

declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 30 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

□ 1925

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mrs. TAUSCHER) at 7 o'clock and 25 minutes p.m.

PERMISSION TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTS ON H.R. 2641, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008; H.R. 2643, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008; AND PROVIDING FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2638, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008

Mr. OBEY. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that:

(1) the Committee on Appropriations be permitted to file supplemental reports to accompany H.R. 2641 and H.R. 2643, respectively; and

(2) during further consideration of H.R. 2638 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to House Resolution 473, the pending amendment offered by Mrs. DRAKE shall be debatable for 10 further minutes, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and notwithstanding clause 11 of rule XVIII, no further amendment to the bill may be offered except:

pro forma amendments offered at any point in the reading by the chairman and ranking member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate;

An amendment by Ms. GINNY BROWN-WAITE of Florida regarding funding for border fencing and technology;

An amendment by Mr. MCHENRY regarding funding for Citizenship and Immigration Services;

An amendment by Mr. FERGUSON regarding funding for Buffer Zone Protection, which shall be debatable for 5 minutes;

An amendment by Mr. BURGESS regarding funding for Secure Flight, which shall be debatable for 5 minutes;

An amendment by Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida regarding funding for the Office of Inspector General;

An amendment by Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida regarding funding for FEMA management and administration;

An amendment by Mr. KING of Iowa regarding funding for Drug Smuggler Lookout Posts;

An amendment by Mr. PEARCE regarding funding for Customs and Border Protection;

An amendment by Mr. SHAYS regarding funding for sharing information with Interpol;

An amendment by Mr. KUHLE of New York regarding a Western Hemisphere Travel Initiative study;

An amendment by Mr. KUHLE of New York regarding a northern border study;

An amendment by Mr. CONAWAY regarding funding for invasive species removal;

An amendment by Mr. HUNTER or Mr. ROYCE, Mr. KING of Iowa or Mr. FRANKS of Arizona regarding the Secure Fence Act;

An amendment by Mr. CARTER regarding border fencing requirements;

An amendment by Mr. SOUDER regarding a report on use of air and marine interdiction assets;

An amendment by Mr. MCCAUL of Texas regarding unmanned aerial systems;

An amendment by Mr. KING of Iowa regarding funding for worksite enforcement;

An amendment by Mr. SOUDER regarding funding for Deepwater;

An amendment for Mr. BILBRAY regarding funding for REAL ID;

An amendment by Mr. DENT regarding funding for Secret Service protective missions;

An amendment by Mr. JINDAL regarding funding for FEMA disaster relief for hurricane preparedness;

An amendment by Mr. DAVIS of Kentucky regarding funding for Commercial Equipment Direct Assistance grants;

An amendment by Mr. LANGEVIN regarding funding for cybersecurity research and development;

An amendment by Mr. KING of New York regarding funding for domestic nuclear detection;

An amendment by Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida regarding airport employee screening pilot program;

An amendment by Mr. MCCAUL of Texas regarding the MAX-HR project;

An amendment by Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi to strike section 537(b) relating to small business;

An amendment by Mr. DEAL of Georgia regarding limitation on use of funds to put out to pasture horses and mules;

An amendment by Mr. ELLSWORTH regarding limitation on use of funds for contractors delinquent on Federal debt;

An amendment by Mr. HENSARLING regarding limitation on use of certain FEMA grant funds;

An amendment by Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas regarding a report on pipeline and refinery vulnerability;

An amendment by Mr. LATOURETTE regarding the Western Hemisphere Travel Initiative;

An amendment by Mr. ORTIZ regarding limitation on funding for border fencing;

An amendment by Mr. POE regarding limitation on use of funds to implement plans under section 7209 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act;

An amendment by Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky regarding a reduction in funding;

An amendment by Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky regarding limitation of total number of airport screeners;

An amendment by Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky regarding the Davis-Bacon Act;

An amendment by Mr. TANCREDO regarding limitation on use of funds to carry out visa waiver program;

An amendment by Mr. TANCREDO regarding limitation on use of funds in contravention of section 642(a) of the Illegal Reform and Responsibility Act;

An amendment by Mr. PRICE of Georgia regarding limitation on use of funds for research on global warming;

An amendment or amendments by Mr. PRICE of North Carolina regarding funding levels;

An amendment by Mr. OBEY prohibiting funding for earmarks; and

An amendment by Mr. FORBES prohibiting use of funds for temporary protective status.

□ 1930

Each such amendment may be offered only by the Member named in this request or a designee, or by the Member who caused it to be printed in the RECORD or a designee, shall be considered as read, shall not be subject to amendment except that the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations and the Subcommittee on Homeland Security each may offer one pro forma amendment for the purpose of debate; and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

Except as otherwise specified, each amendment shall be debatable for 10 minutes, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent. An amendment shall be considered to fit the description stated in this request if it addresses in whole or in part the object described.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, reserving the right to object, if the gentleman would join in a colloquy, a question has arisen as to whether or not when this bill goes to conference with the other body and there should be items that are included in the conference report that comes back to the House, items that were not included in either the Senate-passed version or the House-passed version, would those items be subject to a point of order when the conference report hits the House floor?

Mr. OBEY. Madam Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. OBEY. Madam Speaker, my understanding, and if the gentleman will shortly yield to the distinguished minority leader and the distinguished majority leader, but my understanding of this provision is that it seeks to assure that there are two kinds of remedies available to items that are in conference. My understanding is that if