The American people believe this overwhelmingly. But now there are signs the Republican leadership in Congress is beginning to think a timeline is necessary as well. According to the L.A. Times, House Republican Leader John Boehner said:

Mr. Bush risks defections in the fall if the war situation hasn’t improved.

By the time we get to September or October, members are going to want to know how well this is working, and if it isn’t, what’s Plan B.

The House Republican leader now seems to be saying that he and his colleagues agree there must be a time limit on the President’s current course in Iraq.

What is also revealing, and somewhat disturbing, is the Republican leader is willing to allow our troops to stay in Iraq with a failing strategy until he and his colleagues decide it is time to part with the President.

President Bush—the same President who vetoed our plan—said this as a candidate about his predecessor, Bill Clinton, and the war in Bosnia, in 1999: I think it’s important for the president to lay out a timetable as to how long they will be involved and when they would be withdrawn.

We hope President Bush will keep his own past words in mind as these negotiations continue.

We are pleased to see the House Republican leader, speaking on behalf of his caucus, adopt our view that this commitment in Iraq must not be open-ended, but there must be a timeline. It is surely no coincidence that his views come at a time when conditions in Iraq grow worse.

I am reminded of the Easter sermon of Pope Benedict, delivered only a month ago. The Pope said:

How many wounds—how much suffering there is in the world.

He continued:

Nothing positive comes from Iraq, torn apart by continual slaughter as the civilian population flees. Without objection, it is so ordered.
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