

PFC Zachary Endsley, your tour of duty has been honorably concluded. And that's just the way it is.

**REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEES**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SPRATT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SPRATT. Madam Speaker, under sections 211 and 307(b) of S. Con. Res. 21, the

Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008, I hereby submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD a revision to the budget allocations and aggregates for certain House committees for fiscal years 2007, 2008, and the period of 2008 through 2012. This revision represents an adjustment to certain House committee budget allocations and aggregates for the purposes of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended, and in response to the bill H.R.

2419 (Farm, Nutrition, and Bioenergy Act of 2007). Corresponding tables are attached.

Under section 211 of S. Con. Res. 21, this adjustment to the budget allocations and aggregates applies while the measure (H.R. 2419) is under consideration. The adjustments will take effect upon enactment of the measure (H.R. 2419). For purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended, a revised allocation made under section 211 of S. Con. Res. 21 is to be considered as an allocation included in the resolution.

**DIRECT SPENDING LEGISLATION—AUTHORIZING COMMITTEE 302(a) ALLOCATIONS FOR RESOLUTION CHANGES**

(Fiscal years, in millions of dollars)

	2007		2008		2008–2012 Total	
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
House Committee:						
Current allocation:						
Agriculture .....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial Services .....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Natural Resources .....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change for Farm, Nutrition and Bioenergy Act of 2007 (H.R. 2419):						
Agriculture .....	0	0	1,900	1,089	11,841	6,259
Financial Services .....	0	0	-11	-11	-153	-153
Natural Resources .....	0	0	-295	-295	-2,235	-2,235
Total .....	0	0	1,594	783	9,453	3,871
Revised allocation:						
Agriculture .....	0	0	1,900	1,089	11,841	6,259
Financial Services .....	0	0	-11	-11	-153	-153
Natural Resources .....	0	0	-295	-295	-2,235	-2,235

**BUDGET AGGREGATES**

(On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal Year 2007	Fiscal Year 2008 <sup>1</sup>	Fiscal Years 2008–2012
Current Aggregates: <sup>2</sup>			
Budget Authority .....	2,255,570	2,350,357	n.a.
Outlays .....	2,268,649	2,353,992	n.a.
Revenues .....	1,900,340	2,015,841	11,137,671
Change for Farm, Nutrition and Bioenergy Act of 2007 (H.R. 2419):			
Budget Authority .....	0	1,594	n.a.
Outlays .....	0	783	n.a.
Revenues .....	0	433	3,871
Revised Aggregates:			
Budget Authority .....	2,255,570	2,351,951	n.a.
Outlays .....	2,268,649	2,354,775	n.a.
Revenues .....	1,900,340	2,016,274	11,141,542

n.a. = Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2009 through 2012 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

<sup>1</sup> Pending action by the House Appropriations Committee on spending covered by section 207(d)(1)(E) (overseas deployments and related activities), resolution assumptions are not included in the current aggregates.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes emergency amounts exempt from enforcement in the budget resolution.

**ESTABLISHING A QUADRENNIAL NATIONAL SECURITY REVIEW**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Madam Speaker, I am pleased to introduce legislation this evening requiring the establishment of a Quadrennial National Security Review. I am joined in this effort by my friend and colleague on the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. THORBERRY) who has been an advocate for enhanced interagency cooperation and improved strategic planning on national security issues. His input and support on this bill have been invaluable.

This measure has the support of Armed Services Committee Chairman SKELTON, Foreign Affairs Committee Chairman LANTOS, and Homeland Security Committee Chairman THOMPSON, as well as numerous other Members

with strong national security credentials.

Currently, the United States establishes its national security goals in the National Security Strategy, required by law to be submitted annually to Congress. However, only two versions have been published in the last 6 years and those documents provide little insight into how we can harness all assets of national power to achieve our national security goals.

Many experts in the field of national security, including members of the 9/11 Commission, have emphasized the importance of using all of our Nation's capabilities and levers of influence to advance our national security goals.

Dr. Joe Nye, the former dean of the Kennedy School of Government and former Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs, has written extensively about the need to supplement our military might with "soft power," efforts to win the world's hearts and minds with our values and culture.

Successfully exercising this type of power requires that we pursue many fronts, including international diplomacy, democracy building, cultural exchanges, economic development, educational initiatives, and communication about our values and ideals.

Even though our Nation has many assets and capabilities to advance our national security goals, we have done a poor job of integrating them all. From my experience on the House Intelligence Committee, as well as the Homeland Security and Armed Services Committees, I am deeply concerned about the tendency of agencies with national security responsibilities to focus exclusively on their own programs' initiatives, while losing sight of the larger strategic goals, an unfortunate phenomenon that leads to stovepiping when information and in-

telligence are not shared among Departments and agencies.

Madam Speaker, we must ensure that all components of our Federal Government are working together toward the same purpose and that they are able to coordinate their efforts to the greatest extent possible.

In its "Beyond Goldwater-Nichols" study, the Center for Strategic and International Studies recommended the establishment of a Quadrennial National Security Review to create an interagency process that would identify national security goals, assess existing needs and capabilities, establish priorities for funding, and recommend specific policy and budget proposals.

From that recommendation, I have worked with other experts, Members of Congress, and committee staff to draft the legislation that we are introducing today. This bill would create a Quadrennial National Security Review, a process to coordinate all assets of national power and identify and achieve our national security objectives.

Under the measure, every 4 years the President would conduct a review of the national security goals of the United States in consultation with all relevant national security related Departments and agencies, as well as Congress. The process would include a thorough investigation of America's national security interests and objectives, the strategy for implementing security goals, risk assessments, identification of all assets of national power needed to meet security goals, an explanation of how agencies would coordinate their efforts, and an assessment of what additional resources are needed. The effort would culminate in a comprehensive national security strategy document, policy recommendations, and a unified security budget proposal that reflects national security priorities.