Senator BROWNBACK and I went there because we had heard that, with little fanfare in the West, 1,000 people a day

were dying in this part of the world from all of the different events I have just noted. We went to a hospital in Goma, which is known as the Docs' Hospital, run by a Protestant church, in an effort to provide some basic health care in the Congo. We met with some amazing doctors who work for the Government of the Congo.

Some of you who are fans of the "Oprah" show from Chicago may know she has focused on a problem they are addressing which is known as obstetric fistula. This is a terrible injury a woman sustains when she is either sexually assaulted or at too young an age goes through a prolonged labor before delivering a baby and has problems that can be very devastating to her personally. So many of the women in this region of the world come to this hospital in Goma in the hopes of a surgery. There is a very modern surgical suite there financed by the United Nations but very few doctors. They have one surgeon.

I asked the doctor who was there: How many doctors do you have in this region of the world for the people who live here?

He said: We have 1 doctor for each 165,000 people. One doctor.

I said: How many surgeons?

He said: Oh, that is hard.

He did a quick calculation, and he said: I believe we have 1 surgeon for every 3 million people who live here. There is 1 surgeon for every 3 million people.

Imagine if we only had one surgeon for the city of Chicago. That is comparable in terms of numbers.

I talked to him for a while about this challenge and the fact that there are not nurses and doctors and surgeons necessary to treat these poor people. He talked to me about some of the challenges they face, not just the matter of being paid by the Government, if you are lucky—no more than \$600 a month—but also the lure of the West on these doctors.

We need doctors desperately in the United States. I represent a State with rural communities that are anxious to bring in doctors. We are not really that picky when it comes to their national origin. If they are competent, well-trained doctors, they will take them from anywhere in many of the small towns I represent. My State is not unlike many other States. But what we find here is this situation where our immigration laws are written in a way to attract doctors from those parts of the world most in need of doctors at the present time. So as Africa and Asia and other parts of the world deal with the global AIDS epidemic and terrible medical problems such as tuberculosis and malaria, the doctors who could successfully treat the people living there are lured from those low-paying jobs in desperate circumstances, with limited medical facilities, to the very best opportunities in the United States.

I thought about that as I flew back from Africa: What is the fair thing to