

Again, I appreciate the help of Senators KENNEDY and ENZI and their talented staff in getting this amendment included in this bill. They have been very helpful, and I look forward to providing them any assistance they need in order to keep this in conference.

AMENDMENT NO. 993

Mr. GREGG. Mr. President, last week, the FDA just sent out a warning to American consumers regarding purchasing medications from certain Internet sites because the FDA cannot verify that the drugs purchased over those sites are going to be safe or that they won't be counterfeit. We need to give the FDA the authority and the resources to address the issue of unsafe Internet pharmacies and the Gregg Internet pharmacy amendment does just that. It creates a comprehensive framework to assure consumers that they can shop with confidence, knowing that the drugs they purchase online will be safe and effective. Hopefully, we will address this important and timely drug safety issue, if not now, at least before this bill completes the whole process and comes back from the conference committee.

Mr. KENNEDY. I thank the Senator from New Hampshire for his interest and work on this important issue. Ensuring that people have access to safe and effective medications when purchasing prescription drugs online is an important part of our efforts in the area of drug safety. The Dorgan legislation in this bill includes some provisions on the issue of Internet pharmacies, but I am willing to work with my colleague and our colleagues in the Senate to enhance these provisions to address the important issues he has raised over the course of this debate.

Mr. ENZI. I would also like to take the opportunity to express my support for the need to address the issue of unsafe Internet pharmacies. We have worked very hard in other portions of this bill to ensure the safety of prescription drugs on the market, and as this bill advances, I look forward to working with you both to enhance the provisions in this bill relating to the safety of Internet pharmacies.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Ohio is recognized.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. BROWN. I ask unanimous consent that there now be a period of morning business with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

IN RECOGNITION OF TOM
CLEWELL

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I rise today to recognize the contributions of Tom Clewell to Sparks, NV. After serving the city of Sparks for more than 36 years, Tom retired from his 3-year post as fire chief on May 4, 2007.

Tom is a native Nevadan, attending school in Reno and raising a family in Sparks. He joined the Sparks Fire Department as a temporary firefighter in April 1971, and eventually climbed the ranks to become the city's 10th fire chief in its history. He served in many roles throughout his time with the Sparks Fire Department including operator, captain, battalion chief, and division chief.

Throughout his 36 years, Tom led the fire department through many changes in Sparks. For example, Tom reorganized the department creating four division chiefs. Tom also encouraged greater training of firefighters in Sparks. He also managed the rapid growth surrounding Sparks and introduced fire prevention measures as housing developments began heading toward the foothills.

Upon his retirement, the city manager of Sparks said, "Tom has been one of the greatest leaders I have ever been associated with." That quote speaks volumes about Tom's leadership. I have known Tom for many years. His professional accomplishments are numerous, but I think Tom would likely describe his family as his greatest honor. He is the proud father to Angela and Lindsey. He shares in this joy with his wife Francine.

I am privileged to have the opportunity to honor Tom Clewell before the United States Senate today. I am certain that in his retirement Tom will continue to serve the citizens of Sparks with the dedication he has shown over the past 36 years and I wish him well on his future endeavors.

GENOCIDE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, S. 888, the Genocide Accountability Act, is the first legislation produced by the Senate Judiciary Committee's new Subcommittee on Human Rights and the Law, which I chair. It is bipartisan legislation that I introduced with Senator TOM COBURN, ranking member of the Human Rights and the Law Subcommittee, Senator PATRICK LEAHY, chairman of the Judiciary Committee, and Senator JOHN CORNYN.

The Genocide Accountability Act would close a legal loophole that prevents the U.S. Justice Department from prosecuting individuals who have committed genocide. Under current law, genocide is only a crime if it is committed within the United States or by a U.S. national outside the United States. The Genocide Accountability Act would amend 18 U.S.C. 1091, the Genocide Convention Implementation Act, to allow prosecution of non-U.S. nationals who are brought into or found in the United States for genocide committed outside the United States.

I recently received a letter from David Scheffer, U.S. Ambassador at Large for War Crimes from 1997 to 2001, which makes clear the impact that the Genocide Accountability Act could have. Ambassador Scheffer's letter ex-

plains that the loophole in our genocide law hindered the U.S. Government's efforts to secure the apprehension and prosecution of former Cambodian dictator Pol Pot, one of the worst war criminals of the 20th century. If the Genocide Accountability Act had been law when Pol Pot was alive and at large, maybe the United States would have been able to bring him to justice.

The Genocide Accountability Act recently passed the Senate unanimously. I am hopeful that in short order the House of Representatives will pass it and the President will sign it into law.

The United States should have the ability to bring to justice individuals who commit genocide, regardless of where their crime takes place and regardless of whether they are a U.S. national. The Genocide Accountability Act would end this immunity gap in U.S. law.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have Ambassador Scheffer's letter to which I referred printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the letter was to be printed in the RECORD as follows:

CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL
HUMAN RIGHTS,
April 6, 2007.

Re lost opportunities to achieve international justice.

Senator RICHARD DURBIN,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Human Rights and the Law, Committee on the Judiciary, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR DURBIN: you have asked me to recount how limitations in U.S. federal law during the 1990's prevented the Clinton Administration, in which I served as U.S. Ambassador at Large for War Crimes Issues (1997-2001), from ensuring the speedy apprehension and prosecution of the former Cambodian leader, Pol Pot, on charges of genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes ("atrocious crimes") prior to his death in March 1998. Because such limitations in U.S. law remain, particularly with respect to the crime of genocide, it may be useful for Members of Congress to consider how historically devastating was this lost opportunity to achieve some measure of justice for the deaths of an estimated 1.7 million Cambodians under Pol Pot's rule from 1975 to 1979.

In June 1997 the then two co-prime ministers of Cambodia, Hun Sen and Norodom Ranariddh, sent a letter to the Secretary-General of the United Nations seeking assistance to establish an international criminal tribunal that would render justice to the senior Khmer Rouge leaders, none of whom had been prosecuted with the sole exception of a highly dubious in absentia trial of Pol Pot and his foreign minister, Ieng Sary, in a Cambodia in 1979 shortly after the fall of the Khmer Rouge regime. The jointly-signed letter in June 1997 opened two pathways of action by the Clinton Administration: the first continues to this day, namely how to investigate and prosecute surviving senior Khmer Rouge leaders and bring them to justice before a credible court of proper jurisdiction; the second interrelated issue dealt with effective measures to apprehend and hold suspects in custody until they could be brought to trial.

Since no international criminal tribunal existed in 1997 that was specially designed to