In 1984, President Ronald Reagan recognized the vital importance and personal sacrifice of the military spouse by declaring the Friday before Mother’s Day as Military Spouse Appreciation Day. The impact that the military spouse has on the readiness and effectiveness of the United States Armed Forces cannot be overstated. However, military spouses are rarely thanked or recognized for the vital role that they play in maintaining our national security.

Today, more than 50 percent of our total force is married. Of the 1.12 million military spouses 92 percent are women, 78 percent are enlisted spouses, 57 percent are between the ages of 25–40 years, 73 percent have children, and 65 percent also work outside of the home. The Armed Forces’ current operational tempo has placed unique challenges and extraordinary strain on our military families. Months of waiting and late nights filled with worry about a forward-deployed loved one can take a toll on the most steadfast and stout-hearted man or woman. Despite this intense strain, military spouses have remained committed and loyal to their servicemember and family. These men and women know the true meaning of sacrifice and devotion.

Today, America says thank you to our loving military spouses.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, in order to get a handle on today’s gun violence among students, we must increase our awareness on the issue. Last week, the Brady Center to Prevent Gun Violence released a report that draws attention to the gun lobby’s efforts over the past few years to change college campus rules that prohibit firearms. The report details the gun lobby’s efforts in multiple States to pressure colleges to allow the possession and use of firearms by students and others on campus.

The report, “No Gun Left Behind: The Gun Lobby’s Campaign to Push Guns into Colleges and Schools,” reveals a letter addressed to a Maine legislator from the National Rifle Association Institute for Legislative Action on April 2, 2007, emphatically opposing legislation to “allow any college or university to regulate the possession of firearms on the property of the college or university.” It also describes the gun lobby’s support for a law passed in Utah that expressly prohibits public school districts, public schools, and State institutions of higher education from imposing guns off campus. Similar legislation was proposed in Virginia last year.

“Our schools should be sanctuaries, not armed camps,” stated Paul Helmke, President of the Brady Center. “Institutions of higher education already have chosen policies either banning or tightly controlling guns on campus. That is as it should be. These institutions are responsible for the safety of their students and the security of their campuses and should continue to have the right to control firearms.”

No Gun Left Behind also details some of the reasons bringing guns onto campus increases the danger to students and faculty alike. Every year approximately 1,100 college students commit suicide, with an additional 24,000 attempting suicide. Roughly 90 percent of those who attempt suicide with a firearm are successful. And, there is a significant danger of guns being stolen in the dorm setting.

As Congress considers sensible gun legislation, I urge my colleagues to read this important report.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, due to my flight from Rhode Island being delayed, I was unavoidably absent for vote No. 151, the Cochran second-degree amendment No. 1010 to the Dorgan amendment No. 996.

Had I been present, I would have opposed the Cochran amendment. While I have supported the Cochran amendment in the past, the amendment this time seeks to amend a different and vastly strengthened Dorgan reimportation proposal. Senators Dorgan and Snowe have acknowledged the safety concerns that have been raised in the past and have sought to address them. Specifically, their amendment establishes a framework for the registration and regulation of exporting pharmacies and wholesalers. It also directs the FDA to initiate a process to approve identical medications as FDA-approved products in the United States. The amendment also requires clear labeling and documentation of the drug from the point of origin to the point of sale. I believe these series of measures greatly improve the Dorgan amendment, reduce the risk of counterfeit products entering the domestic drug supply chain, and assure the safety of reimported drugs. The Dorgan-Snowe proposal also meets the second test set forth in the Cochran second degree amendment—cost savings. According to Congressional Budget Office, CBO, estimates, implementation of prescription drug importation provisions would reduce existing drug savings. However, the CBO also found that imposing the Cochran amendment would reduce those potential savings to zero.