Product liability lawsuits usually involve claims that a product is unreasonably dangerous, either in its design, manufacture, or its lack of a proper warning or instructions regarding use.

Historically, trial lawyers name the product manufacturer as well as each party that handled the product in the stream of commerce as a defendant. This includes the shipper of the product, as well as the store owner who sells the product. In most cases, the store owner is never liable for a design defect, manufacturing defect, or failure to warn. Why? Because these cases have nothing to do with the negligence of the store owner.

Doctors and pharmacists are similar to store owners. They have nothing to do with the design or manufacture of a product. Yet time and time again, doctors and other health care providers are named as parties to product liability lawsuits involving prescription drugs and medical devices. Why? Because class action lawyers are constantly looking for the best courtrooms to file their lawsuits. These lawyers routinely shop for venues that are known for siding with the patient who has been harmed. By bringing their cases in front of plaintiff-friendly judges and juries, these lawyers immeasurably enhance their probability of securing a jackpot jury award.

Judgments are virtually never entered against doctors and pharmacists in product liability lawsuits. Yet these health care professionals are often forced to spend thousands of dollars in legal costs and take valuable time off from work, time away from the patients who need them, to provide lawyers with rounds and rounds of depositions and to provide juries with testimony. This is completely ridiculous. We need doctors in our emergency rooms and family practice centers—not in the courtrooms when they have nothing to do with the product in question.

I want to tell you about a woman named Hilda Bankston. Hilda owned a pharmacy in Jefferson County, MS, and has been named as a defendant in so many lawsuits that she has lost count. In each instance, Hilda was sued for doing nothing more than filling legal prescriptions. In other words, she wasn't doing anything wrong. Nevertheless, Hilda has been dragged into court to testify in hundreds of national lawsuits brought in Jefferson County against the pharmacy and out-of-State manufacturers of drugs. Why is this? Because the party who initiated the lawsuit was shopping for a friendly court in order to file their national lawsuit in that county.

Does this bill we are considering today provide any protection to Hilda Bankston? No, it does not. Does the bill provide any protection to doctors and pharmacists with respect to product liability lawsuits? No. It doesn't do that either. The bill allows these health care providers to continue to be named in product liability cases. This is outrageous.

My amendment is simple. It prohibits a health care provider, including a doctor or a pharmacist, from being named in a product liability lawsuit or in a class action lawsuit merely because the health care provider prescribed or sold a drug or device that was approved by the Food and Drug Administration.

My amendment does not deprive patients of the right to sue a physician or a pharmacist who behaves in a negligent manner. It does not provide blanket immunity to a physician or pharmacist who behaves in a negligent manner. That would be a separate cause of action, which lies outside the scope of my amendment. What my amendment does say is that health care providers should not be dragged into a product lawsuit that they have no business being in. Doctors and pharmacists are routinely named in product liability lawsuits and are virtually always removed from these cases without having damages assessed against them. They are not responsible for the design or manufacture of drugs and devices and should not be dragged into these types of lawsuits.

Patients pay for product liability lawsuits in the form of higher health benefits and premiums.

I urge my colleagues to join me in taking action to curb this abuse of our legal system. Let's protect our health care providers from incurring frivolous unnecessary costs. Our health care providers should be focused on providing the best care possible to their patients, not on product liability lawsuits when they have nothing to do with the product in question.

I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD letters of support for my amendment from the American Medical Association and the American Osteopathic Association.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION,
Chicago, IL, May 3, 2007.

Hon. JOHN ENSIGN,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR ENSIGN: The physician and student members of the American Medical Association (AMA) commend you for introducing an amendment to S. 1082, the ''Prescription Drug User Fee Amendments of 2007,'' that would clarify physician and other health care provider liability.

Specifically, the amendment would prevent physicians and other healthcare providers who prescribe or dispense a drug, biologic product, or medical device approved, licensed, or cleared by the Food and Drug Administration from being named in class action product liability lawsuits for forum-shopping purposes. The amendment would address situations in which a local physician or other health care provider is named as a defendant as a way to file a lawsuit in a legal jurisdiction more likely to award large damage awards, even though such jurisdiction has little or no connection to the local defendants. In such cases, the local physician or other health care provider is often dropped from the suit or not found liable for damages. Instead, liability attaches to the manufacturer, whose conduct is the real subject of the litigation. Nonetheless, physicians and other health care providers are exposed to the significant legal costs, distress, and time away from their patients.

The AMA is pleased to offer its support for this amendment and looks forward to continuing to work with you to bring about common sense liability reforms, such as this amendment.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL D. MAVES,
MD, MBA.

AMERICAN OSTEOPATHIC ASSOCIATION,
Washington, DC, May 3, 2007.

Hon. JOHN ENSIGN,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR ENSIGN: As President of the American Osteopathic Association (AOA), I am pleased to inform you of our support for your amendment to the ''Prescription Drug User Fee Amendments of 2007'' (S. 1082), which would provide clarification on physician liability.

Your amendment seeks to clarify that a physician who prescribes a drug, biological product, or medical device, which has cleared successfully the Food and Drug Administration's approval process, cannot be named as a party in a class action lawsuit. The AOA shares our concerns that physicians and other health care providers frequently are names as defendants in such cases as a means of securing a venue which is more likely to produce larger monetary awards. In most cases, physicians are dismissed from he lawsuit or found not liable for damages. Regardless of the ultimate outcome, physicians face significant legal costs and time away from their patients as a result of this practice.

We believe your amendment takes the appropriate steps to ensure that future class action lawsuits are targeted at those whose conduct is in question. Additionally, we believe your amendment rightfully prevents attorneys from using physicians as a means to pursue legal action in venues they deem more favorable. For these reasons, we re pleased to offer our support.

Sincerely,

JOHN A. STROSNIDER,
DO, President.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. BROWN. I ask unanimous consent that there now be a period of morning business with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. WHITEHOUSE). Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

REMEMBERING HAWAII'S DON HO

• Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, I wish to pay tribute to a remarkable son of Hawaii, entertainment legend, Don Ho. Don's big heart gave out on April 14, in Waikiki. He was 76 years old. On Saturday, May 5, Hawaii bid a fond aloha to Don Ho, during a ceremony on Waikiki Beach in celebration of his life. Thousands of people attended his memorial.

Don didn't plan on a career in entertainment. After his college graduation, he served in the U.S. Air Force, attaining the rank of first lieutenant. When