United States of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 110th CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 153 WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 6, 2007 No. 186—Book III

House of Representatives

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1585, NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008—Continued

Assessment of sufficiency of test and evaluation personnel (sec. 235)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 236) that would require an assessment of the sufficiency of the workforce of the Office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees are supportive of efforts to assess accurately the required size and technical skill mix of the workforce necessary to fulfill the important statutory role of the Office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation. The conferees are concerned, however, that the organization is heavily reliant on contractor support. Therefore, the conferees expect that this assessment will also address the appropriate balance between government personnel and contractor support in the organization, given its critical, independent oversight role.

Repeal of requirement for separate reports on technology area review and assessment summaries (sec. 236)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 237) that would repeal the statutory requirement for a report to Congress that summarizes the Director of Defense Research and Engineering's Technology Area Review and Assessment (TARA).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the role of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E) in coordinating the science and technology (S&T) programs of the military services, defense agencies, Special Operations Command, other departmental organizations, and other federal agencies is critical to the efficient and effective execution of the Department of Defense's overall S&T strategy. Further, the Defense Science Board in its October 2005 report ''The Roles and Authorities of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering'' noted that ''. . . the DDR&E should be tasked to assure that all research and development organizations are implementing the strategic technology guidance of the Department.''

The conferees further note that the TARA process has been replaced by a combination of S&T collaborative reviews, forward looking assessments, and technology focus teams, under the newly established Reliance 21 process. The conferees support any efforts to improve the coordination and execution of the S&T program and expect the Department to keep the congressional defense committees informed of the maturity and effectiveness of the new processes, as well as outcomes, when appropriate, of specific technical reviews and assessments.

Modification of notice and wait requirement for obligation of funds for foreign comparative test program (sec. 237)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 251) that would shorten the notice and wait time required for the obligation of funds in the Foreign Comparative Test program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Strategic plan for the Manufacturing Technology Program (sec. 238)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 253) that would require the development of a strategic plan for the Manufacturing Technology Program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify the time period covered by the plan and modify the requirements for development of the plan and for its submission to Congress.

The conferees are supportive of the efforts of the Manufacturing Technology Program to enhance the producibility, improve the performance, and increase the affordability of defense systems. The conferees note that the Defense Science Board, in its recent study entitled, ''The Manufacturing Technology Program: A Key to Affordably Equipping the Future Force'' recommended that the Department of Defense ''ensure implementation'' of the Manufacturing Technology Program strategic plan and investment strategy ''with periodic reviews of plan execution.'' The conferees believe that this provision, as well as other manufacturing-related provisions adopted by the conferees, are consistent with that recommendation and would support efforts to identify best practices that can be used in making future manufacturing technology investments and transitioning technologies to the defense industrial base.

Modification of authorities on coordination of Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research with similar federal programs (sec. 239)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 254) that would give the Department of Defense more flexibility in its execution of the Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Enhancement of defense nanotechnology research and development program (sec. 240)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 255) that would update the program and reporting requirements for the defense nanotechnology research program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would modify the activities and interagency coordination efforts under the program and eliminate the requirement for a Comptroller General study of the program.

The conferees believe that nanotechnology can enable novel future military capabilities if research efforts can be matured into battlefield applications. This type of technology transition is difficult for all technologies, and will be difficult for nanotechnology as well. The conferees believe that the Department of Defense should begin to anticipate and address future technology transition issues, such as manufacturing of nanosystems and developing a sustainable nanotechnology industrial base.

The conferees further see the value in supporting government-wide efforts as part of the National Nanotechnology Initiative and therefore direct the Department to participate in all appropriate interagency activities, including providing appropriate resources to support its involvement in those activities.

Federally funded research and development center assessment of the Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (sec. 241)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 256) that would require an assessment by the Comptroller General of the Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research.

Printed on recycled paper.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to utilize a defense federally funded research and development center for an independent assessment of the Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research.

Cost-benefit analysis of proposed funding reduction for High Energy Laser Systems Test Facility (sec. 242)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 258) that would require a cost-benefit analysis of the proposed funding reduction for the High Energy Laser Test Facility.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Prompt global strike (sec. 243)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 124) that would prohibit the Department of Defense (DOD) from obligating or expending any fiscal year 2008 funds for operational deployment of a weapons system that uses Trident missiles converted to carry conventional payloads. The provision would also direct the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees within 30 days after the date on which he determines such a weapons system is fully functional and is necessary to meet military requirements.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to prepare and submit to the congressional defense committees a research, development, and test plan for capabilities that could be used in prompt global strike (PGS) sytems. Further, the amendment would direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to submit a plan for obligation and expenditure of funds for fiscal year 2008, and would prohibit implementation of that plan until at least 10 days after it is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

The conferees provide no funds for the Conventional Trident Modification (CTM) program. This limitation on the CTM program does not preclude continued research, development, test, and evaluation on subsystems or technologies previously pursued under the CTM program if applicable to other PGS alternatives or use of the Trident D5 as a test platform.

The conferees have consolidated funding requested by the Navy for CTM and funds requested by the Air Force for the Common Aero Vehicle (CAV) into PE 64165D8Z to be used to establish an integrated PGS program. Requirements for the program should be provided by the United States Strategic Command as informed by the ongoing analysis of alternatives for PGS and the PGS technology road map.

The conferees look to the PGS program to conduct research and development in a wide variety of technology areas including propulsion systems, advanced payload delivery and dispensing mechanisms, system command and control, and non-nuclear, kinetic and non-kinetic payloads.

The conferees note the value of developing conventional prompt global strike capabilities that may be needed for time-sensitive operations. Conventional prompt global strike capabilities would also continue the post-Cold War trend of reducing U.S. reliance on nuclear weapons by providing the President with a wider variety of viable non-nuclear strike options.

The conferees remain concerned about prompt global strike concepts that would employ a mixed loading of nuclear and non-nuclear systems and believe that DOD should carefully address these ambiguity concerns.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Gulf War illnesses research

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 214) that would authorize a $15.0 million increase for Gulf War illnesses research.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables of this report in Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Army, PE 63002A.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to utilize the authorized funding for this program to undertake research on Gulf War illnesses. The conferees direct that activities under the program should include studies of treatments for the complex of symptoms commonly referred to as ''Gulf War Illness''; and identification of objective markers for Gulf War Illness. The conferees recommend that no studies based on psychiatric illness and psychological stress as the central cause of Gulf War Illness be funded under the program. The conferees direct that the program be conducted using competitive selection and peer review for the identification of research with the highest technical merit and military value. Further, the conferees direct that this program be coordinated with similar activities in the Department of Veterans Affairs and the National Institutes of Health.

Increased funds for X Lab battlespace laboratory

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 227) that would authorize an increase of $10.0 million for the X Lab battlespace laboratory.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables of this report in Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E), Defense-wide, line 30.

Modeling, analysis, and simulation of military and non-military operations in complex urban environments

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 238) that would express findings of Congress relating to modeling and simulation of urban environments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees believe that modeling and simulation activities hold the promise of improving defense capabilities across the spectrum of missions and have adopted a provision elsewhere in this bill that reflects the high priority that these activities should have. The conferees believe that modeling and simulation activities show significant promise in improving military and non-military capabilities for operating in complex urban environments.

Reduction of amounts for Army Venture Capital Fund demonstration

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 233) that would authorize a decrease of $10.0 million for the Army Venture Capital Fund demonstration.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables of this report in Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Army, PE 63125A.

Sense of Congress concerning full support for development and fielding of a layered ballistic missile defense

The House bill included a provision (sec. 226) that would express the sense of Congress concerning support for development and fielding of a layered ballistic missile defense system.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Operation and maintenance overview

The budget request included $142.8 billion for operation and maintenance for the Department of Defense.

The House bill would authorize $142.5 billion for operation and maintenance.

The Senate amendment would authorize $143.6 billion for operation and maintenance.

The conferees agree to authorize $142.8 billion for operation and maintenance for the Department of Defense.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Operation and Maintenance, Army	28,924,973	28,868,671	29,725,273	-137,754	28,787,219
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	33,334,690	33,126,090	33,307,690	20,993	33,355,683
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	4,961,393	4,923,993	4,998,493	5,800	4,967,193
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	33,655,633	33,393,333	32,967,215	-537,171	33,118,462
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide	22,574,278	22,732,978	22,397,153	-74,025	22,500,253
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,508,062	2,508,062	2,512,062	1,800	2,509,862
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,186,883	1,194,883	1,186,883		1,186,883
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	208,637	208,637	208,637		208,637
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2,692,077	2,692,077	2,821,817	129,740	2,821,817
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	5,840,209	5,845,809	5,861,409	17,200	5,857,409
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	5,041,965	5,044,365	5,469,368	414,703	5,456,668
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	434,879	434,879	434,879		434,879
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	300,591	300,591	300,591		300,591
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	458,428	458,428	458,428		458,428
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	12,751	12,751	12,751		12,751
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	250,249	250,249	270,249	20,000	270,249
US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	11,971	11,971	11,971		11,971
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	5,000	5,000	5,000		5,000
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID	103,300	103,300	63,300		103,300
FORMER SOVIET UNION THREAT REDUCTION	348,048	398,048	448,048	80,000	428,048
GRAND TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	**142,854,017**	**142,514,115**	**143,461,217**	**-58,714**	**142,795,303**

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Army					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		LAND FORCES					
2020a	010	MANEUVER UNITS	887,030	887,030	1,103,730	2,800	889,830
		Extended cold weather clothing system			[4,000]	[2,800]	
		Grow the force transfer from title XV			[212,700]		
2020a	020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	93,630	93,630	93,630		93,630
2020a	030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	693,513	693,513	693,513		693,513
2020a	040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	771,074	771,074	771,074		771,074
2020a	050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,242,988	1,242,988	1,242,988		1,242,988
2020a	060	AVIATION ASSETS	848,171	848,171	848,171		848,171
		LAND FORCES READINESS					
2020a	070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,051,266	2,051,266	2,056,266		2,051,266
		Manufacturing Engineering Training Outreach Program (METOP)			[5,000]		
2020a	080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	555,405	555,405	555,405		555,405
2020a	090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	804,892	804,892	890,892		804,892
		Transfer from Aircraft Procurement, Army, Line 3, ARH			[86,000]		
		LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT					
2020a	100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	6,802,278	6,802,278	6,802,278		6,802,278

Title III - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
2020a	110	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,031,173	2,031,173	2,046,173	3,200	2,034,373
		Training range improvement			[15,000]	[1,200]	
		Bomb line modernization (transferred from Ammunition Procurement, Army)				[2,000]	
2020a	120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	285,198	285,198	285,198		285,198
2020a	130	UNIFIED COMMANDS	113,872	113,872	113,872		113,872
2020a	140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	233,035	233,035	233,035		233,035
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**17,413,525**	**17,413,525**	**17,736,225**	**6,000**	**17,419,525**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
		MOBILITY OPERATIONS					
2020a	150	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	288,063	288,063	288,063		288,063
2020a	160	ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS	156,318	86,318	156,318	-70,000	86,318
		Army Prepositioned Stocks		[-70,000]		[-70,000]	
2020a	170	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS					
		TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	**444,381**	**374,381**	**444,381**	**-70,000**	**374,381**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		ACCESSION TRAINING					
2020a	180	OFFICER ACQUISITION	116,804	116,804	116,804		116,804
2020a	190	RECRUIT TRAINING	47,608	47,608	47,608		47,608

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
2020a	200	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	50,796	50,796	50,796		50,796
2020a	210	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	364,863	364,863	364,863		364,863
		BASIC SKILL/ ADVANCE TRAINING					
2020a	220	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	574,212	574,212	574,212		574,212
2020a	230	FLIGHT TRAINING	695,377	695,377	695,377		695,377
2020a	240	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	113,769	114,769	113,769	500	114,269
		Leadership for Leaders Command and General Staff College		[1000]		[500]	
2020a	250	TRAINING SUPPORT	706,416	707,766	706,416		706,416
		Air and Missile Defense Instrumentation System		[1350]			
		RECRUITING/OTHER TRAINING					
2020a	260	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	603,498	603,498	685,498		603,498
2020a	270	EXAMINING	152,793	152,793	152,793		152,793
2020a	280	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	238,457	238,457	238,457		238,457
2020a	290	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	199,956	199,956	199,956		199,956
2020a	300	JUNIOR ROTC	147,203	147,203	147,203		147,203
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	4,011,752	4,014,102	4,093,752	500	4,012,252

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SECURITY PROGRAMS					
2020a	310	SECURITY PROGRAMS	757,874	757,874	758,774	-1,432	756,442
		Classified program			[900]	[-1432]	
		LOGISTICS OPERATIONS					
2020a	320	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	686,899	686,899	686,899		686,899
2020a	330	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	642,906	642,906	642,906		642,906
2020a	340	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	506,679	506,679	506,679		506,679
2020a	350	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	476,807	476,807	476,807		476,807
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
2020a	360	ADMINISTRATION	775,819	775,819	775,819		775,819
2020a	370	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,192,413	1,149,413	1,192,413	-72,822	1,119,591
		Army servicewide communications-other contracts		[-43,000]		[-43,000]	
		General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS) realignment			[-29,822]	[-29,822]	
2020a	380	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	269,420	269,420	269,420		269,420
2020a	390	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	217,872	217,872	612,572		217,872
		Grow the force transfer from title XV			[394,700]		
2020a	400	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	841,825	841,825	841,825		841,825
2020a	410	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	233,786	233,786	233,786		233,786
2020a	420	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	48,170	48,170	48,170		48,170

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS					
2020a	430	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS	362,159	362,159	362,159		362,159
2020a	440	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	42,686	42,686	42,686		42,686
		CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
2020a	450	FSRM, CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	7,055,315	7,012,315	7,450,915	-74,254	6,981,061
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		Readiness training restoration		250,000			
		Army operational unobligated balances estimate		-195,652			
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Army	28,924,973	28,868,671	29,725,273	-137,754	28,787,219
		Operation and Maintenance, Navy					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
1804n	010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	3,607,384	3,607,384	3,607,384		3,607,384
1804n	020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	937,648	937,648	937,648		937,648
1804n	030	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	50,805	50,805	50,805		50,805
1804n	040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	127,578	127,578	127,578		127,578

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1804n	050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	479,941	479,941	479,941		479,941
1804n	060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,017,876	1,109,476	1,094,876		1,017,876
		Increased funds		[91,600]	[77,000]		
1804n	070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	152,464	153,464	152,464		152,464
		F/A-18 C/D Filament-wound External Fuel Tank Refurbishment		[1,000]			
		SHIP OPERATIONS					
1804n	080	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,463,690	3,463,690	3,463,690		3,463,690
1804n	090	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	671,029	671,029	671,029		671,029
1804n	100	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,416,438	4,416,438	4,416,438		4,416,438
		Ship Reserve Forces maintenance (transferred to OMNR)					
1804n	110	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,081,734	1,081,734	1,081,734		1,081,734
		COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT					
1804n	120	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	564,929	564,929	564,929		564,929
1804n	130	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	74,888	74,888	74,888		74,888
1804n	140	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	159,521	159,521	159,521		159,521
1804n	150	WARFARE TACTICS	390,335	390,335	390,335		390,335
1804n	160	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	260,736	260,736	260,736		260,736
1804n	170	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,105,254	1,112,754	1,105,254	7,500	1,112,754
		Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command		[7,500]		[7,500]	
1804n	180	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	176,743	176,743	176,743		176,743
1804n	190	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,925	3,925	3,925		3,925

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		WEAPONS SUPPORT					
1804n	200	CRUISE MISSILE	138,447	138,447	138,447		138,447
1804n	210	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	974,235	974,235	974,235		974,235
1804n	220	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	99,435	99,435	99,435		99,435
1804n	230	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	486,603	486,603	516,103	11,760	498,363
		Mk45 Mod 5" gun overhaul			[29,500]	[11,760]	
1804n	240	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	315,141	315,141	315,141		315,141
		BASE SUPPORT					
1804n	250	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	610,899	610,899	610,899		610,899
1804n	260	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	1,246,489	1,246,489	1,246,489		1,246,489
1804n	270	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	3,826,778	3,826,778	3,831,778		3,826,778
		Supplemental environmental impact statement			[5,000]		
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	26,440,945	26,541,045	26,552,445	19,260	26,460,205
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
		READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES					
1804n	280	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	541,656	541,656	541,656		541,656
		ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS					
1804n	290	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	7,166	7,166	7,166		7,166
1804n	300	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	192,440	192,440	192,440		192,440

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS					
1804n	310	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	29,880	29,880	29,880		29,880
1804n	320	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,043	2,043	2,043		2,043
1804n	330	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	21,538	21,538	21,538		21,538
		TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	794,723	794,723	794,723		794,723
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		ACCESSION TRAINING					
1804n	340	OFFICER ACQUISITION	135,602	135,602	135,602		135,602
1804n	350	RECRUIT TRAINING	11,308	11,308	11,308		11,308
1804n	360	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	112,185	112,185	112,185		112,185
		BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
1804n	370	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	486,138	486,138	486,138		486,138
1804n	380	FLIGHT TRAINING	475,655	475,655	475,655		475,655
1804n	390	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	161,017	161,017	161,017		161,017
1804n	400	TRAINING SUPPORT	161,647	161,647	161,647		161,647
		RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
1804n	410	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	264,309	264,309	264,309		264,309

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1804n	420	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	144,828	145,128	144,828	300	145,128
		Naval Sea Cadet Training		[300]		[300]	
1804n	430	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	74,991	74,991	74,991		74,991
1804n	440	JUNIOR ROTC	47,515	47,515	47,515		47,515
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	2,075,195	2,075,495	2,075,195	300	2,075,495
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
1804n	450	ADMINISTRATION	759,691	759,691	759,691		759,691
1804n	460	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	5,448	5,448	5,448		5,448
1804n	470	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	104,347	94,947	104,347		104,347
		National Security Personnel System		[-5,500]			
		A-76 Studies		[-3,900]			
1804n	480	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	151,915	151,915	151,915		151,915
1804n	490	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	275,033	275,033	275,033		275,033
1804n	500	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	578,999	568,999	578,999		578,999
		Navy Marine Corps Intranet		[-10,000]			
1804n	510	MEDICAL ACTIVITIES					

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT					
1804n	520	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	237,202	237,202	237,202		237,202
1804n	530	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS					
1804n	540	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	243,861	243,861	243,861		243,861
1804n	550	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	559,214	559,214	559,214		559,214
1804n	560	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	58,963	58,963	58,963		58,963
1804n	570	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	17,205	17,205	17,205		17,205
1804n	580	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	77,793	77,793	77,793		77,793
		INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS					
1804n	590	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	421,887	421,887	421,887		421,887
		SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS					
1804n	640	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	11,290	11,290	11,290		11,290
1804n	650	PRESIDENTIAL DRAWDOWN AUTHORITY					
		CANCELLED ACCOUNTS					
1804n	660	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS					
		OTHER PROGRAMS					
1804n	999	OTHER PROGRAMS	520,979	520,979	523,079	1,433	522,412
		Classified program			[2,100]	[1,433]	
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	4,023,827	4,004,427	4,025,927	1,433	4,025,260

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		Unobligated balances		-202,600	-60,600		
		WCF excess balances			-80,000		
		Navy Civilian personnel overstatement		-75,000			
		Under-execution of End Strength		-12,000			
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy	**33,334,690**	**33,126,090**	**33,307,690**	**20,993**	**33,355,683**
		Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
1106n	010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	867,734	881,234	889,734	4,000	871,734
		Extended Cold Weather Clothing System			[6,000]	[2,400]	
		Family of combat equipment support and services		[10,000]	[10,000]		
		Rapid deployable shelter			[6,000]		
		Multi-Voltage EMI Hardened Flourescent Stringable Tent Lighting System		[3,500]		[1,600]	
1106n	020	FIELD LOGISTICS	502,437	502,437	517,537	1,800	504,237
		Mobile corrosion protection			[15,100]	[1,800]	
1106n	030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	71,240	83,240	71,240		71,240
		Radar set, 3-D long-range		[12,000]			
1106n	040	BASE SUPPORT					

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		USMC PREPOSITIONING					
1106n	050	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	73,870	73,870	73,870		73,870
1106n	060	NORWAY PREPOSITIONING	5,681	5,681	5,681		5,681
		BASE SUPPORT					
1106n	070	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	517,701	517,701	517,701		517,701
1106n	080	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,742,906	1,742,906	1,742,906		1,742,906
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**3,781,569**	**3,807,069**	**3,818,669**	**5,800**	**3,787,369**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		ACCESSION TRAINING					
1106n	090	RECRUIT TRAINING	13,242	13,242	13,242		13,242
1106n	100	OFFICER ACQUISITION	520	520	520		520
		BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
1106n	110	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	54,185	54,185	54,185		54,185
1106n	120	FLIGHT TRAINING	318	318	318		318
1106n	130	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	16,751	16,751	16,751		16,751
1106n	140	TRAINING SUPPORT	284,071	284,071	284,071		284,071

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION					
1106n	150	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	141,378	141,378	141,378		141,378
1106n	160	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	57,523	57,523	57,523		57,523
1106n	170	JUNIOR ROTC	17,080	17,080	17,080		17,080
		BASE SUPPORT					
1106n	180	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	56,590	56,590	56,590		56,590
1106n	190	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	146,254	146,254	146,254		146,254
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	787,912	787,912	787,912		787,912
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
1106n	200	SPECIAL SUPPORT	257,131	237,131	257,131		257,131
		Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle Program Support		[-20,000]			
1106n	210	SERVICE-WIDE TRANSPORTATION	81,548	81,548	81,548		81,548
1106n	220	ADMINISTRATION	36,078	36,078	36,078		36,078
		BASE SUPPORT					
1106n	230	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION	3,039	3,039	3,039		3,039
1106n	240	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	14,116	14,116	14,116		14,116
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	391,912	371,912	391,912		391,912

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		Operational unobligated balances estimate		-42,900			
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	**4,961,393**	**4,923,993**	**4,998,493**	**5,800**	**4,967,193**
		Operation and Maintenance, Air Force					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
3400f	010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	4,260,831	4,325,831	4,260,831	2,000	4,262,831
		MBU/P oxygen mask with lights		[2,000]		[2,000]	
		B-52 attrition reserve		[63,000]			
3400f	020	PRIMARY COMBAT WEAPONS	279,759	279,759	279,759		279,759
3400f	030	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	673,384	673,384	673,384		673,384
3400f	040	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	1,502,472	1,502,472	1,502,472		1,502,472
3400f	050	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	1,839,006	1,799,006	1,839,006		1,839,006
		Baselevel communitcations infrastructure		[-40,000]			
3400f	060	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	2,277,479	2,339,479	2,277,479		2,277,479
3400f	070	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,041,719	1,032,519	1,041,719		1,041,719
		Cheyenne Mountain transformation		[-9,200]			
3400f	080	BASE SUPPORT	2,202,105	2,202,105	2,202,105		2,202,105

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS					
3400f	090	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	1,318,235	1,303,235	1,321,535	2,640	1,320,875
		National Security Space Institute			[3,300]	[2,640]	
		Air Defense Contracts and Space Support		[-15,000]			
3400f	100	NAVIGATION/WEATHER SUPPORT	258,712	258,712	258,712		258,712
3400f	110	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	776,893	776,893	776,893		776,893
3400f	120	JCS EXERCISES	27,261	31,261	27,261		27,261
		Maintain Fairchild AFB SAR Capabilty		[4,000]			
3400f	130	MANAGEMENT/OPERATIONAL HQ	327,494	327,494	327,494		327,494
3400f	140	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	485,784	485,784	485,784		485,784
		SPACE OPERATIONS					
3400f	150	LAUNCH FACILITIES	321,465	321,465	321,465		321,465
3400f	160	LAUNCH VEHICLES	51,072	51,072	51,072		51,072
3400f	170	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	233,190	233,190	233,190		233,190
3400f	180	SATELLITE SYSTEMS	87,993	87,993	87,993		87,993
3400f	190	OTHER SPACE OPERATIONS	329,184	329,184	329,184		329,184
3400f	200	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	184,632	184,632	184,632		184,632
3400f	210	BASE SUPPORT	645,518	645,518	645,518		645,518
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	19,124,188	19,190,988	19,127,488	4,640	19,128,828

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
		MOBILITY OPERATIONS					
3400f	220	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,932,076	2,932,076	2,932,076		2,932,076
3400f	230	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS C3I	49,152	49,152	49,152		49,152
3400f	240	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	190,395	190,395	190,395		190,395
3400f	250	PAYMENTS TO TRANSPORTATION BUSINESS AREA	300,000	300,000	300,000		300,000
3400f	260	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	337,741	337,741	337,741		337,741
3400f	270	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	214,720	214,720	214,720		214,720
3400f	280	BASE SUPPORT	656,828	656,828	656,828		656,828
		TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	4,680,912	4,680,912	4,680,912		4,680,912
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		ACCESSION TRAINING					
3400f	290	OFFICER ACQUISITION	85,528	85,528	85,528		85,528
3400f	300	RECRUIT TRAINING	11,704	11,704	11,704		11,704
3400f	310	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	98,631	98,631	98,631		98,631
3400f	320	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	69,047	69,047	69,047		69,047
3400f	330	BASE SUPPORT	92,671	92,671	92,671		92,671

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
3400f	340	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	378,009	378,009	378,009		378,009
3400f	350	FLIGHT TRAINING	911,673	911,673	911,673		911,673
3400f	360	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	173,051	173,051	173,051		173,051
3400f	370	TRAINING SUPPORT	96,679	96,679	96,679		96,679
3400f	380	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	14,309	14,309	14,309		14,309
3400f	390	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	182,756	182,756	182,756		182,756
3400f	400	BASE SUPPORT	621,550	621,550	621,550		621,550
		RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
3400f	410	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	138,895	138,895	138,895		138,895
3400f	420	EXAMINING	4,820	4,820	4,820		4,820
3400f	430	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	189,568	189,568	189,568		189,568
3400f	440	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	133,167	133,167	133,167		133,167
3400f	450	JUNIOR ROTC	70,798	70,798	70,798		70,798
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	3,272,856	3,272,856	3,272,856		3,272,856
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		LOGISTICS OPERATIONS					
3400f	460	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	812,935	813,235	812,935		812,935
		U.S. Air Force life sciences equipment laboratory		[300]			
3400f	470	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	650,478	650,478	650,478		650,478

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
3400f	480	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	274,722	274,722	274,722		274,722
3400f	490	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	66,246	66,246	66,246		66,246
3400f	500	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	290,267	290,267	290,267		290,267
3400f	510	BASE SUPPORT	1,133,524	1,133,524	1,133,524		1,133,524
		SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
3400f	520	ADMINISTRATION	221,139	221,139	221,139		221,139
3400f	530	SERVICE-WIDE COMMUNICATIONS	578,644	578,644	578,644		578,644
3400f	540	PERSONNEL PROGRAMS	229,575	229,575	229,575		229,575
3400f	550	ARMS CONTROL	39,300	39,300	39,300		39,300
3400f	560	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	845,771	845,771	846,296	400	846,171
3400f	560	Mobile shear			[525]	[400]	
3400f	570	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	36,195	36,195	36,195		36,195
3400f	580	CIVIL AIR PATROL	23,753	23,753	23,753		23,753
3400f	590	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	29,772	29,772	29,772		29,772
3400f	600	BASE SUPPORT	308,771	308,771	308,771		308,771
		SECURITY PROGRAMS					
3400f	610	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,001,198	1,001,198	1,000,598	1,432	1,002,630
		Classified program			[-600]	[1,432]	
		SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS					
3400f	620	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	35,387	35,387	35,387		35,387

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
3400f	630	FSRM, CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	**6,577,677**	**6,577,977**	**6,577,602**	**1,832**	**6,579,509**
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		CAM transfer to O&M, Air Force Reserve			-129,740	-129,740	-129,740
		CAM transfer to O&M, Air National Guard			-413,903	-413,903	-413,903
		Unobligated balances		-200,400	-60,000		
		WCF excess balances			-88,000		
		Management & professional support service		-4,000			
		Locally purchased fuel		-5,000			
		Equipment maintenance by contract		-50,000			
		Purchased communications		-70,000			
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	**33,655,633**	**33,393,333**	**32,967,215**	**-537,171**	**33,118,462**
		Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES					
		DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
0100d	010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	597,309	597,309	597,309		597,309

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
0100d	020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,277,640	3,277,640	3,286,340		3,277,640
		Language training			[8,700]		
		TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:	**3,874,949**	**3,874,949**	**3,883,649**		**3,874,949**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
0100d	030	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	104,596	104,596	104,596		104,596
		RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION					
0100d	040	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	91,099	91,099	91,099		91,099
		TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:	**195,695**	**195,695**	**195,695**		**195,695**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
0100d	050	AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE	149,631	149,631	149,631		149,631
0100d	060	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	107,347	111,347	107,347	4,000	111,347
		National Guard Youth Challenge		[3,500]		[3,500]	
		DOD Starbase Program		[500]		[500]	
0100d	090	DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	148,028	148,028	148,028		148,028
0100d	100	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	396,578	396,578	396,578		396,578
0100d	110	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	432	432	432		432

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
0100d	120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	945,594	945,594	945,594		945,594
0100d	140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES	36,350	36,350	36,350		36,350
0100d	150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	299,778	321,778	299,778	8,600	308,378
		Commercial Technologies for Maintenance Activities (CTMA)		[15,000]		[1,600]	
		Procurement Technical Assistance Program		[7,000]		[7,000]	
0100d	160	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE	16,400	16,600	16,400	200	16,600
		Defense Prisoner of War Missing Personnel Office		[200]		[200]	
0100d	170	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	23,671	25,671	23,671		23,671
		Global Force Management Visibility Toolset		[2,000]			
0100d	180	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	333,548	333,548	333,548		333,548
0100d	190	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY	1,833,431	1,842,931	1,833,431	2,400	1,835,831
		Parents as Teachers		[3,000]		[2,400]	
		Coming Together Around Military Families		[6,500]			
0100d	200	DOD HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	376,292	376,292	376,292		376,292
0100d	210	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,044,139	1,044,139	1,044,139		1,044,139
0100d	220	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	673,400	673,400	461,000	-205,000	468,400
		Center for international issues research			[-7,400]		
		Global train and equip program			[-200,000]	[-200,000]	
		Stability operations fellowship program			[-5,000]	[-5,000]	
0100d	230	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	372,457	372,457	372,457		372,457
0100d	250	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	57,176	62,176	57,176	5,000	62,176
		Port of Corpus Christi military seaport infrastructure		[5,000]		[5,000]	

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
0100d	260	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,093,742	1,113,742	1,122,742	14,200	1,107,942
		Defense Readiness Reporting System management tools			[12,000]	[1,200]	
		Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)		[20,000]	[20,000]	[16,000]	
		Strategic communications and integration			[-3,000]	[-3,000]	
0100d	270	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE	439,277	439,277	439,277		439,277
		OTHER PROGRAMS					
0100d	999	OTHER PROGRAMS	10,156,363	10,156,363	10,197,938	41,575	10,197,938
		Classified program			[41,575]	[41,575]	
		TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	8,347,271	8,409,971	8,163,871	-170,600	8,176,671
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		Impact aid		50,000	35,000	30,000	30,000
		Impact aid for children with severe disabilities			5,000	5,000	5,000
		Special assistance to local education agencies			10,000	10,000	10,000
		Unobligated balances			-54,000		
		WCF excess balances			-40,000		
		DOD impact aid BRAC and force structure		15,000			
		Connect and Join		1,000			
		Cold War Victory Medal		2,000			
		Combat Veterans Mentoring Program		2,000			
		National Guard Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program		23,000			
		Program to commemorate 50th Anniversary of Vietnam		3,000		1,000	1,000

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Enhanced personnel authorities for healthcare professionals				1,000	1,000
		Benefits handbook for severely injured				1,000	1,000
		Hotline investigations				7,000	7,000
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	**22,574,278**	**22,732,978**	**22,397,153**	**-74,025**	**22,500,253**
		Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		LAND FORCES					
2080a	010	MANEUVER UNITS	4,465	4,465	4,465		4,465
2080a	020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	15,706	15,706	15,706		15,706
2080a	030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	443,577	443,577	443,577		443,577
2080a	040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	154,575	154,575	154,575		154,575
2080a	050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	514,510	514,510	514,510		514,510
2080a	060	AVIATION ASSETS	63,133	63,133	63,133		63,133
		LAND FORCES READINESS					
2080a	070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	230,699	230,699	230,699		230,699
2080a	080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	84,725	84,725	88,725	1,800	86,525
2080a	080	Mobile corrosion protection			[4,000]	[1,800]	
2080a	090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	130,683	130,683	130,683		130,683

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT					
2080a	100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	502,679	502,679	502,679		502,679
2080a	110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	222,856	222,856	222,856		222,856
2080a	120	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	11,367	11,367	11,367		11,367
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**2,378,975**	**2,378,975**	**2,382,975**	**1,800**	**2,380,775**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
2080a	130	ADMINISTRATION	67,309	67,309	67,309		67,309
2080a	140	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	10,427	10,427	10,427		10,427
2080a	150	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	8,321	8,321	8,321		8,321
2080a	160	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	43,030	43,030	43,030		43,030
		CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
2080a	170	FSRM, CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	**129,087**	**129,087**	**129,087**		**129,087**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	**2,508,062**	**2,508,062**	**2,512,062**	**1,800**	**2,509,862**

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
1806n	010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	561,550	561,550	561,550		561,550
1806n	020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	17,029	17,029	17,029		17,029
1806n	030	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	3,169	3,169	3,169		3,169
1806n	040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	121,186	121,186	121,186		121,186
1806n	050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	393	393	393		393
		SHIP OPERATIONS					
1806n	060	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	49,766	49,766	49,766		49,766
1806n	070	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	573	573	573		573
1806n	080	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	41,616	53,616	41,616		41,616
		Ship Reserve Forces maintenance (transferred from OMN)		[12,000]			
1806n	090	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	559	559	559		559
		COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT					
1806n	100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	15,344	15,344	15,344		15,344
1806n	110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	121,531	121,531	121,531		121,531
		WEAPONS SUPPORT					
1806n	120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	2,141	2,141	2,141		2,141

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BASE SUPPORT					
1806n	130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	90,262	90,262	90,262		90,262
1806n	140	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	52,000	52,000	52,000		52,000
1806n	150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	94,642	94,642	94,642		94,642
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	1,171,761	1,183,761	1,171,761		1,171,761
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
1806n	160	ADMINISTRATION	3,260	3,260	3,260		3,260
1806n	170	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	8,509	8,509	8,509		8,509
1806n	180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,936	2,936	2,936		2,936
1806n	190	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS					
		CANCELLED ACCOUNTS					
1806n	210	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS					
		OTHER PROGRAMS					
1806n	999	OTHER PROGRAMS	417	417	417		417
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	15,122	15,122	15,122		15,122

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		Underexecution of end strength		-4,000			
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	**1,186,883**	**1,194,883**	**1,186,883**		**1,186,883**
		Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
1107n	010	OPERATING FORCES	49,487	49,487	49,487		49,487
1107n	020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	11,229	11,229	11,229		11,229
1107n	030	TRAINING SUPPORT	27,131	27,131	27,131		27,131
		BASE SUPPORT					
1107n	040	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	14,827	14,827	14,827		14,827
1107n	050	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	69,998	69,998	69,998		69,998
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**172,672**	**172,672**	**172,672**		**172,672**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
1107n	060	SPECIAL SUPPORT	12,417	12,417	12,417		12,417

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1107n	070	SERVICE-WIDE TRANSPORTATION	826	826	826		826
1107n	080	ADMINISTRATION	9,422	9,422	9,422		9,422
1107n	090	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,690	8,690	8,690		8,690
		BASE SUPPORT					
1107n	100	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,610	4,610	4,610		4,610
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	35,965	35,965	35,965		35,965
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	208,637	208,637	208,637		208,637
		Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
3740f	010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,709,952	1,709,952	1,709,952		1,709,952
3740f	020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	99,253	99,253	99,253		99,253
3740f	030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	399,573	399,573	399,573		399,573
3740f	040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	83,405	83,405	83,405		83,405
3740f	050	BASE SUPPORT	276,854	276,854	276,854		276,854
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	2,569,037	2,569,037	2,569,037		2,569,037

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
3740f	060	ADMINISTRATION	70,152	70,152	70,152		70,152
3740f	070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	22,704	22,704	22,704		22,704
3740f	080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC)	22,995	22,995	22,995		22,995
3740f	090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP)	6,505	6,505	6,505		6,505
3740f	100	AUDIOVISUAL	684	684	684		684
		CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
3740f	110	FSRM, CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	123,040	123,040	123,040		123,040
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		CAM transfer from O&M, Air Force			129,740	129,740	129,740
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2,692,077	2,692,077	2,821,817	129,740	2,821,817

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		LAND FORCES					
2065a	010	MANEUVER UNITS	638,508	640,508	642,508	3,200	641,708
		Extended Cold Weather Clothing System		[2,000]	[4,000]	[3,200]	
2065a	020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	423,443	423,443	423,443		423,443
2065a	030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	555,457	556,457	555,457		555,457
		M-Gators		[1,000]			
2065a	040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	627,343	629,343	628,643	2,800	630,143
		National Guard Interoperability Upgrades			[1,300]	[800]	
		Battery Modernization Program		[2,000]		[2,000]	
2065a	050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	34,721	34,721	34,721		34,721
2065a	060	AVIATION ASSETS	361,885	361,885	361,885		361,885
		LAND FORCES READINESS					
2065a	070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	309,655	309,655	316,555	3,000	312,655
		Integrated Disaster & Rapid Data Management Systems			[6,900]	[3,000]	
2065a	080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	109,561	109,561	113,561	1,800	111,361
		Mobile corrosion protection			[4,000]	[1,800]	
2065a	090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	466,452	466,452	466,452		466,452

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT					
2065a	100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	828,418	828,418	828,418		828,418
2065a	110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	486,341	486,341	486,341		486,341
2065a	120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ	551,675	551,675	551,675		551,675
2065a	130	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	92,517	92,517	97,517	2,400	94,917
		Operator driving simulator			[5,000]	[2,400]	
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	5,485,976	5,490,976	5,507,176	13,200	5,499,176
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
2065a	140	ADMINISTRATION	119,227	119,227	119,227		119,227
2065a	150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	52,250	52,250	52,250		52,250
2065a	160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	7,396	7,396	7,396		7,396
2065a	170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	175,360	175,360	175,360		175,360
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	354,233	354,233	354,233		354,233
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		Florida-New York Civil Support Team increase (transfer from OMANG)		600			
		Weapons Skills Trainer (transfer from O&M, ANG)				4,000	4,000
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	5,840,209	5,845,809	5,861,409	17,200	5,857,409

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
3840f	010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,049,334	3,049,334	3,055,334	800	3,050,134
		Controlled humidity protection			[6,000]	[800]	
3840f	020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	540,633	540,633	548,133		540,633
		Weapons skills trainer (WST) (transfer to O&M, ARNG)			[7,500]		
3840f	030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	587,485	587,485	587,485		587,485
3840f	040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	285,227	285,227	285,227		285,227
3840f	050	BASE SUPPORT	525,149	525,149	525,149		525,149
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**4,987,828**	**4,987,828**	**5,001,328**	**800**	**4,988,628**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
3840f	060	ADMINISTRATION	30,716	30,716	30,716		30,716
3840f	070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	23,421	23,421	23,421		23,421
		CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
2080a	080	FSRM, CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	**54,137**	**54,137**	**54,137**		**54,137**
		UNDISTRIBUTED					
		CAM transfer from O&M, Air Force			413,903	413,903	413,903
		Florida-New York Civil Support Team Increase		2,400			
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	**5,041,965**	**5,044,365**	**5,469,368**	**414,703**	**5,456,668**
		TRANSFER ACCOUNTS					
0810a	010	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	434,879	434,879	434,879		434,879
0810n	020	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	300,591	300,591	300,591		300,591
0810n	020	CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
0810f	030	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	458,428	458,428	458,428		458,428
0810f	030	CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
0810d	040	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	12,751	12,751	12,751		12,751
0810d	040	CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
0811d	050	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	250,249	250,249	270,249	20,000	270,249
		Increased funds			[20,000]	[20,000]	
0811d	050	CONTINUING RESOLUTION ADJUSTMENT					
		TOTAL, O&M, TRANSFER ACCOUNTS	**1,456,898**	**1,456,898**	**1,476,898**	**20,000**	**1,476,898**

Title III - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS					
0104d	060	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	11,971	11,971	11,971		11,971
0838d	070	SUPPORT OF INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS					
0118d	080	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	5,000	5,000	5,000		5,000
0819d	170	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID	103,300	103,300	63,300		103,300
		Building partnership capacity for humanitarian assistance			[-40,000]		
1236d	180	KAHO'OLAWE					
0134d	190	FORMER SOVIET UNION THREAT REDUCTION	348,048	398,048	448,048	80,000	428,048
		TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS	468,319	518,319	528,319	80,000	548,319
		TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE TITLE:	142,854,017	142,514,115	143,461,217	-58,714	142,795,303

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Operation and maintenance funding (sec. 301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 301) that would authorize fiscal year 2008 funding levels for all operation and maintenance accounts.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 301).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Environmental Provisions

Reimbursement of Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with Moses Lake Wellfield Superfund Site, Moses Lake, Washington (sec. 311)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 311) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to reimburse the Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with the Moses Lake Wellfield Superfund Site, Moses Lake, Washington.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 311).

The House recedes.

Reimbursement of Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with the Arctic Surplus Superfund Site, Fairbanks, Alaska (sec. 312)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 312) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to reimburse the Environmental Protection Agency for certain costs in connection with the Arctic Surplus Superfund Site, Fairbanks, Alaska.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 312).

The House recedes.

Payment to Environmental Protection Agency of stipulated penalties in connection with Jackson Park Housing Complex, Washington (sec. 313)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 313) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to pay a stipulated penalty assessed by the Environmental Protection Agency against the Jackson Park Housing Complex, Washington.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 313).

The House recedes.

Report on control of the brown tree snake (sec. 314)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 314) that would require a report from the Secretary of Defense on the Department's efforts to control the brown tree snake on Guam and prevent its introduction to other areas.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to include in this report the results of management, control, and eradication efforts through integrated natural resource management plans prepared for military installations in Guam under the pilot program authorized by section 670a(g) of title 16, United States Code. The amendment would also require, as part of the Secretary's report, information on the Department's actions to implement the recommendations of the Brown Treesnake Review Panel in its final report published in March 2005.

Notification of certain residents and civilian employees at Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, of exposure to drinking water contamination (sec. 315)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1082) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to take certain steps with regard to individuals who may have been exposed to drinking water contamination at Camp Lejeune, North Carolina.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to use available funds to pay for the health survey required by the provision.

Subtitle C—Workplace and Depot Issues

Availability of funds in Defense Information Systems Agency working capital fund for technology upgrades to Defense Information Systems Network (sec. 321)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 321) that would authorize the Defense Information Systems Agency to use up to $500,000 of working capital funds to pay for any project directly related to technology upgrades to the Defense Information System Network.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide this authority notwithstanding section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, and clarifies the limitation on its use with respect to changing a system's performance envelope.

Modification to public-private competition requirements before conversion to contractor performance (sec. 322)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 324) that would modify the provisions of section 2461 of title 10, United States Code, regarding the requirements for public-private competition for the performance of a function.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 365). The conference agreement includes this provision.

Public-private competition at end of period specified in performance agreement not required (sec. 323)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 325) that would override the requirement in Office of Management and Budget Circular A–76 that all work awarded to federal employees pursuant to a public-private competition be recompeted at the end of the period specified in the performance agreement.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 370).

The House recedes.

The conferees note that this provision would give Department of Defense managers discretion to determine whether it is in the interest of the Department of Defense to conduct a follow-on competition at the end of the period specified in the performance agreement. Nothing in this provision would prohibit the conduct of such a competition, if it were determined to be in the Department's interest.

Guidelines on insourcing new and contracted out functions (sec. 324)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 326) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to establish guidelines and procedures for ensuring that full consideration is given to using federal employees to perform new functions and other categories of work that would otherwise be performed under contract.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 368).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would eliminate language prohibiting the Department of Defense from initiating any new public-private competitions until the guidelines and procedures are issued. The amendment would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the compliance of the Secretary of Defense with the requirements of this section.

Restriction on Office of Management and Budget influence over Department of Defense public-private competitions (sec. 325)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 328) that would prohibit the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) from directing or requiring the Secretary of Defense or the secretary of a military department to undertake a particular public-private competition under OMB Circular A–76.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 369).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would strike the requirement that the Secretary of Defense suspend public-private competitions under OMB Circular A–76 and substitute a requirement that the Inspector General conduct a comprehensive review of the compliance of the Secretary of Defense and the secretaries of the military departments with the requirements of the provision.

The conferees remain concerned about efforts by OMB to intervene in internal Department of Defense (DOD) decisions regarding how the Department should best be staffed to carry out its vital national security functions. Most recently, the Deputy Director of OMB sent a memorandum to the Deputy Secretary of Defense complaining that the Department of the Army had not subjected a large enough number of federal employee positions to public-private competition and that DOD had classified too many positions as being inappropriate for public-private competition. The OMB memorandum further urged DOD to actively review positions in the intelligence community for possible public-private competition.

The conferees agree that decisions about which functions should be performed by DOD employees in the interest of national security are best made by the Department of Defense. In recent years the DOD budget has grown dramatically as the Department has taken on substantial new burdens in the global war on terrorism. The Department of the Army and the defense intelligence agencies bear a particularly heavy share of these burdens. Under these circumstances, the conferees believe that DOD decisions regarding the structure of the civilian workforce required to meet national defense needs should be made without outside interference. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to make these decisions on the merits, without regard to any views that may be expressed by OMB officials.

Bid protests by federal employees in actions under Office of Management and Budget Circular A–76 (sec. 326)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 329) that would give federal employees the same right to appeal the outcome of a public-private competition that contractors competing against those employees already have.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 366). The conference agreement includes this provision.

The conferees note that this provision applies to a protest of an agency decision that was made after the date of the enactment of this Act, even if the competition, study, or analysis which formed the basis for such decision was initiated prior to the date of enactment.

Public-private competition required before conversion to contractor performance (sec. 327)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 330) that would extend government-wide certain provisions regarding public-private competitions conducted by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 367). The conference agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authority for Army industrial facilities to engage in cooperative activities with non-Army entities (sec. 328)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 341) that would amend section 4544 of title 10, United States Code, to extend until September 30, 2014, the authority for any working capital funded Army industrial facility to enter into a contract or cooperative arrangement with a non-Army entity to carry out specified military or commercial projects. This provision would also provide for an annual report by the Secretary of the Army explaining how the Army is using this extended authority, and would require the Army to submit a business case analysis on the advisability of making this authority permanent.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Reauthorization and modification of multi-trades demonstration project (sec. 329)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 331) that would reauthorize and expand section 338 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) to allow the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of the Navy to conduct demonstration projects through 2013 to evaluate the benefits of promoting workers who perform multiple trades.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) grant the Secretary of the Army the same authority to establish a multi-trades demonstration project; (2) limit demonstrations to one industrial facility per service; and (3) require the secretaries to make a recommendation on whether multi-trade authority should become permanent.

The conferees note that, in accordance with section 338 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136), the Comptroller General will submit a report on the projects at the end of the demonstration.

Pilot program for availability of working capital funds to Army for certain product improvements (sec. 330)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would amend section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a new paragraph at the end granting limited authority to the Department of Defense to use Defense Working Capital Funds to make product improvements for weapon systems, major end items, and components.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would grant authority to the Secretary of the Army in a pilot program to use working capital funds for certain product improvements. The Senate amendment would also strike amendments to section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, and grant working capital fund activities limited authority to spend up to $1.0 million to insert technology improvements into weapon systems or major end items. The conferees agree that this authority would expire in 2013.

The conferees are concerned that Department of Defense policy and regulations governing the implementation of the authority provided in section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, is undermining the Department's and services' ability to use working capital fund activities, such as depot maintenance activities and department-wide services, to maximize equipment recapitalization and modernization. This is particularly the case for the Army and the Marine Corps as significant numbers of their tactical systems will cycle through the depot maintenance systems as part of their reset strategies over the next several years.

The intent of the pilot program is to allow the Army to demonstrate whether use of working capital funds could decrease procurement lead times, implement steady workload requirements at the depots, as well as improve supplier workload coordination with the private sector. The conferees are concerned that the Financial Management Regulation has limited the authority, and therefore the intent, of section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, by restricting technology refreshment and insertion to those components or systems that would not ''significantly improve the performance envelope'' of the end item. The conferees believe that a less restrictive application of this authority would allow working capital fund activities to acquire mission-related system upgrades and insert technologies that improve major components of end items, such as large system engines, as they would other parts to maximize depot capacity, workload management, and flexibility. A less restrictive application of this authority would also allow working capital fund services to upgrade or refresh mission equipment to increase performance and reduce costs.

The conferees are aware of the services' concerns regarding the potential impact of this authority. The conferees share these concerns and, accordingly, sunset the authority in 2013. Additionally, the conferees direct the Army to address these issues during the pilot program and in the report mandated by this provision:

(1) the definition of ''significant'' change to the performance envelope of an end item;

(2) the impact on cost, including training;

(3) the distinction between investment and improvement (i.e., service-life extensions, new capabilities, safety) and their relationship to appropriation law and the role of program managers;

(4) the impact on depot competitiveness; and

(5) the impact on performance-based logistics agreements.

The conferees have included an annual reporting requirement in this provision to ensure congressional oversight of the pilot program. The annual reports will serve to inform the congressional defense committees on whether this authority should be considered for Department of Defense-wide application.

Subtitle D—Extension of Program Authorities

Extension of Arsenal Support Program Initiative (sec. 341)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would amend section 343 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) to extend the Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI) through fiscal year 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 342).

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that the Army's arsenals provide the Nation critical manufacturing capabilities not available from any other domestic source and, therefore, are vital to the industrial capacity of the Department of Defense. The conferees believe that for many years the Department has neglected the arsenals in terms of capital investment to keep pace with modern manufacturing requirements and retention of core skills in the arsenal workforce.

One of the central purposes for the creation of the ASPI was to retain and possibly strengthen the viability of the Army arsenals by leveraging the availability of military industrial facilities and a skilled workforce and commercial ventures related to the arsenals' mission. The conferees are concerned that the ASPI has had limited success in contributing to the arsenals' retention of skills in manufacturing processes necessary to ensure these unique skills are available for national security purposes. Unlike initiatives at Army ammunition plants where the commercial ventures are complementary or compatible to the plants' core military mission, the arsenal initiatives appear to have minimal connection to core mission skills. Some arsenals appear to create force protection and security risks by introducing relatively open access to the installations by non-defense personnel. The conferees are also concerned about reported conflicts over the availability and use of Department-owned land and facilities. These conflicts between the arsenals' military mission and ASPI-related commercial ventures undermine control of the pace and scope of the program that arsenal commanders and the Department must retain.

The conferees are aware that ASPI-related recapitalization of critical arsenal plants and equipment has been limited. Based on the congressionally mandated Department of the Army report of October 5, 2007, the ASPI appears to be contributing to the local economic development purposes laid out in the enacting legislation. However, the $15.5 million in congressionally directed funding that has supported the program since fiscal year 2001 has produced only $3.1 million in cost avoidance to the Army. The Army's October report recommends permanent authority for the ASPI, but the Department of Defense did not request any ASPI authority in its fiscal year 2008 legislative package, nor did the Army budget request include ASPI funding for fiscal year 2008 or any future year.

For the reasons noted above, the conferees expect the Department of Defense to take action to increase the capital investment and enhance the manufacturing processes and skills of the Army's arsenals, either through an improved ASPI program or by seeking additional statutory authorities. During the additional 2 years of authorization that this provision would provide, the conferees expect to see progress in the selection and execution of projects that will enhance the arsenals' core missions and workforce, and result in contributions to the recapitalization of plants and equipment.

The conferees direct the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) to conduct a business case analysis that examines the cost, return on investment, and economic impact of the ASPI. Furthermore, the conferees direct the Comptroller General to: (1) review how effective ASPI has been in achieving the 11 purposes outlined in Public Law 106–398; (2) evaluate variations in the ASPI implementation at the Army arsenals; and (3) provide recommendations on how the ASPI could be restructured to support the arsenals' core missions. The conferees direct CBO and the Comptroller General to submit these reports to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and Senate no later than September 1, 2009.

Extension of period for reimbursement for helmet pads purchased by members of the armed forces deployed in contingency operations (sec. 342)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 342) that would extend the period during which members of the armed forces deployed in contingency operations may request and receive reimbursement for helmet pads that were purchased at personal expense. This section would cover purchases made through September 30, 2007, and would give the service member up to a year to submit a claim for reimbursement. This section does not

allow reimbursement for purchases made on behalf of a service member.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of temporary authority for contract performance of security guard functions (sec. 343)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 322) that would continue the orderly phase-out of the temporary authority for contract performance of security guard functions under section 322 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle E—Reports

Reports on National Guard readiness for emergencies and major disasters (sec. 351)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 351) that would amend section 482 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Department of Defense to begin reporting on the readiness of the National Guard to respond to civil support mission requirements. The report would be included in the quarterly readiness report to Congress provided to the congressional defense committees and also reported to the State governors.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 343) that would amend sections 482 and 10541 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Department of Defense to routinely measure and report on the readiness of the National Guard to perform domestic support missions.

The House recedes with an amendment that would set an effective date for the first report's submission to allow a reasonable amount of time for the Department to develop appropriate measures and processes to implement this change to the reporting systems. The House amendment would also require that the Department's reports refer to the National Guard's duties specified in sections 331 through 333 and 12304(b) of title 10, United States Code. The House amendment would also specify that the terms emergency and major disaster are used as defined in section 5122 of title 42, United States Code.

The conferees are concerned that increased demands on the personnel and equipment of the National Guard in support of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan have left the States at significant risk in terms of the Guard's ability to respond to domestic crises. The Government Accountability Office reported in January 2007, that the Department does not routinely measure or report to Congress on the readiness of non-deployed National Guard forces for domestic missions. The conferees believe that the degree or acceptability of domestic risk cannot be appropriately managed, by either the Department or the States, without an accurate and reliable readiness measurement and reporting system.

The conferees intend that this provision would result in the establishment of clear lines of authority and responsibility for measuring and reporting readiness that helps define clear lines of federal and State responsibility for strategies and investment priorities to achieve and maintain the appropriate levels of readiness.

The Department has recognized the need to have more visibility over the availability and repair of the National Guard's equipment provided by the Department specifically for its federal mission essential tasks but that is also relevant and useful for the Guard's domestic support missions. The conferees understand that the Department has begun to collect data on this federally provided equipment and units' readiness but note that these efforts are not mature.

The conferees are pleased with the progress of the development and fielding of the Defense Readiness Reporting System and expect that this system would also provide the basis for the measurement and management of National Guard readiness for its domestic support missions.

Annual report on prepositioned materiel and equipment (sec. 352)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 355) that would amend chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a section to require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees annually on the materiel and equipment in the prepositioned stocks.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would strike the reference to the obsolete Status of Resources and Training system and adds a requirement for the Government Accountability Office to review the report each year for 7 years.

The conferees believe in the strategic importance of the collection and placement of military materiel and supplies in locations around the world to facilitate and speed our response to crisis or contingencies. Prepositioned stocks have been a critical strategic hedge in our global response strategy since the Cold War, especially for our Army and Marine Corps ground forces. These stocks, both stored on land and on ships afloat, become even more important if we anticipate continuing conflict in the global war on terror in other parts of a dangerous world.

The conferees are aware, however, that current stocks of prepositioned materiel and equipment have been largely distributed or consumed in support of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan. The depleted condition of these stocks reduces our strategic depth and flexibility and increases risk. The conferees are concerned that the Department of Defense's plans and resources are not aligned and sufficient to aggressively reconstitute or reset prepositioned stocks to reduce risk and reestablish strategic flexibility. The conferees expect that this annual report will aid in addressing these concerns and will enable increased congressional oversight.

Consistent with this view and enduring congressional concerns, section 1046 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375) directed the Department to conduct a policy review of programs for prepositioned materiel and equipment. The conferees note the arrival of an interim report, 2 years late, and urge the Department to complete its analysis and final report as rapidly as possible. Any plan to reconstitute or reset prepositioned stocks, as the provision in this bill would require, must be guided by strategic policy that addresses current and future requirements and manages risk.

Report on incremental cost of early 2007 enhanced deployment (sec. 353)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 323) that would amend section 323 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) to include a reporting requirement on the incremental increase in reset costs related to the deployment of additional forces to Iraq.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would include the incremental change in reset costs associated with the redeployment of forces from Iraq.

The conferees acknowledge that deployed and redeployed force levels will increase and decrease consistent with changes in strategy in both the current and next fiscal years. This fluctuation will change the reset requirements and costs over time. This provision requires the military departments to identify and project the actual and potential increase and decrease in costs of reset and report those changes to Congress.

Modification of requirements of Comptroller General report on the readiness of Army and Marine Corps ground forces (sec. 354)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 357) that would amend section 323 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) to include, as part of the reporting requirement, the Comptroller General's assessment of (1) the ability of Army and Marine Corps forces to meet the requirements of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, and (2) the strategic depth of the Army and Marine Corps and their ability to meet other contingency plans or requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the deadline of the report to June 1, 2008, and would clarify the baseline for troop increase calculations.

Plan to improve readiness of ground forces of active and reserve components (sec. 355)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 352) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report to the congressional defense committees regarding plans for the improvement of the readiness of active and reserve component ground forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would strike reference to the obsolete Status of Resources and Training System and set the expiration of this reporting requirement after the receipt of five annual reports.

The conferees support the Department of Defense's efforts to modernize its readiness management system and encourage the Department to use the new Defense Readiness Reporting System to meet the requirements of this provision.

Independent assessment of Civil Reserve Air Fleet viability (sec. 356)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 354) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide for an independent assessment of the viability of the Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF) to be conducted by a federally-funded research and development center. The provision would require that the independent assessment examine defense planning for organic lift requirements, commercial market factors, and any barriers to the viability of CRAF and provide recommendations for improving the CRAF program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the independent assessment to examine the efficacy of authorizing the Department of Defense to establish minimum annual purchase amounts for CRAF partners as a means for improving the program's viability when operations transition from the current tempo to peacetime operations.

Department of Defense Inspector General report on physical security of Department of Defense installations (sec. 357)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 359) that would require a report to Congress within 1 year from the Department of Defense Inspector General on the physical security of Department of Defense installations and resources.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Review of high-altitude aviation training (sec. 358)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 362) that would require a report by the Secretary of the Army regarding potential costs and cost avoidance in permanently stationing utility, cargo, and light utility helicopters at the High Altitude Aviation Training Site in Colorado.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the report to be from the Secretary of Defense instead of the Secretary of the Army; would change the report due date to 180 days after enactment; and would expand the analysis to include the high-altitude and power-limited helicopter training requirements, systems, and resources of all military departments.

The conferees are aware that geographic and environmental conditions in Iraq and particularly the mountainous terrain of Afghanistan present significant challenges to effective and safe helicopter operations. The conferees note that there is little evidence that the Army, or any other military department, has defined a requirement for or adequately resourced this type training either in basic pilot training or in pre-deployment training. The conferees believe that specific training in high-altitude and power-limited helicopter flight can increase effectiveness and reduce accident risks by ensuring that pilots and crews are properly trained for these conditions.

The conferees note that the Army National Guard provides this training at the High-Altitude Aviation Training Site at Gypsum, Colorado. However, because the National Guard has neither the helicopters nor funds adequate to fully support this training mission, units attending the training are required to fund the transportation of their own equipment and support personnel to Colorado. This resource shortfall particularly burdens the National Guard despite the fact that over 50 percent of the annual student load is active-duty Army personnel.

Furthermore, the conferees are aware that deploying aviation units of all services want this training but may not have the time or aircraft available to take advantage of it because of the way resources are currently configured and provided, or because of limitations in regulatory authorities necessary to share aircraft across services.

Accordingly, the conferees believe that the Department of Defense should be responsible for a comprehensive review of high-altitude and power-limited requirements and training to ensure that helicopter units of all military departments deploying to environments such as Iraq or Afghanistan have access to appropriate and necessary pre-deployment training opportunities.

Reports on safety measures and encroachment issues and master plan for Warren Grove Gunnery Range, New Jersey (sec. 359)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 364) that would require three annual reports on safety efforts at the Warren Grove Gunnery Range by the services who use it. It would also require a study by the Secretary of the Air Force on encroachment issues at the range.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the requirement from a report on encroachment issues to a master plan for the range.

Report on search and rescue capabilities of the Air Force in the northwestern United States (sec. 360)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 367) that would prohibit the deactivation of the 36th Rescue Flight assigned to Fairchild Air Force Base in Spokane, Washington.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 361) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report on search and rescue requirements and capabilities in the northwestern United States to support Air Force operations and training as well as the National Search and Rescue Plan. The Senate amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to review this report for the purposes of certification regarding search and rescue capabilities in the northwestern United States as required by section 1085 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375).

The House recedes with an amendment that would add an element to the reporting requirement for an assessment of non-Air Force search and rescue capabilities in the northwestern United States.

Report and master infrastructure recapitalization plan for Cheyenne Mountain Air Station, Colorado (sec. 361)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 356) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from proceeding with the relocation of the North American Aerospace Defense (NORAD) command center until 180 days after the Secretary submits a report containing a cost-benefit analysis of the relocation, and the final plans for the relocation. The provision would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a review of the report and final plans not later than 60 days after the Secretary submits them.

The Senate amendment contained a related provision (sec. 1050) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the relocation of the NORAD command center, including a cost-benefit analysis of the relocation and an explanation of the functions that will remain at Cheyenne Mountain Air Station, Colorado. The provision would also require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit, not later than March 16, 2008, a master infrastructure recapitalization plan for Cheyenne Mountain Air Station.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would merge the reporting requirements of the two provisions and require, as an added element of the report of the Secretary of Defense, the findings and recommendations of a security and vulnerability assessment of Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado and the Secretary's plans for mitigating any risks identified in the assessment. The amendment would require the Secretary to submit the report not later than March 1, 2008. The amendment would also limit the availability of $5.0 million of the fiscal year 2008 funds for Air Force operation and maintenance for the Cheyenne Mountain transformation project until Congress receives the report by the Secretary of Defense. The amendment would also require the Comptroller General to submit to Congress a review of the report by the Secretary of Defense not later than 120 days after the Secretary submits the report.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Enhancement of corrosion control and prevention functions within Department of Defense (sec. 371)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would amend section 2228 of title 10, United States Code, to make permanent and strengthen the Director of the Office of Corrosion Policy and Oversight as an independent activity within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit, with the Department's annual budget request, a report detailing the Department's long-term corrosion control and prevention strategy; the return on investment achieved by implementing the strategy; and an explanation of the funding request versus the funding requirement. The Government Accountability Office would be required to review the Secretary's report within 60 days of submission.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 351).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would grant the Director of the Office of Corrosion Policy and Oversight authority to interact directly with other government corrosion prevention agencies and academic research and educational institutions, including entering into cooperative research agreements.

Authority for Department of Defense to provide support for certain sporting events (sec. 372)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 361) that would amend section 2564 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to support sporting events sanctioned by the United States Olympic Committee (USOC) through the Paralympic Military Program. The provision would also authorize the Secretary to provide support for USOC-sanctioned national or international paralympic sporting events when the events are held in the United States and when participation exceeds 100 athletes.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 358) that would additionally condition support for paralympic sporting events to those in which at least 10 percent of the athletes are members or former members of the armed forces who are participating based upon an injury or wound incurred in the line of duty and veterans who are participating based on a service-connected disability.

The House recedes.

Authority to impose reasonable restrictions on payment of full replacement value for lost or damaged personal property transported at government expense (sec. 373)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 362) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to require compliance with reasonable conditions for a military member or civilian employee of the Department of Defense to receive full replacement value for personal property lost or damaged while being transported at government expense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 355).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees believe that requiring service members and civilian employees to submit surveys about the quality of their permanent change of station moves is a reasonable condition for obtaining the benefits of the full replacement value standard for claims relating to lost or damaged personal property. Survey data is a key factor in rating the quality of service provided by individual moving companies. In this regard, the conferees are concerned about potential adverse effects on capable, service-oriented small business movers resulting from the implementation of the full replacement value standard for recovery due to higher costs involved in obtaining liability insurance. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to analyze the potential effects of implementing full replacement value on small businesses and, no later than April 1, 2008, to

provide a report to the congressional defense committees on these effects and the Secretary's recommendations for improving small business's ability to compete for Department of Defense-related moves.

Priority transportation on Department of Defense aircraft of retired members residing in commonwealths and possessions of the United States for certain health care services (sec. 374)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 363) that would increase the priority standing for space available travel of TRICARE beneficiaries under the age of 65 in commonwealths or possessions of the United States who are referred by a primary care physician on the commonwealth or possession for speciality care outside of the commonwealth or possession.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Recovery of missing military property (sec. 375)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 364) that would amend chapter 165 of title 10, United States Code, to add new sections 2788 and 2789 in order to make uniform among the military departments the prohibition on unauthorized disposition of military property and the authority to seize improperly disposed military property.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 354).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require that seizures of U.S. property take place pursuant to applicable Department of Defense and service regulations and that would clarify that transfers of property may take place between members of the armed forces.

Retention of combat uniforms by members of the armed forces deployed in support of contingency operations (sec. 376)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 365) that would add a new section 4566 to title 10, United States Code, authorizing the Secretary of the Army to allow soldiers to keep their combat uniforms if they had been deployed in support of a contingency operation for at least 30 days.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 356) that would authorize the secretaries of each of the military departments to allow members of the armed forces under their jurisdiction to retain their combat uniforms issued as part of organizational clothing and individual equipment in connection with their deployment in support of a contingency operation.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the provision to add a new section 2568 to title 10, United States Code, authorizing the secretaries of each military department to allow service members under their jurisdiction who are deployed for at least 30 days in support of contingency operations to retain combat uniforms issued as organizational clothing and individual equipment.

Issue of serviceable material of the Navy other than to armed forces (sec. 377)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 366) that would extend to all military departments the same authority currently provided to the Army to issue excess arms, tentage and equipment to the Junior Reserve Officers Training Corps in support of training.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Reauthorization of Aviation Insurance Program (sec. 378)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 353) that would amend section 44310 of title 49, United States Code, relating to the expiration of chapter 443, Aviation Insurance Program. The provision would extend the authority of the Secretary of Transportation to provide insurance and reinsurance until December 31, 2013.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Increase in threshold amount for contracts for procurement of capital assets in advance of availability of working capital funds for the procurement

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 321) that would amend section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the authority for the acquisition of capital assets through the working capital fund from $100,000 to $300,000.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes based on the inclusion in the conference report of a provision (sec. 330) that would make working capital funds available to the Army to conduct a pilot program for certain product improvements.

Authorization of use of working capital funds for acquisition of certain items

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 323) that would amend section 2208 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a new paragraph at the end that would establish dollar thresholds for the Defense Working Capital Funds to acquire items that support maintenance and technology refreshment and ensure the viability of core logistics capabilities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Individual body armor

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 324) that would require a joint technical assessment by the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E) and the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) of individual body armor systems currently available in the domestic market.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the Army has published a request for proposals to initiate a competition as part of a formal acquisition to qualify a range of ballistic protection technologies for individual body armor systems. This procurement process will include extensive and comprehensive first article ballistic and environmental testing by the Army Test and Evaluation Command of articles submitted by all potential vendors. The conferees are satisfied that, consistent with the intent of section 324 in the Senate amendment, the DDR&E and the DOT&E, as well as the General Accountability Office, will provide procedural and technical oversight of the Army's tests. The conferees also acknowledge that an added benefit of this testing approach is that it will result in an authoritative procurement decision upon which the Army can act immediately, ensuring that the best possible individual body armor capability is qualified, produced, procured, and issued to our service members without delay.

Additional requirements for annual report on public-private competitions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 327) that would add additional elements to the annual report on the results of public-private competitions conducted by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Senate on the Air Force logistics centers

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 344) that would express the sense of the Senate that the Air Force should work closely with Congress as they develop and implement the Global Logistics Support Center (GLSC) concept.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the Air Force is developing and implementing enterprise-wide reorganization and modernization of its logistics management systems and supporting technologies. This reorganization includes the creation of the Global Logistics Support Center that would consolidate several enterprise approaches to supply chain management. The conferees expect the Air Force to work closely with Congress to ensure that management changes enhance Air Logistics Centers' productivity, increase readiness, and reduce costs.

Plan for optimal use of strategic ports by commander of surface distribution and deployment command

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 353) that would require the commander of the Surface Distribution and Deployment Command (SDDC) to develop a plan to ensure optimal use of strategic ports.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees are encouraged that the SDDC has initiated a study to address many of the concerns raised in section 353. The conferees direct that the SDDC shall, not later than April 1, 2008, submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a plan to optimize the use of strategic ports. The conferees further direct that the SDDC's plan shall include:

(1) the location, cost effectiveness, personnel and equipment requirements, and utilization of resources for each strategic port;

(2) an analysis of how each strategic port is selected and how the effect of limitations imposed by the Secretary of Defense on commercial shipping options for certain routes and cargo sizes impacts each port;

(3) provisions for consultation with local port authorities at strategic ports that do and do not have a permanent SDDC presence; and

(4) the effect of Department of Transportation policy initiatives to encourage international code sharing and alliances.

Report on public-private partnerships

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 357) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the public-private partnerships at the Department of Defense Centers of Industrial and Technical Excellence (CITEs).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate by March 1, 2008, that describes:

(1) common approaches and procedures for Department of Defense CITEs to use in the implementation of public-private partnerships;

(2) consistent cost methodologies and reimbursement guidance applicable to maintenance and repair workload performed by Federal Government personnel participating in public-private partnerships;

(3) implementation procedures for completing contract negotiations for public-private partnerships within 12 months of initiating negotiations;

(4) the Secretary's use in a public-private partnership of commercial practices to replace existing inventory and component management, technical publication data, document management, and equipment maintenance and calibration requirements;

(5) delegation during a public-private partnership of Class 2 design authority based on commercial practices to maintain the form, fit, and function of a weapon system platform, major end item, component of a major end item, or article; and

(6) plans to expand core capabilities through the use of public-private partnerships at Department of Defense CITEs.

The conferees direct the Comptroller General to review the Department's report for completeness and submit the review to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and Senate by May 1, 2008.

Continuity of depot operations to reset combat equipment and vehicles in support of wars in Iraq and Afghanistan

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 360) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the challenges of implementing the transfer of depot supply, storage, and distribution functions and impacts on production, including parts reclamation and refurbishment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round consolidates depot level supply, storage, and distribution functions at the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). A recent Government Accountability Office report raises questions about the Department of Defense's business plan to implement this consolidation indicating that there are depot management risks associated with the loss of control of parts needed within the depots' production processes.

The conferees direct that, not later than June 1, 2008, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of the consolidation of depot supply, storage, and distribution functions with the DLA as required by the 2005 BRAC.

This report shall describe:

(1) the adequacy of the Department's business plan to:

(a) efficiently and effectively transfer depot supply, storage, and distribution functions to the DLA;

(b) reduce the risk of disrupting depot production and parts reclamation and refurbishment;

(c) ensure the availability and responsiveness of DLA supply personnel and facilities to meet depot throughput needs, including potential impact on depot turnaround time; and

(d) ensure the authority and control of depot commanders to manage supply, storage, and distribution personnel and facilities throughout the production process, particularly with respect to overtime scheduling and meeting surge requirements.

(2) the current estimated costs and projected savings of implementing the transfer:

(3) the estimated impact of the transfer on the hourly rates charged by the DLA and depots; and

(4) the number of personnel positions affected by type and depot.

The conferees further direct that, not later than September 30, 2008, the Comptroller General of the United States shall review the report submitted by the Secretary of Defense and submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the matters addressed in such report.

Sense of Congress on future use of synthetic fuels in military systems

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 363) that would express the sense of Congress on the future use of synthetic fuels in military systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that the Department of Defense should continue and, as appropriate, accelerate the testing and certification of alternative fuels including synthetically derived liquid petroleum, unconventional oil (including oil shale and tar sands), biologically derived fuels (including bio-jet fuel, ethanol, and biodiesel), and nonliquid fuels (including hydrogen and electricity) for use in all military air, ground, and sea systems.

Limitation on the expenditure of funds for initial flight screening at Pueblo Memorial Airport

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 368) that would prohibit the expenditure of funds for initial flight screening at Pueblo Memorial Airport in Pueblo, Colorado, until the Air Force and the City of Pueblo have developed a plan to meet the Air Force crash, fire, and rescue requirements to support Air Force flight training operations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the Air Force has reached an agreement with the Pueblo Memorial Airport that establishes responsibilities and proportional sharing of costs for the operation and maintenance of the flying facilities jointly used by military and nonmilitary aircraft. The conferees expect that the Air Force will sign this agreement without delay.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

End strengths for active forces (sec. 401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 401) that would authorize the following end strengths for active-duty personnel of the armed forces as of September 30, 2008: Army, 525,400; Navy, 329,098; Marine Corps, 189,000; and Air Force, 329,651. The House provision included increases of 36,000 and 9,000 for the Army and Marine Corps, respectively, to support those services' growth in ground forces. The House provision also included increases of 489 Navy personnel to restore a reduction in end strength in Navy medicine, and it restored 209 and 963 military positions in the Navy and Air Force, respectively, for military-to-civilian conversions programmed for fiscal year 2008 by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 401) that would authorize active-duty end strengths of 328,400 for the Navy and 328,600 for the Air Force, and identical end strengths for the Army and Marine Corps.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize an end strength of 329,563 for the Air Force.

The conferees recommend end strength levels for the active forces for fiscal year 2007 as set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2007 authorized	FY 2008		Change from	
		Request	Conferee recommendation	FY 2008 request	FY 2007 authorized
Army	512,400	489,400	525,400	36,000	13,000
Navy	340,700	328,400	329,098	698	−11,602
Marine Corps	180,000	180,000	189,000	9,000	9,000
Air Force	334,200	328,600	329,563	963	−4,637
DOD Total	1,367,300	1,326,400	1,373,061	46,661	−5,761

Revision in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels (sec. 402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 402) that would establish the following minimum end strengths for active-duty personnel as of September 30, 2008: Army, 525,400; Navy, 329,098; Marine Corps, 189,000; and Air Force, 329,563.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish minimum end strengths for active-duty personnel of 328,400 and 328,600 for the Navy and the Air Force, respectively.

The conferees recommend minimum end strength levels for active forces as set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2007 authorized	FY 2008	Change from
		Conferee recommendation	FY 2007
Army	502,400	525,400	23,000
Navy	340,700	328,400	−12,300
Marine Corps	180,000	189,000	9,000
Air Force	334,200	328,600	−5,600
DOD Total	1,357,300	1,371,400	14,100

Additional authority for increases of Army and Marine Corps active duty end strengths for fiscal years 2009 and 2010 (sec. 403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 403) that would authorize additional active-duty end strength for the Army and the Marine Corps in fiscal years 2009 and 2010 above the strengths authorized for those services in fiscal year 2008. Over the 2-year period, the Army and Marine Corps would be authorized to increase active-duty end strength above their fiscal year 2008 authorizations by 22,000 and 13,000, respectively.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Increase in authorized strengths for Army officers on active duty in the grade of major (sec. 404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 404) that would amend section 523(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, to increase the number of Army officers authorized to serve in the grade of major.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 501).

The Senate recedes.

Increase in authorized strengths for Navy officers on active duty in the grades of lieutenant commander, commander, and captain (sec. 405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 405) that would amend the table in section 523(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, to increase the number of Navy officers authorized to serve on active duty in the grades of lieutenant commander, commander, and captain.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 502).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Increase in authorized daily average of number of members in pay grade E–9 (sec. 406)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 521) that would amend section 517(a) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize an increase from 1 percent to 1.25 percent on the upper limit of the authorized daily average of active-duty enlisted members in pay grade E–9.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

End strengths for Selected Reserve (sec. 411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 411) that would authorize the following end strengths for Selected Reserve personnel, including the end strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves as of September 30, 2008: the Army National Guard of the United States, 351,300; the Army Reserve, 205,000; the Navy Reserve, 67,800; the Marine Corps Reserve, 39,600; the Air National Guard of the United States, 106,700; the Air Force Reserve, 67,500; and the Coast Guard Reserve, 10,000.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 411).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees recommend end strength levels for the Selected Reserve for fiscal year 2008 as set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2007 authorized	FY 2008		Change from	
		Request	Conferee recommendation	FY 2008 request	FY 2007 authorized
Army National Guard	350,000	351,300	351,300	0	1,300
Army Reserve	200,000	205,000	205,000	0	5,000
Navy Reserve	71,300	67,800	67,800	0	−3,500
Marine Corps Reserve	39,600	39,600	39,600	0	0
Air National Guard	107,000	106,700	106,700	0	−300
Air Force Reserve	74,900	67,500	67,500	0	−7,400
DOD Total	842,800	837,900	837,900	0	−4,900
Coast Guard Reserve	10,000	10,000	10,000	0	0

End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserves (sec. 412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 412) that would authorize the following end strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserve components as of September 30, 2008: the Army National Guard of the United States, 29,240; the Army Reserve, 15,870; the Navy Reserve, 11,579; the Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261; the Air National Guard of the United States, 13,944; and the Air Force Reserve, 2,721.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 412) that would authorize end strengths of 29,204 for the Army National Guard of the United States; 13,936 for the Air National Guard of the United States; and identical end strengths for the other services.

The House recedes.

The conferees recommend end strength levels for Reserves on active duty in support of the reserves as set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2007 authorized	FY 2008		Change from	
		Request	Conferee recommendation	FY 2008 request	FY 2007 authorized
Army National Guard	27,441	29,204	29,204	0	1,763
Army Reserve	15,416	15,870	15,870	0	454
Navy Reserve	12,564	11,579	11,579	0	−985
Marine Corps Reserve	2,261	2,261	2,261	0	0
Air National Guard	13,291	13,936	13,936	0	645
Air Force Reserve	2,707	2,721	2,721	0	14
DOD Total	73,680	75,571	75,571	0	1,891

End strengths for military technicians (dual status) (sec. 413)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 413) that would authorize the following end strengths for military technicians (dual status) as of September 30, 2008: the Army Reserve, 8,249; the Army National Guard of the United States, 26,502; the Air Force Reserve, 9,909; the Air National Guard of the United States, 22,553.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 413).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The conferees recommend end strength levels for military technicians (dual status) as set forth in the following table:

Service	FY 2007 authorized	FY 2008		Change from	
		Request	Conferee recommendation	FY 2008 request	FY 2007 authorized
Army Reserve	7,912	8,249	8,249	0	337
Army National Guard	26,050	26,502	26,502	0	452
Air Force Reserve	10,124	9,909	9,909	0	−215
Air National Guard	23,255	22,553	22,553	0	−702
DOD Total	67,341	67,213	67,213	0	−128

Fiscal year 2008 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians (sec. 414)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 414) that would establish the maximum end strengths for the reserve components of the Army and Air Force for non-dual status technicians as of September 30, 2008.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 414).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support (sec. 415)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 415) that would authorize the maximum number of reserve component personnel who may be on active duty or full-time National Guard duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2008 to provide operational support.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 415).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Future authorizations and accounting for certain reserve component personnel authorized to be on active duty or full-time National Guard duty to provide operational support (sec. 416)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 416) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review the long-term operational support missions performed by reserve component personnel under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, and to submit the results of that review to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2008. The provision would also require that future budget justification materials provided to the Congress include data regarding the numbers of reservists projected to be on active-duty or full-time National Guard duty for operational support under section 115(b) and a summary of the missions they would be performing.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Revision of variances authorized for Selected Reserve end strengths (sec. 417)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 417) that would amend section 115(f)(3) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to vary the end strength for a fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of any of the reserve components by a number equal to not more than 3 percent of that end strength.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 416).

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

Military personnel (sec. 421)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 421) that would provide an overall limit on the amounts authorized to be appropriated for the military personnel accounts of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 421).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The conferees agree to the following changes from the budget request for the military personnel accounts:

[Additions in millions of dollars]

Increased military pay raise	308.6
Reimburse travel expenses for specialty care	1.0
Presumption of service-connected disabilities	17.0
Increased retiree health care costs	6.0
Increased accrual payments/increased retirements	2.0
Enhanced disability severance pay	30.0
Transitional assistance	5.0
Restore Navy medical personnel cut of 498	45.8
Restore mil. to civ. medical conversions—Navy	45.5
Restore mil. to civ. medical conversions—Air Force	67.7
Control grade officers	75.0
Increase in monthly rate of Hardship Duty Pay	79.0
Travel allowance for inactive-duty training	108.0
Health Professional Scholarship accession bonus	15.0
Loan repayment for reserves	1.0
Accumulated leave carryover	5.0
Total	811.5

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Offsetting transfers from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 423) that would require the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

Assignment of officers to designated positions of importance and responsibility (sec. 501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would amend section 601(b) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize officers serving in the grades of lieutenant general or vice admiral and general or admiral to continue for up to 60 days to hold those grades following reassignment from positions authorized for those grades, unless sooner placed under orders to another position authorized for those grades.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Enhanced authority for reserve general and flag officers to serve on active duty (sec. 502)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 508) that would amend section 526(d) of title 10, United States Code, to exclude from the limitations on the number of general and flag officers on active duty certain reserve general and flag officers serving on active duty for not more than 365 days. The total number of these officers could not exceed 10 percent of the number of reserve component general and flag officers authorized to be in an active status under section 12004 of title 10, United States code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Increase in years of commissioned service threshold for discharge of probationary officers and for use of force shaping authority (sec. 503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 502) that would amend sections 630, 647, and 14503 of title 10, United States Code, to provide that the secretaries of the military departments, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, may discharge active or reserve component officers who have less than 6 years of active commissioned service or service in an active-status as a commissioned officer. The provision would also authorize discharge or transfer to the reserve active-status list for force restructuring purposes of officers with less than 6 years of service.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Mandatory retirement age for active-duty general and flag officers continued on active duty (sec. 504)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 504) that would amend section 637(b)(3) of title 10, United States Code, relating to deferral of retirement and continuation on active duty of regular flag and general officers to conform with recently enacted extended age limits for mandatory retirement of general and flag officers serving on active duty that were included in section 502 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Authority for reduced mandatory service obligation for initial appointments of officers in critically short health professional specialties (sec. 505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would amend section 651 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to reduce the 8-year minimum service obligation to 2 years for initial appointment of a commissioned officer in a critically short health professional specialty.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 505) that would provide that the minimum period of service under such a waiver would be the greater of 2 years or the period of obligated service associated with receipt of an accession bonus or special pay.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Expansion of authority for reenlistment of officers in their former enlisted grade (sec. 506)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 532) that would amend sections 3258 and 8258 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize Regular Army and Air Force officers to reenlist in their former enlisted grade when separation as an officer is under honorable conditions and the officer is otherwise qualified for reenlistment.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 507).

The House recedes.

Increase in authorized number of permanent professors at the United States Military Academy (sec. 507)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 506) that would amend section 4331(b) of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 22 to 28 the authorized number of permanent professors at the United States Military Academy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Promotion of career military professors of the Navy (sec. 508)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 503) that would amend section 641 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the promotion of an officer of the Navy or Marine Corps serving as a permanent professor at the Naval Academy in the grade of commander or lieutenant colonel to the grade of captain or colonel upon completion of 6 years of service as a permanent military professor or career military professor.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 509) that would amend chapter 603 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize promotion of career military professors of the Navy to the grade of captain or colonel not earlier than 3 years after selection as a permanent professor, pursuant to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Navy, which must include a competitive selection board process.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of the promotion system established by this section and report the results of the assessment to the congressional defense committees no later than December 31, 2009, and that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report regarding the need for any additional grade limitation exemptions by March 31, 2008.

Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management

Retention of military technicians who lose dual status in the Selected Reserve due to combat-related disability (sec. 511)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 514) that would amend section 10216 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize: (1) a military technician (dual status) to continue employment as a military technician when the technician loses military status as a result of a combat-related disability; (2) the secretary concerned to waive temporarily

the requirement that a military technician maintain membership in the Selected Reserve to fill the position of a military technician (dual status) while that position is vacant as a result of the mobilization of the technician normally assigned to that position; and (3) the secretary concerned to defer mandatory separation of a military technician (dual status) until the technician attains eligibility for an unreduced annuity, but not beyond age 62.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize retention until age 60 of a military technician (dual status) as a military technician if the technician loses dual status as the result of a combat-related disability and is otherwise qualified for the position.

Constructive service credit upon original appointment of reserve officers in certain health care professions (sec. 512)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 512) that would amend section 12207(b) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the granting of sufficient constructive service credit to persons receiving original appointments as reserve officers in critically short health care professions to be appointed in the grade of captain, or in the Navy Reserve, lieutenant.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Mandatory separation of reserve officers in the grade of lieutenant general or vice admiral after completion of 38 years of commissioned service (sec. 513)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 511) that would amend section 14508 of title 10, United States Code, to require separation from active status of reserve component officers serving in the grades of lieutenant general or vice admiral 30 days after completion of 38 years of commissioned service.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 534) that would also require separation from active status of these officers on the fifth anniversary of the date of an officer's appointment in the grade of lieutenant general or vice admiral, whichever is later.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require separation from active status of these officers upon completion of 5 years of service in grade or 30 days after completion of 38 years of commissioned service, whichever is later.

Maximum period of temporary federal recognition of person as Army National Guard officer or Air National Guard officer (sec. 514)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 513) that would amend section 308(a) of title 32, United States Code, to extend the period that members of the National Guard may be granted temporary federal recognition from 6 months to 1 year.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 535).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Advance notice to members of reserve components of deployment in support of contingency operations (sec. 515)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 517) that would require a minimum of 30 days advance notice, with a goal of 90 days advance notice, to a member of a reserve component called or ordered to active duty for a period of more than 30 days in support of a contingency operation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Report on relief from professional licensure and certification requirements for reserve component members on long-term active duty (sec. 516)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 536) that would amend sections 1819(b)(5) and 1919(b)(5) of the Social Security Act to allow certain National Guard and reserve nurse aides who are called to active duty extra time, beginning July 1, 2007 and ending on September 30, 2008, to complete training and competency evaluations required by law. In addition, the provision would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the need for legislation to provide for the exemption of professional or other licensure or certification requirements for National Guard and reserve members who are placed on active duty for an extended period of time.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the authorization for additional time for certification as required by the Social Security Act. The amendment would require a study by the Comptroller General of the United States to: (1) identify the number and type of licensure or certification requirements that could be impacted by extended periods of active duty; and (2) determine means to provide relief from such requirements if necessary.

Subtitle C—Education and Training

Revisions to authority to pay tuition for off-duty training or education (sec. 521)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would authorize the secretaries of the military services, or the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, to pay tuition and related expenses to certain members of the Ready Reserve.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 671).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Reduction or elimination of service obligation in an Army Reserve or Army National Guard troop program unit for certain persons selected as medical students at Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (sec. 522)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 521) that would amend section 2107a(b) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to modify agreements entered into by cadets in the Reserve Officers' Training Scholarship Program who are selected to be medical students at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences or to participate in the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance program. Under this provision, the Secretary would be authorized to reduce or eliminate troop program unit service obligations and to establish, in lieu of that obligation, an active-duty service obligation upon a determination that it is in the best interests of the United States to modify the agreement and with the consent of the member involved.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Repeal of annual limit on number of ROTC scholarships under Army Reserve and Army National Guard financial assistance program (sec. 523)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 522) that would amend section 2107a(h) of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 416 to 424 the limit on the number of Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) scholarships that may be awarded to cadets who agree to serve in the reserve components of the Army.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 557) that would amend section 2107a(h) of title 10, United States Code, to repeal the limit on the number of ROTC scholarships that may be awarded to cadets who agree to serve in the reserve components of the Army.

The House recedes.

Treatment of prior active service of members in uniformed medical accession programs (sec. 524)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 551) that would amend sections 2114(b) and 2121(c) of title 10, United States Code, to require that medical students at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences and persons participating in the armed forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Programs who have prior commissioned service, serve, while on active duty, in pay grade O–1, or in pay grade O–2 if they meet specified promotion criteria prescribed by the service secretary. The provision would also amend section 2004a of title 10, United States Code, to impose the same limitations regarding the pay grade and service credit exclusion on officers on active duty with prior commissioned service who are detailed as students at medical schools under section 2004a.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide that medical students at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences and persons participating in the armed forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Programs with prior active service would continue to receive basic pay based on their former grade and years of service if that pay would be greater than the rate of basic pay for regular officers in the grade of second lieutenant or ensign. The provision would also amend section 2004a of title 10, United States Code, to provide that any officer detailed under this section to attend medical school would be required to revert to the grade of ensign or second lieutenant while receiving pay based on their prior grade or years of service.

Repeal of post-2007–2008 academic year prohibition on phased increase in cadet strength limit at the United States Military Academy (sec. 525)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 553) that would amend section 4342 of title 10, United States Code, to extend the authority of the Secretary of the Army to increase by up to 100 cadets per year the size of the Corps of Cadets at the United States Military Academy to a maximum of 4,400 cadets.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

National Defense University master's degree programs (sec. 526)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would amend section 2163 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the President of the National Defense University to award a master of arts degree in strategic security studies to graduates of the School for National Security Executive Education.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Authority of the Air University to confer degree of master of science in flight test engineering (sec. 527)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 555) that would amend section 9317(a) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the commander of the Air University

to confer the degree of doctor of philosophy in strategic studies upon graduates of the School of Advanced Airpower Studies; the degree of master of air, space, and cyberspace studies upon graduates of Air University; and the degree of master of flight test engineering science upon graduates of the Air Force Test Pilot School.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the commander of the Air University to confer the degree of master of science in flight test engineering upon graduates of the Air Force Test Pilot School.

Enhancement of education benefits for certain members of reserve components (sec. 528)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 674) that would authorize an accelerated payment program for the educational benefits in chapters 1606 and 1607 of title 10, United States Code. The provision would also expand the eligibility criteria for attaining the maximum benefit for the education benefit under chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code to 3 cumulative years of active service. Finally, the provision would create a buy-up program for service members eligible for the education benefit under chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Extension of period of entitlement to educational assistance for certain members of the Selected Reserve affected by force shaping initiatives (sec. 529)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 675) that would eliminate the service requirement for continued eligibility for education benefits under chapter 1606 of title 10, United States Code, for service members who have been affected by base realignment and closure or other force shaping initiatives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Time limit for use of educational assistance benefit for certain members of reserve components and resumption of benefit (sec. 530)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530) that would express the sense of Congress that the time limitation for use of education benefits under chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code, should be extended to allow an individual entitled to such benefits to use those benefits for 10 years following separation from a reserve component.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 676) that would authorize a service member entitled to education benefits under chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code, to use those benefits for 10 years after separation from a reserve component.

The House recedes with an amendment that would allow service members separated from a reserve component, who prior to separation were eligible for benefits under chapter 1607 of title 10, United States Code, to reclaim eligibility for those benefits upon rejoining a reserve component and to use those benefits for 10 years following any subsequent separation.

Secretary of Defense evaluation of the adequacy of the degree-granting authorities of certain military universities and educational institutions (sec. 531)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 526) that would require the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the degree-granting authorities of certain military universities and educational institutions to assess whether the current process remains adequate, appropriate, and responsive to meet emerging military service education requirements. The Secretary would be required to submit a report on the evaluation to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than April 1, 2008.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense, as part of the evaluation and report required under this section, to thoroughly review various proposals by the United States Air Force for expanded authority for the Commander of the Air University to grant degrees to attendees of the schools of the Air University. These proposals include, among others, authority to allow the Commander of the Air University to grant bachelor's and master's of arts degrees, and the degree of doctor of philosophy in strategic studies. The conferees believe that these Air Force initiatives raise important questions about the role of the services in providing advanced education and that the Department must be more proactive in providing timely guidance and coordination in this key area affecting retention and career progression for both officer and enlisted personnel. The Secretary should provide an assessment of these proposals and recommendations for legislation, if required.

Report on success of Army National Guard and Reserve Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps financial assistance program (sec. 532)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 529) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that Senior Reserve Officer's Training Corps (SROTC) scholarships are available to students attending historically Black colleges and universities, minority institutions, and Hispanic-serving institutions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the success of the financial assistance program of the SROTC in securing the appointment of second lieutenants in the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard. The report would include detailed information on the appointment of cadets enrolled in historically Black colleges or universities, minority institutions, and Hispanic-serving institutions and address efforts to increase awareness of the availability and advantages of appointment in the SROTC at these institutions and to increase the number of cadets at these institutions.

The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Army to expand the Army's outreach program to students attending historically Black colleges or universities, minority institutions, and Hispanic-serving institutions, and to ensure that SROTC scholarships are available to qualified students at these institutions.

Report on utilization of tuition assistance by members of the armed forces (sec. 533)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 673) that would require the secretary of each of the military departments to submit to the congressional defense committees by April 1, 2008 a report on the utilization of tuition assistance by members of the armed forces, both in the regular and reserve components, during fiscal year 2007.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Navy Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps unit for Southold, Mattituck, and Greenport High Schools (sec. 534)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 527) that would authorize the Southold, Mattituck, and Greenport High Schools, located in Southold, New York, to be treated as a single institution for the purposes of maintaining a Navy Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps unit.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 554).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on transfer of administration of certain educational assistance programs for members of the reserve components (sec. 535)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 525) that would recodify the reserve educational assistance programs in chapters 1606 and 1607 of title 10, United States Code, from title 10 to title 38, United States Code, and transfer administration of those programs to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to submit to the congressional defense and veterans affairs committees a report on the feasibility and merits of transferring the administration of the educational assistance programs for members of the reserve components contained in chapters 1606 and 1607 of title 10, United States Code, from the Department of Defense to the Department of Veterans Affairs, no later than September 1, 2008. The provision would further require both the Defense Business Board, in cooperation with the Reserve Forces Policy Board, and the Veterans Affairs Advisory Committee on Education to review the report, and provide their independent reviews. The provision would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to assess the study and to report to the congressional defense and veterans affairs committees the results of that assessment by November 1, 2008.

Subtitle D—Military Justice and Legal Assistance Matters

Authority to designate civilian employees of the Federal Government and dependents of deceased members as eligible for legal assistance from Department of Defense legal staff resources (sec. 541)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 541) that would amend section 1044(a) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the provision of legal assistance to certain civilian employees of the Federal Government serving with, or preparing to serve with, an armed force in support of a contingency operation.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 572) that would clarify the authority of the service secretaries to provide legal assistance to civilian employees of the Department of Defense in locations where legal assistance from non-military legal assistance providers is not reasonably available.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the provision of legal assistance to survivors of deceased members or former members who were dependents of the member or former member at the time of the member's death and to civilian employees of the Federal Government serving in locations where legal assistance from non-military legal assistance providers is not reasonably available.

Authority of judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces to administer oaths (sec. 542)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 571) that would amend section 936

of title 10, United States Code, to authorize judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces to administer oaths.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Modification of authorities on senior members of the Judge Advocate Generals' Corps (sec. 543)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 573) that would require that the Judge Advocates General of the Army, Navy, and Air Force serve in the grade of lieutenant general or vice admiral, and would exclude them from the authorized number of officers serving in grades above major general or rear admiral. The provision would also authorize the position of Legal Counsel to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and would require that the officer appointed to this position serve in the grade of brigadier general or rear admiral (lower half) and be recommended by a board of officers convened by the Secretary of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The House recedes with an amendment that would amend section 525(b) of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 15.7 to 16.3 the percentage of general officers or admirals in a military service that may be appointed above the grade of major general or rear admiral. The House amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to develop a strategic plan linking the missions and requirements of the Department of Defense for general and flag officers to the statutory limits on the numbers of general and flag officers, and current assignment, promotion, and joint officer development policies for general and flag officers.

Prohibition against members of the armed forces participating in criminal street gangs (sec. 544)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 579) that would require the Secretary of Defense to revise Department of Defense Directive 1325.6 to include membership in a criminal street gang among the list of prohibited activities by members of the armed forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations to prohibit the active participation by members of the armed forces in a criminal street gang.

Subtitle E—Military Leave

Temporary enhancement of carryover of accumulated leave for members of the armed forces (sec. 551)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 591) that would increase for all service members the number of days of accumulated leave they may carry over from 1 fiscal year to the next from 60 to 90 days. The provision would also increase by 1 year the length of time available to use leave accumulated under the special leave accrual provisions of section 701(f) of title 10, United States Code. Finally, the provision would amend section 501(b) of title 37, United States Code, to authorize enlisted service members who have accumulated more than 120 days of leave under section 701(f) of title 10, United States Code, to sell back, on a one-time basis, up to 30 days of such leave in excess of 120 days.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would reduce the enhanced leave carryover provision from 90 to 75 days, and would terminate this authority after December 31, 2010.

Enhancement of rest and recuperation leave (sec. 552)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 594) that would authorize an additional 5 days of rest and recuperation leave under section 705(b) of title 10, United States Code, for certain service members whose overseas tours of duty last longer than 12 months.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle F—Decorations and Awards

Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Leslie H. Sabo, Jr., for acts of valor during the Vietnam War (sec. 561)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 551) that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Leslie H. Sabo, Jr., who served in the U.S. Army during the Vietnam War.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 593(c)).

The Senate recedes.

Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Henry Svehla for acts of valor during the Korean War (sec. 562)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Henry Svehla who served in the U.S. Army during the Korean War.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 593(e)).

The Senate recedes.

Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Woodrow W. Keeble for acts of valor during the Korean War (sec. 563)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Woodrow W. Keeble who served in the U.S. Army during the Korean War.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 593(b)).

The Senate recedes.

Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Private Philip G. Shadrach for acts of valor as one of Andrews' Raiders during the Civil War (sec. 564)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 554) that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Private Philip G. Shadrach, who served in the U.S. Army during the Civil War.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 593(d)).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Authorization and request for award of Medal of Honor to Private George D. Wilson for acts of valor as one of Andrews' Raiders during the Civil War (sec. 565)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 555) that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Private George D. Wilson, who served in the U.S. Army during the Civil War.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 593(f)).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle G—Impact Aid and Defense Dependents Education System

Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the armed forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 571)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would authorize $50.0 million for continuation of the Department of Defense (DOD) assistance program to local agencies that are impacted by enrollment of dependent children of military members and civilian employees of the Department of Defense. This provision would also authorize $15.0 million for assistance to local educational agencies with significant changes in enrollment of military and civilian school-aged dependent children due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 561) that would authorize $35.0 million and $10.0 million for each assistance program, respectively.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize $30.0 million for continuation of assistance to agencies impacted by enrollment of DOD military and civilian employee dependents, and $10.0 million for assistance to agencies with significant changes in enrollment of children due to base closures, force structure changes, or force relocations.

Impact aid for children with severe disabilities (sec. 572)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 562) that would authorize $5.0 million for impact aid payments for children with disabilities for continuation of the Department of Defense's assistance to local educational agencies that benefit dependents with severe disabilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Inclusion of dependents of non-Department of Defense employees employed on Federal property in plan relating to force structure changes, relocation of military units, or base closures and realignments (sec. 573)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 563) that would amend section 574(e)(3) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) to include dependents of personnel who work on federal property but are not members of the armed forces or civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the plan and annual reports required to identify and assist local educational agencies experiencing growth in enrollment due to force structure changes, relocation of military units, or base closure and realignments. The provision would make the definition of ''military dependent students'' consistent with the definition used for purposes of computation of payments under the Federal Impact Aid program authorized in section 7703 of title 20, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Payment of private boarding school tuition for military dependents in overseas areas not served by Defense Dependents' Education System schools (sec. 574)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 561) that would amend section 1407(b)(1) of the Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 926(b)(1)) to authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay tuition for attendance at private boarding schools in the United States for military dependents in overseas areas not served by Department of Defense schools.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 564).

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle H—Military Families

Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council and policy and plans for military family readiness (sec. 581)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 581) that would amend chapter 88 of title 10, United States Code, to establish a Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council to review and make recommendations on Department of Defense

policy requirements for the support of military family readiness; to monitor requirements for the support of military family readiness; and to evaluate and assess the effectiveness of military family readiness programs and activities of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained another provision (sec. 582) that would amend chapter 88 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to develop a policy and plans for the support of military family readiness.

The House bill contained no similar provisions.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would combine the Senate provisions and include the senior enlisted advisors of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force, or the spouse of a senior enlisted member from each service as a member of the Department of Defense Military Family Readiness Council.

The conferees expect the council to meet not less often than twice each year, and that not more than one of these meetings will be in the National Capitol Region.

Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program (sec. 582)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 515) that would establish a Department of Defense working group to identify and assess the reintegration needs of members of the reserve components who return from overseas operational deployment.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 516) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, to establish a national combat veteran reintegration program, to be known as the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, to provide National Guard members and their families with sufficient information, services, referral, and proactive approach opportunities throughout the entire deployment cycle. The provision would designate the National Guard Bureau as the executive agent for this program, and would require establishment of a Center of Excellence for Reintegration Programs, appointment of an Advisory Board, and employment of personnel to implement the Yellow Ribbon program at the State level.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 683) that would require a Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program to serve both National Guard and reserve members and their families, and would designate the Office of the Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness as the executive agent.

The Senate amendment also contained a provision (sec. 587) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program, to be known as the National Military Family Readiness and Servicemember Reintegration Outreach Program, to assess the feasibility and advisability of providing assistance and support to the Adjutant General of a State or territory for the purpose of creating comprehensive soldier and family preparedness and reintegration outreach programs.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary to create State Deployment Cycle Support Teams to administer the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program at the State level and would authorize outreach programs to educate service members and their families about the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.

The conferees acknowledge that the reserve component has changed from a strategic reserve to an operational reserve, fully engaged in the global war on terror, and that reserve component members face challenges that are inherently different from their counterparts in the active component. One such challenge is reintegration to civilian life. The Department of Defense has recognized the need for programs that address similar challenges for service members in active components returning from combat and has instituted such programs.

The conference outcome will ensure that members of the reserve components returning to their hometowns following demobilization have access to improved services and resources that allow them to successfully reintegrate back into society.

Study to enhance and improve support services and programs for families of members of regular and reserve components undergoing deployment (sec. 583)

The House bill contained a series of provisions that would address support to families of deployed service members:

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 580) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a study to evaluate the feasibility and advisability of establishing a pilot program on family-to-family support for families of members of the National Guard and reserves undergoing deployment.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 581) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study to evaluate the feasibility and advisability of contracting with a private sector entity with expertise in the health and well-being of families and children, infants, and toddlers to enhance and develop support services for children of members of the National Guard and reserve who are deployed.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1034) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress no later than 180 days after enactment of this Act regarding the impact on military family members of multiple deployments as part of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom.

The Senate amendment also contained a series of provisions that would address support to families of deployed military personnel:

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 583) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enhance and improve current programs of the Department of Defense to provide family support for families of deployed members of the armed forces, including deployed members of the National Guard and reserve, before, during, and after their deployment cycle.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 584) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide information to parents and other caretakers of children, including infants and toddlers, to assist the parents and caretakers in responding to the adverse implications of the deployment of a service member, including the death or injury of the service member, and to develop programs and activities to increase awareness in military and civilian communities of the adverse implications of deployment of service members.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 585) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study to evaluate the feasibility and advisability of contracting with a private sector entity with expertise in the health and well-being of families and children, infants, and toddlers to enhance and develop support services for children of deployed members of the active and reserve components.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 586) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a study to evaluate the feasibility and advisability of establishing a pilot program on family-to-family support for families of deployed members of the active and reserve components.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the House and Senate provisions to require a study to determine the most effective means to enhance and improve family support programs for families of the regular and reserve components of the armed forces before, during, and after deployment.

In a separate provision contained elsewhere in this conference report, the conferees would require the establishment of a national combat veteran reintegration program, to be known as the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program, to provide families of deployed service members with information, services, referrals, and proactive outreach throughout the entire deployment cycle.

The conferees are concerned about the adequacy of support available to families of deployed service members, particularly the support available to families of National Guard and reserve personnel who are not located in the vicinity of a military installation with extensive family support programs. The conferees strongly encourage the Secretary of Defense to expeditiously implement and improve programs that will enhance the support available to these families.

Protection of child custody arrangements for parents who are members of the armed forces deployed in support of a contingency operation (sec. 584)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 577) that would amend title II of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA) (50 U.S.C. App. 521 et seq.) to limit the authority of a court to modify or amend a previous order or judgment regarding custody of a child of a service member while the service member is deployed in support of a contingency operation. The provision would also bar courts from considering the absence of the service member by reason of deployment in determining the best interests of a child.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify sections 201 and 202 of the SCRA to clarify that the act applies to child custody proceedings.

The conferees recognize that service members who have been awarded custody of minor children but who are required to deploy or be absent from their children as a result of their military duties are vulnerable to litigation initiated by non-custodial parents. The procedural protections of the SCRA apply in child custody cases and, in most cases, should prevent adverse judgments until members can be present to defend their interests. The modifications to the SCRA included in this provision underscore the importance of SCRA protections in child custody cases. While the facts in child custody disputes are central to determinations of the best interests of minor children, the conferees would urge judges who must decide such cases not to consider the mere absence of a service member who is performing military duty to constitute the sole or even a major factor in a court's determination about what is in the best interests of a child.

Family leave in connection with injured members of the Armed Forces (sec. 585)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 675) that would amend the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) of 1993 (29 U.S.C. 2611) to provide leave to an eligible employee in the case of any qualifying exigency, as determined in regulation by the Secretary of Labor, arising from the fact that the spouse, child, or parent of the employee is on active duty or has been notified of an impending call or order to active duty in support of a contingency operation.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1093) that would authorize the Office of Personnel Management to establish a

program under which federal civilian employees designated as caregivers could use leave for the purpose of caring for a family member of a member of the armed forces serving on active duty in support of a contingency operation. The provision would authorize the Secretary of Labor to establish a similar voluntary private sector leave program.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would also extend the FMLA to provide leave to eligible employees, including federal civilian employees, who are the spouse, son, daughter, parent, or next of kin of a seriously injured service member, in order to care for the service member. The amendment would also extend the amount of leave time available for caregivers of seriously injured service members from 12 workweeks to 26 workweeks.

The conferees note that this extension of the FMLA to cover caregivers of injured service members conforms with the recommendation made by The President's Commission on Care for America's Returning Wounded Warriors to allow up to 26 workweeks of leave to an eligible family member of a service member who has a combat-related injury.

Family care plans and deferment of deployment of single parent or dual military couples with minor dependents (sec. 586)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 578) that would authorize a service member to request deferment from deployment to an area for which imminent danger pay is authorized if the member has minor dependents and a spouse who is a service member deployed to an area for which imminent danger pay is authorized.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1072) that would express the sense of Congress that single parents who are members of the armed forces with minor dependents, and dual-military couples with minor dependents, should develop and maintain effective family care plans, and that the Secretary of Defense should establish procedures to ensure that if a single parent and both spouses in a dual-military couple are required to deploy to an area for which imminent danger pay is authorized, requests for deferment from deployment due to unforeseen circumstances are rapidly evaluated and that appropriate steps are taken to ensure adequate care for minor dependents.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish appropriate procedures to ensure that an adequate family care plan is in place for a member of the armed forces with minor dependents who is a single parent or whose spouse is also a member of the armed forces when the member may be deployed in an area for which imminent danger pay is authorized. The procedures should allow the member to request a deferment of deployment due to unforeseen circumstances, and the request should be considered and responded to promptly.

Education and treatment services for military dependent children with autism (sec. 587)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 595) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct one or more demonstration projects to evaluate improved approaches to the provision of education and treatment services to military dependent children with autism. The amendment would also require the assignment of case managers for both medical and educational services and the voluntary development of individualized autism services plans.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the availability of federal, State, and local education and treatment services on and in the vicinity of certain military installations for children of service members who are diagnosed with autism. The amendment would also require the service secretaries to ensure that, whenever practicable, eligible members are assigned only in geographic areas with educational services and facilities available on or in the vicinity of the military installation that provide special education and related services consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq.). The amendment would authorize one or more demonstration projects to evaluate the provision of educational services and treatment services to eligible dependents.

Commendation of efforts of Project Compassion in paying tribute to members of the armed forces who have fallen in the service of the United States (sec. 588)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1077) that would express the sense of the Senate commending Kaziah M. Hancock, other Project Compassion volunteer professional portrait artists, and the entire Project Compassion organization for their tireless work in paying tribute to members of the armed forces who have fallen in the service of the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would express a sense of Congress that the people of the United States owe the deepest gratitude to Kaziah M. Hancock and the members of Project Compassion.

Subtitle I—Other Matters

Uniform performance policies for military bands and other musical units (sec. 590)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 572) that would amend chapter 49 of title 10, United States Code, to provide uniform policy for Department of Defense bands and musical units regarding when public performances are permitted, the conditions under which band members may perform in their personal capacities, and recording of music for distribution to the public.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 592).

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Transportation of remains of deceased members of the armed forces and certain other persons (sec. 591)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1454) that would require the secretaries of the military services to provide for the delivery of the remains of deceased service members who die in a combat theater of operations and whose remains are returned to the United States through the mortuary facility at Dover Air Force Base, Delaware, to the commercial, general aviation, or military airport, when air transportation is utilized, nearest to the place selected by the person designated to direct the disposition of the remains.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 657).

The Senate recedes.

Expansion of number of academies supportable in any State under STARBASE program (sec. 592)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 573) that would amend section 2193b of title 10, United States Code, to repeal the limitation on the number of STARBASE academies in each State.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 552) that would increase from two to four the maximum number of STARBASE academies in a State that could be supported with Department of Defense funds.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Gift acceptance authority (sec. 593)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 571) that would amend section 2601(b)(4) of title 10, United States Code, to extend from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2010, the authority for the Secretary of Defense to accept gifts for the benefit of members of the armed forces, civilian employees of the Department of Defense (DOD), and dependents of such members or employees.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1025) that would make this gift acceptance authority permanent and require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations prohibiting the solicitation of any gift by any DOD employee if the nature or circumstances of the solicitation would compromise the integrity or the appearance of integrity of any DOD program or official.

The House recedes.

Conduct by members of the Armed Forces and veterans out of uniform during hoisting, lowering, or passing of United States flag (sec. 594)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1073) that would amend section 9 of title 4, United States Code, to authorize members of the armed forces and veterans not wearing a uniform to render a salute during the ceremony of hoisting or lowering the flag, or when the flag is passing in a parade or in review.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Annual report on cases reviewed by National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve (sec. 595)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would amend section 4332 of title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to include in an annual report to Congress the number of cases regarding veterans' employment or reemployment rights reviewed by the Secretary of Defense under the National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve of the Department of Defense during the fiscal year for which the report is made.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) (sec. 596)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 596) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to modify the Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) to permit a service member, upon discharge or release from active duty, to elect that the DD 214 be forwarded to the Central Office of the Department of Veterans Affairs or to the appropriate office of the Department of Veterans Affairs for the State or locality where the member will reside.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to assess the feasibility of issuing the DD Form 214 containing only the last four digits of a service member's Social Security account number. If the Secretary determines that it is feasible, the Secretary should also determine a timeline for implementing such a change. The Secretary should submit a report of the assessment to the Committees on

Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Reports on administrative separations of members of the Armed Forces for personality disorder (sec. 597)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 597) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees by April 1, 2008 on all cases of administrative separation from the armed forces of any service member who had served in Iraq or Afghanistan since October 2001 for personality disorder. Additionally, the provision would prohibit the administrative separation of any such service member until such time as the Secretary of Defense submits that report, unless a clinical review is first conducted in the office of the surgeon general of the military department concerned. The provision would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to report to the congressional defense committees by June 1, 2008 on the policies and procedures of the Department of Defense and the military departments relating to the separation of members of the armed forces for personality disorder.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would eliminate the prohibition against administrative separation for personality disorder without a review, but would retain the reports required of the Secretary of Defense and the Comptroller General.

Program to commemorate 50th anniversary of the Vietnam War (sec. 598)

The House bill included a provision (sec. 576) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a program to commemorate the 50th anniversary of the Vietnam War and to coordinate and support programs of the federal, State, and local governments, and the activities of other persons and organizations, for this purpose. This provision would authorize the establishment of a fund to be administered by the Secretary of Defense and would authorize $3.0 million to be appropriated for deposit in the fund in fiscal year 2008. The provision would also authorize acceptance of voluntary services in support of commemoration activities and direct the program to continue through 2025 with the Secretary determining the schedule of events and priority of efforts for the duration of the program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary to carry out such a program and to determine the duration of the commemoration, and would authorize $1.0 million for program planning and activities. The amendment would omit provisions relating to protections to be afforded to volunteers pending further study, planning, and evaluation of the appropriate functions to be performed by volunteers and the conditions under which their services would be accepted.

Recognition of members of the Monuments, Fine Arts, and Archives program of the Civil Affairs and Military Government Sections of the Armed Forces during and following World War II (sec. 599)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 575) that would recognize the men and women who served in the Monuments, Fine Arts, and Archives program under the Civil Affairs and Military Government sections of the United States armed forces for their role in the preservation, protection, and restitution of monuments, works of art, and other artifacts of cultural importance in Europe and Asia during and following World War II.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Cold War Victory Medal

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 556) that would require the service secretaries to issue a Cold War Victory Medal to former service members who served during the Cold War.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Combat veterans mentoring program for current members of the Armed Forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 574) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a program that would provide combat veterans the opportunity to meet and mentor current members of the Armed Forces before, during, and after deployments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Emergency assistance for local educational agencies enrolling military dependent children

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 566) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance to eligible local educational agencies for the additional education, counseling, and other needs of military dependent children who are affected by war-related action.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Establishment of Combat Medevac Badge

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 557) that would amend chapter 537 of title 10, United States Code, to require the service secretaries to issue a badge to be known as the Combat Medevac Badge to service members who served in combat after June 25, 1950, as a pilot or crew member of a helicopter medical evacuation ambulance and who meet the requirements for the award of that badge, as prescribed by the secretary concerned.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Expansion of exclusion of military permanent professors from strength limitations for officers below general and flag grades

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 503) that would amend section 523(b) of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 50 to 85 the number of permanent professors for each of the United States Military Academy, and the United States Air Force Academy and professors of the United States Navy who are career military professors who may be excluded from the authorized number of commissioned officers who may be serving on active duty in that grade.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Heavily impacted local educational agencies

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 565) that would require the Secretary of Education to deem each local educational agency that was eligible to receive a fiscal year 2007 basic support payment for heavily impacted local educational agencies under section 8003(b)(2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(b)(2)) as eligible to receive a basic support payment for heavily impacted local educational agencies for the fiscal year for which the determination is made.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Navy Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program at University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 528) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to establish and maintain a Navy Senior Officers' Training Corps program at the University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Prohibition on the unauthorized use of names and images of members of the Armed Forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 582) that would, except when authorized by an individual or the individual's survivor, prohibit the knowing use of the name or picture of a current or former service member in connection with any merchandise, retail product, impersonation, solicitation, or commercial activity in a manner reasonably calculated to connect the protected individual with that individual's service in the armed forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the issue of protecting the use of names and images of current and former members of the armed services, both living and deceased. This study should include an analysis of the legal issues related to the limitations placed on the use of the names and images of these current and former military personnel by non-U.S. Government entities. This study should specifically address the use of these names and images on commercial products and merchandise as well as the privacy rights of the service members and their family and next of kin in association with this use. The study should also include options and recommendations for protecting service members' names and images. The Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the results of this study not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act. In addition, the conferees have asked the Congressional Research Service to do a similar study in order to obtain multiple legal viewpoints on this important topic.

It is the sense of the conferees that the commercial use of names and images of deceased service members should be treated with respect and dignity, and that individuals should take into account the feelings of the family and next-of-kin of those service members when using their names and images, especially if the family members have requested that their son or daughter's name and/or image not be used.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

Fiscal year 2008 increase in military basic pay (sec. 601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would authorize a pay raise for the members of the uniformed services of 3.5 percent effective on January 1, 2008. This across-the-board pay raise is 0.5 percent above the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 601).

The House recedes.

Basic allowance for housing for reserve component members without dependents who attend accession training while maintaining a primary residence (sec. 602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would authorize unmarried reserve

component members without dependents to receive basic allowance for housing while attending initial training following accession, provided that the member maintains a permanent residence.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Extension and enhancement of authority for temporary lodging expenses for members of the armed forces in areas subject to major disaster declaration or for installations experiencing sudden increase in personnel levels (sec. 603)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 605) that would increase from 20 to 60 the maximum number of days the secretary of a military department may pay temporary lodging expenses associated with changes of permanent station involving installations located in an area subject to a declaration of major disaster or experiencing a sudden increase in personnel moving to or from that installation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Income replacement payments for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service (sec. 604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 603) that would clarify the eligibility criteria for payments under the reserve income replacement program. The provision would change the method for measuring cumulative periods of qualifying service by counting cumulative days, rather than months. The provision would also authorize the continuation of income replacement payments in the case of service members who are retained on active duty to receive authorized medical care or to be evaluated for disability.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 681).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Midmonth payment of basic pay for contributions of members of the uniformed services participating in Thrift Savings Plan (sec. 605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 604) that would authorize the Department of Defense to make midmonth contributions to the Thrift Savings Fund on behalf of members of the uniformed services who participate in the Thrift Savings Plan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 603).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

Extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces (sec. 611)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 611) that would extend for 2 years the authority to pay the Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus; the Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus; the special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high priority units; the Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service; the Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service; and the Selected Reserve enlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 611) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the same bonus and special pay authorities.

The House recedes.

Extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals (sec. 612)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 612) that would extend for 2 years the authority to pay the nurse officer candidate accession bonus; the repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve; the accession bonus for registered nurses; incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists; special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialities; the accession bonus for dental officers; the accession bonus for pharmacy officers; the accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties; and the accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialities.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 612) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the same bonus and special pay authorities.

The House recedes.

Extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers (sec. 613)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 613) that would extend for 2 years the authority to pay the special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending their period of active service; the nuclear career accession bonus; and the nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 613) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the same special pay and bonus authorities.

The House recedes.

Extension of authorities relating to payment of other bonuses and special pays (sec. 614)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that would extend for 2 years the authority to pay the aviation officer retention bonus; the reenlistment bonus for active members; the enlistment bonus; the retention bonus for members with critical military skills or assigned to high priority units; the accession bonus for new officers in critical skills; the incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational speciality to ease personnel shortage; the accession bonus for officer candidates; and the Army referral bonus. The provision would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the assignment incentive pay and the incentive bonus for transfer between the armed forces.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 614) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the aviation officer retention bonus; the reenlistment bonus for active members; the enlistment bonus; the retention bonus for members with critical military skills or assigned to high priority units; the accession bonus for new officers in critical skills; the incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational speciality to ease personnel shortage; and the accession bonus for officer candidates. The Senate extended for 1 year the authority to pay the Army referral bonus in a separate provision (sec. 622).

The House recedes with an amendment that would extend for 1 year the prohibition against requiring certain injured service members to pay for meals provided by military treatment facilities.

Increase in incentive special pay and multiyear retention bonus for medical officers (sec. 615)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 615) that would increase the maximum annual rate of incentive special pay and the multiyear retention bonus for medical officers from $50,000 to $75,000.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 615).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Increase in dental officer additional special pay (sec. 616)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 616) that would increase the maximum annual amounts of additional special pay for dental officers to $10,000 for officers with less than 3 years of creditable service and $12,000 for officers with more than 3 but less than 10 years of creditable service.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 616).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Increase in maximum monthly rate of hardship duty pay and authority to provide hardship duty pay in a lump sum (sec. 617)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 624) that would raise the maximum monthly amount of hardship duty pay to $1500. The provision would also authorize the payment of hardship duty pay in a lump sum.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 617).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Definition of sea duty for career sea pay to include service as off-cycle crewmembers of multi-crew ships (sec. 618)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 617) that would authorize off-cycle crewmembers of multi-crewed ships to be eligible for career sea pay.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 618).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Reenlistment bonus for members of the Selected Reserve (sec. 619)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 618) that would provide the Department of Defense with more flexibility in administering the reenlistment bonus. The provision would eliminate the 3- and 6-year options currently in law and require only that the period of reenlistment be at least 3 years. Similarly, the provision would eliminate the tiered bonus structure and require only that the bonus not exceed $15,000.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 619).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Availability of Selected Reserve accession bonus for persons who previously served in the armed forces for a short period (sec. 620)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 619) that would authorize payment of a Selected Reserve enlistment bonus to persons who had enlisted previously, but were unable to complete basic training requirements due to circumstances beyond their control and were separated under conditions characterized as either honorable or uncharacterized.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees believe that the Department of Defense should limit its use of this authority to cases where the former service member was separated from the military through no fault of his or her own, such as an injury, family medical emergency, or other case of hardship that forced the service member to separate prematurely.

Availability of nuclear officer continuation pay for officers with more than 26 years of commissioned service (sec. 621)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 620) that would extend eligibility for nuclear officer continuation pay from 26 to 30 years of commissioned service.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 620).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize revision of agreements for nuclear officer continuation pay that were entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act.

Waiver of years-of-service limitation on receipt of critical skills retention bonus (sec. 622)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 621) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, or the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, to waive the 25-year service limitation on eligibility to receive the retention bonus for certain members with designated critical military skills.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 621).

The Senate recedes.

Accession bonus for participants in the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Program (sec. 623)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 622) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay an accession bonus of not more than $20,000 to participants in the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance Program (HPSP).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 624).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees direct the Comptroller General of the United States to report to the congressional defense committees by April 1, 2008 on the number of HPSP participants who do not enter onto active duty following completion of the program of studies for which they were enrolled under HPSP, including the extent to which the military departments have sought and received reimbursement for stipends paid under section 2121(d) of title 10, United States Code, or annual grants paid for specialized training under section 2127(e) of title 10, United States Code.

Payment of assignment incentive pay for reserve members serving in combat zone for more than 22 months (sec. 624)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 623) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to pay $1,000 per month in assignment incentive pay to members of the reserve components serving in combat zones associated with Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom once the member exceeds 22 cumulative months of service on active duty under either a voluntary mobilization authority, the presidential Selected Reserve call-up authority, or the partial mobilization authority. Qualifying service under this provision would include cumulative mobilized service during the period beginning on January 1, 2003 through the end of the member's most recent period of mobilization to active duty beginning before January 19, 2007.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

Payment of inactive duty training travel costs for certain Selected Reserve members (sec. 631)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 635) that would authorize the secretary of a military service to reimburse members of the Selected Reserve who occupy a specialty designated by the secretary concerned for travel expenses while performing inactive duty training outside the commuting limits of the member's station. The maximum rate would not exceed $300.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 604) that would authorize reimbursement for travel expenses to an inactive duty training location outside of normal commuting distances for members of the Selected Reserve who are (1) qualified in a skill designated as critically short; (2) assigned to a unit of the Selected Reserve, or in a pay grade, with a critical manpower shortage; or (3) assigned to a unit or position that is disestablished or relocated as a result of defense base closure or realignment or other force structure allocation.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Survivors of deceased members eligible for transportation to attend burial ceremonies (sec. 632)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 656) that would extend the travel and transportation allowance to attend burial ceremonies of deceased service members under section 411f of title 37, United States Code, to minor siblings of deceased service members and the person who directs the disposition of the remains of the deceased service member.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would extend the travel and transportation allowance to the child or children of the deceased member and to the sibling or siblings of the deceased service member, regardless of age.

Allowance for participation of reserves in electronic screening (sec. 633)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would authorize the secretaries of the military services to pay a member of the Individual Ready Reserve a stipend for participation in electronic screening performed pursuant to the continuous screening required by section 10149 of title 10, United States Code. The aggregate amount of the stipend paid to a member may not exceed $50 in any calendar year.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 602).

The House recedes.

Allowance for civilian clothing for members of the armed forces traveling in connection with medical evacuation (sec. 634)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would authorize service members to use some or all of the civilian clothing allowance authorized by section 1047 of title 10, United States Code, to purchase luggage at government expense when traveling in connection with a medical evacuation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Payment of moving expenses for Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps instructors in hard-to-fill positions (sec. 635)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 633) that would authorize the secretary of a military department to reimburse educational institutions for moving expenses paid to Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps instructors when the secretary concerned determines the position is hard-to-fill for geographic or economic reasons, and the instructor agrees to serve in the position for 2 years.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 642).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle D—Retired Pay and Survivor Benefits

Expansion of combat-related special compensation eligibility (sec. 641)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 645) that would authorize disabled military retirees with fewer than 20 years of service to receive combat-related special compensation under section 1413a of title 10, United States Code, provided they served a minimum of 15 years of creditable service and have a disability rated at least 60 percent disabling.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 653) that would expand eligibility of combat-related special compensation to all service members eligible for retirement pay who have a combat-related disability, including service members who were retired under chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Inclusion of veterans with service-connected disabilities rated as total by reason of unemployability under termination of phase-in of concurrent receipt of retired pay and veterans' disability compensation (sec. 642)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 660) that would authorize veterans with service-connected disabilities rated as total due to unemployability to receive concurrent receipt of retired pay and veterans' disability compensation as of December 31, 2004.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would restrict payments under this provision until October 1, 2008.

Recoupment of annuity amounts previously paid, but subject to offset for Dependency and Indemnity Compensation (sec. 643)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 643) that would require that any Survivor Benefit Plan (SBP) payments previously paid to a surviving spouse or former spouse that are subject to the mandatory offset associated with payments of Dependency and Indemnity Compensation by the Department of Veterans Affairs be recouped only to the extent that the amount exceeds any SBP premiums to be refunded by the Department of Defense. In addition, the provision would specify a series of actions to protect the interests of surviving spouses who are subject to the offset, including: (1) a single written notice of the net amount to be recouped; (2) a written explanation of the statutory requirements for recoupment; (3) a detailed accounting of the calculations used to determine the amount to be recouped; and (4) contact information for a person who can provide information and respond to questions regarding the recoupment action.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Special survivor indemnity allowance for persons affected by required Survivor Benefit Plan annuity offset for Dependency and Indemnity Compensation (sec. 644)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 644) that would authorize a survivor indemnity allowance to surviving spouses or former spouses of deceased service members who are denied the full amount of their annuity under the Survivor Benefit Plan (SBP) due to the offset required by the receipt of Dependency and Indemnity Compensation (DIC) from the Department of Veterans Affairs. The provision would authorize monthly payments equal to the lesser amount of $40 or the amount of the SBP annuity subject to the DIC offset. The House provision would take effect October 1, 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 658) that would eliminate the offset of the SBP annuity by the amount of DIC.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the survivor indemnity allowance to survivors of service members who

were entitled to retired pay, or would be entitled to reserve component retired pay but for the fact they were not yet 60 years of age, would increase the monthly allowance for fiscal year 2009 to $50, and would increase the monthly allowance by $10 every year through fiscal year 2013.

Modification of authority of members of the armed forces to designate recipients for payment of death gratuity (sec. 645)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 642) that would amend section 1477 of title 10, United States Code, to allow a service member to designate any individual to receive up to 50 percent of the death gratuity benefit in 10 percent increments.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 651) that would allow a service member to designate in writing any individual to receive the death gratuity benefit. In the absence of such a designation, the death gratuity would be paid in accordance with the succession set forth in section 1970 of title 38, United States Code, relating to Servicemembers' Group Life Insurance (SGLI).

The House recedes with an amendment that would make the provision effective no later than July 1, 2008; provide for spousal notification if an election were made under this authority that would exclude a current spouse from any portion of the death gratuity benefit; provide for partial designations in 10 percent increments; and provide that elections made under section 1477 of title 10, United States Code, before the enactment of this provision, or before enactment of the amendments to that section by section 1316 of the U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans' Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act, 2007 (Public Law 110–28), would remain lawful and effectual.

The conferees believe that service members have the duty and should have the discretion to designate beneficiaries of their choosing for receipt of the death gratuity. The conferees view the SGLI and its statutory basis, as set forth in section 1970 of title 38, United States Code, as the appropriate model for the administration of the death gratuity benefit. The conferees expect the Department of Defense and the services to implement these changes swiftly and to use all appropriate measures to ensure that service members are informed about this important survivor benefit and receive the assistance necessary to make this important designation.

Clarification of application of retired pay multiplier percentage to members of the uniformed services with over 30 years of service (sec. 646)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 654) that would authorize, in the case of an individual who became a member of the armed services prior to September 8, 1980, and who was recalled to active duty for a period of more than 2 years, recomputation of that member's retired pay according to the provisions of section 1409 of title 10, United States Code. The provision would also amend section 6333 of title 10, United States Code, to conform that section to the provisions of section 1409 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Commencement of receipt of non-regular service retired pay by members of the Ready Reserve on active federal status or active duty for significant periods (sec. 647)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 655) that would reduce the age at which a member of the Ready Reserve could draw retired pay below the age of 60 by 3 months for every aggregate 90 days of active duty performed since September 11, 2001 under certain mobilization authorities. Under this provision, a member of the Ready Reserve could not reduce the age at which they draw retired pay below the age of 50.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would limit the applicability of the provision to service performed after the date of enactment of this Act.

Computation of years of service for purposes of retired pay for non-regular service (sec. 648)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 661) that would increase to 130 the annual number of inactive duty points that may be credited toward the computation of retired pay for non-regular service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle E—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits

Authority to continue commissary and exchange benefits for certain involuntarily separated members of the armed forces (sec. 651)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 652) that would authorize members involuntarily separated from active duty or the Selected Reserve to continue to use commissary and exchange stores for 2 years after separation. This authority would expire on December 31, 2012.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authorization of installment deductions from pay of employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities to collect indebtedness to the United States (sec. 652)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 653) that would clarify that executive branch instrumentalities have the same access to procedures for collection of debts from federal civilian employees as do judicial and legislative branch instrumentalities under section 5514 of title 5, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify that nonappropriated fund instrumentalities have access to the debt collection procedures of section 5514 of title 5, United States Code, and that employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities are subject to those provisions.

Subtitle F—Consolidation of Special Pay, Incentive Pay, and Bonus Authorities

Consolidation of special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities of the uniformed services (sec. 661)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 661) that would reform and consolidate over 60 special pays and incentive pays into the following eight categories: (1) bonuses for enlisted members; (2) bonuses for officers; (3) bonuses and incentive pays for nuclear officers; (4) bonuses and incentive pays for aviation officers; (5) bonuses and incentive pays for officers in health professions; (6) hazardous duty pays; (7) assignment pays and special duty pays; and (8) skill incentive pays and proficiency bonuses. The provision would also retain separate authorities for 15-year career status bonuses, critical skill retention bonuses, and the continuation of combat zone-related pays and allowances for members hospitalized as a result of combat-related wounds, injuries, or illnesses.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would set expiration dates of December 31, 2009 for all the new categories of pays and would clarify the maximum amounts allowable for the various pays under the new authority.

Transitional provisions (sec. 662)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 662) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and the Secretary of Commerce, a plan to implement the consolidation of special pays, incentive pays, and bonus authorities and to submit the plan to the congressional defense committees within 1 year of the date of enactment of this Act. The provision would also provide for an orderly transfer to the new authorities that would be implemented on a pace set by the Secretary of Defense with full implementation required within 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a notice of the implementation of any new authority at least 30 days before the new authority is first used.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

Referral bonus authorities (sec. 671)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 605) that would authorize an Army referral bonus to be paid to a service member or civilian employee of the Department of the Army who refers an officer candidate who is later appointed as an officer in a health profession designated by the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate amendment contained similar provisions (secs. 622 and 623) that would authorize the service secretaries to approve a referral bonus for officer candidates in the health professions for all the military services, codify existing authority for the Army to pay a referral bonus to a service member or civilian employee who refers a person to the Army who enlists in a regular or reserve component, and extend the authority to pay this bonus through December 31, 2008.

The House recedes with an amendment that would give the Secretary of Defense discretionary authority to approve payment by the Army, Navy, or Air Force of a bonus to encourage Department of Defense personnel to refer persons for appointment as officers to serve in a health profession.

Expansion of education loan repayment program for members of the Selected Reserve (sec. 672)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 671) that would include additional types of loans incurred for educational purposes by members of the Selected Reserve that would be eligible for repayment by the Department of Defense. The provision would also make both officer and enlisted personnel eligible for loan repayment under this program.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 672).

The Senate recedes.

Ensuring entry into United States after time abroad for permanent resident alien military spouses and children (sec. 673)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 672) that would allow permanent resident alien spouses and children of service members stationed abroad under official orders to gain readmission to the United States without their time overseas being treated under the Immigration and Nationality Act as abandonment or relinquishment of lawful

permanent resident status or as an absence for the purposes of establishing citizenship.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Overseas naturalization for military spouses and children (sec. 674)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 673) that would allow certain permanent-resident spouses and children of members of the armed forces who reside in foreign countries to be naturalized. Under the provision, upon compliance with other requirements of the Immigration and Nationality Act, the spouse or child's physical presence in a foreign country while accompanying the member would be treated as residence in the United States or any State for the purpose of satisfying the continuous presence requirements of the Act.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 682).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Modification of amount of back pay for members of Navy and Marine Corps selected for promotion while interned as prisoners of war during World War II to take into account changes in Consumer Price Index (sec. 675)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 686) that would amend section 667 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) to modify the method by which the Secretary of the Navy calculates back pay owed to former service members who by reason of being interned as prisoners of war were unable to accept a promotion for which they had been selected. The provision would require the calculation to account for changes in the Consumer Price Index.

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Access to defense commissary and exchange system by surviving spouse and dependents of certain disabled veterans

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 651) that would require the Secretary of Defense to revise regulations to ensure access to the defense commissary and exchange system by the surviving spouse and dependents of a veteran who had a service-connected disability rated at 100 percent or total, although the disability rating was awarded posthumously.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees believe that the change in the regulations needed to appropriately recognize surviving spouses and dependents of veterans who are posthumously determined to have service-connected disabilities rated at 100 percent can be accomplished without legislation. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to revise the Department of Defense regulations to provide such family members access to the defense commissary and exchange system.

Annuities for guardians or caretakers of dependent children under Survivor Benefit Plan

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 652) that would allow an unmarried service member with a dependent child or children to elect, at the time of retirement, a guardian or caretaker of that dependent child or children as the beneficiary of the service member's Survivor Benefit Plan annuity.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Disregarding periods of confinement of member in determining benefits for dependents who are victims of abuse by the member

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 641) that would amend section 1408 of title 10, United States Code, to require the secretary concerned to consider as credible service for purposes of determining retirement eligibility any periods of confinement served by a member before convening authority action on a record of trial regarding the member's conviction of an offense involving abuse of a spouse or dependent child

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Effective date of paid-up coverage under Survivor Benefit Plan

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 659) that would amend section 1452(j) of title 10, United States Code, to change the effective date for paid-up coverage under the Survivor Benefit Plan from October 1, 2008 to October 1, 2007.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Guaranteed pay increase for members of the armed forces of one-half of one percentage point higher than Employment Cost Index

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 606) that would mandate that pay raises for all service members during fiscal years 2009 through 2012 be one-half of 1 percent higher than the annual rise in the Employment Cost Index.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Payment of expenses of travel to the United States for obstetrical purposes of dependents located in very remote locations outside the United States

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 641) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay travel expenses for purposes of childbirth to a location in the United States of a pregnant dependent of a service member assigned to a very remote location outside the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs and the combatant commanders, of the quality of life challenges confronted by military families at remote overseas locations. The review should include a review of current policies and procedures regarding the delivery of obstetrical care provided to medical beneficiaries. In particular, the Secretary should compare and contrast the current policy of transporting pregnant women to centrally located government medical facilities with a policy of providing women the opportunity to return to the United States to give birth. The Secretary should report the findings and recommendations to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than June 30, 2008.

Postal benefits program for members of the armed forces serving in Iraq or Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 674) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the United States Postal Service, to provide a postal benefits program to service members serving in Iraq or Afghanistan, or who are hospitalized in a Department of Defense facility as a result of service in Iraq or Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Transportation of additional motor vehicle of members on change of permanent station to or from nonforeign areas outside the continental United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 634) that would authorize service members with at least one dependent of driving age to ship two privately owned vehicles during permanent change of station moves to nonforeign duty locations outside the continental United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Improvements to Military Health Benefits

One-year extension of prohibition on increases in certain health care costs for members of the uniformed services (sec. 701)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would extend the prohibition established by the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) on the Department of Defense from increasing the premium, deductible, and copayment for TRICARE Prime; the charge for inpatient care for TRICARE Standard; and the premium for TRICARE Reserve Select and TRICARE Standard for members of the Selected Reserve during the period from October 1, 2007, to September 30, 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 713).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees believe that the Department of Defense and the Nation have an obligation to provide health care benefits to active duty, National Guard, reserve, and retired members of the uniformed services and their families, disabled eligibles, and survivors. Additionally, the Department has options to constrain the growth of health care spending in ways that do not disadvantage retired members of the uniformed services who have faithfully fulfilled the demands of 20 to 30 year careers.

The conferees urge the Department to continue to identify opportunities to improve the quality and effectiveness of the military health care system through improved performance and health care outcomes. The conferees believe that any increase in TRICARE program cost sharing should be made only after implementation of improvements in the health care program, after consideration of the comprehensive reports mandated by Congress in sections 711 and 713 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), and following consultation with military beneficiary advocates.

Temporary prohibition on increase in copayments under retail pharmacy system of pharmacy benefits program (sec. 702)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would limit the cost sharing requirements for drugs provided through the TRICARE retail pharmacy program to amounts not more than $3 for generic drugs, $9 for formulary drugs, and $22 for non-formulary drugs during fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 714). The conference agreement includes this provision.

Inclusion of TRICARE retail pharmacy program in federal procurement of pharmaceuticals (sec. 703)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to exclude from the pharmacy benefits program any pharmaceutical agent that

is not priced consistent with the pricing set forth under section 8126 of title 38, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 701) that would require that any prescription filled on or after October 1, 2007 through the TRICARE retail pharmacy network will be covered by the federal pricing limits applicable to covered drugs under section 8126 of title 38, United States Code.

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the implementation date from October 1, 2007 to the date of enactment of this Act.

Stipend for members of reserve components for health care for certain dependents (sec. 704)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 708) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay a stipend for continuing health care coverage to reserve members called to active duty with a dependent possessing a special health care need that would best be met by remaining in the member's civilian health plan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the program to be implemented pursuant to regulations issued by the Secretary.

The conferees intend that the stipend should be available to eligible dependents regardless of whether their civilian health plan is provided by private employers or the Federal Government.

Authority for expansion of persons eligible for continued health benefits coverage (sec. 705)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 706) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to expand eligibility for continued health benefits coverage authorized in section 1078a of title 10, United States Code, for additional persons specified in regulations by the Secretary for not more than 36 months after such persons lose entitlement to Department of Defense health care benefits.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Continuation of eligibility for TRICARE Standard coverage for certain members of the Selected Reserve (sec. 706)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 707) that would allow federal employees already enrolled in TRICARE Reserve Select under an existing program to remain in TRICARE Reserve Select through the enrollment period for which they qualified under the program as in effect on October 16, 2006.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Extension of pilot program for health care delivery (sec. 707)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 707) that would extend the pilot program established by the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375) to test initiatives that build cooperative health care arrangements and agreements between military installations and local, regional non-military health care systems.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Two sites were selected to test the pilot program, the installations at Fort Drum, New York, and Yuma, Arizona. The Department of Defense provided the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives with an interim report on the status of these programs. The conferees are pleased that the results of the report are favorable and indicate that the collaborative relationships created through the pilots are benefitting both military and civilian health care beneficiaries and providers. The conferees expect the Department to share the lessons learned from these collaborative efforts with other installations and expand such programs where appropriate.

Inclusion of mental health care in definition of health care and report on mental health care services (sec. 708)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 708) that would clarify the Secretary of Defense's authority to determine the appropriate payment amounts for mental health services under the TRICARE program. This provision would also require the Secretary to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the adequacy of access to mental health services under the TRICARE program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that mental health care is in the definition of health care under section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.

The conferees intend that the Secretary will carefully examine the adequacy of mental health payments under contracts for care so as to ensure that TRICARE payment rates are not a barrier to access to mental health services for eligible Department of Defense beneficiaries.

Subtitle B—Studies and Reports

Surveys on continued viability of TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra (sec. 711)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 702) that would extend through 2011 the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to conduct surveys to determine health care and mental health care provider acceptance of the TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra benefit. The provision would require surveys of beneficiaries in addition to surveys of providers and would require the Secretary to establish benchmarks for primary and specialty care providers, to determine the adequacy of providers available. The provision would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to review the processes, procedures, and analyses used by the Department of Defense to determine the adequacy of the number of health care and mental health care providers available to beneficiaries, and to report on the results of this review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on a biannual basis.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the requirement for a supervising official to be designated to oversee the adequacy and accessibility of the TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra programs. The amendment would also require the Comptroller General to give a high priority to studying areas with high concentrations of members of the Selected Reserve.

The conferees note that TRICARE Regional Offices (TRO) are responsible for overseeing the adequacy and accessibility of health care and mental health care services to TRICARE beneficiaries in their areas. The conferees expect the TROs to perform these oversight duties, paying specific attention to the needs of beneficiaries in TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Reserve Select.

Report on training in preservation of remains under combat or combat-related conditions (sec. 712)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 710) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the training in preservation of remains in combat or combat-related conditions required by section 567 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on patient satisfaction surveys (sec. 713)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 703) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the ongoing patient satisfaction surveys taking place in inpatient and outpatient settings at military treatment facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on medical physical examinations of members of the armed forces before their deployment (sec. 714)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 712) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by April 1, 2008, on: (1) the results of a study of the frequency of medical examinations conducted by the armed forces prior to deployment; (2) a comparison of policies among the military departments of such medical examinations; and (3) a business case analysis for a single pre-deployment physical and single system for tracking medical examinations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the requirement to report on the frequency of medical examinations. The amendment would require an assessment of current policies and the feasibility of implementing a single examination and tracking system.

Report and study on multiple vaccinations of members of the armed forces (sec. 715)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the Department's policies for administering and evaluating multiple vaccinations of members of the armed forces in a 24-hour period, including an assessment of procedures to provide current information on such immunizations to State Adjutants General. The provision would also require the Secretary to study the safety and efficacy of administering multiple vaccinations within a 24-hour period.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would delete the requirement for the Secretary to conduct a safety and efficacy study.

Review of gender- and ethnic group-specific mental health services and treatment for members of the armed forces (sec. 716)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1634) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly conduct a comprehensive review of: (1) the need for mental health treatment and services for female members of the armed forces and veterans; and (2) the efficacy and adequacy of existing mental health treatment programs and services for female members of the armed forces and veterans.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive review of: (1) the need for gender- and ethnic group-specific mental health treatment and services for members of the armed forces; and (2) the efficacy and adequacy of existing gender- and ethnic group-specific mental health treatment programs and services for members of the armed forces.

Licensed mental health counselors and the TRICARE program (sec. 717)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 706) that would amend section 1079 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize licensed or certified mental health counselors to be reimbursed for services provided to TRICARE beneficiaries without prior physician referral or supervision.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 704) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into a contract with the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences or a similar organization to conduct an independent study of individuals practicing as licensed mental health counselors, social workers, and marriage and family therapists under the TRICARE program and make recommendations for permitting such professionals to practice independently under the TRICARE program.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require a study of the credentials, preparation, and training of individuals practicing as licensed mental health counselors and would require the Secretary of Defense to establish criteria that licensed or certified mental health counselors would have to meet in order to be able to independently provide care to TRICARE beneficiaries and receive payment under the TRICARE program for such services.

The conferees are aware that mental health counselors, in contrast to the licensing practices of other health care disciplines, have multiple routes to licensure. While the conferees encourage the profession to work toward a single certifying body or joint certification agreement, the conference outcome would allow the Department of Defense to create opportunities for the independent practice of licensed mental health professionals who meet criteria established by the Department in order to meet the immediate mental health needs of service members and their families.

Report on funding of the Department of Defense for health care (sec. 718)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1008) that would require the President to submit a report to Congress in any year that the armed forces are involved in a major conflict if the budget for the Department of Defense for health care is less than the amount provided by Congress for the preceding fiscal year or if the allocation from the Defense Health Program to any military department is less than the allocation in the preceding fiscal year. The report would include the reason for the lesser amount or allocation and the anticipated effects of the reduction.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would terminate this provision on December 31, 2017 and would remove the condition that the armed forces be involved in a major conflict.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Prohibition on conversion of military medical and dental positions to civilian medical and dental positions (sec. 721)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 704) that would establish a permanent prohibition on the secretaries of the military departments from converting any military medical or dental position to a civilian medical or dental position on or after October 1, 2007. This provision would also require a report to the congressional defense committees on such conversions made during fiscal year 2007.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the prohibition to end on September 30, 2012. The amendment would also require that any military medical or dental position that has been converted to a civilian medical or dental position from October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2008 be restored to a military medical or dental position if the position is not filled by a civilian by September 30, 2008.

The conferees are concerned that the military departments have not fully addressed the certification requirements contained in section 724 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), and thus lack assurance that planned conversions will not increase costs, decrease access to care, decrease quality of care, or negatively impact recruitment and retention of military personnel.

In addition, the conferees have learned that military to civilian conversions have had a negative impact on the ability of the military health system to provide health care to service members and their families, have compounded the impact of multiple deployments on military medical personnel, and could impact adequate staffing of wounded warrior transition units. The conferees are concerned that, despite these concerns, the military departments have continued to convert military medical positions to civilian medical positions. Therefore, the conferees prohibit the conversion of military medical positions to civilian positions until September 30, 2012.

Establishment of Joint Pathology Center (sec. 722)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 709) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a Joint Pathology Center located on the National Naval Medical Center in Bethesda, Maryland. The center would function as the reference center in pathology for the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs, providing services in: diagnostic pathology consultation in medicine, dentistry, and veterinary sciences; pathology education, to include graduate medical education, including residency and fellowship programs, and continuing medical education; and diagnostic pathology research.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1095) that would allow the Secretary to establish a Joint Pathology Center, to the extent that establishing such a center is consistent with the recommendations of the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the President to establish a Joint Pathology Center.

The conferees believe that having a Joint Pathology Center performing second opinion consults is integral to pathology education and residency programs and that the Federal Government should continue to perform this essential mission.

The conferees find that the recommendations of the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission Final Report provide the flexibility to establish a Joint Pathology Center as a Department of Defense or federal entity. The President would be required to make a determination as to whether to establish the Joint Pathology Center inside the Department of Defense or in another agency such as the Department of Health and Human Services. The conferees expect the President to consider, in making his determination, whether establishing the center within the Department of Defense is consistent with the recommendations of the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Establishment of nurse practitioner program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 705) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a graduate education program for advanced-practice nursing at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress on fees and adjustments under the TRICARE program

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 715) that would state the sense of Congress on fees and adjustments under the TRICARE program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that portions of this provision have been included elsewhere in this report.

Implementation of recommendations of Department of Defense Mental Health Task Force

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 709) that would require the Secretary of Defense to implement the recommendations of the Department of Defense Task Force on Mental Health (Task Force) as soon as practicable, but not later than May 31, 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees understand that the Secretary of Defense intends to implement nearly all of the 95 recommendations of the Task Force for improvements in the psychological health of members of the armed forces and their families.

The conferees will closely monitor the Department's efforts to implement these recommendations, especially those focused on access to mental health services for deployed members and their families and on ensuring an adequate supply of highly qualified uniformed mental health care providers.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2008, a report on the implementation of each recommendation. The report will include: (1) the expected date of implementation of each recommendation that will be fully implemented; (2) a description of and reason for any modification of a recommendation and the expected date of implementation of the modified recommendation; and (3) the reason for not implementing any recommendation that will not be implemented.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Short title (sec. 800)

The conferees agree to a provision that would provide that this title may be cited as the ''Acquisition Improvement and Accountability Act of 2007''.

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

Internal controls for procurements on behalf of the Department of Defense by certain nondefense agencies (sec.801)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 803) that would require the Under Secretary

of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to issue guidelines on the use of interagency contracting by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 846) that would require inspector general reviews to determine whether procurements conducted by certain non-defense agencies on behalf of the Department have been conducted in compliance with defense procurement requirements.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the requirements of the two provisions.

Lead systems integrators (sec. 802)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 806) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from awarding new contracts for lead systems integrator functions, effective October 1, 2011.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the Department of Defense from awarding new contracts for lead systems integrator functions, effective October 1, 2010, to any entity that was not performing lead system integrator functions for the same system prior to the date of the enactment of this Act. The provision would also prohibit the award of any new contract for lead system integrator functions for a major system that has proceeded beyond low-rate initial production, effective upon the date of enactment of this Act, absent a written waiver by the Secretary of Defense that meets conditions specified in the provision.

Reinvestment in domestic sources of strategic materials (sec. 803)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 808) that would require that all Department of Defense solicitations for major weapon systems include an evaluation criteria addressing the extent to which suppliers of strategic materials demonstrate a record of sustained reinvestment in domestic production of such materials.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Strategic Materials Protection Board established pursuant to section 187 of title 10, United States Code, to perform an assessment of the extent to which sources of strategic materials are reinvesting in domestic production of such materials.

Clarification of the protection of strategic materials critical to national security (sec. 804)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 809) that would address the use of domestic non-availability determinations and commercial item exceptions with regard to the requirement to buy strategic materials from American sources pursuant to section 2533b of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would revise section 2533b to address the availability and use of domestic non-availability determinations, commercial item exceptions, purchases of fasteners, purchases of high-performance magnets, purchases of electronic components, de minimis purchases, purchases of commercial derivative military articles, and national security waivers. The conferees intend the revised provision to ensure that defense contractors comply with requirements to purchase domestic specialty metals without impeding the ability of the Department of Defense to acquire weapon systems when and as needed.

The conferees agree that the term ''electronic components'', as used in this provision, does not include any assembly, such as a radar, that incorporates structural or mechanical parts. In addition, the conferees agree that the term ''high performance magnet'', as used in the provision, means permanent magnets containing 10 or more percent by weight of materials such as cobalt, samarium, or nickel. The conferees note that high performance magnets have been fully or partially excluded from some of the exceptions added by this section. The conferees intend in doing so to ensure that the Department continues to procure such magnets from domestic sources whenever possible and consistent with this section.

Section 2533b, as amended, would authorize streamlined compliance for commercial derivative military articles, based on a contractor's certification that minimum threshold quantities are being purchased during the period of contract performance. The provision specifies that the speciality metal must be purchased for use during the period of contract performance in the production of the commercial derivative military article and the related commercial article. Domestically-melted specialty metal that is purchased for use in the production of commercial derivative military articles acquired under one contract may not be used as a basis for an exception to the requirements of this section for the acquisition of the same or other commercial derivative military articles under a different contract.

The conferees note that commercially available off-the-shelf fasteners would be exempt from the requirements of subsection (a) of section 2533b of title 10, United States Code, only to the limited extent provided in paragraph (h)(2)(D). However, nothing in this section precludes the use of other exceptions or waivers available to the Secretary with regard to such fasteners, to the extent that they are applicable.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure, in promulgating rules to implement the minimum threshold in subsection (j) of section 2533b, as amended, that such threshold applies to the specialty metals contained in an item delivered to the Department of Defense and may not be applied on a piecemeal basis to a subsystem or component of such item.

Finally, the conferees understand that the recently published rule regarding commercially available off-the-shelf items, and other recent domestic non-availability determinations that apply to multiple contracts and which were based on the availability of components, rather than speciality metals, will have to be reviewed and amended to comply with section 2533b of title 10, United States Code, as amended by this provision. The conferees encourage the Department to ensure that the exceptions provided by subsections (b) through (k) of the revised section 2533b are utilized through an open and transparent process, to the extent consistent with the protection of national security information and confidential business information.

Procurement of commercial services (sec. 805)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would require the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy to modify the Federal Acquisition Regulation to narrow the regulatory definition of commercial services.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 823) that would limit the use of time and materials contracts to purchase commercial services for or on behalf of the Department of Defense.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to modify the regulations of the Department of Defense to: (1) authorize the contracting officer in the procurement of certain commercial services to require offerors to submit sufficient information to evaluate, through price analysis, the reasonableness of the proposed price; and (2) address the categories of services which may be purchased for or on behalf of the Department of Defense pursuant to commercial time and materials contracts.

Specification of amounts requested for procurement of contract services (sec. 806)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 843) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to clearly and separately identify in its budget justification materials the amounts requested in each budget account for the procurement of contract services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) require that the materials submitted clearly identify amounts requested for each category of service for each DOD component, installation, or activity; and (2) provide that the provision takes effect for fiscal years after fiscal year 2009.

The conferees note that the military services currently identify certain categories of services—such as engineering and technical services and advisory and assistance services—in their budget justification documents. The conferees expect that these and other categories of services will be identified separately in the budget justification materials submitted in accordance with this provision. In addition, the conferees expect the budget justification materials to identify the total amount in each account for contract services for each DOD component, installation, or activity for which funding for such services is requested.

The conferees further note that section 2330a of title 10, United States Code requires the Secretary of Defense to establish a data collection system to provide management information on DOD purchases of contract services. The conferees encourage the Department to utilize the required data collection system and ensure that budget information is collected and reported in a manner that is consistent with the collection and reporting of information on expenditures.

Inventories and reviews of contracts for services (sec. 807)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 326(b)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an inventory of work performed by contractors.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 845) that would require the secretary of each military department and the head of each defense agency to maintain an inventory of activities performed pursuant to contracts for services based on cost or time of performance.

The House recedes with an amendment that would codify a requirement for the Secretary of Defense to maintain an annual inventory of activities performed pursuant to contracts for services for or on behalf of the Department of Defense. The provision would require the secretaries of the military departments and the heads of defense agencies to conduct certain review and planning activities on the basis of the annual inventories.

Independent management reviews of contracts for services (sec. 808)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 847) that would require the Secretary of Defense to issue guidance to provide for independent management reviews of contracts for services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would extend the amount of time for

the Secretary to issue the required guidance and add additional matters to be addressed in the guidance. In addition to the matters required in the Senate provision, the independent management reviews would be required to specifically address issues raised by contracts under which an agency uses one contractor to perform program management and other acquisition services with regard to services performed by other contractors.

The conferees note the military services and defense agencies are beginning to rely on a single contractor to oversee program management of large services contracts, in a manner similar to that of the ''lead systems integrator'' concept on hardware programs.

For example, the conferees understand that the program structure for the Defense Logistics ''tire privatization'' program places extensive control for the management, supply, and distribution of military tires into the hands of a single contractor. Similarly, the Army's Warfighter Field Operations Customer Support (Warfighter FOCUS) consolidates several existing contracts for training aids, simulators, and ranges used by the active, reserve, and Guard components of the Army into a single contract for life cycle support to be managed by a single large prime contractor over a 10 year period.

The conferees are concerned that the trend toward large single contracts for the procurement of services may undermine competition and result in unequal access to information about future government needs. The conferees expect the Department of Defense to review these issues and take appropriate action to ensure that, to the extent that the Department continues to rely upon such contracts, they do not result in the exclusion or unequal treatment of competing contractors.

Implementation and enforcement of requirements applicable to undefinitized contractual actions (sec. 809)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 848) that would require the Secretary of Defense to issue guidance, with detailed implementation instructions, for the Department of Defense (DOD) to ensure the implementation and enforcement of requirements applicable to undefinitized contractual actions (UCAs).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying the issues to be addressed in the guidance.

The conferees note that the DOD already has requirements in place regarding the use of UCAs. However, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) reported in June 2007 that DOD has frequently failed to comply with these requirements.

For example, the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) states that when the final price of a UCA is negotiated after a substantial portion of the required performance has been completed, the negotiated profit rate should reflect any reduced cost risk to the contractor for costs incurred during contract performance before negotiation of the final price. Section 215.404–71–3(d)(2) of the DFARS states: ''When costs have been incurred prior to definitization, generally regard the contract type risk to be in the low end of the designated range. If a substantial portion of the costs have been incurred prior to definitization, the contracting officer may assign a value as low as 0 percent, regardless of contract type.'' However, GAO found no evidence that DOD contracting officers have been observing these requirements in the negotiation of contract fees. The conferees expect the guidance issued pursuant to this section to include procedures for ensuring compliance with these and other requirements regarding UCAs.

Clarification of limited acquisition authority for Special Operations Command (sec. 810)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 817) that would clarify the authorities available to U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) by codifying the position of acquisition executive and senior procurement executive, respectively, by amending section 167(e)(4) of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that codifies the position of the SOCOM command acquisition executive, and deletes language stating that the acquisition executive shall have the same duties and authorities as the service acquisition executives. Furthermore, this provision states that the command acquisition executive is responsible for supervising all SOCOM acquisition matters regardless of whether or not such an activity is carried out by the command or by a military department (MILDEP) pursuant to a delegation of authority by the command. The provision further authorizes the command acquisition executive to negotiate acquisition-related memoranda of agreement with the MILDEPs, to ensure proper representation of the command in acquisition discussions, and to receive acquisition directives and instructions of the department.

Subtitle B—Provisions Relating to Major Defense Acquisition Programs

Requirements applicable to multiyear contracts for the procurement of major systems of the Department of Defense (sec. 811)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 801) that would define the term ''substantial savings'' for the purposes of authorizing multiyear contracts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify in writing by no later than March 1 of a year in which the Secretary requests legislative authority to enter into a multiyear contract for which such authority is required that he has made certain determinations with regard to such contract.

The conferees agree that ''substantial savings'' under section 2306b(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, means savings that exceed 10 percent of the total costs of carrying out the program through annual contracts, except that multiyear contracts for major systems providing savings estimated at less than 10 percent should only be considered if the Department presents an exceptionally strong case that the proposal meets the other requirements of section 2306b(a), as amended. The conferees agree with a Government Accountability Office finding that any major system that is at the end of its production line is unlikely to meet these standards and therefore would be a poor candidate for a multiyear procurement contract.

The conferees further agree that the Cost Analysis Improvement Group must be tasked and resourced to perform the cost estimates required by this section in a timely manner to ensure compliance with this section.

Changes to Milestone B certifications (sec. 812)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 802) that would: (1) require that the milestone decision authority (MDA) receive a business case analysis before making a Milestone B certification under section 2366a of title 10, United States Code; and (2) require the program manager for a major defense acquisition program (MDAP) to immediately notify the MDA of significant changes to the MDAP after a Milestone B certification is made.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify the requirements for Milestone B certifications.

Comptroller General report on Department of Defense organization and structure for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 813)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 803) that would require the Comptroller General to report to the congressional defense committees on potential modifications to the Department of Defense organization and structure for major defense acquisition programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment striking two of the elements of the study and adding a new element: a review of whether, and how, the combatant commands should participate in long-term planning for future capabilities.

The conferees agree that the review of shorter, more frequent acquisition milestones should include appropriate consideration of: (1) separate milestones at the time of approval of a mission need statement, approval of a capability need definition, technology development and assessment, system development and demonstration, final design, production prototyping and testing, limited production, and full-rate production; and (2) a requirement that appropriate officials certify to the Milestone Decision Authority that exit criteria related to cost, schedule, technological maturity, and performance factors have been met prior to a program proceeding to the next milestone.

Clarification of submission of cost or pricing data on noncommercial modifications of commercial items (sec. 814)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 812) that would clarify certain thresholds in the Truth in Negotiations Act (10 U.S.C. 2306a).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 822(c)).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Clarification of rules regarding the procurement of commercial items (sec. 815)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 811) that would amend the Truth in Negotiations Act, section 2306a of title 10, United States Code, to require the submission of cost or pricing data for sole-source contracts for commercial items if needed to determine that a price is fair and reasonable.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 822) that would amend section 2379 of title 10, United States Code, to narrow the categories of subsystems, components, and spare parts of major weapon systems that may be purchased as commercial items.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the contracting officer in the procurement of a major weapon system, or subsystems, components, or spare parts for a major weapon system to require offerors to submit sufficient information to evaluate, through price analysis, the reasonableness of the proposed price. The information required to be submitted may include information on prices paid for the same or similar items under comparable terms and conditions and, if the contracting officer determines that price information is not sufficient to determine the reasonableness of price, other relevant information regarding the basis of price or cost, including information on labor costs, material costs, and overhead rates.

The conferees expect that a contracting officer's written determination under this section will include an explanation of the basis

for the contracting officer's determination that: (1) the item is a commercial item; and (2) the information provided by the contractor is sufficient to evaluate the reasonableness of price.

Review of systemic deficiencies on major defense acquisition programs (sec. 816)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 847) that would require each component of the Department of Defense that has multiple programs experiencing excessive cost growth in any fiscal year to identify and report on systemic deficiencies in its acquisition policies and practices that may have contributed to such cost growth.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to conduct an annual review of systemic deficiencies in major defense acquisition programs that have led to critical cost threshold breaches or required recertification of programs by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council.

Investment strategy for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 817)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 804) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the strategies of the Department of Defense for balancing the allocation of funds and other resources among major defense acquisition programs. The report would also address the role of the Tri-Chair Committee of the Department of Defense in the resource allocation process for major defense acquisition programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require that the report also address, to the maximum extent practicable, any changes to the budget, acquisition, and requirements processes of the Department of Defense that the Department has undertaken or plans to undertake as a result of changes in law made by provisions of this Act.

Report on implementation of recommendations on total ownership cost for major weapon systems (sec. 818)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 805) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the implementation of recommendations by the Comptroller General regarding total ownership cost for major weapon systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations

Plan for restricting government-unique contract clauses on commercial contracts (sec. 821)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 813) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to develop and implement a plan to minimize the number of government-unique contract clauses used in commercial contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items (sec. 822)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 814) that would extend the authority of the Department of Defense to use simplified acquisition procedures for the acquisition of certain commercial items.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require a report on the use of the authority.

Five-year extension of authority to carry out certain prototype projects (sec. 823)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 816) that would extend for 5 years the authority of the Secretary of Defense to carry out certain prototype projects.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 825). The conference agreement includes this provision.

Exemption of Special Operations Command from certain requirements for certain contracts relating to vessels, aircraft, and combat vehicles (sec. 824)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 818) that would exempt U.S. Special Operations Command from leasing limitations as required in section 2401 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would permit the Secretary of Defense to exempt U.S. Special Operations Command from leasing limitations regarding substantial termination liability, as required in section 2401 of title 10, United States Code, if he or she can certify that specified conditions have been met, and informs Congress in advance of the certification.

Provision of authority to maintain equipment to Unified Combatant Command for Joint Warfighting (sec. 825)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 819) that would clarify the acquisition authority of the Unified Combatant Command for Joint Warfighting.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 874).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would extend the authority for two years.

Market research (sec. 826)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 820) that would require the Secretary of Defense to take certain steps to ensure that the Department of Defense and its prime contractors conduct appropriate market research before making purchases for or on behalf of the Department.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide additional flexibility to the Secretary in providing for market research.

The conference agreement directs the Secretary to work to develop market research tools to assist contracting officers and prime contractors in performing market research. In developing such tools, the conferees expect the Secretary to give appropriate consideration to the use of web-based tools such as search engines.

Modification of competition requirements for purchases from Federal Prison Industries (sec. 827)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 824) that would tighten requirements for competition in Department of Defense purchases from Federal Prison Industries.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Multiyear contract authority for electricity from renewable energy sources (sec. 828)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 826) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter contracts for up to 10 years for the purchase of electricity from sources of renewable energy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying that the authority provided in this provision does not preclude the use of any other multiyear contracting authority available to the Department of Defense for the purchase of electricity from renewable sources.

Procurement of fire resistant rayon fiber for the production of uniforms from foreign sources (sec. 829)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 827) that would authorize the continued procurement of fire resistant rayon fiber for the production of uniforms from foreign sources under certain conditions. The provision would expire 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Comptroller General review of noncompetitive awards of congressional and executive branch interest items (sec. 830)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 828) that would establish special competition rules for contracts awarded by the Department of Defense to implement new programs or projects pursuant to congressional initiatives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to compare the procedures used by the Department of Defense for contracts to implement new programs or projects pursuant to congressional initiatives with the procedures used for contracts to implement new programs or projects of special interest to senior executive branch officials.

Subtitle D—Accountability in Contracting

Commission on Wartime Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 841)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1539(a)) that would establish a Commission on Wartime Contracting to review federal contracting for the reconstruction of Iraq and Afghanistan, logistical support of coalition forces operating in Iraq and Afghanistan, and the performance of security and intelligence functions in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment deleting the review of contracting for intelligence functions from the Commission's responsibilities and making certain modifications to the structure of the Commission.

Investigation of waste, fraud, and abuse in wartime contracts and contracting processes in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 842)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1539(b)) that would require the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction to conduct a series of audits of contracts for the logistical support of coalition forces in Iraq and Afghanistan and contracts for the performance of security and reconstruction functions in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide for the audits to be conducted by the inspectors general with jurisdiction over the relevant contracts. The audits would be conducted pursuant to audit plans developed by the Department of Defense Inspector General, the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, and the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, and would be coordinated

through councils and working groups composed of the relevant inspectors general.

The conferees do not intend for the audits conducted pursuant to this section to duplicate audit work previously performed under other authority.

Enhanced competition requirements for task and delivery order contracts (sec. 843)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that would address the issue of competition in contracting on a government-wide basis.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 821) that would encourage the use of multiple-award task and delivery order contracts in lieu of single-award contracts, enhance requirements for the competition of task orders and delivery orders under multiple-award contracts, and authorize bid protests for task or delivery orders in excess of $5.0 million under such contracts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would address the competition issues in the Senate provision on a government-wide basis. The provision would raise the threshold for bid protests to $10.0 million and sunset the authorization for bid protests after 3 years. The conferees expect that the sunset date will provide Congress with an opportunity to review the implementation of the provision and make any necessary adjustments.

Public disclosure of justification and approval documents for noncompetitive contracts (sec. 844)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 823) that would require public disclosure of justification and approval documents for noncompetitive contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the disclosure of such documents through appropriate websites, rather than through the Federal Procurement Data System.

Disclosure of government contractor audit findings (sec. 845)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 824) that would require the head of each federal agency to submit quarterly reports to Congress on completed audits of contractors performed by the agency or department.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the inclusion of significant findings in final, completed audits of contractors in the semiannual reports submitted to Congress by Inspectors General pursuant to section 5 of the Inspector General Act (Public Law 95–452, as amended). The provision would provide for the redaction from such reports of information that is exempt from public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (section 552(b) of title 5, United States Code).

Protection of contractor employees from reprisal for disclosure of certain information (sec. 846)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 861) that would provide enhanced protection for contractor employees who disclose evidence of waste, fraud, or abuse on Department of Defense contracts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: expand the categories of government officials to whom a protected communication may be made; expand the categories of waste, fraud, and abuse about which a protected communication may be made; and establish a de novo right of action in federal district court for contractor employees who have exhausted their administrative remedies under the provision.

Requirements for senior Department of Defense officials seeking employment with defense contractors (sec. 847)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 862) that would require contractors that receive defense contracts in excess of $10.0 million to report to the Department of Defense (DOD) on an annual basis on certain former senior DOD officials who receive compensation from the contractor.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require certain former senior DOD officials to obtain written opinions from the appropriate DOD ethics officials before accepting compensation from DOD contractors.

The conferees encourage covered DOD officials to request the required written opinion from an ethics counselor regarding post-employment restrictions that may apply to the official prior to leaving the Department whenever possible.

Report on contractor ethics programs of major defense contractors (sec. 848)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 863) that would require the Comptroller General to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the internal ethics programs of major defense contractors.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

In conducting the required review, the conferees direct the Comptroller General to report on the extent to which the internal ethics programs of major defense contractors include: (1) disclosure of personal financial interests and outside employment by key personnel performing work for the government; (2) conflict mitigation measures for addressing any personal conflicts of interest of employees in connection with their work on Department of Defense contracts; and (3) procedures for reporting these personal conflicts of interest and any mitigation measures to the Department of Defense.

Contingency contracting training for personnel outside the acquisition workforce and evaluations of Army Commission recommendations (sec. 849)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 865) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide for appropriate training of military personnel outside the acquisition workforce who are expected to have acquisition responsibilities during combat operations, post-conflict operations, and contingency operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army to review the recommendations of the Commission on Army Acquisition and Program Management in Expeditionary Operations and report to the congressional defense committees on steps that they have taken or plan to take to implement those recommendations. The conferees agree with the Commission's conclusion that acquisition failures in expeditionary operations urgently require a systemic fix of Army contracting and urge the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army to act on the Commission's recommendations as expeditiously as possible.

Subtitle E—Acquisition Workforce Provisions

Requirement for section on defense acquisition workforce in strategic human capital plan (sec. 851)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 802(b)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to include a section on the acquisition workforce in annual updates of the strategic human capital plan required under section 1122 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 844(h)).

The Senate recedes with an amendment clarifying the issues to be addressed in the plan.

Department of Defense acquisition workforce development fund (sec. 852)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 844) that would establish an acquisition workforce development fund to ensure that the Department of Defense (DOD) has the capacity, in both personnel and skills, needed to properly perform its mission, provide appropriate oversight of contractor performance, and provide the best value for the expenditure of public resources in DOD acquisitions. The fund would be financed through quarterly remittances by the military departments and defense agencies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to reduce the percentages on which remittances to the fund are based, if the Secretary determined that credits to the fund would otherwise exceed amounts reasonably needed for the development of the DOD acquisition workforce. The provision would establish minimum levels, below which the Secretary would not be permitted to reduce annual remittances to the fund.

The conferees note that the final report of the Commission on Army Acquisition and Program Management in Expeditionary Operations, released on October 31, 2007, found that the Army has failed to recognize the importance of the contracts requirement development process, failed to allocate resources needed for contract management, and failed to provide defined clear paths for contracting professionals. The report concluded that ''contracting, from requirements definition to contract management, is not an Army Core Competence. The Army has excellent, dedicated people, but they are understaffed, overworked, under-trained, under-supported and, most important, under-valued.''

Unfortunately, these shortcomings, which have increased the Army's vulnerability to fraud, waste, and abuse, are not limited to the Department of the Army. The Acquisition Advisory Panel chartered pursuant to section 1423 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) reported that the failure of DOD and other federal agencies to adequately fund the acquisition workforce is '''penny wise and pound foolish,' as it seriously undermines the pursuit of good value for the expenditure of public resources.'' The fund established by this provision is intended to address this problem by making the investments needed to reinvigorate the DOD acquisition workforce.

Extension of authority to fill shortage category positions for certain federal acquisition positions (sec. 853)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 815) that would amend section 1413 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) to extend the

authority of federal agencies to treat certain acquisition positions as shortage category positions.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 844(g)) that would have provided a similar extension, applicable only to the Department of Defense.

The Senate recedes.

Repeal of sunset of acquisition workforce training fund (sec. 854)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 802(a)) that would repeal the sunset of the acquisition workforce training fund established pursuant to section 37(h) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 433(h)).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Federal acquisition workforce improvements (sec. 855)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 825) that would require the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy to conduct a study of the composition, scope, and functions of the government-wide acquisition workforce and develop a comprehensive definition of, and method of measuring the size of, such workforce.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment directing the Administrator to: designate a member of the Senior Executive Service as Associate Administrator for Acquisition Workforce Programs; work with agency heads to establish acquisition training programs; develop performance standards for acquisition workforce training; ensure that agency heads collect and maintain standardized information on the acquisition workforce; work with agency heads to develop acquisition workforce human capital plans; and work with the Office of Personnel Management to encourage agencies to utilize existing personnel authorities to recruit and retain qualified acquisition personnel.

Subtitle F—Contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan

Memorandum of understanding on matters relating to contracting (sec. 861)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 831) that would require the Secretary of Defense and other relevant agency heads to sign a memorandum of understanding (MOU) regarding matters relating to contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan and prohibit the award of any new contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan after January 1, 2008, until the MOU has been signed.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment eliminating the prohibition on the award of new contracts.

Contractors performing private security functions in areas of combat operations (sec. 862)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 871) that would: (1) require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations on the selection, training, equipment, and conduct of personnel performing private security functions in an area of combat operations; and (2) ensure that contractors and subcontractors of all federal agencies are subject to such regulations and to directives issued by combatant commanders in accordance with such regulations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying that the requirements of this provision do not apply to contracts entered into by the intelligence community in support of intelligence activities.

The conferees have agreed to provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to require contractors to comply with the regulations issued under this section in areas where there is the potential for combat operations. The conferees intend that the Secretary use this authority with discretion to designate areas with a high potential for combat operations with a commensurate likelihood that private security contractors in such areas would come into regular contact with members of the armed forces.

Comptroller General reviews and reports on contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 863)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 832) that would require the Comptroller General to report to review data on contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan and report to Congress every 6 months.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 878) that would require agency heads to report to Congress on similar issues.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require reports to Congress on an annual basis, instead of every 6 months.

Definitions and other general provisions (sec. 864)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 833) that would define certain terms used in provisions on contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would add definitions of the terms ''covered contract'' and ''private security functions'' and clarify that nothing in this subtitle shall be interpreted to require the handling of classified information or information relating to intelligence sources and methods in a manner inconsistent with the requirements of applicable provisions of law, regulation, executive order, or legislative branch rules.

Subtitle G—Defense Materiel Readiness Board

Establishment of Defense Materiel Readiness Board (sec. 871)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1702) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a Defense Readiness Production Board and define the membership and functions of the Board.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a Defense Materiel Readiness Board. The Board would be required to provide independent assessments of materiel readiness, readiness shortfalls, and readiness plans to the Secretary of Defense and Congress.

Critical materiel readiness shortfalls (sec. 872)

The House bill contained a series of provisions (secs. 1705, 1706, and 1708) that would provide the Secretary of Defense with authorities to address critical materiel readiness shortfalls.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would consolidate the authorities provided to the Secretary into a single provision. The provision would authorize the Secretary to: designate certain requirements of the Department of Defense as critical materiel readiness shortfalls; ensure that relevant officials of the Department of Defense prioritize and address such shortfalls in requirements, budgets, and acquisition; authorize the transfer of up to $2.0 billion in authorizations in fiscal year 2008, subject to established procedures, to address such shortfalls; establish a Strategic Readiness Fund to address such shortfalls; and provide for the secretaries of the military departments to report whether multiyear contracts should be used to address critical material readiness shortfalls. The conferees note that nothing in this provision modifies existing statutory requirements regarding the use of multiyear contracts.

Subtitle H—Other Matters

Clearinghouse for rapid identification and dissemination of commercial information technologies (sec. 881)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 841) that would require the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration to establish a demonstration project to identify, assess, stimulate investment in, rapidly acquire, and coordinate the use of information technologies (with an emphasis on commercial off-the-shelf information technologies).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Assistant Secretary to establish a clearinghouse to identify, assess, and disseminate knowledge about commercial information technologies (with an emphasis on commercial off-the-shelf information technologies, but also including government off-the-shelf information technologies).

Authority to license certain military designations and likenesses of weapons systems to toy and hobby manufacturers (sec. 882)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 843) that would require the secretaries of the military departments to license trademarks, service marks, certification marks, and collective marks relating to military designations and likenesses of military weapons systems to toy or hobby manufacturers.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the licenses apply to trademarks owned or controlled by the Department of Defense, and give the secretaries of the military departments discretion over granting such licenses.

Modifications to limitation on contracts to acquire military flight simulator (sec. 883)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 844) that would modify the waiver standard in section 832 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), which placed limitations on the use of service contracts to acquire military flight simulators.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the applicability of section 832 to contracts that were entered into prior to October 17, 2006.

Requirements relating to waivers of certain domestic source limitations relating to specialty metals (sec. 884)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 846) that would require that any domestic non-availability determination pursuant to section 2533b(b) of title 10, United States Code, that would apply to more than one prime contract of the Department of Defense be made on the basis of a formal rulemaking process.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require that the Secretary of Defense solicit information from interested parties, including producers of specialty metal mill products, to the maximum extent practicable and in a manner consistent with

the protection of national security information and confidential business information before making a domestic non-availability determination that would apply to more than one prime contract. The provision would also require that the Secretary's determination and the rationale for the determination be made publicly available to the maximum extent practicable consistent with the protection of national security information and confidential business information.

Telephone services for military personnel serving in combat zones (sec. 885)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 848) that would require the Secretary of Defense to: (1) use competitive procedures in entering new contracts to provide morale, welfare, and recreation telephone service for personnel serving in combat zones; and (2) ensure that such contracts provide individual users the flexibility of using phone cards from multiple phone service providers.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to use competitive procedures when contracting for morale, welfare, and recreation telephone services and to review and determine whether it is in the best interest of the Department of Defense (DOD) to provide individual users the flexibility of using multiple phone service providers under such contracts.

The conferees expect the Secretary to take appropriate steps to ensure that the cost of phone cards, both to DOD and to DOD personnel, is as low as possible under both existing and future contracts. In general, contracts for morale, welfare, and recreation phone services should recognize the contractor's demonstrable and reasonable costs of access when providing individual users the flexibility of using phone cards from providers other than the contractor.

Enhanced authority to acquire products and services produced in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 886)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 872) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a preference for the acquisition of products and services in Iraq and Afghanistan for the purpose of providing a stable source of jobs and employment in Iraq and Afghanistan in cases where the preference will not have an adverse effect on U.S. military operations or the U.S. industrial base.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the use of this authority six months after the date of the enactment of this Act and every six months thereafter until the end of fiscal year 2009. Each such report should address the dollar amounts and purposes of procurements made under the authority of this section during the preceding six-month period.

Defense Science Board review of Department of Defense policies and procedures for the acquisition of information technology (sec. 887)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 873) that would require the Secretary of Defense to direct the Defense Science Board to carry out a review of Department of Defense policies and procedures for the acquisition of information technology.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Green procurement policy (sec. 888)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 876) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on plans to increase the usage of environmentally friendly products at Department of Defense facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the findings included in the Senate provision and would require the report to cover consideration of the budgetary impact of implementation of the plan.

The conferees support the efforts of the Department of Defense to purchase environmentally friendly products and urge the Department to take additional steps to increase the usage of these products, and to document and track such usage.

Comptroller General review of use of authority under the Defense Production Act of 1950 (sec. 889)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 877) that would require the Comptroller General to review the application of the Defense Production Act of 1950 over the last 5 years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify the issues to be addressed in the review.

Prevention of export control violations (sec. 890)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 810) that would provide for the debarment of any contractor or prospective contractor who has been convicted of a criminal violation of any provision of the Arms Export Control Act (section 2751 of title 22, United States Code, et seq.).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to promulgate regulations requiring Department of Defense contractors to comply with applicable export control laws and regulations, develop a contract clause enforcing such requirement, and ensure that contractors are made aware of resources available to assist in compliance with such requirements.

Procurement goal for native Hawaiian-serving institutions and Alaska native-serving institutions (sec. 891)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 807) that would clarify that the minority-serving institutions covered by the goal established in section 2323 of title 10, United States Code, includes native Hawaiian-serving institutions and Alaska native-serving institutions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Competition for procurement of small arms supplied to Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 892)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 834) that would require competition for the procurement of small arms supplied to Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1534). The conference agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Clarification of jurisdiction of the United States district courts to hear bid protest disputes involving maritime contracts

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 850) that would limit bid protests arising out of maritime contracts to the U.S. Court of Federal Claims.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Defense Production Industry Advisory Council

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1703) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a Defense Production Industry Advisory Council.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Evaluation of cost of compliance with requirement to buy certain articles from American sources

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 845) that would require that costs related to compliance with requirements related to the purchase of specialty metals from non-domestic sources be excluded from consideration in the evaluation of offers for Department of Defense contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Jurisdiction under Contract Disputes Act of 1978 over claims, disputes, and appeals arising out of maritime contracts

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 849) that would extend the coverage of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) to maritime contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Management structure for the procurement of contract services

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 842) that would authorize the military departments to establish Contract Support Acquisition Centers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Maximizing fixed-price procurement contracts

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would require the head of each executive branch agency to develop and implement a plan to maximize the use of fixed-price type contracts for the procurement of goods and services.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Prohibition on procurement from beneficiaries of foreign subsidies

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 804) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from entering into a contract with a foreign person who has received a subsidy from the government of a foreign country, if the United States has requested a consultation with that foreign country on the basis that the subsidy is prohibited under the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Prohibition on procurement from companies in violation of the Iran and Syria Nonproliferation Act

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 805) that would prohibit the use of funds for the procurement of goods or services at a prime contract or subcontract level from any source that is owned or controlled by an entity that is subject to sanctions for violations of the Iran and Syria Nonproliferation Act (Public Law 106–178).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Purpose

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1701) that would establish the purpose of the Defense Readiness Production Board.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Repeal of requirement for identification of essential military items and military system essential item breakout list

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 875) that would repeal the requirement for the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report to the congressional defense committees listing essential items, assemblies, and components of military systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on Department of Defense contracting with contractors or subcontractors employing members of the Selected Reserve

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 864) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on contracting with the Department of Defense by contractors and subcontractors who employ members of the Selected Reserve.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report to Congress

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 826) that would require the Director of the Office of Government Ethics to submit a report to Congress that contains the Director's recommendations on requiring certain government contractor employees to comply with restrictions relating to personal financial interests such as those that apply to federal employees.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report to Congress required on delays in major phases of acquisition process for major automated information system programs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 842) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress if there is a delay in meeting any deadline for a phase of the acquisition process in the case of a major automated information system program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Role of Chairman of Board in certain reporting processes

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1704) that would establish the role of the Chairman of the Defense Readiness Production Board in certain Department of Defense processes.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Special authority for use of working capital funds for critical readiness requirements

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1707) that would provide special authority for the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds from one working capital fund to another for the purpose of addressing critical readiness programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Operationally responsive space

The conferees continue to strongly support the concept of operationally responsive space (ORS) and note that the joint ORS program office was recently established with an Air Force director and a deputy director that will rotate among the services or other federal agencies. There are many opportunities for work in the ORS office and the conferees believe that the Department of Defense (DOD) decision to categorize the potential work into three tiers is a good way to begin to establish priorities for the office. Tier 1 activities focus on utilizing existing assets in new ways; Tier 2 activities focus on payload and satellite bus work to support rapid replacement, reconstitution, and quick reaction capabilities that could be delivered in days to weeks. Tier 3 activities focus on the rapid development and deployment of capabilities that are capable of delivery within months to a year. Development of low-cost launch is embedded within these tiers.

The conferees urge the ORS office, working with the U.S. Strategic Command and the Joint Staff, to develop a balanced, integrated program that will include activities in all three tiers. The conferees are concerned that the balance at present may be too heavily focused in the direction of Tier 1. In the conference report accompanying the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Conf. Rpt. 109–702), the conferees directed the Secretary of Defense to establish the ORS office ''to facilitate the development of low-cost, rapid reaction payloads, buses, space-lift, and launch control capabilities to fulfill joint military operational requirements for on-demand space support and reconstition.'' Keeping in mind this guidance, the ORS office should work to find the right niche for small, low-cost satellites and launch vehicles that will deliver the most capability for the warfighter and focus activities in a few high pay-off areas.

Space acquisition

The conferees continue to be concerned about the high costs, the requirements process, and the priorities that have been established in the space acquisition process. In many instances capability decisions are made too quickly and on the basis of a specific technology rather than as a result of evaluating a range of technologies that could be used to provide a desired capability. Programs have been terminated early to free up funding for the next-generation satellite systems, and new programs have been started with immature technologies and without clear and feasible requirements. Programs often have overly aggressive schedules, insufficient funding, and inadequate personnel resources. When one or more of these elements are combined the result has been a national security space program plagued with schedule delays, technical difficulties, and cost overruns, many of which are quite large.

While the conferees share the desire of the Department of Defense (DOD) and the military services to provide the best space capabilities to the warfighter as quickly as possible, in many instances haste, inadequate planning, and overly optimistic assumptions have in fact delayed programs, and have made space system architectures fragile and vulnerable to capability gaps. On the other hand, the conferees recognize the challenge of designing, developing, and launching satellites.

In response to these programs the DOD, the Air Force, the intelligence community, and the Navy have recently taken steps to provide sufficient funding, focus on the requirements process, and development of a sequential approach to improving capability. This back-to-basics approach is off to a good start, but more remains to be done to improve the acquisition of space systems.

The conferees believe the military and intelligence communities need to work together to identify opportunities for more joint programs that support both communities. In addition to the black-white space integration, the conferees stress the need for evolutionary acquisition strategies that leverage mature technology. The conferees support and urge the space community to carefully phase new programs and to manage scarce resources, including funding, people, and production capabilities.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

Repeal of limitation on major Department of Defense headquarters activities personnel and related report (sec. 901)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to waive the limitation in section 130a of title 10, United States Code, on the number of major Department of Defense headquarters activities personnel.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 901) that would repeal the limitation in section 130a.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to include information on military and civilian personnel assigned to major Department of Defense headquarters activities in the materials submitted to Congress by the Secretary of Defense in support of the budget request for each fiscal year.

Flexibility to adjust the number of deputy chiefs and assistant chiefs (sec. 902)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 902) that would amend sections 3035(b), 5036(a), and 5037(a) of title 10, United States Code, to provide the service secretaries with greater flexibility to determine the number of Deputy Chiefs of Staff and Assistant Chiefs of Staff or, in the case of the Navy, Deputy Chiefs of Naval Operations and Assistant Chiefs of Naval Operations, not to exceed eight total positions for each service.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 906) that would provide this flexibility to the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate recedes.

Change in eligibility requirements for appointment to Department of Defense leadership positions (sec. 903)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 903) that would amend sections 113, 132, and 134 of title 10, United States Code, to reduce from 10 years to 5 years the period of time following active duty military service before a commissioned officer of a regular component can be appointed as Secretary of Defense, Deputy Secretary of Defense, or Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would reduce from 10 years to 7 years the period of time following active duty military service before a commissioned officer of a regular component could be appointed as Secretary of Defense, Deputy Secretary of Defense, or Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

Management of the Department of Defense (sec. 904)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 906) that would require the Secretary of Defense to adopt a management structure for the Department of Defense (DOD) and to assign duties for significant management issues to a senior official of a rank not lower than Under Secretary of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 902) that would: (1) designate the Deputy Secretary of Defense as the Chief Management Officer (CMO) of the Department; (2) establish a new position of Under Secretary of Defense for Management (Deputy Chief Management Officer); (3) designate the under secretaries of the military departments as the CMOs of those departments; and (4) assign specific duties to the CMOs of DOD and the military departments.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) designate the Deputy Secretary of Defense as CMO of the Department; (2) establish a new position of Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense; and (3) require the Secretary of Defense and the secretaries of the military departments to assign duties and authorities relating to the management of the business operations for DOD and the military departments.

The conferees note that their intent in establishing a Deputy CMO for DOD is to ensure that a senior official of the Department has formal responsibility, on a full-time basis, for assisting the CMO in accomplishing his or her duties in the development, approval, integration and oversight of policies, procedures, processes, and systems for the management of the Department. In the view of the conferees, the implementation of these policies, procedures, processes, and systems should be left to other officials and components of the Department.

This section codifies the position of Deputy CMO in title 10, United States Code, at a level on the Executive Schedule equivalent with the Under Secretaries of Defense for Policy, Personnel and Readiness, Comptroller, and Intelligence. However, the conferees do not intend for the Deputy CMO to have a staff or office structure of a size equivalent to that of an Under Secretary. Rather, the Deputy CMO's primary role should be to assist the CMO in planning and oversight of activities carried out by other offices. The conferees believe strongly that the Deputy CMO's office should not be of a size that could distract from that role.

Revision in guidance relating to combatant command acquisition authority (sec. 905)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 907) that would modify existing law to eliminate the requirement that the acquisition programs of U.S. Special Operations Command support the acquisition priorities of the respective services. The House provision would also revise the consultation requirement between defense agencies and military departments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would strike the revision in the consultation requirement contained in section 907(b).

Department of Defense Board of Actuaries (sec. 906)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 908) that would consolidate the Department of Defense Retirement Board of Actuaries and the Department of Defense Education Benefits Board of Actuaries into the Department of Defense Board of Actuaries.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 904).

The House recedes.

Modification of background requirement of individuals appointed as Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (sec. 907)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 903) that would delete the requirement that a nominee for the position of Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics have extensive management background ''in the private sector.''

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that management experience in the private sector can be an extremely valuable asset for an individual serving in the position of Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics. However, the conferees conclude that other experience—including management experience in the public sector—may also be highly relevant to service in this position.

Assistant secretaries of the military departments for acquisition matters; principal military deputies (sec. 908)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 905) that would require the appointment of a three-star officer as the principal deputy to the service acquisition executive in each of the military departments. The provision would exclude the principal deputies from distribution limitations on flag and general officers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would designate the three-star officers as principal military deputies, rather than principal deputies, to the service acquisition executives. The conferees recommend this change to preserve the option for the military departments to appoint principal civilian deputies to the service acquisition executives in addition to the principal military deputies.

The provision would not address the distribution limitations on flag and general officers. This issue is addressed by a provision elsewhere in the conference report that would amend section 525 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize an increase in the number of flag or general officers in each of the military services serving in a grade above rear admiral or major general.

Sense of Congress on term of office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (sec. 909)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 907) that would express the sense of Congress that the term of office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation should be not less than five years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

SUBTITLE B—SPACE ACTIVITIES

Space Protection Strategy (sec. 911)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 911) that would set forth the policy of the United States with respect to the priority within the Nation's space programs on the protection of national security space systems. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to develop a Space Protection Strategy for four, 5-fiscal year periods beginning in fiscal year 2008, and continuing through fiscal year 2025. The first report on the strategy would be due March 15, 2008 with updated reports to be submitted biennially in every even-numbered year thereafter. The provision would also repeal section 911 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would set forth the sense of Congress that the United States should place greater priority on the protection of national security space systems, direct that the strategy be developed in conjunction with the Director of National Intelligence (DNI), and change the due date of the first report on the strategy to no later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act. The report would also be prepared in conjunction with the DNI. In addition, the amendment would clarify the elements to be included in the strategy. The report on the strategy would be submitted to the congressional defense committees and the Committees on Intelligence in the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The conferees remain concerned about the growing threat to and vulnerability of our Nation's space capabilities, highlighted by the January 11, 2007 Chinese anti-satellite test and other counterspace developments. Key protection and space situational awareness (SSA) capabilities could mitigate such vulnerabilities but continue to be underfunded. Moreover, no clear strategy exists to guide SSA investments.

Furthermore, the conferees believe that better coordination of protection and SSA technology investments must occur and that the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency should be consulted in the development of the strategy, to assist in the development of suitable protection technologies.

The committees with jurisdiction over the subject matter requested will work with the respective executive branch organizations to determine the proper recipients of all information requested by Congress. This determination will be made in accordance with House and Senate rules and with respect to proper protections of sources and methods.

Biennial report on management of space cadre within the Department of Defense (sec. 912)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 912) that would require the Secretary of Defense and each secretary of a military department to develop and use metrics to identify, track, and manage space cadre personnel within the Department of Defense (DOD) and to ensure that there are enough people with the necessary expertise, training, and experience to meet current and future national security space needs. The provision would also require a detailed report and assessment on the management of the space cadre and DOD efforts to ensure that the space cadre is appropriate to meet current and future national security space needs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees note that many of the DOD and military service members of the space cadre serve, over the course of their careers, in a variety of space positions and in a variety of defense and intelligence agencies, such as the National Reconnaissance Office and other intelligence organizations with space-related responsibilities. The conferees believe that the report and assessment should also include the requirements of these entities and the ability of the space cadre to meet the current and future needs of these entities. Therefore, the conferees urge the Secretary to coordinate and consult with the Director of National Intelligence in the preparation of this report.

Additional report on oversight of acquisition for defense space programs (sec. 913)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 922) that would extend the due date for the report on the oversight of defense space acquisition programs required by section 911 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Chemical Demilitarization Program

Chemical demilitarization citizens advisory commissions (sec. 921)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would modify the termination requirements for the chemical demilitarization citizens advisory commissions to permit the commissions to remain in existence, at the discretion of the Governor of the respective State, until either the closure of that State's

chemical agent destruction facility, or upon the request of the Governor, whichever comes first.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1431).

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress on completion of destruction of United States chemical weapons stockpile (sec. 922)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 922) that would express the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense should continue to plan for on-site disposal of chemical stockpiles located at Pueblo Chemical Depot, Colorado, and Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, and that the Department should ensure extensive consultation and notification processes between representatives of the Department and representatives of relevant States and local communities.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1433) that would express the sense of Congress that the United States must remain committed to making every effort to safely destroy its chemical weapons stockpile by the Chemical Weapons Convention deadline of April 2012, or as soon thereafter as possible, and that the Secretary of Defense should make every effort to plan for and request adequate funding to complete such destruction in accordance with U.S. obligations under the Chemical Weapons Convention. The provision would also require biannual reports describing the status of chemical weapons destruction; the options and funding required for accelerating such destruction; and the actions being taken to accelerate such destruction.

The Senate amendment also contained a provision (sec. 2406) that would, among other things, require the completion of the destruction of the U.S. chemical weapons stockpile by no later than December 31, 2017, and would require biannual reports on progress to implement this destruction deadline.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add several findings and would add two elements to the required reports: a description of the options and funding required to complete chemical stockpile destruction by December 2017; and a life cycle cost estimate for each facility included in the descriptions of accelerated funding options.

Repeal of certain qualifications requirement for director of chemical demilitarization management organization (sec. 923)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1432) that would repeal the requirement that the Army's Director of the Chemical Materials Agency must be trained in chemical warfare defense operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of termination of assistance to State and local governments after completion of the destruction of the United States chemical weapons stockpile (sec. 924)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1434) that would permit the Chemical Stockpile Emergency Preparedness Program to provide assistance to State and local governments until existing grants or cooperative agreements are completed, but not beyond 6 months after the date of completion of the destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions at each chemical demilitarization installation or facility.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle D—Intelligence-Related Matters

Technical amendments to title 10, United States Code, arising from enactment of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (sec. 931)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 932) that would make technical corrections to title 10, United States Code, to reflect enactment of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1068).

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle E—Roles and Missions Analysis

Requirement for quadrennial roles and missions review (sec. 941)

The House bill contained provisions (secs. 941–943) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a quadrennial roles and missions review, to identify core competencies of the military departments and other entities within the Department of Defense, and to review the capabilities that such departments and entities are performing or developing.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would consolidate these provisions into a single section. This provision would require the Secretary to conduct a quadrennial roles and missions review after receiving an independent military assessment of roles and missions from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Joint Requirements Oversight Council additional duties relating to core mission areas (sec. 942)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 944) that would amend section 181 of title 10, United States Code, to revise the mission of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) and address the participation of senior civilian leaders of the Department of Defense in JROC proceedings.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 841) that would address the issue of participation by senior civilian leaders in JROC proceedings.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the requirements of the two provisions.

Requirement for certification of major systems prior to technology development (sec. 943)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 945) that would require the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) to make certain certifications before the start of technology development for a major system.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring that the Milestone Decision Authority for a major defense acquisition program (MDAP) make the required certifications, after consultation with the JROC, before the program may receive Milestone A approval. The provision would also direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the Department of Defense does not commence a technology development program for a major weapon system without Milestone A approval.

Presentation of future-years mission budget by core mission area (sec. 944)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 946) that would amend section 222 of title 10, United States Code, to require that the future-years mission budget of the Department of Defense be organized by core mission area.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Department of Defense consideration of effect of climate change on department facilities, capabilities, and missions (sec. 951)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 951) that would require that the first national security strategy, national defense strategy, and quadrennial defense review prepared after the date of the enactment of this Act include consideration of the effect of climate change on Department of Defense facilities, capabilities, and missions.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 931).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the conferees' expectation that this aspect of the national security strategy and the national defense strategy, like other aspects of those strategies, will be implemented in a manner that is consistent with the national security interests of the United States.

Interagency policy coordination (sec. 952)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 952) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop and submit, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a plan to Congress to improve and reform the interagency coordination process on national security issues.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the plan required would be to improve the Department of Defense's participation and contribution to the interagency coordination process on national security issues.

The conferees urge the President to require other relevant agencies and departments to establish similar plans to improve the overall interagency process for national security, and to ensure that the Department of Defense, simply by virtue of having a plan, does not become the de facto dominant organization in the interagency coordination process.

Expansion of employment creditable under service agreements under National Security Education Program (sec. 953)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 953) that would modify the David L. Boren National Security Education Act of 1991 (50 U.S.C. 1902) to allow a recipient of support under that Act to repay that service by working in an educational area related to the discipline for which the support was provided. Such educational service would only be permitted if the Secretary of Defense were to determine that no position is available in departments, agencies, or offices covered by Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Board of Regents for the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (sec. 954)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 932) that would amend chapter 104 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to appoint the members of the Board of Regents for the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS) without a requirement for the advice and consent of the Senate, and would redesignate the Dean of USUHS as the President of USUHS.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would require the Board to meet at least once a quarter.

The conferees believe that this provision should not alter the well-established role of the Board of Regents under its charter to assist the Secretary of Defense in an advisory capacity in carrying out the Secretary's responsibility to conduct the business of

USUHS and to provide advice and recommendations to the Secretary of Defense on academic and administrative matters critical to the full accreditation and successful operation of the USUHS. The conferees value the contributions and voluntary service of the members of the Board and believe that the Board can best perform its functions in coordination with the President of USUHS and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs.

Establishment of Department of Defense School of Nursing (sec. 955)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 556) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to create several programs to address a national shortage of nursing instructors at accredited nursing schools and aid in the recruiting and retention of military nurses. The programs could include: (1) authorizing active duty military nurse officers with a graduate degree in nursing or a related field to serve as full-time faculty at an accredited civilian school of nursing in exchange for a commitment to additional service in the armed forces; (2) authorizing active duty military nurse officers with a graduate degree in nursing or a related field to serve as full-time faculty at an accredited civilian school of nursing in exchange for scholarships provided by the nursing school to military nurse officer candidates; (3) providing scholarships to retirement-eligible active duty military nurse corps officers who agree to serve not less than 2 years as a full-time faculty member of an accredited civilian school of nursing; (4) providing career placement assistance, continuing education, and stipends to retirement-eligible active duty military nurse corps officers with a doctoral or master degree in nursing or a related field who agree, in return, to serve as a full-time faculty member of an accredited school of nursing; and (5) supplemental salary payments to a retired military nurse corps officer serving as a full-time faculty member of a civilian accredited school of nursing to compensate for any difference between base pay to which the retired officer was entitled immediately before retirement and the sum of the officer's retired pay and the salary received from the nursing school.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to develop a detailed plan to establish a Department of Defense School of Nursing within the Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences (USUHS). The amendment would also authorize the Secretary to establish a school of nursing within USUHS that could lead to the award of a bachelor of science or graduate degree in nursing. The conferees note the shortage of nurses in the military, and encourage the Department to establish a Department of Defense school of nursing as soon as practicable.

The conferees direct the Secretary to conduct a study to determine whether a program to provide incentives to retired military nurse corps officers to serve as faculty at civilian nursing schools, sometimes referred to as ''Retired Troops to Nurse Teachers,'' could help to alleviate the current and projected nursing shortage in the military services. The conferees believe that an essential part of any such program should ensure that the Department would receive a tangible benefit in exchange for partially funding the program. The study should include the following elements: (1) the number of retired military nurse corps officers who have at least 20 years of active federal commissioned service who would be eligible to participate in such a program; (2) whether colleges or universities at which retired military nurse instructors would serve would award and fund at least two full scholarships per academic year to military nurse corps candidates in return for a commitment to serve in the armed forces as a military nurse corps officer; (3) for each nursing student awarded such a scholarship that fails to access into the armed forces as a military nurse, the recourse that would be available to the Department; (4) whether the retired military nurse corps officer instructor should be paid by the college or university at a comparable rate, as determined by the Secretary, to other faculty at the school based upon their skills, experience, and certification(s); (5) when the sum of the comparable salary offered to the retired military nurse corps officer instructor and the instructor's retired pay is less than the amount that the member's base pay (based on grade and time in service) would be if the member was still on active duty, should the Secretary reimburse the retired military nurse corps officer for any difference; and (6) any other relevant factors, as determined by the Secretary. The Secretary should submit a report on the findings and recommendations of this study to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 1, 2008.

Inclusion of commanders of Western Hemisphere combatant commands in Board of Visitors of Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation (sec. 956)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 935) that would authorize all combatant commanders, or their directed designees, who have responsibility in the Western Hemisphere to be members of the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation Board of Visitors.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Comptroller General assessment of reorganization of the office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy (sec. 957)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 936) that would require the Comptroller General to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than March 1, 2008, a report assessing the most recent reorganization of the office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy (USDP).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that, among other things, would require the report to be submitted by June 1, 2008.

The conferees note the concerns expressed in the report accompanying the Senate amendment (S. Rpt. 110–77). While the conferees understand that the office of the USDP plans on evaluating the reorganization in terms of stakeholder input, internal assessment, and performance metrics in February 2008, they also note that they have been made aware of other concerns, which that review may not address, including: (1) the possible absence of a dissent channel within the Department of Defense (DOD) and, in particular, the USDP's office that personnel may use to present alternative views, analyses, and policy recommendations at variance with those in place or being submitted to senior leadership for consideration; (2) the impact of the process, as conducted in November 2006 and implemented in early 2007, whereby career civil servants ''bid'' on positions within the USDP's office on overall levels of personnel morale, expertise, and effectiveness. Of specific concern are those positions that deal with policy towards Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, and other regional and functional areas critical to national security; and (3) the fact that foreign affairs specialists (i.e., GS–130 series) from those field agencies and offices associated with the office of the USDP—i.e., the Defense Security Cooperation Agency, the Defense Technology Security Administration, and the Defense Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Office—were not included in the personnel assignment bidding system, even though they are eligible to apply for vacancies in the office of the USDP. The conferees recommend that the Comptroller General also assess the impact of these issues on the overall effectiveness and efficiency of the office of the USDP.

Reports on foreign language proficiency (sec. 958)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 931) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the secretaries of the military departments to submit annual reports on the foreign language proficiencies of the military departments and the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment that, among other things, terminates the reporting requirement on December 31, 2013.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Future capability planning by Joint Requirements Oversight Council

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 947) that would require that each operational and contingency plan of the Department of Defense include an extended planning annex assessing capabilities required to perform the planned missions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The issue of whether, and how, the combatant commands should participate in long-term planning for future capabilities is addressed in a separate provision.

Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 905) that would redesignate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps and the position of the Secretary of the Navy as the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Revisions in functions and activities of special operations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would amend section 167(a) of title 10, United States Code, to modify the authorities governing U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to accurately reflect current mission requirements.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees will work with the Department of Defense and SOCOM to ensure that title 10 continues to accurately reflect the missions of SOCOM and the activities of special operations forces. In addition, the conferees remain concerned about the evolving requirements associated with the concepts of irregular and unconventional warfare, especially as they pertain to the Department's personnel management programs. The conferees support the concerns raised in House Report 110–146 calling for a full spectrum analysis on irregular warfare. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to initiate the requirements of this study and deliver the results to the congressional defense committees by June 1, 2008.

Space posture review

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 921) that would direct the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to conduct a comprehensive review of the space posture of the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the space posture review previously required was submitted.

United States Military Cancer Institute

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 933) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a United States Military Cancer Institute in the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Western Hemisphere Center for Excellence in Human Rights

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 934) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a Western Hemisphere Human Rights Center to continue and expand the work that began under U.S. Southern Command's Human Rights Initiative.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees support the U.S. Southern Command's activities on behalf of human rights in the Western Hemisphere. However, rather than authorize the creation of a new institution, the conferees prefer that the Southern Command work out an arrangement, with the Center for Hemispheric Defense Studies, which would provide for gifts and donations to be directed from the regional center to the human rights activities of Southern Command through the gift and donation authority that Congress has already provided to the regional centers.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Ship disposal

The Senate report (S. Rept. 110–77) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (S. 1547) contained direction for the Navy to maintain decommissioned LHA–1 class amphibious assault ships in a reduced operating status until such time that the active fleet could deliver 2.0 Marine Expeditionary Brigade forcible entry lift capability in response to a national emergency. Total forcible lift entry capability was to be assessed under the assumption that no less than 10 percent of the force will be unavailable due to extended duration maintenance availabilities.

The conferees agree with the intent of this provision. The conferees understand that the Navy's preferred method of meeting this intent would be to retain decommissioned LHA–1 class amphibious assault ships in a mobilization category B status.

The conferees agree to direct the Navy to: (1) maintain these ships in mobilization category B status until such time that the active fleet could deliver 2.0 Marine Expeditionary Brigade forcible entry lift capability in response to a national emergency; and (2) assess total forcible lift entry capability under the assumption that no less than 10 percent of the force will be unavailable due to extended duration maintenance availabilities.

Table of congressionally directed spending and related items

In accordance with the requirements of Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate and Rules XXI and XXIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, this joint statement of managers includes a table listing congressionally-directed spending items, congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits requested by Senators and Members, regardless whether the expenditure is directed to a particular entity or targeted to a specific State, locality, or congressional district. By including this table in the statement of managers, the conferees do not take the position that all of the items meet the definitions of an earmark or a congressionally-directed spending item in the Rules of the Senate or the House of Representatives.

The conferees direct the Department of Defense to use all applicable competitive, merit-based procedures in the awarding of any new contract, grant, or other agreement which is entered into with funds that are authorized to be appropriated by this conference report and statement of managers. No provision in the conference report or statement of managers shall be construed to direct funds to any particular location or entity unless the provision expressly so provides.

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

General transfer authority (sec. 1001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1001) that would provide for the transfer of up to $4.5 billion of funds authorized in Division A of this Act to unforeseen higher priority needs in accordance with normal reprogramming procedures.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1001) that would provide for the transfer of up to $5.0 billion in authorizations and would exempt transfers of funds between military personnel authorizations from the dollar limitation in this provision.

The House recedes.

United States contribution to NATO common-funded budgets in fiscal year 2008 (sec. 1002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would authorize the U.S. contribution to North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) common-funded budgets for fiscal year 2008, including the use of unexpended balances.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1004).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The conferees note that this provision is necessary because the resolution of ratification for the Protocol to the North Atlantic Treaty of 1949 on the Accession of Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic contained a provision (section 3(2)(c)(ii)) requiring a specific authorization for U.S. payments to the common-funded budgets of NATO for each fiscal year, beginning in fiscal year 1999, that payments exceed the fiscal year 1998 total.

Authorization of additional emergency supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 2007 (sec. 1003)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would authorize the additional supplemental appropriations for operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, and for other purposes, for fiscal year 2007 that were enacted in Public Law 110–28.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of fiscal year 2007 general transfer authority (sec. 1004)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would modify the transfer authority provided in section 1001 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) by exempting the transfer of funds previously approved by the committees in two reprogramming actions from the dollar limitation in that provision.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Financial management transformation initiative for the Defense Agencies (sec. 1005)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1005) that would require the Director of the Business Transformation Agency of the Department of Defense to carry out an initiative for financial management transformation in the Defense Agencies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) require the Director to consult with the Comptroller of the Department of Defense to ensure that the initiative is carried out in a manner that is consistent with the financial standards and requirements of the Department of Defense; and (2) provide greater specificity on the requirements for the plan on development and implementation of the initiative.

Repeal of requirement for two-year budget cycle for the Department of Defense (sec. 1006)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1006) that would repeal the requirement enacted in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1986 (Public Law 99–145) for the Department of Defense to submit a biennial budget as part of the President's budget request for even-numbered fiscal years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—Policy Relating to Vessels and Shipyards

Limitation on leasing of vessels (sec. 1011)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1011) that would prohibit the secretary of a military department from entering into a contract for lease or charter of a vessel for a term of more than 24 months. This would include all options to renew or extend the contract, if the hull or superstructure of that vessel was constructed in a foreign shipyard.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the secretary of a military department to notify the congressional defense committees 30 days prior to making a contract for lease of a vessel, or for the provision of a service through use by a contractor of a vessel, if the term of the lease is for a period of greater than 2 years. The secretary's notification is to include a description of the terms of the lease, a justification for leasing rather than purchasing a vessel for such service, a determination that the lease contract provides the most cost-effective means for obtaining such service, and a plan for meeting the requirements provided by the leased vessel upon completion of the term of the lease.

Policy relating to major combatant vessels of the strike forces of the United States Navy (sec. 1012)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would require that all new ship classes of submarines, aircraft carriers and cruisers and large escorts for carrier strike groups, expeditionary strike groups, and vessels comprising a sea base have integrated nuclear power systems. The provision would allow a single exception to the requirement: if the Secretary of Defense were to submit, with the budget request for such a vessel, a notification that the inclusion of an integrated nuclear power system is not in the national interest.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The Navy's next opportunity to apply this guidance will be the next generation cruiser, or ''CG(X)''. Under the current future-years defense program (FYDP), the Navy plans to award the construction contract for CG(X) in fiscal year 2011. Under this provision, the next cruiser would be identified as ''CGN(X)'' to designate the ship as nuclear powered. Under the Navy's normal shipbuilding schedule for the two programs that already have nuclear power systems (aircraft carriers and submarines), the Navy seeks authorization and appropriations for long lead time nuclear components for ships 2 years prior to full authorization and appropriation for construction.

The conferees recognize that the milestone decision for the Navy's CG(X) is only months away. After that milestone decision, the Navy and its contractors will begin a significant design effort, and, in that process, will be making significant tradeoff decisions and discarding major options (such as propulsion alternatives). This is the normal process for the Navy and the Department of Defense (DOD) to make choices that will lead to producing a contract design that will be the basis for awarding the construction contract for the lead ship in 2011.

In order for the Navy to live by the spirit of this guidance, the conferees agree that:

(1) the Navy would be required to proceed through the contract design phase of the program with a comprehensive effort to design a CGN(X) independent of the outcome of decisions that the Navy or the DOD will make at the next milestone decision point regarding any preferred propulsion system for the next generation cruiser;

(2) if the Navy intends to maintain the schedule in the current FYDP and award a vessel in fiscal year 2011, the Navy would need to request advance procurement for nuclear components in the fiscal year 2009 budget request; and

(3) the Navy must consider options for:

(a) maintaining the segment of the industrial base that currently produces the conventionally powered destroyer and amphibious forces of the Navy;

(b) certifying yards which comprise that segment of the industrial base to build nuclear-powered vessels; or

(c) seeking other alternatives for building non-nuclear ships in the future if the Navy is only building nuclear-powered surface combatant ships for some period of time as it builds CGN(X) vessels; and

(d) identifying sources of funds to pay for the additional near-term costs of the integrated nuclear power system, either from offsets within the Navy's budget, from elsewhere within the Department's resources, or from gaining additional funds for DOD overall.

The conferees recognize that these considerations will require significant additional near-term investment by the Navy. Some in the Navy have asserted that, despite such added investment, the Navy would not be ready to award a shipbuilding contract for a CGN(X) in fiscal year 2011 as in the current FYDP.

Section 128 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) required that the Navy include nuclear power in its Analysis of Alternatives (AOA) for the CG(X) propulsion system. The conferees are aware that the CG(X) AOA is nearing completion, in which case the Navy should have some indications of what it will require to design and construct a CGN(X) class.

Accordingly, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to submit a report to the congressional defense committees with the budget request for fiscal year 2009 providing the following information:

(1) the set of next generation cruiser characteristics, such as displacement and manning, which would be affected by the requirement for including an integrated nuclear power system;

(2) the Navy's estimate for additional costs to develop, design, and construct a CGN(X) to fill the requirement for the next generation cruiser, and the optimal phasing of those costs in order to deliver CGN(X) most affordably;

(3) the Navy's assessment of any effects on the delivery schedule for the first ship of the next generation cruiser class that would be associated with shifting the design to incorporate an integrated nuclear propulsion system, options for reducing or eliminating those schedule effects, and alternatives for meeting next generation cruiser requirements during any intervening period if the cruiser's full operational capability were delayed;

(4) the Navy's estimate for the cost associated with certifying those shipyards that currently produce conventionally powered surface combatants, to be capable of constructing and integrating a nuclear-powered combatant;

(5) any other potential effects on the Navy's 30-year shipbuilding plan as a result of implementing these factors;

(6) such other considerations that would need to be addressed in parallel with design and construction of a CGN(X) class, including any unique test and training facilities, facilities and infrastructure requirements for potential CGN(X) homeports, and environmental assessments that may require long-term coordination and planning; and

(7) an assessment of the highest risk areas associated with meeting this requirement, and the Navy's alternatives for mitigating such risk.

Subtitle C—Counter-Drug Activities

Extension of authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities (sec. 1021)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1021) that would amend section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) to extend the authority for joint task forces to provide support to law enforcement agencies conducting counterterrorism activities through fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees support the use of counternarcotics funds to concurrently conduct counterterrorist and counternarcotics activities, but urge the Department of Defense to be mindful of the original intent of the Counternarcotics Central Transfer Account, and to ensure that adequate resources continue to be available for counternarcotics activities where there is no nexis with counterterrorism. The conferees will closely scrutinize the use of this authority over the next fiscal year to determine whether it should be extended in future years.

Expansion of authority to provide additional support for counterdrug activities in certain foreign countries (sec. 1022)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would expand additional counterdrug support to the Government of Mexico and the Government of the Dominican Republic.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on counternarcotics assistance for the Government of Haiti (sec. 1023)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would require the President to submit to Congress a report on counternarcotics assistance to the Government of Haiti.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle D—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations

Provision of Air Force support and services to foreign military and state aircraft (sec. 1031)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1028) that would provide permanent authority for the Secretary of the Air Force to furnish supplies and services to foreign military and other state aircraft.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Department of Defense participation in Strategic Airlift Capability Partnership (sec. 1032)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1029) that would permit the Secretary of Defense to enter into a multilateral memorandum of understanding authorizing the Strategic Airlift Capability Partnership for the purpose of acquiring, operating, and supporting strategic airlift aircraft. The provision would also provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to transfer one strategic airlift aircraft to the Strategic Airlift Capability Partnership.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees provide this authority with the expectation that in allocating use of this shared strategic airlift capability, the Partnership will give priority to airlift support for North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) missions.

The conferees are concerned that they do not have sufficient visibility into the Department of Defense's plans for seeking these kinds of authorities in the future. If the Department is considering other similar multilateral arrangements for the acquisition or operation of aircraft under mutually beneficial relationships with foreign partners, the Department should consider, in consultation with Congress, whether it would be appropriate to develop an overarching legal structure for multilateral procurement and operations and how best to ensure appropriate transparency and congressional oversight.

Improved authority to provide rewards for assistance in combating terrorism (sec. 1033)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1043) that would amend section 127b of title 10, United States Code, to increase the size of payments allowed under the Department of Defense's combating terrorism rewards program and provide new authority for U.S. Government personnel to provide rewards through government personnel of coalition or partnered nations.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1021).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) permit the expansion of the authority to cover information provided to allied forces; and, (2) grant the authority for 2 years.

Support for non-federal development and testing of material for chemical agent defense (sec. 1034)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would authorize the Secretary of

Defense, in coordination with the heads of other elements of the Federal Government, to provide small quantities of toxic chemicals or their precursors to a State or local government, or a private entity incorporated in the United States, for development or testing of material designed to be used for defensive purposes. The provision would require that any such transfer must be consistent with the provisions of the Chemical Weapons Convention, and subject to any terms and conditions required by the Secretary.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to provide Congress with an annual report describing each use of the authority, including a description of what material was made available and to whom it was made available.

Prohibition on sale of F–14 fighter aircraft and related parts (sec. 1035)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1049) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from selling F–14 parts to any entity other than a museum or similar organization in the United States acquiring the parts to preserve aircraft for historical purposes. The provision would also prohibit the granting of an export license for any F–14 part.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1031).

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that this provision applies to F–14 aircraft, parts unique to the F–14 aircraft, and tooling or dies used in the manufacture of F–14s or F–14-unique parts.

The conferees encourage the Department of Defense to consider destroying F–14s, F–14–unique parts, and the tooling and dies used in the manufacture of F–14s, except as noted in the legislative provision. Any contract for destruction should require the reduction of these items into scrap pieces, thereby rendering the parts and materials useless for the originally intended purpose and incapable of being duplicated, copied, or reverse engineered. If the Department chooses to destroy these items, the Department could then sell the resultant scrap as appropriate.

Subtitle E—Reports

Extension and modification of report relating to hardened and deeply buried targets (sec. 1041)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would extend the reporting requirement on weapons for hardened and deeply buried targets until 2013, change the nature of the report from an annual report to a biennial report, and modify the scope of the report to cover capabilities to defeat hardened and deeply buried targets rather than just weapons. In addition, the provision would direct that each report cover activities for 4 fiscal years rather than 1 fiscal year.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would retain the requirement to focus on weapons in the report.

Report on joint modeling and simulation activities (sec. 1042)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would require a report on a national joint modeling and simulation (M&S) development strategy.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify the reporting requirements and submission date. The conferees agree to require a report that would include a description of ongoing and planned joint M&S activities and a description of how they support defense missions, strategies, and goals; a description of the M&S capabilities of defense organizations and how they will be modernized or divested over time as appropriate; a description of how non-defense organizations can utilize joint M&S capabilities; budget and resource estimates for the M&S capabilities; and a description of coordination activities between the Department of Defense's M&S activities and other federal, non-federal, and private sector M&S activities.

Further, the conferees note the promise of M&S to enhance urban operations capabilities. The conferees believe that it is important for the Department to study and understand the effect of warfare and natural disasters on urban environments and to develop M&S capabilities to support consequence management missions in complex urban environments.

Renewal of submittal of plans for prompt global strike capability (sec. 1043)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would amend section 1032(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) to extend the due dates for the annual report on prompt global strike capability through 2009.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the report required by section 1032 is a broad report covering both global and long-range strike plans as well as prompt global strike.

Report on workforce required to support the nuclear missions of the Navy and the Department of Energy (sec. 1044)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy to each submit to Congress a report on the requirements for a workforce to support the nuclear missions of the Navy and the Department of Energy for a 10-year period beginning on the date of the report. The report would be due 1 year from the date of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Comptroller General report on Defense Finance and Accounting Service response to Butterbaugh v. Department of Justice (sec. 1045)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1046) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees assessing the response of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service to the 2003 decision in the case of Butterbaugh v. Department of Justice, 336 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The House recedes.

Study on size and mix of airlift force (sec. 1046)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1049) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the size and mix of various assets for the Air Force intertheater airlift force, with a particular focus on current and planned capabilities and costs of the C–5 aircraft and the C–17 aircraft fleets.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would broaden the review to cover a review of alternatives on the size and mix of intertheater and intratheater airlift assets to meet the national military strategy. The provision would require that the study also evaluate the contribution of both organic and commercial assets, the latter coming primarily from the Civil Reserve Airlift Fleet.

Within the analysis of the optimal mix of the C–5 and C–17 aircraft for the strategic airlift, the conferees expect that the report will:

(1) Provide a thorough review of an internal Air Force briefing that posited a retirement of 30 C–5A aircraft, and a purchase of 30 more C–17 aircraft, sometimes called the ''30/30 Plan.''

(2) Include a full range of options for making C–5 upgrades and buying additional C–17 aircraft to include doing both (upgrading all C–5s and purchasing more C–17s) and doing neither (upgrading none of the C–5s and buying no more C–17s).

Report on feasibility of establishing a domestic military aviation national training center (sec. 1047)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1096) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report to determine the feasibility of establishing a Border State Aviation Training Center to support the current and future requirements of the existing RC–26 training site for counterdrug activities located at the Fixed Wing Army National Guard Aviation Training Site.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to assess the training requirements associated with a multitude of Guard and reserve missions.

Limited field user evaluations for combat helmet pad suspension systems (sec. 1048)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 234) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a test and evaluation of combat helmet pad suspension systems that meet current military specifications by a certified and qualified independent laboratory as well as an operational user assessment of the qualified pad suspension systems that would consider key parameters of form, fit, function, cost, schedule, performance, and vendor production capacity.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the use of funds from the Operation and Maintenance, Army appropriation and would change the required test to a limited field user evaluation that compares form, fit, and function among the several pad suspension systems that are already qualified as part of combat helmet procurement for the military services.

The conferees note that the Army and Marine Corps have recently increased the technical performance standards of the combat helmet system to improve protection against blunt trauma and non-ballistic impacts in order to further reduce risk of traumatic brain injury. Pad suspension systems are a critical feature of the combat helmet related to this protection. The conferees also note anecdotal evidence that pad suspension systems vary with respect to comfort and function while worn that could influence service members' wearing habits. A limited field user evaluation would provide the Army and Marine Corps with valuable feedback on the different pad systems' relative advantages and disadvantages beyond their technical performance specifications and capability.

Study on national security interagency system (sec. 1049)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 954) that would authorize the Secretary of

Defense to enter into an agreement with an independent, nonpartisan, nonprofit organization to conduct a study on the national security interagency system.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1043) that would require the Secretary to enter into an agreement for such a study.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into an agreement for the study within 30 days of enactment of this Act, eliminate the requirement that the organization conducting the study secure matching funds from private sources, and set a reporting deadline of September 1, 2008.

The conferees believe that the interagency coordination and integration of the United States Government for the training for, planning of, support for, and execution of overseas post-conflict contingency relief and reconstruction operations requires reform and that recent operations, most notably in Iraq, lacked the necessary consistent and effective interagency coordination and integration in planning and execution. As a result, the conferees note that the study conducted under the authority of this section should include, but not be limited to, the following elements: a synthesis of past studies evaluating the successes and failures of previous interagency efforts at training for, planning, and executing post-conflict contingency relief and reconstruction operations, including relief and reconstruction operations in Iraq; an analysis of the division of authorities, duties, responsibilities, functions, and resources among executive branch agencies for such operations and recommendations for administrative and regulatory changes to enhance integration to include planning capabilities, personnel policies and systems, information-sharing policies and systems, and acquisition authorities; recommendations for legislation that would improve interagency cooperation and integration and the efficiency of the United States Government in the planning and execution of such operations; and recommendations for improvements in congressional, executive, and other oversight structures and procedures that would enhance accountability within such operations.

Report on solid rocket motor industrial base (sec. 1050)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1086) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the status, viability, and capacity of the solid rocket motor industrial base. The provision would also direct the Comptroller General of the United States to assess the report and set forth the Comptroller General's assessment of the matters contained in the report.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the requirement for the Comptroller General to assess the matters in the report submitted by the Secretary of Defense and make clarifications in elements of the reporting requirement.

The conferees believe that the congressional defense committees should first receive and review the report submitted by the Secretary of Defense and make a determination at that time if there is a need for the Comptroller to review the report.

Reports on establishment of a memorial for members of the Armed Forces who died in the air crash in Bakers Creek, Australia, and establishment of other memorials in Arlington National Cemetery (sec. 1051)

The House bill included a provision (sec. 1055) that would express the sense of Congress that an appropriate site in Arlington National Cemetery (ANC) should be provided for a memorial marker to honor the memory of the 40 members of the Armed Forces of the United States who lost their lives in the air crash at Bakers Creek, Australia, on June 14, 1943.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Army, not later than April 1, 2008, to submit a report on locations outside of ANC that would serve as a suitable site for the establishment of a memorial to these service members. The amendment would also require the Secretary of the Army to provide a report and, if needed, proposed legislation by April 1, 2008, that would implement the Secretary's recommendations regarding the construction of new memorials or monuments at ANC.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Reimbursement for National Guard support provided to federal agencies (sec. 1061)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would amend chapter 1 of title 32, United States Code, to authorize the Governor of a State to employ units or members of the National Guard of that State to provide defense support of civil authorities when requested by a federal department or agency and authorized by the Secretary of Defense, and would require the Department of Defense to be reimbursed for costs incurred unless waived by the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 352) that would amend section 377 of title 10, United States Code, to require federal agencies that receive law enforcement support or support to a national special security event provided by National Guard personnel under section 502(f) of title 32, United States Code, to reimburse the Department of Defense for the costs of that support.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to waive the requirement for reimbursement if the support is provided in the normal course of military training or operations or results in a benefit substantially equivalent to the benefit that would otherwise be obtained from military operations or training.

Congressional commission on the strategic posture of the United States (sec. 1062)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1046) that would establish a 12 member congressional commission on the strategic posture of the United States to examine and make recommendations with respect to the long-term strategic posture of the United States. The review and assessment to be conducted by the commission would include a threat assessment, a detailed review of nuclear weapons policy and strategy of the United States, and recommendations as to the most appropriate strategic posture and most effective nuclear weapons strategy. The commission's report would be due to Congress and the Executive Branch no later than December 1, 2008. The term of the commission would expire on June 1, 2009. In addition, the provision would repeal section 1051 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the vice chairman of the commission would be jointly appointed by the ranking minority members of the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate. In addition, the amendment would clarify that the commission should look at a non-nuclear alternatives to nuclear weapons and systems in making recommendations with respect to the most appropriate strategic posture and most effective nuclear weapons policies of the United States.

The conferees urge the commission to look at the strategic posture of the United States in the broadest sense. Strategic policy and posture is not synonymous with nuclear policy. Conventional force structures, as well as nuclear force structures, must be included in the overall review and assessment of the strategic posture of the United States.

In addition, the conferees believe that many of the nuclear missions of the United States could be served by non-nuclear, conventional systems. In their examination of the strategic posture of the United States, the conferees expect the commission to look not only at nuclear capabilities, but at the full array of non-nuclear capabilities, including kinetic and non-kinetic capabilities.

The conferees have included a separate provision addressing the repeal of section 1051 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 elsewhere in this Act.

Technical and clerical amendments (sec. 1063)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1047) that would make technical and clerical amendments to various provisions of law.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would make additional technical and clerical amendments.

Repeal of certification requirement (sec. 1064)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1048) that would repeal the certification requirement regarding an airport in Illinois contained in section 1063 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Maintenance of capability for space-based nuclear detection (sec. 1065)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1050) that would require the Secretary of Defense to maintain the capability for space-based nuclear detection at a level that meets or exceeds the current level of capability.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress regarding detainees at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1066)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1053) that would express the sense of Congress that: (1) the Nation extends its gratitude to the military personnel at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba; (2) the international community should work with the Department of Defense to facilitate and expedite the repatriation of detainees at Guantanamo; (3) Guantanamo detainees, to the maximum extent possible, should be charged and expeditiously prosecuted; and (4) operations at Guantanamo should be conducted in a way that upholds the U.S. national interest and the American people's core values.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

A report on transferring individuals detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1067)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1057) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the Secretary's plans for each detainee currently held by the Joint Task

Force Guantanamo at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Repeal of provisions in section 1076 of Public Law 109–364 relating to use of Armed Forces in major public emergencies (sec. 1068)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1054) that would repeal section 1076 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) and revive the provisions of sections 333 and 12304(c) of title 10, United States Code, as they were in effect prior to the effective date of that Act, and repeal section 2567 of title 10.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1022).

The Senate recedes.

Standards required for entry to military installations in United States (sec. 1069)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1056) that would prohibit any unescorted civilian from entering a military installation or facility unless a background investigation has been conducted on such individual.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish standards for access to military installations, including screening standards appropriate to the type of installation, security level, category of individual seeking access, and level of access granted.

The conferees recognize that commanders of military installations must balance security concerns with the need to maintain rapid access to the installations for Department of Defense personnel, employees, and other authorized visitors, including commercial vendors. While commanders are in the best position to assess and respond to local threat conditions, the conferees believe that the Secretary should establish standards to assist military commanders in taking such actions.

The conferees believe the Secretary should work toward fielding Department-wide technologies that will allow base commanders to check installation visitors against an updated database containing relevant information provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the terrorist watch list, and other pertinent law enforcement records. The conferees understand that technology has been developed and fielded at several military installations that will identify individuals with outstanding warrants for their arrest, felony convictions, and similar issues. Further, the conferees understand that available commercial technology may be able to perform instant background checks in fewer than 10 seconds per individual, be deployed at multiple military entry control points, and record entry information into an electronic log. The conferees direct the Secretary to give full consideration to the availability of such technologies in developing standards under this provision.

The conferees believe that base security is a government responsibility and that, for that reason, identity checks should be carried out at no cost to vendors or other visitors to military installations.

Revised nuclear posture review (sec. 1070)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive review of the nuclear posture of the United States for the next 5 to 10 years. The Secretary shall conduct the review in consultation with the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of State. The review would be submitted concurrently with the quadrennial defense review required to be submitted under section 118 of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Termination of Commission on the Implementation of the New Strategic Posture of the United States (sec. 1071)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1062) that would repeal section 1051 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), (2006 NDAA), which established a Commission on the Implementation of the New Strategic Posture of the United States.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1046) that would establish a congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States. Section 1046(h) would also repeal section 1051 of the 2006 NDAA. Section 1062 in the Senate amendment and section 1046(h) of the House bill are identical.

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Security clearances; limitations (sec. 1072)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would: (1) repeal section 986 of title 10, United States Code, which establishes mandatory standards for the disqualification of individuals from the issuance of security clearances; (2) substitute a new government-wide provision establishing standards for such disqualifications; and (3) increase the flexibility available to executive branch officials in administering these standards.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide agency heads the same flexibility with regard to individuals who are determined to be mentally incompetent that the Senate bill would provide with regard to individuals who have been convicted of crimes or discharged from the Armed Forces under dishonorable conditions. This change should address concerns about the potential disqualification of disabled individuals working for the Federal Government under the Javits Wagner O'Day (JWOD) Act, section 48 of title 41, United States Code.

The conferees understand that under current law and Department of Defense practice, if an individual who posesses a security clearance cannot meet the statutory criteria, the security clearance is revoked pending a waiver decision. Unless a position can be identified that does not require a security clearance during the waiver process, the individual cannot work and is separated.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense and other agency heads to implement the new provision in a manner that does not result in the unnecessary loss of employment to individuals during the waiver process. A current employee who has received a clearance, and may even have undergone subsequent reinvestigation and renewal, should not be separated simply because he or she must now undergo a waiver process. Such uninterrupted employment may be particularly important in the case of disabled individuals working for the Federal Government under the JWOD Act. The conferees agree that the Department of Defense has a commendable track record of employing the disabled and that this provision should not be applied in a manner that would undermine that record.

Improvements in the process for the issuance of security clearances (sec. 1073)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1065) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to conduct a demonstration project using new and innovative approaches to improve the processing of requests for security clearances.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees are encouraged that the Secretary and the Director have designated the United States Air Force to lead a task force that will review initiatives to develop a process to deliver high-assurance security clearance determinations in a shorter period of time. The Air Force expects to have a new system in place by December 31, 2008. The conferees note that improving the clearance process is critical to our national security.

Protection of certain individuals (sec. 1074)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1075) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide physical protection and personal security within the United States to certain persons.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would modify the application of the provision to former or retired officials of the Department of Defense and foreign visitors to the United States, require the Secretary to provide additional information to the congressional defense committees, and make additional clarifying changes.

Modification of authorities on Commission to Assess the Threat to the United States from Electromagnetic Pulse Attack (sec. 1075)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1076) that would extend the due date of the final report of the Commission to Assess the Threat to the United States from Electromagnetic Pulse Attack (EMP) to November 30, 2008. The provision would also direct the Commission and the Secretary of Homeland Security to ensure that the work of the Commission with respect to EMP attacks on electricity infrastructure is coordinated with the infrastructure protection work of the Department of Homeland Security. The provision would also provide that the amount of funds provided to the Commission to prepare and submit the final report shall not exceed $5.6 million.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the $5.6 million is in addition to funding previously provided to the Commission. This is the second deadline extension granted to the Commission for delivery of a final report. The conferees urge the Commission to submit its final report by the November 30, 2008 deadline.

Sense of Congress on Small Business Innovation Research program (sec. 1076)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1088) that would reauthorize the Small Business Innovation Research program for an additional 2 years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would eliminate the reauthorization of the program, and include a sense of Congress expressing views on the value of the program to the Department of Defense and on the need to reauthorize the program to ensure its seamless execution.

Revision of proficiency flying definition (sec. 1077)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would modify the definition of proficiency flying within the Department of Defense (DOD).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees do not intend this language to prohibit the Department from cancelling outdated guidance on flying proficiency and its related elements for participating rated personnel, and believe the Department should proceed with cancelling DOD Directive 1340.4, dated July 17, 1972.

Qualifications for public aircraft status of aircraft under contract with the Armed Forces (sec. 1078)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1070) that would provide the Secretary of Defense the flexibility to determine whether an operational support mission can be conducted as a civil operation in compliance with the Federal Aviation Regulations. The Secretary of Defense would have the authority to determine whether a chartered aircraft performing operational support missions is performing a civil or public aircraft operation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would further clarify the definition of ''public aircraft,'' such that the term 'other commercial air service' would be limited to an aircraft operation that:

(1) is within the United States territorial airspace;

(2) the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration determines is available for compensation or hire to the public; and

(3) must comply with all applicable civil aircraft rules under title 14, Code of Federal Regulations.

Communications with the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives (sec. 1079)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1063) that would require that offices within the intelligence community respond to requests by the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives for intelligence assessments, reports, estimates, legal opinions, or other information within 15 days, unless the President were to certify that he was asserting privilege pursuant to the Constitution of the United States. The provision would also require that intelligence officials be able to provide testimony before these committees without having to seek approval or clearance of such testimony as a way of ensuring that Congress receives the independent views of such officials.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make several changes:

(1) The provision would exclude ''other information'' to make it clear that the requests would be for existing assessments, reports, estimates, or legal opinions;

(2) The provision would require that the request be in writing from the Chair or Ranking Member of the committee;

(3) The time limit for providing the information would be extended to 45 days;

(4) Any decision of the President to assert privilege would have to be conveyed to Congress in writing by the Counsel to the President; and

(5) The requirements regarding review of testimony would be deleted.

Retention of reimbursement for provision of reciprocal fire protection services (sec. 1080)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1090) that would permit Department of Defense entities that provide fire protection services to local entities to retain the proceeds of any reimbursement for such services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force (sec. 1081)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1094) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of utilizing commercial fee-for-service air refueling tanker aircraft for Air Force operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the pilot program, but would not mandate the scope or scale of the program and would add an annual reporting requirement by the Air Force, along with reviews by the Comptroller General.

The conferees support the timely modernization of the Air Force aerial refueling tanker fleet. In furtherance of this, the Secretary of the Air Force initiated, and Congress approves of, a comprehensive strategy for replacing the aerial refueling tanker aircraft fleet, which includes the following elements:

(1) replacement of the aging tanker aircraft fleet with newer and improved capabilities under the KC–X program of record which supports the tanker replacement strategy, through the purchase of new commercial derivative aircraft;

(2) sustainment and extension of the legacy tanker aircraft fleet until replacement through depot-type modifications and upgrades of KC–135R and KC–10 aircraft; and

(3) augmentation of the aerial refueling capability through aerial refueling fee-for-service.

The conferees note that several studies have been conducted that indicate a potential for cost savings and other benefits of a fee-for-service air refueling program. Executing a pilot program for fee-for-service air refueling should be given full and fair consideration in order to test the costs, benefits, and appropriateness of such actions. To ensure the viability of such a program, it should be based on an appropriate business model, utilizing sufficient aircraft and flying hours to support a program that will meet the needs and best interests of the Air Force to meet air refueling requirements. The conferees direct that the pilot program be enacted as soon as practicable, and be incorporated into the operations of the Air Mobility Command.

Advisory panel on Department of Defense capabilities for support of civil authorities after certain incidents (sec. 1082)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1066) that would establish an advisory panel to assess and make recommendations on Department of Defense capabilities to support civil authorities in the event of a chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high-yield explosive incident.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add a requirement for the advisory panel to assess and make recommendations on whether there should be additional Weapons of Mass Destruction Civil Support Teams (WMD–CSTs) and, if so, how many and where they should be located. It would also require the advisory panel to assess and make recommendations on what criteria and considerations are appropriate for determining whether additional WMD–CSTs are needed and, if so, where they should be located.

Terrorism exception to immunity (sec. 1083)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1087) that would amend the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (FSIA) to allow victims of terrorism to seek redress in U.S. courts against foreign states that commit or provide material support to acts of terrorism, by clarifying subject matter jurisdiction over these claims and establishing a private cause of action under the exception for state sponsors of terrorism to sovereign immunity.

The provision would consolidate provisions relating to the exception to sovereign immunity for state sponsors of terrorism in a new section 1605A to the FSIA, and repeal the previous exception set out in section 1605(a)(7). The provision would permit claims to be brought for money damages, including punitive damages, against a foreign state designated as a state sponsor of terrorism, for acts of torture, extrajudicial killing, aircraft sabotage, hostage taking, or providing material support or resources for these acts, committed by any official, employee, or agent of that state acting within the scope of his or her office, employment, or agency. The provision would also expand the ability of claimants to seek recourse against the property of that foreign state, both by permitting a lien to be placed on the foreign state's property during litigation and, once a judgment has been obtained, by permitting any property in which the foreign state has a beneficial ownership to be subject to execution of that judgment. The provision would allow any case previously brought under the state sponsor of terrorism exception to the FSIA under section 1605(a)(7), or under section 101(c) of Public Law 104–208, and which is still before a court, to be refiled as if the original claim had been filed under the provisions of this section.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would establish a private cause of action under the state sponsor of terrorism exception to the FSIA. Courts would have jurisdiction to hear a claim brought against a foreign state that was designated as a state sponsor of terrorism at the time of the terrorist act, or was so designated as a result of the act, and which remains designated as a state sponsor of terrorism at the time a claim is filed. Claims brought prior to the enactment of this Act against a foreign state that at the time was designated as a state sponsor of terrorism, or an action related to such a claim, would still be heard under this section. The conferees intend that the amendments made under this section shall apply to any claim filed or refiled under the new section 1605A of the FSIA, and any execution or attachment in aid of execution of a judgment relating to such a claim under section 1610(g) of the FSIA.

The provision would also provide for courts to hear a claim under this section if the terrorist act is related to Case Number 1:00CV03110 (EGS) in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The conferees intend that nothing in this section would prejudice the claimants or their representatives in that case.

The provision would allow claimants to establish a lien of lis pendens, upon the filing of a notice that an action is pending, on a foreign state's real property or tangible personal property that is subject to execution or attachment in aid of execution under the FSIA. The conferees intend that property used for purposes of maintaining a diplomatic or consular mission or the residence of the Chief of Mission, which is not subject to execution or attachment in aid of execution of a judgment, should not be subject to a lien of lis pendens under this provision.

The provision would also give claimants who obtain a judgment against a foreign state recourse to property of the foreign state in execution or attachment in aid of

execution of the judgment. While the provision is written to subject any property interest in which the foreign state enjoys a beneficial ownership to attachment and execution, the provision would not supersede the court's authority to appropriately prevent impairment of interests in property held by other persons who are not liable to the claimants in connection with the terrorist act. The court would fully retain its authority to take whatever steps it finds warranted to preserve the value of an ongoing business enterprise in which a third party may be a joint venture partner, for example. The conferees encourage the courts to protect the property interests of such innocent third parties by using their inherent authority, on a case-by-case basis, under the applicable procedures governing execution on judgment and attachment in anticipation of judgment.

The provision would further provide that a foreign state's property would not be immune from execution upon a judgment due to the property being regulated by the United States Government under the Trading With the Enemy Act or the International Emergency Economic Powers Act due to the sovereign immunity of the United States.

The provision would clarify that nothing in section 1503 of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2003 (Public Law 108–11) has ever authorized making any provision of the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act inapplicable, or the removal of the jurisdiction of any court of the United States. The conferees stress that this provision should not be construed in any way as support for the use of United States appropriated funds to satisfy a claim brought under this section.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Hate crimes

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1023) that would address hate crimes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Comprehensive study and support for criminal investigations and prosecutions by State and local law enforcement officials

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1024) that would require a comprehensive study and support for certain criminal investigations and prosecutions by State and local law enforcement officials.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of period for transfer of funds to Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense account

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1007) that would extend from 2 to 4 fiscal years the length of time after the end of the period of availability of obligation in which funds can be transferred back to the ''Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense'' (FCFD) appropriation account to offset losses caused by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Minimum annual purchase amounts for airlift from carriers participating in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1027) that would allow the Department of Defense to guarantee higher minimum levels of business for all air carriers participating in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF) program of up to 80 percent of the average annual expenditure of the Department of Defense for airlift during the preceding 5 years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The voluntary agreements between the U.S. Government and the commercial air carriers in the CRAF program provide the Nation with a large reserve of airlift capacity to move troops and cargo within a few hours of activation. The conferees recognize the importance of the CRAF program to the national military strategy since the overall airlift capability of the Department of Defense depends on a significant contribution by the CRAF program.

Therefore, the conferees fully support the CRAF program. The conferees also recognize that there may be problems for CRAF participants when airlift demands shift from wartime to peacetime levels. However, the conferees agree that, before establishing any type of assured business model, a full assessment of the CRAF program should be performed. The conferees have adopted a provision in title III of this Act directing such an assessment. The conferees expect that the Department's assessment will provide the analysis necessary to guide any changes to current relationships with the CRAF participants.

Comptroller General review of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would require the Comptroller General to conduct a review of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO)

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees direct the Comptroller General to provide the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, with an assessment of the JIEDDO to include the following items: (1) the tools and processes in place to enable the Organization to determine the appropriateness and efficacy of its efforts to achieve its mission, including strategy, plans, technologies developed, and programs funded; (2) the process used by the Organization to select appropriate and effective technologies and other solutions to achieve its mission; (3) the ability of the Organization to respond to rapidly changing threats and to anticipate future threats; (4) the performance of the Organization in leading, advocating, and coordinating all of the activities of the Department of Defense to defeat improvised explosive devices and an assessment of the Organization's authority to do so; (5) the efforts of the Organization to target enemy networks and how the Organization is leveraging and coordinating such efforts with the efforts of other elements of the Department and other elements of the United States Government; (6) the feedback from the warfighter with respect to the efforts of the Organization; (7) the oversight and direction over the activities of the Organization by the Office of the Secretary of Defense; and (8) other matters as appropriate.

The conferees remain concerned about the effectiveness of JIEDDO and its ability to effectively coordinate the Department's and intelligence community's response to the improvised explosive device and asymmetric threats faced by the warfighter in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Commercial aviation technologies

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1035) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study to examine the methods by which air carriers and aviation technology companies research, develop, and deploy commercial aviation technologies.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the Department of Defense provides substantial funding for independent research and development conducted by major aerospace contractors. Contractors leverage this investment to advance aviation technology that is useful for both commercial and defense purposes. In addition, the Department routinely leverages its science and technology budget to take advantage of promising technologies developed in the commercial sector. This effort is furthered by the National Aeronautics Research and Development policy, issued in December 2006, which provides improved coordination for aeronautics research and development across the Federal Government. The conferees applaud these efforts and encourage the Department to continue to seek opportunities to improve synergy in the development of military and commercial aviation technologies.

Review of Department of Defense procedures to classify excess defense articles and defense services with military technology components

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1036) that would require the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to conduct a review of, and report on: (1) the procedures by which the Department of Defense classifies defense articles and defense services with military technology components as excess to the needs of the Department; and, (2) the extent to which any of the classification procedures led to the transfer of defense article or services with military technology components to terrorists or unfriendly states or groups.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees urge the Department to take all measures necessary to ensure adequate controls over surplus defense materials.

Additional Weapons of Mass Destruction Civil Support Teams

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would authorize two additional Weapons of Mass Destruction Civil Support Teams.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Study and report on use of power management software

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1058) that would require a report on the use of power management software.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees recommend that the Secretary of Defense undertake an analysis of the cost and environmental benefits of adopting energy efficient information technologies and computing practices, including, but not limited to, energy-efficient data centers, servers and workstations; power management software for computers and monitors; and telecommuting for appropriate personnel. The conferees further recommend that the Secretary of Defense keep Congress and the public aware of progress to achieve these potential energy savings and environmental benefits and of opportunities for industry and academia to support efforts in this area.

Establishment of National Foreign Language Coordination Council

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1069) that would establish a National Foreign Language Coordination Council to develop and monitor the implementation of a comprehensive national foreign language strategy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the President to report to the conferees, no later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, on the extent to which the executive branch has developed a strategy for developing foreign language capability in the United States, and a plan for implementing it. The report should also contain a description of the goals and achievements of the National Security Language Initiative, and indicate what additional steps, if any, the President intends to take to address the need for greater foreign language capability in the United States. The conferees expect that the report will include a description of any additional authorities that the executive branch would require from Congress in order to implement future plans.

Grant of federal charter to Korean War Veterans Association, Incorporated

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1078) that would amend part B of subtitle II of title 36, United States Code, to grant a federal charter to the Korean War Veterans Association, Incorporated.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Senate on General David Petraeus

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1079) expressing that it is the sense of the Senate to reaffirm its support for all the men and women of the United States armed forces, including General David H. Petraeus, Commanding General, Multi-National Force—Iraq; to strongly condemn any effort to attack the honor and integrity of General Petraeus and all the members of the United States armed forces; and to specifically repudiate the unwarranted personal attack on General Petraeus by the liberal activist group Moveon.org.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that a similar provision was contained in House Joint Resolution 52, making continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2008, which was subsequently passed by the Senate without amendment and signed into law by the President as Public Law 110–92 on September 29, 2007.

Sense of Congress on equipment for the National Guard to defend the homeland

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1081) that would express the sense of Congress that the National Guard should have sufficient equipment available to accomplish their missions inside the United States and defend the homeland.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees remain concerned that the overall readiness of the National Guard to respond to domestic emergencies has been undermined by equipment shortages resulting from inadequate funding and extended commitments to operations in Iraq and Afghanistan. Equipment shortages and poor existing equipment readiness increases the risk for States that Guard units will not have the necessary equipment on-hand and fully operational to meet the mission requirements of homeland defense, domestic support, crisis response, and consequence management. The conferees are aware that the Army National Guard has only 40 percent of its required equipment in the United States; and, that the Chief, National Guard Bureau has submitted to Congress a fiscal year 2008 unfunded equipment list of $2.0 billion.

The conferees recommend elsewhere in this report provisions that would authorize appropriation of an additional $980.0 million for the procurement of high-priority equipment to address National Guard and reserve component unfunded shortfalls. These additional funds would procure items particularly relevant and necessary to the National Guard's domestic support missions, such as aircraft, wheeled and tracked combat vehicles, tactical wheeled vehicles, communications equipment, ammunition, and other weapons.

The conferees also expect that the Secretary of Defense will take the actions necessary, including determination of requirements and prioritization of equipment repair, procurement, and fielding, to improve National Guard readiness for its domestic support missions and reduce risks to public safety within the United States.

Sense of the Senate on Air Force use of towbarless aircraft ground equipment

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1083) that would express the sense of Senate encouraging the Air Force to consider towbarless ground support equipment for towing aircraft.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note the potential operational utility, cost savings, and increased safety afforded by the utilization of towbarless aircraft ground equipment, and encourage the Air Force to consider their use.

Designation of Charlie Norwood Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1084) that would designate the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in Augusta, Georgia as the ''Charlie Norwood Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center''.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that separate legislation making this designation was signed into law (Public Law 110–112) on November 8, 2007.

Commercialization pilot program

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1085) that would extend the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program commercialization pilot program that was originally established by section 252 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish insertion incentives for SBIR technologies; and would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish goals for the insertion of SBIR technologies into programs of record or fielded systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

National center for human performance

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1091) that would designate a scientific institute at the Texas Medical Center as the National Center for Human Performance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Veteran small business

The Senate amendment contained a division (Division D) that would provide for the Small Business Administration to provide assistance to military reservist and veteran small business.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

Extension of authority to waive annual limitation on total compensation paid to federal civilian employees working overseas under areas of United States Central Command (sec. 1101)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would authorize the head of an executive agency to waive limitations on total compensation to an employee who performs certain work while in an overseas location within the area of responsibility of the United States Central Command. The total compensation would be limited to $212,100 per calendar year.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Continuation of life insurance coverage for federal employees called to active duty (sec. 1102)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would authorize federal civilian employees who are members of a reserve component of the armed forces called or ordered to active duty to continue coverage under Federal Employees Group Life Insurance for a period not to exceed 24 months.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that an eligible employee who elects to continue this life insurance coverage would be responsible for the premium payments after the initial 12 months of coverage.

Transportation of dependents, household effects, and personal property to former home following death of federal employee where death resulted from disease or injury incurred in the Central Command area of responsibility (sec. 1103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1109) that would allow the dependents of a federal civilian employee who dies while on deployment in a combat zone to be relocated to their home of record at the government's expense, whether the dependents are living overseas or in the continental United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would narrow the scope to cover dependents of a federal civilian employee who dies while performing duties within the area of responsibility of the Commander of the United States Central Command. The amendment would also clarify that the provision would apply to an employee who was a party to a mandatory mobility agreement that was in effect when the employee died.

Special benefits for civilian employees assigned on deployment temporary change of station (sec. 1104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would authorize the head of an agency to provide quarters, rations, and storage of a personal motor vehicle without charge to a civilian employee of an executive agency of a military department who is assigned on a temporary change of station in support of a contingency operation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the time period during which a personal motor vehicle may be stored cannot exceed the period of the employee's temporary assignment.

Death gratuity authorized for federal employees (sec. 1105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would require the United States to

pay a death gratuity of $100,000 to civilian employees of the Department of Defense who died as a result of wounds, injuries, or illness while on duty in a combat zone or from a terrorist incident.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the payment of the death gratuity in the amount of up to $100,000, and would limit the condition of receipt of this gratuity to duty in a contingency operation. In addition, the amendment would require that the death gratuity would be offset by any amount received from any other federally provided death gratuity.

Modifications to the National Security Personnel System (sec. 1106)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would modify the authority of the Secretary of Defense to establish a National Security Personnel System (NSPS) pursuant to section 9902 of title 5, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained several provisions (secs. 684, 1074, and 1104) that would make similar changes to NSPS.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would restore the collective bargaining and appeal rights of employees of the Department of Defense, while preserving the ability of the Department to implement a pay-for-performance system. The provision would prohibit the Secretary from adding more than 100,000 civilian employees to the system in any calendar year and require periodic reviews by the Comptroller General during the implementation period. The phased implementation and regular reviews should ensure that Congress has an opportunity to make any additional adjustments that may be needed to ensure that NSPS is implemented in a manner that is transparent, accountable, and fair to the civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

Requirement for full implementation of personnel demonstration project (sec. 1107)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1111) that would require the Secretary of Defense to fully implement the authorities provided under section 342(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337), as amended by section 1114 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) to carry out personnel management demonstration projects at certain Department of Defense laboratories.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify the requirement for the Secretary to implement a process and implementation plan to fully utilize the authorities provided under the sections noted above in order to enhance the performance of the missions of the laboratories.

The conferees believe that it is essential that defense laboratories have personnel systems that allow them to attract, hire, and retain the top quality scientists and engineers necessary to discharge their unique missions efficiently and effectively.

The conferees believe that the authorities established under the sections noted above, if fully utilized, would enable laboratories to experiment with and demonstrate novel personnel management flexibilities which may enhance their performance and capabilities. The conferees anticipate that if these demonstrations are successful, the authorities may then be adopted by other laboratories or organizations within the Department of Defense, if appropriate to support their missions.

Authority for inclusion of certain Office of Defense Research and Engineering positions in experimental personnel program for scientific and technical personnel (sec. 1108)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would authorize the inclusion of 20 technical positions in the Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E) in the experimental personnel program for scientific and technical personnel established by section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would reduce the total number of authorized positions to 10.

The conferees note that the DDR&E's technical staff plays an important role in the oversight and coordination of the Department of Defense's science and technology program. This involves initiatives in rapidly developing scientific areas such as hypersonics, societal and behavioral modeling, and nanotechnology. The conferees believe that the utilization of the personnel authority provided by the provision can enhance the ability of the DDR&E to recruit and retain a staff with the diverse technical competencies necessary to oversee these initiatives.

Pilot program for the temporary assignment of information technology personnel to private sector organizations (sec. 1109)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1112) that would extend the Information Technology Exchange Program (ITEP) established in chapter 37 of the E-Government Act of 2002 (Public Law 107–347). ITEP allows employees from federal and private sector information technology (IT) organizations to participate in temporary assignments to another organization. The authorization for the program ends on December 17, 2007. This provision would extend the program only in relation to the Department of Defense for an additional 3 years.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would create a 3-year pilot program for the temporary assignment of Department of Defense IT personnel in private sector organizations. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the potential benefits of a similar exchange program in which IT personnel from the private sector are assigned to the Department of Defense, as well as any recommendations for legislation that may be necessary to create such a program.

The conferees acknowledge that legislation is pending before congressional committees that would extend authority for the E-Government Act of 2002 beyond its current expiration date.

Compensation for federal wage system employees for certain travel hours (sec. 1110)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would amend section 5544(a) of title 5, United States Code, to authorize compensation of federal wage system employees for hours spent traveling while returning from an event that cannot be scheduled or controlled administratively.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1101).

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Travel compensation for wage grade personnel (sec. 1111)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would amend section 5550b(a) of title 5, United States Code, to allow wage grade employees to receive compensatory time off for each hour spent on official travel, provided the time is not otherwise compensable.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Accumulation of annual leave by senior level employees (sec. 1112)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would authorize employees classified above the GS–15 level and Intelligence Senior Level positions in the Department of Defense to accrue annual leave accrual in the same manner currently authorized for certain other senior government officials, including members of the Senior Executive Service and the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Uniform allowances for civilian employees (sec. 1113)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1107) that would repeal section 1593 of title 10, United States Code, in order to remove the $400 limitation on uniform allowances for civilian employees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to prescribe by regulation an amount higher than $400.

Flexibility in setting pay for employees who move from a Department of Defense or Coast Guard nonappropriated fund instrumentality position to a position in the general schedule pay system (sec. 1114)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1108) that would authorize flexibility in setting pay for an employee of a Department of Defense (DOD) or U.S. Coast Guard nonappropriated fund instrumentality who voluntarily transfers to a DOD or Coast Guard civil service appropriated fund position without a break in service of more than 3 days.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Retirement service credit for service as cadet or midshipman at a military service academy (sec. 1115)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would amend sections 8331(13) and 8401(31) of title 5, United States Code, to clarify an existing practice of awarding retirement service credit for time in service as a cadet or midshipman at a military service academy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Authorization for increased compensation for faculty and staff of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (sec. 1116)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1108) that would provide the Secretary of Defense greater flexibility in setting salary levels for faculty and staff at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. In no event would the total amount of compensation exceed the amount specified in section 102 of title 3, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on establishment of a scholarship program for civilian mental health professionals (sec. 1117)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 711) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs and each of the surgeons general of the armed forces, to submit to Congress a report on the feasibility and advisability of establishing a scholarship program for civilian mental health professionals. This report would be due not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Annuity commencing dates

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1107) that would allow federal retirement annuities to commence either on the day after retirement or the day after age and service requirements are met.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Physicians and health care professionals comparability allowances

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 937) that would increase the amount of allowance the Secretary of Defense is authorized to give to current or new Department of Defense physicians or health care professionals under service agreements. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to the appropriate committees of Congress annually on the operation of this section with regards to recruiting and retention problems and other issues.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director, Office of Personnel Management, to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by February 1, 2008, on the utilization of all bonus authorities for purposes of recruitment and retention of Department of Defense civilian health care professionals from fiscal year 2002 through fiscal year 2007.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

Military-to-military contacts and comparable activities (sec. 1201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would allow personnel exchange programs with foreign governments to be conducted on a non-reciprocal basis if the Secretary of Defense determines that it would be in the interests of the United States to do so.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority for support of military operations to combat terrorism (sec. 1202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would extend the authority provided in section 1208 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375), and amend the annual reporting requirements contained in subsection (f) of section 1208.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Medical care and temporary duty travel expenses for liaison officers of certain foreign nations (sec. 1203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay medical and temporary duty travel expenses incurred by a liaison officer from a developing country who is temporarily assigned to a headquarters of a combatant command, component command, or subordinate operational command in connection with the planning for, or conduct of, a military operation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension and expansion of Department of Defense authority to participate in multinational military centers of excellence (sec. 1204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would extend the authority provided under section 1205 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) for the Department of Defense to participate in multinational military centers of excellence. The provision would expand the definition of multinational military centers of excellence in which Department personnel may participate beyond those entities accredited or approved by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), to include centers accredited or approved by the Secretary of Defense. The provision would also raise the limitation on expenditures for the U.S. share of the operating expenses of multinational military centers of excellence under this section from $3.0 million to $5.0 million.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would extend, but not expand, the authority for Department of Defense participation in multinational military centers of excellence.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would maintain the current definition of a multinational military center of excellence as an entity accredited and approved by NATO. The conferees note the Department's stated interest in participating in multinational military centers of excellence beyond the NATO context. To aid consideration of this proposal, the conferees urge the Department to provide additional information on how expanded authority under this section would be implemented, including how it would define a ''military center of excellence'' outside the NATO context; where such centers are or would be located; the purposes of such centers; and the costs associated with U.S. participation in such centers.

Reauthorization of Commanders' Emergency Response Program (sec. 1205)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1205) that would extend the authority provided in section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) for the Commanders' Emergency Response Program (CERP) through fiscal years 2008 and 2009. The CERP is intended to provide commanders in Iraq and Afghanistan funds for use in small humanitarian and reconstruction projects in their area of responsibility that provide immediate assistance to the local population.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would authorize the CERP through fiscal year 2008 and increase the authorized level for CERP funding up to $977,441,000.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize up to $977,441,000 to be used for CERP during each of fiscal years 2008 and 2009.

The conferees note that the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) issued revised guidance for the CERP on May 9, 2007. The conferees are concerned by the failure of the Department of Defense to comply with the requirement of section 1202 that the Secretary of Defense submit to the congressional defense committees any modification to the guidance regarding the allocation of CERP funds not later than 15 days after the guidance is issued. The conferees strongly urge the Department to comply with this requirement of section 1202 in the future.

The conferees also highlight that the revised May 2007 CERP guidance expands the listed uses of CERP funds, to include making payments, sometimes called ''martyr payments,'' to the family members of Iraqi or Afghan ''defense or police personnel who were killed as a result of U.S., coalition or supporting military operations'' in Iraq or Afghanistan. The conferees question the Department's characterization of martyr payments as a subset of condolence payments—payments made to civilians for death or physical injury resulting from U.S., coalition, or supporting military operations. Further, the conferees have concerns over whether martyr payments are an appropriate expansion of the uses of CERP funds on both policy and legal grounds.

The conferees direct the Department to review its decision to expand the use of CERP to include martyr payments and submit a report on the results of that review to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act. The report should include: a review of the relevant policy considerations, including whether such payments should be the responsibility of the Government of Iraq or Afghanistan, respectively, rather than U.S. commanders; the legal considerations associated with making martyr payments, including whether such payments are consistent with the prohibition in the CERP guidance on using CERP funds to provide services or funds to national security forces in Iraq and Afghanistan; and whether other funding accounts, such as the Iraq Security Forces Fund or the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, would be a more appropriate source of funds for making martyr payments. The report should also include information on the amount of CERP funds used for martyr payments in each of fiscal years 2006, 2007, and 2008 up to the date of the report. The conferees direct the Department to specify in the quarterly reports required under section 1202 the amount of CERP funds used for martyr payments separate from the amount specified for condolence payments.

Authority to build the capacity of the Pakistan Frontier Corps (sec. 1206)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1206) that would expand the authority provided under section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) for training and equipping foreign military forces to allow the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to build the capacity of Pakistan security forces, other than its military forces, to conduct counterterrorist operations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to use up to $75.0 million of funds available for operation and maintenance during fiscal year 2008 to enhance the ability of the Pakistan Frontier Corps to conduct counterterrorist operations along the border between Pakistan and Afghanistan. Authorized assistance may include equipment, supplies, and training. The amendment would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate not less than 15 days prior to providing assistance under this section.

Authority to equip and train foreign personnel to assist in accounting for missing United States Government personnel (sec. 1207)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1207) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance to foreign nations to aid/help in recovery and accounting activities for missing U.S. Government personnel. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit an annual report on the assistance provided under this authority.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1201).

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Authority to provide automatic identification system data on maritime shipping to foreign countries and international organizations (sec. 1208)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1208) that would permit the Secretary of Defense to authorize secretaries of the military departments and geographic combatant commanders to provide foreign nations and international organizations with information on the location of merchant vessels.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on foreign-assistance related programs carried out by the Department of Defense (sec. 1209)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1209) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act describing, on a country-by-country basis, all foreign-assistance related programs, projects, and activities of the Department of Defense during the prior fiscal year.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report specifying, on a country-by-country basis, each program carried out by the Department of Defense during the prior fiscal year under the foreign-assistance related authorities specified in the provision. The report would be unclassified, but may include a classified annex. The report would be submitted to the congressional defense committees, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

Extension and enhancement of authority for security and stabilization assistance (sec. 1210)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would extend until September 30, 2008, the authority provided under section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) for the Secretary of Defense to provide the Secretary of State services, defense articles, or funding to support Department of State programs for reconstruction, security, or stabilization assistance. The provision would also increase the total amount of all services, defense articles, and funding that may be provided under section 1207 from $100.0 million to $200.0 million. The provision would require the Department of State (DOS) to coordinate with the Department of Defense (DOD) in the formulation and implementation of any program of reconstruction, security, or stabilization assistance that involves the provision of services, defense articles, or funds by the DOD to the DOS under this section.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the increase under the Senate provision in the aggregate value of all services, defense articles, and funding that may be provided under this section, thereby keeping the funding limitation under section 1207 at $100.0 million during fiscal year 2008.

Government Accountability Office report on Global Peace Operations Initiative (sec. 1211)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would direct the Government Accountability Office to submit a report not later than March 1, 2008, to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, assessing the President's Global Peace Operations Initiative.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would stipulate that the report be unclassified to the maximum extent possible, and would require it to be submitted by June 1, 2008.

Repeal of limitations on military assistance under the American Servicemembers' Protection Act of 2002 (sec. 1212)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1205) that would repeal some of the remaining limitations on providing military assistance under the American Servicemembers' Protection Act of 2002 (22 U.S.C. 7426).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Iraq and Afghanistan

Modification of authorities relating to the office of the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction (sec. 1221)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would extend the authority for the office of the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction and clarify certain authorities of the office.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1540).

The House recedes with an amendment that would extend the authority for the office and combine the authorities provided in the House and Senate provisions.

Limitation on availability of funds for certain purposes relating to Iraq (sec. 1222)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1222) that would prohibit the obligation of funds authorized in this or any other act to establish permanent bases in Iraq or exercise United States control over Iraq's oil resources.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1531) that would continue such a prohibition for fiscal year 2008 only.

The House recedes.

Report on United States policy and military operations in Iraq (sec. 1223)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1224) that would require a report on the implementation of the Multi-National Forces-Iraq/United States Embassy Baghdad Joint Campaign Plan and efforts to achieve political reform in Iraq.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to the United States Policy in Iraq Act, section 1227(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), that would require a detailed description of the Joint Campaign Plan, including those conditions which could prompt changes to levels of United States armed forces or missions, and the status of planning for those changes.

Report on a comprehensive set of performance indicators and measures for progress toward military and political stability in Iraq (sec. 1224)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1225) that would require a report on training of the Iraqi Security Forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to section 9010 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–289) that would require additional information on the Iraqi Security Forces in the report required by that Act.

Report on support from Iran for attacks against coalition forces in Iraq (sec. 1225)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1535) that would require, not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act and every 60 days thereafter, that the Commander, Multi-National Forces, Iraq, and the U.S. Ambassador to Iraq, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, submit a report to Congress on: any support provided to anti-coalition forces in Iraq by Iran or its agents; Iran's strategy in Iraq; and any strategy or efforts by the United States to counter the activities of Iran in Iraq. The provision also contained a rule of construction that nothing in this section would authorize or speak to the use of armed forces against Iran.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require that the report be submitted by the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act and every 180 days thereafter. The amendment would also provide that the reporting requirement would terminate when the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, certifies to the congressional defense committees that Iran has ceased to provide military support to anti-coalition forces in Iraq.

The conferees are deeply concerned by reports of Iranian activity in Iraq, including that the Iranian Qods Force is providing training and support to anti-coalition forces in Iraq. The conferees call on Iran to cease any training, equipping, funding, advising, or any other support that it or its agents are providing to Iraqi militia and insurgents and that is counter to Iraqi and coalition interests. The conferees strongly support U.S. diplomatic efforts with Iran to stop any actions by Iran or its agents against U.S. or other coalition forces in Iraq.

Sense of Congress on the consequences of a failed state in Iraq (sec. 1226)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1536) that would express the sense of the Senate that the Senate should commit itself to a strategy that will not leave a failed state in Iraq, and the Senate should not pass legislation that will undermine our military's ability to prevent a failed state in Iraq.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that a failed state in Iraq will have a negative impact on the Middle East and American interests in the region, and that the United States should pursue strategies to prevent a failed state in Iraq or contain the negative effects of a failed state in Iraq.

Sense of Congress on federalism in Iraq (sec. 1227)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1537) that would express the sense

of Congress that the United States should actively support a political settlement in Iraq based on the final provisions of the Constitution of Iraq that create a federal system of government and allow for the creation of federal regions, consistent with the wishes of the Iraqi people and their elected leaders. This provision would also express the sense of Congress on other steps the United States should take in that regard.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that policies supported by the United States in the pursuit of a political settlement in Iraq should be consistent with the wishes of the Iraqi people and should not violate the sovereignty of the nation of Iraq.

Tracking and monitoring of defense articles provided to the Government of Iraq and other individuals and groups in Iraq (sec. 1228)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1541) that would require the President to implement a policy to control the export and transfer of defense articles into Iraq, including implementation of a registration and monitoring system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make clarifying changes, and would also require the provision to take effect 180 days after the enactment of this Act, with one 90-day waiver option, and does not direct enhanced end-use monitoring.

The conferees fully expect the President to delegate this authority.

The conferees urge the relevant Secretaries to consider whether enhanced end-use monitoring may be desirable in the cases of some of the lethal defense articles provided to Iraq.

The conferees understand, based on information provided by the Departments of State and Defense, that this provision will not have the effect of slowing the delivery of defense articles and services to Iraq under the Foreign Military Sales program. The conferees urge the Department of Defense to inform the conferees immediately in the event that implementation of this provision would have such an unintended and undesired effect.

Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (sec. 1229)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would establish an office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) to conduct independent and objective audits and investigations of programs and operations funded by the Department of Defense for Afghanistan reconstruction. The President would appoint the SIGAR. The provision would require the SIGAR to provide quarterly and semiannual reports to the congressional defense committees. The Office of the SIGAR would terminate 10 months after 80 percent of the Department of Defense funds for Afghanistan reconstruction have been expended.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1542) that would establish an office of the SIGAR to conduct audits and investigations of United States Government programs and operations for Afghanistan reconstruction.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish an office of the SIGAR to conduct independent and objective audits and investigations of United States Government programs and operations for Afghanistan reconstruction. The President would appoint the SIGAR and may appoint the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction (SIGIR) to serve as the SIGAR. The SIGAR would report on a quarterly basis to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate. The provision would provide $20.0 million from the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund to carry out this section during fiscal year 2008. The Office of the SIGAR would terminate 180 days after the amount of unexpended funds appropriated or otherwise made available for Afghanistan is less than $250.0 million.

Report on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan (sec. 1230)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the relevant U.S. Government agencies and departments, to report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan. The report would include a description of the strategic direction of U.S. activities related to security and stability in Afghanistan. The report would also include a comprehensive set of performance indicators and measures of progress toward long-term security and stability in Afghanistan. The Secretary of Defense would be required to provide updates of the report every 90 days.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would require the President to report to the congressional defense committees semiannually through fiscal year 2009 on U.S. policy and military operations in Afghanistan. The provision would require each report to contain a comprehensive, interagency-coordinated strategy in support of U.S. policy and military operations in Afghanistan, and detailed information on key elements of that strategy.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the President, acting through the Secretary of Defense, to submit a report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter through the end of fiscal year 2010, on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan. The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to coordinate with the relevant U.S. Government agencies and departments in preparing the report. The report would include a description of a comprehensive U.S. strategy for security and stability in Afghanistan, and detailed information on key elements of that strategy, including U.S. efforts to: strengthen the North Atlantic Treaty Organization International Security Assistance Forces; build the capacity of the Afghanistan National Security Forces; promote the reconstruction and development of Afghanistan, including through U.S.-led Provincial Reconstruction Teams; define the overall strategy and activities of the Department of Defense counternarcotics program; aid the Government of Afghanistan in fighting public corruption and promoting the rule of law; and increase cooperation with Afghanistan's neighboring countries. The provision would also require that the report include a comprehensive set of performance indicators and measures of progress toward long-term security and stability in Afghanistan. The report would be provided to the congressional defense committees, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

United States plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces (sec. 1231)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1234) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State and the Attorney General, to submit a report detailing a long-term plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF). The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to update the plan every 90 days. The initial report and the updates would be submitted to the congressional defense committees, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act and annually thereafter through fiscal year 2010, a report detailing a long-term plan for sustaining the ANSF, with the objective of ensuring that the ANSF will be able to conduct operations independently and effectively and maintain long-term security and stability in Afghanistan. The report would include: a comprehensive strategy and budget, with defined objectives; mechanisms for tracking funding, equipment, training, and services provided to the ANSF; and any actions necessary to assist the Government of Afghanistan to achieve a number of specified goals, and the results of such actions. The report would be submitted to the congressional defense committees, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

United States strategy for enhancing security and stability in the border region between Afghanistan and Pakistan (sec. 1232)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would require the President to report to the congressional defense committees on the U.S. strategy for working with Pakistan to prevent the movement of violent extremist forces across the Pakistan border into Afghanistan and to eliminate safe havens for extremist forces on the territory of Pakistan. The provision would also restrict reimbursements to Pakistan, using Coalition Support Funds, for logistical, military, or other support provided by Pakistan to U.S. military operations unless the President determined that Pakistan was making substantial and sustained efforts to eliminate any safe havens for extremists on its territory.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit a report not later than March 31, 2008, on enhancing security and stability in the region along the border of Afghanistan and Pakistan. The report would include a detailed description of the efforts by Pakistan to eliminate safe havens for the Taliban, Al Qaeda, or other violent extremist forces on its territory and prevent the movement of those forces across Pakistan's border into Afghanistan, and an assessment by the Secretary of Defense regarding whether Pakistan is making substantial and sustained efforts to achieve these objectives.

The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to provide a notification to the congressional defense committees not less than 15 days before making any reimbursement to Pakistan using Coalition Support Funds for logistical, military, or other support provided by Pakistan to U.S. military operations. The notification would include an itemized description of the logistical, military, or other support to be reimbursed. The notification would be required with respect to reimbursements for support provided by Pakistan during the period beginning on February 1, 2008 and ending on September 30, 2009. The notification

would be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if necessary.

The conferees are concerned by the lack of transparency regarding the kinds of logistical, military, or other support provided by Pakistan to U.S. military operations and being reimbursed using Coalition Support Funds. The conferees expect that the notifications provided under this section would itemize in detail the logistical support that the Department of Defense has approved for reimbursement using Coalition Support Funds. The conferees note that for the purposes of this section, the term ''logistic support, supplies and services'' as defined in section 2350 of title 10, United States Code, means ''food, billeting, transportation (including airlift), petroleum, oils, lubricants, clothing, communications services, medical services, ammunition, base operations support (and construction incident to base operations support), storage services, use of facilities, training services, spare parts and components, repair and maintenance services, calibration services, and port services.'' The conferees also expect that the notifications would itemize the military support and equipment, if any, and any other support or services that the Department has approved for reimbursement using Coalition Support Funds.

Reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations (sec. 1233)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1532) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to reimburse any key cooperating nation for logistical and military support provided by that nation to United States military operations in Operation Iraqi Freedom or Operation Enduring Freedom. The total amount of reimbursements made under this authority during fiscal year 2008 may not exceed $1.2 billion. Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense would be required to prescribe standards for determining what kinds of logistical and military support may be considered reimbursable under this section. The prescribed standards would not take effect until 15 days after the Secretary reports the standards to the congressional defense committees. The Secretary would be required to notify the congressional defense committees not less than 15 days before making any reimbursement under this section.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Logistical support for coalition forces supporting operations in Iraq and Afghanistan (sec. 1234)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1533) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide up to $400.0 million in supplies, services, and other logistical support to coalition forces supporting U.S. military and stabilization operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Iraq Refugee Crisis

Refugee Crisis in Iraq Act (sec. 1241–1249)

The Senate amendment contained a series of provisions that would: name the subtitle the Iraq Refugee Crisis Act of 2007 (sec. 1571); require the Secretary of State to establish a refugee processing program in Iraq and in countries in the region for Iraqis threatened because of their association with the United States Government (sec. 1572); create a Priority 2 category under the refugee resettlement program for Iraqi refugees of special humanitarian concern (sec. 1573); expand the current special immigrant visa program (sec. 1574); require the Secretary of State to designate a Minister Counselor in the U.S. Embassy in Iraq and in U.S. embassies in certain other countries in the region to oversee processing of Priority 2 refugees and refugees of special humanitarian concern (sec. 1575); require the Secretary of State to consult with countries with significant populations of Iraqi refugees throughout the implementation of this Act (sec. 1576); allow Iraqis who were denied asylum or had their asylum status terminated after March 1, 2003, solely based on changed country conditions to file a motion to reopen their claim (sec. 1577); require several reports from the executive branch on the implementation of this Act (sec. 1578); and authorize the appropriation of funds necessary to carry out this Act (sec. 1579).

The House bill contained no similar provisions.

The House recedes with an amendment which would consolidate these provisions into one subtitle and would make several technical and clarifying amendments. The two most significant amendments are: (1) a provision which would provide 8 months of resettlement assistance to those individuals granted special immigrant visas; and (2) a modification that would require the Secretary of State to designate a Senior Coordinator, rather than a Minister Counselor, in the U.S. Embassy in Iraq and in U.S. embassies in certain other countries in the region to oversee the processing of Priority 2 refugees and refugees of special humanitarian concern.

The conferees note that they do not intend implementation of this subtitle to have an adverse impact on the quantity or quality of skilled local Iraqi personnel available to support those operations. However, they also recognize that working for the U.S. Government can prove to be a dangerous decision for Iraqi nationals, and express appreciation to those Iraqis for their contributions to the U.S. mission in that country. Therefore, the conferees urge executive branch officials to: consider the length and capacity of service when providing preference in awarding special immigrant visas to Iraqi citizens and nationals who have been working for the U.S. Government; and consider giving higher priority in the processing of refugee status to qualified applicants facing the most immediate or severe risk of harm and Iraqi nationals whose contracts with the U.S. Government constitute a significant portion of their income since June 2003.

The conferees believe that the Secretary of State should conduct the processing of refugees in Iraq with reasonable consideration of the security situation. In addition, the conferees recognize that the security situation might at times adversely affect the ability of the U.S. Government to carry out the necessary vetting requirements of Iraqi nationals seeking entry into the United States under this subtitle. Nonetheless, it remains critical that U.S. Government officials perform the appropriate level of background checks and fulfill other necessary vetting requirements for each Iraqi national processed.

The conferees believe that the United States has a moral responsibility to help those Iraqis who have helped the United States and believe these provisions take a step toward meeting that responsibility.

Finally, the conferees note that no assistance authorized under this subtitle shall be provided to any person, agent, instrumentality, representative, or official of a country that is found to support international terrorism pursuant to the Export Administration Act, the Arms Export Control Act, the Foreign Assistance Act, or any other provision of law.

Subtitle D—Other Authorities and Limitations

Cooperative opportunities documents under cooperative research and development agreements with NATO organizations and other allied and friendly foreign countries (sec. 1251)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1241) that would modify the timing of the preparation of cooperative opportunities documents for acquisition programs and update the terminology used in statute to describe the documents.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1211).

The House recedes.

Extension and expansion of temporary authority to use acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend military equipment for personnel protection and survivability (sec. 1252)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would extend through September 30, 2008, the temporary authority provided under section 1202 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) for the Secretary of Defense to loan under acquisition and cross-servicing agreements equipment for personnel protection and survivability to foreign military forces participating in combined operations with the United States in Iraq and Afghanistan. The provision would also expand to whom these loans of equipment may be made, to include foreign military forces participating in combined operations with the United States as part of a peacekeeping operation under the United Nations Charter or another international agreement.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees emphasize that the authority for section 1202 is intended to permit the temporary loan of equipment to foreign military forces that are participating in a specified combined operation with the United States Armed Forces, for the purpose of providing personnel protection or aiding in the personnel survivability of such foreign military forces during those operations. The conferees note that equipment loaned under this authority may be used by the military forces of the recipient country for not longer than 1 year, at which time the equipment will be returned to the United States under the terms of the acquisition and cross-servicing agreement between the United States and the recipient country.

Acceptance of funds from the Government of Palau to defray expenditures attendant to the operation of United States military Civic Action Team in Palau (sec. 1253)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would amend section 1933(a) of title 48, United States Code, to allow the Secretary of Defense to accept funds from the Government of Palau to defray expenditures that the Department of Defense makes in connection with the United States military Civic Action Team in Palau.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Repeal of requirement relating to North Korea (sec. 1254)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1217) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from obligating or expending any funds authorized to be appropriated under section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) until the administration has

fully implemented section 1211 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would repeal subsection (a) of section 1211 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

The conferees note that section (a) of section 1211 mandated the appointment of a North Korea Policy Coordinator because of the conferees' concern that the administration lacked a coordinated approach to addressing the North Korean nuclear threat. However, more recently, the conferees have noted progress in the Six-Party Talks with North Korea, and are satisfied that the Assistant Secretary of State for East Asian and Pacific Affairs has sufficient authority in these negotiations. Therefore, the conferees deem that the intent of section 1211 (a) has been met.

Justice for Osama bin Laden and other leaders of al Qaeda (sec. 1255)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1219) that would authorize the Secretary of State to offer a reward of $50.0 million for the capture, death, or information leading to the capture or death of Osama bin Laden.

The Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, shall jointly submit to Congress, not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, a report on the progress made in bringing Osama bin Laden and other leaders of al Qaeda to justice.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would limit the report requirement to two reports: (1) the initial report due 90 days after enactment of this Act; and, (2) a report a year later.

The conferees believe that a foremost objective of U.S. counterterrorist policy should be protecting U.S. persons and property by capturing or killing Osama bin Laden, and other leaders of the al Qaeda network, and destroying the al Qaeda network.

Extension of Counterproliferation Program Review Committee (sec. 1256)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1242) that would extend the Counterproliferation Program Review Committee (CPRC) established by section 1605 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 108–136) through 2013. This provision would also add additional members to the committee and change the requirement that the committee submit a report annually to a biennial reporting requirement with the first biennial report due on March 1, 2009 and each odd-numbered year thereafter through 2013.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the new members of the CPRC to the Department of State and the Department of Homeland Security and would require the first biennial report to be submitted on May 1, 2009.

Sense of Congress on the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation (sec. 1257)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1243) that would express the sense of Congress supporting the Department of Defense's education and training facility, the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation.

The Senate amendment (sec. 1067) contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Sense of Congress on Iran (sec. 1258)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1538) that would state the sense of the Senate that Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corps (IRGC) should be designated as a foreign terrorist organization and placed on the list of Specially Designated Global Terrorists established by the International Emergency Economic Powers Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that it is in the U.S. national interest that the Government of Iran not use extremists in Iraq to subvert or co-opt the institutions of the legitimate Government of Iraq.

The conferees are concerned by reports, including the testimony to Congress in September 2007 of General David Petraeus, Commander, Multi-National Forces, Iraq, and Ambassador Ryan Crocker, U.S. Ambassador to Iraq, regarding Iranian activity in Iraq that is harmful to the Iraqi state and coalition forces in Iraq. The conferees strongly endorse the administration's pursuit of a diplomatic approach to address this Iranian threat. The conferees note that on October 25, 2007, the Department of State announced that it designated the IRGC an entity of proliferation concern under Executive Order 13382, and the Department of the Treasury designated the IRGC's Qods Force under Executive Order 13224 for providing material support to the Taliban and other terrorist organizations.

Subtitle E—Reports

One-year extension of update on report on claims relating to the bombing of the Labelle Discotheque (sec. 1261)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would provide for a 1-year extension of the requirement to provide an update on the report on claims related to the bombing of the Labelle Discotheque.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on United States policy toward Darfur, Sudan (sec. 1262)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1235) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the operational status of the airfield located in Abeche, Chad.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1235).

The House recedes with an amendment that would incorporate elements of a report on U.S. policy toward Darfur, Sudan that was in the Senate amendment (sec. 1234). The amendment would also make other clarifying and technical changes, and would repeal a similar reporting requirement on the situation in Darfur, Sudan required by section 1227 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

Inclusion of information on asymmetric capabilities in annual report on military power of the People's Republic of China (sec. 1263)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1236) that would amend section 1202(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) to include information on asymmetric capabilities in the annual report on the military power of the People's Republic of China.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Report on application of the Uniform Code of Military Justice to civilians accompanying the armed forces during a time of declared war or contingency operation (sec. 1264)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1237) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on the status of implementing a requirement to make the Uniform Code of Military Justice applicable to military contractors during a time of war or a contingency operation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would specify matters to be addressed in the report.

Report on family reunions between United States citizens and their relatives in North Korea (sec. 1265)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1238) that would require the President to submit to Congress, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report on family reunions between United States citizens and their relatives in North Korea.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify elements of the required report.

The conferees expect the report to include information regarding what additional actions, if any, the President considers desirable and feasible in order to facilitate safe and transparent reunions of U.S. citizens and their relatives in North Korea, wherever those reunions may take place.

The conferees support the ongoing Six-Party Talks with North Korea and placing the priority on the de-nuclearization of the Korean peninsula, but note that normalization, which would encompass a number of issues, is also being addressed within the talks.

Reports on prevention of mass atrocities (sec. 1266)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1239) that would require both the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense to submit a report not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives assessing their respective capabilities to provide training and guidance to the command of an international intervention force that seeks to prevent mass atrocities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the report to be due 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Report on threats to the United States from ungoverned areas (sec. 1267)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, to report on the threat posed to the United States by ungoverned areas, especially as they relate to terrorist groups and individuals who aim their activities at the United States and its allies.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Limitation on assistance to the Government of Thailand

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1215) that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the Committees

on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and the House Foreign Affairs Committee 15 days prior to obligating or expending funds to initiate any new types of military assistance activities with Thailand.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the administration appears to have conducted a judicious review of all ongoing assistance to the Government of Thailand, and urge the administration to apply a uniform standard when considering the provision of military and other types of foreign assistance to that Government.

The conferees also note that Thailand appears to be making progress towards restoring civilian democracy in the country via elections scheduled for December 2007, and urge the current Government of Thailand to lift martial law countrywide and take all necessary measures to ensure that the elections are free and fair.

Presidential report on policy objectives and United States strategy regarding Iran

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1216) that would prohibit not more than 75 percent of the amount authorized for the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy from being obligated until the report required by section 1213(b) of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) is submitted to Congress.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the report was submitted.

Report on Department of Defense efforts to build the capacity of the Government of Iraq to carry out reconstruction activities in Iraq

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1223) requiring the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress on efforts of the Department of Defense to build the capacity of the Government of Iraq to carry out reconstruction activities in Iraq.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress on responsibilities of the Iraqi Council of Ministers to enact laws to achieve political reform and diminish support for the insurgency in Iraq

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1226) expressing the sense of Congress that the Iraqi Council of Representatives should not recess for an extended period of time without first making substantial progress toward enacting certain laws, other legislation, and constitutional amendments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on planning and implementation of the United States engagement and policy toward Darfur

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1234) that would require a report on planning and implementation of the United States engagement and policy toward Darfur.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this reporting requirement has been incorporated into another provision in title XII of this act.

Report on progress of the Department of Defense's counternarcotics program for Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report on the progress of the Department of Defense's counternarcotics program for Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that House section 1233 and the counternarcotics component of Senate section 1231 were reconciled and incorporated in a larger report on U.S. policy in Afghanistan that is included in another provision of this Act.

The conferees note with concern the administration's renewed focus on aerial spraying as an option to be considered by the Government of Afghanistan for non-negotiated forced eradication of opium poppies. The conferees recommend that the Secretary of Defense evaluate the potential consequences of aerial spraying, including the impact on perceptions of the Afghan population, and on achieving the larger strategic goals of the U.S. military in Afghanistan.

Sense of Congress concerning the strategic military capabilities and intentions of the People's Republic of China

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1244) that would express the sense of Congress concerning the strategic military capabilities and intentions of the People's Republic of China.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note China's continued investment in strategic military capabilities that could be used to support power projection and access denial operations beyond the Asia Pacific region, and the lack of transparency surrounding the strategic military capabilities and intentions relating to China's military modernization. The Pentagon's 2006 Quadrennial Defense Review Report (QDR) found that China is at a strategic crossroads and that, ''of the major and emerging powers, China has the greatest potential to compete militarily with the United States.'' The conferees note that during the last year, China demonstrated such potential, including the October 2006 broach of a Chinese SONG-class diesel-electric submarine in close proximity to the USS *Kitty Hawk* aircraft carrier in international waters and the January 2007 test of a direct ascent anti-satellite missile against a Chinese weather satellite in low-earth orbit.

The conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense to expand efforts to develop an accurate assessment and understanding of China's strategic military modernization and strategic intentions, particularly with regard to its sea- and space-based strategic capabilities.

Sense of Congress on the capture of Osama bin Laden and the al Qaeda leadership

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1544) that would express the sense of Congress that it should be the policy of the United States Government that the foremost objective of United States counterterrorist operations is to protect United States persons and property from terrorist attacks by capturing or killing Osama bin Laden, Ayman al-Zawahiri, and other leaders of al Qaeda and destroying the al Qaeda network.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the underlying concern motivating this provision is addressed by another provision elsewhere in this Act, and that the statement of managers accompanying that provision contains the concerns expressed in the Senate amendment.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION

Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction programs and funds (sec. 1301)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1301) that would specify the Cooperative Threat Reduction programs and funds.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1301).

The House recedes.

Funding allocations (sec. 1302)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1302) that would authorize $398.0 million for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program. The provision would also authorize a specific amount for each CTR program element, require notification to Congress 30 days before the Secretary of Defense obligates and expends fiscal year 2008 funds for purposes other than those specifically authorized, and provide limited authority to vary the individual CTR program amounts. The authority to vary the amount of funds for three of the program elements would be limited to increases of no more than 125 percent of the specific amount authorized. The Secretary would be required to notify Congress 15 days in advance of varying the amounts for any CTR program.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1302) that would authorize $448.0 million for the CTR program; would not include the 125 percent limit on the authority of the Secretary to vary the amounts in the CTR program elements; and would include the 15 day notification requirement.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize $428.0 million for the CTR program, an increase of $80.0 million above the budget request. The conferees agree to provide $10.0 million for new CTR initiatives outside the former Soviet Union to support the new authority for such initiatives provided elsewhere in this Act. The conferees agree to provide $5.0 million for chemical weapons destruction in Libya, and $1.0 million for chemical weapons destruction in Shchuch'ye, Russia. In the event the Secretary is unable to use all or part of the authorized funding in furtherance of this new authority, the Secretary may use such funds for any other CTR program elements after the required 15 day notification period.

Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction programs in states outside the former Soviet Union (sec. 1303)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1303) that would amend section 1501 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104–201) to permit the Department of Defense to conduct Cooperative Threat Reduction programs outside the former Soviet Union (FSU). The provision would specify the nature of the programs that could be carried out.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would modify the nature of the programs that could be carried out outside the FSU and would include programs to facilitate safe and secure transportation and storage of nuclear weapons, weapons components and their delivery vehicles, and programs to expand military-to-military and other defense contacts.

Repeal of restrictions on assistance to states of the former Soviet Union for Cooperative Threat Reduction (sec. 1304)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1305) that would repeal certain provisions of the Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (Public Law 102–228), the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act of 1993 (Public Law 103–160), and section 1305 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal

Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) that require a number of annual certifications before any Cooperative Threat Reduction funds may be obligated in any fiscal year. In addition, the provision would repeal section 1303 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375), which authorized the President to waive the annual certification requirements. The provision would also clarify application of certain other provisions of law.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1305).

The House recedes.

Modification of authority to use Cooperative Threat Reduction funds outside the former Soviet Union (sec. 1305)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1306) that would amend section 1308 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (2004 NDAA) (Public Law 108–136). Section 1308 authorizes the President to utilize the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program funds for emergency or other short-term projects outside the former Soviet Union (FSU). The House provision would amend section 1308 to allow the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to: utilize the CTR program funds for an emergency; repeal the $50.0 million funding limitation on the amount that could be obligated for an emergency; and require the Secretary of Defense to notify Congress 15 days prior to exercising this authority, unless such advance notification would severely undermine the national security of the United States, in which case the notification would be made within 10 days of obligating CTR funds.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1304) but would not repeal the $50.0 million funding limitation and would not modify the notification requirements.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would make clarifying changes and would permit the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to notify Congress no later than 10 days after the funds were obligated.

The conferees note that prior to the enactment of the 2004 NDAA, CTR authority was limited to programs conducted in the states of the FSU. Section 1308 of the 2004 NDAA provided authority for the CTR program to address emergency or other short-term projects outside the FSU. Elsewhere in this Act, the conferees have included a provision that would provide new authority for the CTR program to expand its programmatic authority on a regular, non-emergency basis to states outside the FSU. With this new authority, the utility of the section 1308 emergency authority may diminish. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit, with the budget request for the CTR program for fiscal year 2010, an assessment as to whether there is a continued need for the emergency authority provided by section 1308 of the 2004 NDAA.

New initiatives for the Cooperative Threat Reduction program (sec. 1306)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1303) that would set forth the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense (DOD) should expand and strengthen the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program. This would include expansion of the scope of CTR program activities within Russia and the former Soviet Union (FSU) as well as in states outside the FSU, including those in Asia and the Middle East, and specifically on the Korean Peninsula. In addition, the provision would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into an arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) under which the NAS would conduct a study that would analyze possible options for strengthening and expanding the CTR program and make related recommendations. The provision would also require the Secretary to develop and submit to Congress by March 31, 2008, a report on the NAS study including the Secretary's assessment of the study together with a specific action plan for new CTR initiatives.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would make clarifying changes and would focus the scope of the NAS study on identification of threats that are most appropriately addressed by the CTR program. In addition, the amendment would allow additional time for both the NAS study and the report to be prepared by the Secretary.

To ensure timely delivery of the study described in this section, the conferees strongly urge all U.S. Government departments or agencies that provide the NAS with access to classified material for use in the study to complete promptly any necessary classification reviews of the study or related documents.

The conferees recognize that there are a wide variety of global threats arising from the proliferation of nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons and weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise. The conferees emphasize that addressing these threats will require the resources of many agencies of the United States Government, which in turn must be well coordinated with other states and international entities working in broad partnerships. The partnerships should also focus on national programs that can be sustained in the long-term.

The conferees expect that the NAS study and the Secretary's report will provide an analysis of the threats that could be addressed by the CTR program both within and outside the FSU. The conferees hope that the NAS study will identify potential opportunities for Russia and other states to work together with the United States to establish deeper partnerships to address these threats.

The conferees support strengthening and expanding, as much as possible, the programs designed to address these threats, including the CTR program. The CTR program is critical to U.S. national security and should be a top priority. Significant progress has been made over the last 10 years, but much remains to be done. The conferees believe the CTR program would benefit from additional funding to support new and expanded activities both within and outside the FSU. Elsewhere in this Act, the conferees have included a number of provisions and additional funding for the CTR program to ensure that wherever possible, actions are taken to address threats involving nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons and weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise.

Report relating to chemical weapons destruction at Shchuch'ye, Russia (sec. 1307)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1304) that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees within 30 days of the commencement of negotiations on, or the signing or finalization of, an agreement with the Russian Federation that would change implementation of the Shchuch'ye chemical weapons destruction project, under the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program, in any manner inconsistent with the purpose and intent of the amounts authorized and appropriated for the project. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the Shchuch'ye chemical weapons destruction project, setting forth a current and detailed cost estimate for project completion and a specific strategic and operating plan for project completion, which includes contractual arrangements, plans for project management and oversight, quality assurance and sustainability measures, metrics for measuring project progress, coordination plans, and a project completion date. In addition, the provision would prohibit the Secretary from implementing any new or modified agreement with Russia relating to the Shchuch'ye project, as described in the provision, until 90 days after the report and the signed and finalized agreement have been submitted to the congressional defense committees, and the Secretary makes a series of certifications with respect to the project.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to submit a report to the congressional defense committees only on the Shchuch'ye chemical weapons destruction project. This report would include: a current and detailed cost estimate for project completion; and a specific strategic and operating plan for project completion setting forth plans for project management and oversight, quality assurance and sustainability measures, metrics for measuring project progress, and a projected project completion date. This report would be due no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The conferees believe that completion of the chemical weapons destruction project at Shchuch'ye, Russia, should be a high priority for the CTR program and urge the Secretary to take necessary steps to ensure that the facility is adequately supported so that it can begin to destroy the stockpile of Russian chemical weapons as soon as possible.

National Academy of Sciences study of prevention of proliferation of biological weapons (sec. 1308)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1306) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into an arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) under which the NAS would carry out a study to identify areas for cooperation with states outside the former Soviet Union under the Cooperative Threat Reduction program to prevent the proliferation of biological weapons and dual-use materials. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit a report on the NAS study, including the Secretary's assessment of the NAS report and any actions the Secretary plans to take to implement its recommendations, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on December 31, 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make clarifying changes and would modify the reporting requirement so that the Secretary's report would be provided to Congress 90 days after receipt of the NAS report.

To ensure timely delivery of the study described in this section, the conferees strongly urge all U.S. Government departments or agencies that provide the NAS with access to classified material for use in the study to complete promptly any necessary classification reviews of the study or related documents.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Clarification of amounts for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1307) that would increase the amounts for the Cooperative Threat Reduction program by $480,000.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Military Programs

Summary and explanation of tables

This title contains funding authorizations for working capital and revolving funds, the National Defense Sealift Fund, the Defense Health Program, the destruction of chemical munitions, drug interdiction and counterdrug activities, and funding for the Department of Defense Inspector General and other programs which contain elements of more than one type of traditional funding account (such as procurement or operation and maintenance) inside a single account.

The conference agreement also includes funding for a Strategic Readiness Fund which was included in title XVII of the House bill and is included in title XIV of the conference agreement.

This title includes legislative proposals regarding the national defense stockpile, and authorizes trust fund expenditures for the Armed Forces Retirement Home, which is a Department of Defense civil program funded outside the national defense budget function.

The following tables provide the program-level detailed guidance for the funding authorized in title XIV of this Act. The tables also display the funding requested by the administration in the fiscal year 2008 budget request for these programs, and indicate those programs for which the conferees either increased or decreased the requested amounts. Unless noted in this report, funding changes to the budget request are made without prejudice.

Title XIV - Other Authorizations

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
MILITARY PROGRAMS					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM					
Defense Health Program, O&M	20,182,381	20,112,304	20,182,381	539,260	20,721,641
Legislative Proposal scorekeeping adjustment	1,862,000	1,862,000	1,862,000		1,862,000
SRM for DHP		[50,000]			
Hospital extension		[400]		[400]	
Mental initiative		[5,000]			
TBI initiative		[5,000]			
Pre and Post deployment brain health assessments		[4,000]			
Madigan AMC Trauma assistance program		[2,000]		[1,000]	
Navy FY07 under-execution		[-3,000]			
Restore military to civilian conversions		[-146,837]			
Unexpended balances		[-200,000]			
Center of Excellence for Military Eye Injuries (sec. 710)			[5,000]		
Discount drug pricing (sec. 701)			[-300,000]		
TRICARE Pharmacy copay				[187,000]	
TRICARE cost sharing				[6,000]	
Peace through health care initiative				[1,500]	
Restore efficiency wedges		[212,360]		[212,360]	
Nursing program		[1,000]		[1,000]	
Medical care for severe injuries or illnesses				[34,000]	
Extend TRICARE for primary caregivers				[2,000]	
TBI tracking pilot projects				[3,000]	
TBI/PTSD/eye diagnosis and treatment Centers of Excellence				[65,000]	

Title XIV - Other Authorizations
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Joint Electronic Health Record Program Office (DOD)				[25,000]	
NAS study on war veterans				[1,000]	
Tri-service nursing program					
Subtotal Defense Health Program O&M	**22,044,381**	**21,974,304**	**22,044,381**	**539,260**	**22,583,641**
Defense Health Program, RDTE	134,482	134,482	136,482		134,482
Motor vehicle crash study			[2,000]		
Defense Health Program, Procurement	362,261	362,261	362,261		362,261
Continuing Resolution Adjustment					
Total Defense Health Program	**22,541,124**	**22,471,047**	**22,543,124**	**539,260**	**23,080,384**
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES					
Drug Enforcement and Policy Support	936,822	936,822	1,122,122	1,200	938,022
Project Athena			[7,500]		
Surveillance technology ACTD			[15,000]		
Transfer from title XV - Afghanistan			[162,800]		
International Support		[-12,000]			
Southwestern Border Fence		[8,000]		[1,200]	
Airborne Counter-Narcotics/Terrorism Threat Protection		[4,000]			
Total Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	**936,822**	**936,822**	**1,122,122**	**1,200**	**938,022**

Title XIV - Other Authorizations

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
Office of the Inspector General, O&M	214,995	214,995	224,995	10,000	224,995
Program increase			[10,000]	[10,000]	
Office of the Inspector General, RDTE					
Office of the Inspector General, Procurement	1,000	1,000	1,000		1,000
Total Office of the Inspection General	**215,995**	**215,995**	**225,995**	**10,000**	**225,995**
CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION					
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, O&M	1,162,452	1,162,452	1,186,452	19,048	1,181,500
Program adjustment				[19,000]	
Budget adjustment - original request				[48]	
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, RDTE	274,846	274,846	274,846	37,954	312,800
Pueblo Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant				[10,000]	
Blue Grass Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant				[28,000]	
Budget adjustment - original request				[-46]	
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Procurement	18,426	18,426	30,426	-2	18,424
Budget adjustment - original request				[-2]	
Total Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction	**1,455,724**	**1,455,724**	**1,491,724**	**57,000**	**1,512,724**

Title XIV - Other Authorizations
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds	102,446	102,000	102,446		102,446
Defense Working Capital Funds - DeCA	1,250,300	1,250,300	1,250,300		1,250,300
Defense Coalition Support Fund	22,000	22,000		-22,000	
National Defense Sealift Fund	1,079,094	1,535,194	1,044,194	270,000	1,349,094
Transfer to RDT&E Navy			[-4,900]		
Rephasing of MPF(F) R&D effort			[-30,000]	[-30,000]	
T-AKE ship		[456,100]			
T-AKE ships				[300,000]	
Advance procurement for 3 T-AKE ships					
Pentagon Reservation Maintenance Revolving Fund					
TOTAL REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS	**2,453,840**	**2,909,494**	**2,396,940**	**248,000**	**2,701,840**
TOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS - MILITARY	**27,603,505**	**27,989,082**	**27,779,905**	**855,460**	**28,458,965**
CIVIL PROGRAMS					
Armed Forces Retirement Home	61,624	61,624	61,624		61,624
TOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS - CIVIL	**61,624**	**61,624**	**61,624**		**61,624**
TOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS	**27,665,129**	**28,050,706**	**27,841,529**	**855,460**	**28,520,589**

Working capital funds (sec. 1401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 302) that would authorize fiscal year 2008 funds for Defense Working Capital Funds and the National Defense Sealift Fund.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision for working capital funds (sec. 1401).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

National Defense Sealift Fund (sec. 1402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 302) that would authorize fiscal year 2008 funds for the National Defense Sealift Fund and for working capital funds.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision authorizing appropriations for the National Defense Sealift Fund (sec. 1402).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Defense Health Program (sec. 1403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 303) that would authorize fiscal year 2008 funds for the Defense Health Program and other programs.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision authorizing appropriations for the Defense Health Program (sec. 1403).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Chemical agents and munitions destruction, Defense (sec. 1404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 303) that would authorize fiscal year 2008 funds for chemical agents and munitions destruction and other programs.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision authorizing appropriations for chemical agents and munitions destruction (sec. 1404).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 303) that would authorize fiscal year 2008 funds for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities and other programs.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision authorizing appropriations for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities (sec. 1405).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Defense Inspector General (sec. 1406)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 303) that would authorize fiscal year 2008 funds for the Department of Defense Inspector General and other programs.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision authorizing appropriations for the Inspector General (sec. 1406).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—National Defense Stockpile

Authorized uses of National Defense Stockpile funds (sec. 1411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3301) that would authorize the use of funds from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund for the operation and maintenance of the National Defense Stockpile for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Revisions to required receipt objectives for previously authorized disposals from the National Defense Stockpile (sec. 1412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3302) that would authorize revisions on limitations in asset sales from the National Defense Stockpile.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1413).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would amend section 3402(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) to increase the Department of Defense's stockpile commodity disposal authority from $600.0 million to $710.0 million. The Senate amendment would further amend section 3303(a) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261), as amended by section 3302 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375), and section 3302(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) to increase the Department's disposal authority from $1,016.0 million to $1,066.0 million.

Disposal of ferromanganese (sec. 1413)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1411) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify that disposal of ferromanganese from the National Defense Stockpile above 50,000 and 75,000 tons in fiscal year 2008 is in the national defense interest, will not disrupt markets, and is consistent with stockpile requirements. The Senate amendment would also require the Department of Defense to wait 30 days after certification before disposing of additional ferromangangese.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would allow for certification when the Department has contracts for 50,000 and 75,000 tons and would eliminate the 30-day wait period.

Disposal of chrome metal (sec. 1414)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1412) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify that disposal of chrome metal from the National Defense Stockpile above 500 and 750 short tons in fiscal year 2008 is in the national defense interest, will not disrupt markets, and is consistent with stockpile requirements. The Senate amendment would also require the Department of Defense to wait 30 days after certification before disposing of additional chrome metal.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Armed Forces Retirement Home

Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1421)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 422) that would authorize $61.6 million to be appropriated for fiscal year 2008 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund for operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1421).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Administration and oversight of the Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1422)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1422) that would amend the Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991 to require: (1) treatment of the Armed Forces Retirement Home as a military facility of the Department of Defense for the purpose of entering into contracts, agreements, or transactions regarding real property; (2) accreditation by a nationally recognized civilian accrediting organization for each aspect of each facility of the Retirement Home; (3) appointment of a Chief Medical Officer of the Retirement Home; and (4) the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to inspect the Retirement Home every 2 years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would: (1) provide that the administration of the Retirement Home remains under the direct authority, control, and administration of the Secretary of Defense, (2) require the Secretary of Defense to designate the Deputy Director of the TRICARE Management Activity to serve as the senior medical advisor for the Retirement Home; and (3) require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to inspect the Retirement Home in any year in which a facility of the Retirement Home is not inspected by a nationally recognized civilian accrediting organization. The amendment deleted the requirement that the Retirement Home be treated as a military facility of the Department of Defense for the purpose of entering into contracts, agreements, or transactions regarding real property.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Additional amount for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities with respect to Afghanistan

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1405A) that would transfer funding for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities in Afghanistan between titles of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables of this report.

Reduction in certain authorizations due to savings from lower inflation

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1407) that would reduce the amounts authorized in Division A of this Act by $1.6 billion to bring the inflation assumptions applicable to purchases by the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2008 in line with the economic assumptions previously adopted by Congress in the budget resolution for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Pilot program to establish an Army Wounded Warrior battalion at an appropriate active duty base

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1419) that would require the Secretary of the Army to establish a pilot program, known as the Army Wounded Warrior Program, based on the Wounded Warrior Regiment program of the Marine Corps.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferrees are aware that the Army has already established Warrior Transition Units that are similar in function to the Marine Wounded Warrior Regiment program.

Establishment of medical support fund for support of members of the armed forces returning to military service or civilian life

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1422) that would require the establishment of a medical support fund on the books of the Treasury to support programs and activities relating to the medical treatment, care, rehabilitation, recovery, and support of wounded and injured service members and their families, and authorized $50.0 million for the fund.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Oversight Board for Wounded Warriors

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1423) that would require the establishment of a 12 member board to be known as the Oversight Board for Wounded Warriors to provide oversight of medical care, quality of life, administrative processing, and family programs supporting wounded warriors and to

provide advice and counsel to Congress and the Department of Defense about how the programs can be made more efficient and effective.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Study and report of waiting periods for appointments at Department of Veterans Affairs medical facilities

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1438) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to conduct a study on the average length of time between the desired date for which a veteran seeks to schedule an appointment for health care at a Department of Veterans Affairs medical facility and the date on which such appointment is completed.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Increase in physicians at hospitals of the Department of Veterans Affairs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1453) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to increase the number of resident physicians at hospitals of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OPERATION IRAQI FREEDOM AND OPERATION ENDURING FREEDOM

Overview

The President's budget as submitted in February requested $141.7 billion in emergency funding for the Department of Defense for Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF), Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF), and for other purposes, including some of the ''grow the force'' costs of increasing Army and Marine Corps active-duty personnel levels. The Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008 fully funded this amount.

After the House and Senate bills had been reported, and the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget had been adopted, the President submitted two additional budget amendments. On July 31, 2007, the President requested an additional $5.3 billion for Mine-Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) vehicles. On October 22, 2007, the President requested an additional $42.3 billion for operations in Iraq and Afghanistan and for other purposes, bringing the total requested for war-related purposes for fiscal year 2008 to $189.3 billion.

The summary table and detailed tables that follow summarize the funding requested in February, July, and October as emergency spending for these operations, together with the conferee's actions on these requests. Funding for Department of Defense operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, with the exception of funding for military construction projects to support these operations, is included in title XV of this Act. Funding for military construction projects in Iraq and Afghanistan is included in title XXIX of this Act.

EXPLANATION OF TABLES

Explanation of tables

The following tables provide the program-level detailed guidance for the funding authorized in title XV of this Act. The tables also display the funding requested by the administration in the fiscal year 2008 budget request for war-related programs, and indicate those programs for which the conferees either increased or decreased the requested amounts. Unless noted in this report, funding changes to the budget request are made without prejudice.

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Aircraft Procurement, Army										
	Aircraft										
	Fixed Wing										
1	UTILITY F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT		12,500		12,500		12,500				12,500
	Rotary Wing										
3	ARMED RECONNAISSANCE HELICC	29	222,600						-38,600	29	184,000
	Reduction				[-222,600]		[-38,600]		[-38,600]		
	Transfer to OMA for UH-60 Blackhawk Recapitalization						[-86,000]				
	Transfer to APA to upgrade UH-60A helicopters to UH-60L						[-38,000]				
	Transfer to APA for common missile warning systems for fixed-wing aircraft						[-60,000]				
5	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	39	527,403	39	527,403	39	157,023			39	527,403
5	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (										
	Transfer to Title I						[-370,380]				
	Modification of Aircraft										
9	GUARDRAIL MODS (TIARA)		33,000		33,000		33,000				33,000
10	ARL MODS (TIARA)		25,000		25,000		25,000				25,000
11	AH-64 MODS	12	417,800	12	417,800	12	417,800			12	417,800
11	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY)										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
13	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS	21	645,207	21	635,607	21	121,067			21	645,207
	Memo: original request		[635,607]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,600]								
	Transfer to Title I						[-514,540]				
18	UH-60 MODS						38,000				
	Transferred from ARH to upgrade UH-60A helicopters to UH-60L						[38,000]				
	Spares and Repair Parts										
22	SPARE PARTS (AIR)										
	Support Equipment and Facilities										
	Ground Support Avionics										
23	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMI						60,000				
	Transferred from ARH for Common Missile Warning System for fixed-wing aircraft						[60,000]				
24	ASE INFRARED CM		207,435								207,435
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[207,435]								
	Other Support										
27	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		10,356		10,000		10,000				10,356
	Memo: original request		[10,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[356]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
28	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		10,200		10,200		10,200				10,200
29	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		13,963		6,196		6,196				13,963
	Memo: original request		[6,196]								
	Memo: October amendment		[7,767]								
	Total - Aircraft Procurement, Army		**2,125,464**		**1,677,706**		**890,786**		**-38,600**		**2,086,864**
	Missile Procurement, Army										
	Other Missiles										
	Surface-to-air Missile System										
	Air-to-surface Missile System										
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	2,585	228,426	2,585	228,426	2,585	228,426			2,585	228,426
	Anti-tank/Assault Missile System										
5	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMM/	935	121,210							935	121,210
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[121,210]								
8	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	570	67,200	570	67,200	570	67,200			570	67,200
12	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS	177	197,108			177	197,108			177	197,108
	Transfer to MRAP				[-197,108]						
	Modification of Missiles										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Modifications										
15	ITAS/TOW MODS		27,820								27,820
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[27,820]								
	Spares and Repair Parts										
	Support Equipment and Facilities										
	Total - Missile Procurement Army		**641,764**		**295,626**		**492,734**				**641,764**
	Procurement of Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles										
	Tracked Combat Vehicles										
2	BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT	543	1,621,100	481	1,402,500					543	1,621,100
	Memo: original request		[1,402,500]								
	Memo: October amendment		[218,600]								
	Transfer to Title I						[-1,402,500]				
5	STRYKER VEHICLE	483	2,030,581	100	402,775	29	117,000			483	2,030,581
	Memo: original request		[402,775]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,627,806]								
	Transfer to Title I						[-402,775]				
	Additional Stryker vehicles to replace battle losses						[117,000]				

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Modification of Tracked Combat Vehicles										
8	CARRIER, MOD	317	132,220	317	132,220					317	132,220
	Transfer to Title I						[-132,220]				
9	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	44	130,000	44	130,000					44	130,000
	Transfer to Title I						[-130,000]				
10	BFVS SERIES (MOD)		132,900		48,000		48,000				132,900
	Memo: original request		[48,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[84,900]								
11	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M10		41,211								41,211
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[41,211]								
13	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M	112	320,461	100	277,400					112	320,461
	Memo: original request		[277,400]								
	Memo: October amendment		[43,061]								
	Transfer to Title I						[-277,400]				
17	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE		38,400								38,400
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[38,400]								
18	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		588,585		337,565		337,565				588,585
	Memo: original request		[337,565]								
	Memo: October amendment		[251,020]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
19A	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	235	1,303,100	235	1,303,100					235	1,303,100
	Transfer to Title I						[-1,303,100]				
	Support Equipment and Facilities										
20	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (TCV-WTC	25	139,000							25	139,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[139,000]								
	Weapons and Other Combat Vehicles										
22	HOWITZER, LIGHT, TOWED, 105MM	16	17,600							16	17,600
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[17,600]								
24	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN (7.62M	5,480	61,541	3,000	42,721	3,000	42,721			5,480	61,541
	Memo: original request		[42,721]								
	Memo: October amendment		[18,820]								
25	MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL	1,778	27,327	1,278	19,000	1,278	19,000			1,778	27,327
	Memo: original request		[19,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[8,327]								
26	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN (5.56MM)		1,784		1,784		1,784				1,784
27	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN (40	1,580	30,614	1,580	30,614	1,580	30,614			1,580	30,614
28	MORTAR SYSTEMS	377	33,459	345	29,880	345	29,880			377	33,459
	Memo: original request		[29,880]								
	Memo: October amendment		[3,579]								
30	M107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE		402		402		402				402

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

		Amended Budget Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change vs. Amended Request		Conference Authorized	
Line	Program Title	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
31	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODU	1,042	3,500	1,042	3,500	1,042	3,500			1,042	3,500
33	M4 CARBINE	47,185	79,469	47,185	79,469	47,185	79,469			47,185	79,469
34	SHOTGUN, MODULAR ACCESSORY	3,109	4,000	3,109	4,000	3,109	4,000			3,109	4,000
35	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED W	768	220,000	768	220,000	768	220,000			768	220,000
	Modification of Weapons and Other Combat Vehicles										
39	M4 CARBINE MODS		125,115		125,115		125,115				125,115
40	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS		9,000		9,000		9,000				9,000
41	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS		13,556		11,883		11,883				13,556
	Memo: original request		[11,883]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,673]								
42	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS		3,271		2,648		2,648				3,271
	Memo: original request		[2,648]								
	Memo: October amendment		[623]								
43	PHALANX MODS	16	150,000	16	150,000	16	150,000			16	150,000
45	M16 RIFLE MODS		1,845		1,845		1,845				1,845
46	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN $5.0M		21,454		7,054		7,054				21,454
	Memo: original request		[7,054]								
	Memo: October amendment		[14,400]								
	Support Equipment and Facilities										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
50	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIEI		8,202		7,697		7,697				8,202
	Memo: original request		[7,697]								
	Memo: October amendment		[505]								
	Spares										
	Total - Procurement of WTCV, Army		**7,289,697**		**4,780,172**		**1,249,177**				**7,289,697**
	Procurement of Ammunition, Army										
	Ammunition										
	Small/Medium Caliber Ammunition										
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	8,894	10,000	8,894	10,000	8,894	10,000			8,894	10,000
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	3,111	13,500	3,111	13,500	3,111	13,500			3,111	13,500
6	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	284	15,000	284	15,000	284	15,000			284	15,000
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	535	40,000	535	40,000	535	40,000			535	40,000
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	2,014	75,000	2,014	75,000	2,014	75,000			2,014	75,000
	Mortar Ammunition										
	Tank Ammunition										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Artillery Ammunition										
15	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES		10,000		10,000						10,000
	105 MM HERA						[-10,000]				
18	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SY!		20,000		20,000		20,000				20,000
	Artillery Fuzes										
	Mines										
	Rockets										
23	SHOULDER FIRED ROCKETS, ALL T	1,927	20,000	1,927	20,000	1,927	20,000			1,927	20,000
24	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		28,000		28,000		28,000				28,000
	Other Ammunition										
25	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPE	689	8,000	689	8,000	689	8,000			689	8,000
26	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	119	10,000	119	10,000	119	10,000			119	10,000
28	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	115	8,000	115	8,000	115	8,000			115	8,000
	Miscellaneous										
30	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYI	499	54,000	499	54,000	499	54,000			499	54,000
32	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	538	1,500	538	1,500	538	1,500			538	1,500
	Ammunition Production Base Support										
	Production Base Support										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
36	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILI		200,600								200,600
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[200,600]								
	Re-Estimate of Guaranteed Loan										
	Interest on Re-Estimate										
	Total - Procurement of Ammunition, Army		**513,600**		**313,000**		**303,000**				**513,600**
	Other Procurement, Army										
	Tactical and Support Vehicles										
	Tactical Vehicles										
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS		261,316		36,958		210,188				261,316
	Memo: original request		[210,188]								
	Memo: October amendment		[51,128]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-173,230]						
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED	640	44,066	117	6,226	117	6,226			640	44,066
	Memo: original request		[6,226]								
	Memo: October amendment		[37,840]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
3	SEMITRAILERS, TANKERS	251	67,318							251	67,318
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[67,318]								
4	HI MOB MULTI-PURP WHLD VEH (HI	10,878	2,054,530	10,878	1,321,630	10,878	1,321,630			10,878	2,054,530
	Memo: original request		[1,321,630]								
	Memo: October amendment		[732,900]								
5	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH	14,868	2,838,951	3,181	185,110	3,181	185,110			14,868	2,838,951
	Memo: original request		[185,110]								
	Memo: October amendment		[2,653,841]								
6	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREF	30	26,024	10	9,000	10	9,000			30	26,024
	Memo: original request		[9,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[17,024]								
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHIC	5,305	2,836,339	2,747	1,136,455	2,747	1,136,455			5,305	2,836,339
	Memo: original request		[1,136,455]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,699,884]								
8	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (A:	381	310,854	371	228,317					381	310,854
	Memo: original request		[301,898]								
	Memo: October amendment		[8,956]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-73,581]						
	Transfer to Title I						[-301,898]				

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

		Amended Budget Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change vs. Amended Request		Conference Authorized	
Line	Program Title	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
9	MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE FAMIL	160	179,440	155	87,220	1,707	1,726,440			160	179,440
	Memo: original request										
	Memo: October amendment										
	Transfer to MRAP				[-87,220]						
	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles						[1,552,000]				
9a	Mine Resistant Ambush Protection Vel	627	11,798,000		1,552,000	15,200	23,600,000			627	11,798,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: July amendment		[1,437,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[10,361,000]								
	Transfer from other programs				[1,552,000]						
	Additional MRAP vehicles (S. Amdt # 3075)						[23,600,000]				
10	TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M91	3,185	524,902	1,791	228,107	1,791	276,022			3,185	524,902
	Memo: original request		[276,022]								
	Memo: October amendment		[248,880]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-47,915]						
11	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL	648	183,148							648	183,148
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[183,148]								
13	HMMWV RECAPITALIZATION PROG	9,486	465,000	9,255	455,000	9,255	455,000			9,486	465,000
	Memo: original request		[455,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[10,000]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
14	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP		1,272,029		1,094,789		1,094,789				1,272,029
	Memo: original request		[1,094,789]								
	Memo: October amendment		[177,240]								
15	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (TAC VEH)	1,559	7,970	1,559	7,970	1,559	7,970			1,559	7,970
	Non-tactical Vehicles										
17	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	20	3,500							20	3,500
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[3,500]								
18	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	32	5,000							32	5,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[5,000]								
19	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	6	600							6	600
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[600]								
	Communications and Electronics Equipment										
	Comm-Joint Communications										
	Comm-Satellite Communications										
23	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND		18,614		18,614		18,614				18,614
24	SHF TERM		22,822		22,822		22,822				22,822
25	SAT TERM, EMUT (SPACE)		17,600		17,600		17,600				17,600
26	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING S'	19,034	50,745	19,034	50,745	19,034	50,745			19,034	50,745

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
27	SMART-T (SPACE)		2,041		2,041		2,041				2,041
	Comm-C3 System										
31	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL S\	1	5,510	1	2,755	1	2,755			1	5,510
	Memo: original request		[2,755]								
	Memo: October amendment		[2,755]								
	Comm-Combat Communications										
32	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEI	795	23,540	795	23,540	795	23,540			795	23,540
34	SINCGARS FAMILY	170,756	2,248,347	98,410	615,847				-1,453,000	170,756	795,347
	Memo: original request		[1,370,347]								
	Memo: October amendment		[878,000]						[-878,000]		
	Reduction: cost growth & production limitations				[-754,500]				[-575,000]		
	Transfer to Title I						[-1,370,347]				
38	BRIDGE TO FUTURE NETWORKS	653	2,560,571	653	445,271				-1,000,000	653	1,560,571
	Joint network node (JNN)				[-1,115,300]				[-1,000,000]		
	Transfer to MRAP				[-1,000,000]						
	Transfer to Title I						[-2,560,571]				
39	COMMS-ELEC EQUIP FIELDING		4,000		2,000		2,000				4,000
	Memo: original request		[2,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[2,000]								
42	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCA	5,637	79,331	3,115	43,831	3,115	43,831			5,637	79,331
	Memo: original request		[43,831]								
	Memo: October amendment		[35,500]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
43	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMI	27,335	462,288	26,785	324,998	26,785	433,398			27,335	462,288
	Memo: original request		[433,398]								
	Memo: October amendment		[28,890]								
	Reduction: cost growth & production limitations				[-108,400]						
44	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALT	1,270	74,655		12,934		12,934			1,270	74,655
	Memo: original request		[12,934]								
	Memo: October amendment		[61,721]								
	Comm-Intelligence Communications										
45	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE		7,410		7,410		7,410				7,410
	Information Security										
46	TSEC - ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS)		75,600		51,600		51,600				75,600
	Memo: original request		[51,600]								
	Memo: October amendment		[24,000]								
47	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY	24,922	154,991	24,922	96,651	24,922	96,651			24,922	154,991
	Memo: original request		[96,651]								
	Memo: October amendment		[58,340]								
	Comm-Long Haul Communications										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Comm-Base Communications										
52	INFORMATION SYSTEMS		9,546								9,546
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,546]								
	Elect Equip-Nat For Int Prog (NFIP)										
56	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
57	GENERAL DEFENSE INTELL PROG	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
	Elect Equip-Tact Int Rel Act (TIARA)										
58	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYS (ASAS	220	103,500	220	103,500	220	103,500			220	103,500
60	PROPHET GROUND (MIP)	24	23,000	24	23,000	24	23,000			24	23,000
61	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL SYS	26	233,245	12	213,485	12	213,485			26	233,245
	Memo: original request		[213,485]								
	Memo: October amendment		[19,760]								
62	SMALL UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEI	96	24,174		10,400		10,400			96	24,174
	Memo: original request		[10,400]								
	Memo: October amendment		[13,774]								
64	DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (I	67	17,000	16	12,000	16	12,000			67	17,000
	Memo: original request		[12,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[5,000]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
66	TACTICAL EXPLOITATION SYSTEM		19,500								19,500
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[19,500]								
67	DCGS-A (MIP)	14	62,331	14	62,331	14	62,331			14	62,331
71	CI HUMINT INFO MANAGEMENT SY:	42	1,200	42	1,200	42	1,200			42	1,200
72	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MIP)	28	15,300	28	15,300	28	15,300			28	15,300
	Elect Equip-Electronic Warfare (EW)										
73	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR	25	10,470	25	10,470	25	10,470			25	10,470
74	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURIT'		139,062		23,880		23,880				139,062
	Memo: original request		[23,880]								
	Memo: October amendment		[115,182]								
	Elect Equip-Tactical Surv. (TAC SURV)										
77	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	109,124	340,394	109,124	271,594	109,124	340,394			109,124	340,394
	Transfer to MRAP				[-68,800]						
78	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT S						33,000				
	Night Vision Advanced Technology						[33,000]				
79	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGI	3,493	36,000	3,493	36,000	3,493	36,000			3,493	36,000
80	RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEMS		1,554								1,554
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,554]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
81	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	15	2,800							15	2,800
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[2,800]								
83	ENHANCED PORTABLE INDUCTIVE	8	87							8	87
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[87]								
84	PROFILER	48	75,483	42	64,800	42	64,800			48	75,483
	Memo: original request		[64,800]								
	Memo: October amendment		[10,683]								
85	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDEI		43,200		43,200		43,200				43,200
86	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE	8,940	425,110	6,629	281,860	6,629	374,860			8,940	425,110
	Memo: original request		[374,860]								
	Memo: October amendment		[50,250]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-93,000]						
87	LIGHTWEIGHT LSR DESIGNATOR/R	206	57,000	206	57,000	206	57,000			206	57,000
88	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XI	350	10,550	297	9,400	297	9,400			350	10,550
	Memo: original request		[9,400]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,150]								
89	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	50	6,192	12	1,500	12	1,500			50	6,192
	Memo: original request		[1,500]								
	Memo: October amendment		[4,692]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Elect Equip-Tactical C2 Systems										
92	TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS	397	263,709	397	131,809	397	263,709			397	263,709
	Transfer to MRAP				[-131,900]						
93	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY		26,248		17,800		17,800				26,248
	Memo: original request		[17,800]								
	Memo: October amendment		[8,448]								
95	FAAD C2		21,500		21,500		21,500				21,500
96	AIR & MSL DEF PLANNING & CONTF	12	45,200	12	45,200	12	45,200			12	45,200
97	KNIGHT FAMILY	40	68,077	29	50,000	29	50,000			40	68,077
	Memo: original request		[50,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[18,077]								
99	AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECH		189,506		173,150		189,506				189,506
	Transfer to MRAP				[-16,356]						
100	TC AIMS II		11,090		10,225		10,225				11,090
	Memo: original request		[10,225]								
	Memo: October amendment		[865]								
102	TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER		12,400		12,400		12,400				12,400
104	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MC		57,905		57,905		57,905				57,905

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
105	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPI		553,270		252,520						553,270
	Memo: original request		[552,520]								
	Memo: October amendment		[750]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-300,000]						
	Program delays & lack of justification						[-552,520]				
	Elect Equip - Automation										
109	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING E		9,949		9,949		9,949				9,949
110	CSS COMMUNICATIONS		194,975		116,304		145,380				194,975
	Memo: original request		[145,380]								
	Memo: October amendment		[49,595]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-29,076]						
110A	Sequoyah Foreign Language	1,658	12,813	1,658	12,813	1,658	12,813			1,658	12,813
110B	Counter-Rocket Artillery & Mortar		245,000		245,000		245,000				245,000
	Elect Equip-Audio Visual Sys (A/V)										
	Elect Equip-Mods Tactical Sys/Eq										
	Elect Equip-Support										
	Other Support Equipment										
	Chemical Defensive Equipment										
119	RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEM NUCLI		72,000		72,000		72,000				72,000
120	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION		137,365		44,564		44,564				137,365
	Memo: original request		[44,564]								
	Memo: October amendment		[92,801]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
121	SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY: SO		1,098								1,098
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,098]								
	Bridging Equipment										
122	TACTICAL BRIDGING		76,000								76,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[76,000]								
123	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON		42,500		39,000		39,000				42,500
	Memo: original request		[39,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[3,500]								
	Engineer (Non-construction) Equipment										
128	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL		7,650		3,300		3,300				7,650
	Memo: original request		[3,300]								
	Memo: October amendment		[4,350]								
	Combat Service Support Equipment										
131	HEATERS AND ECU'S	696	13,512	396	6,859	396	6,859			696	13,512
	Memo: original request		[6,859]								
	Memo: October amendment		[6,653]								
132	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRI		5,200								5,200
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[5,200]								
133	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT		8,757		8,757		8,757				8,757

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
137	FORCE PROVIDER		18,400								18,400
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[18,400]								
138	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT		12,060								12,060
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[12,060]								
139	CARGO AERIAL DELIVERY PROGRA	1,836	49,150	270	22,400	270	22,400			1,836	49,150
	Memo: original request		[22,400]								
	Memo: October amendment		[26,750]								
	Petroleum Equipment										
143	QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPME	42	65,364	8	12,680	8	12,680			42	65,364
	Memo: original request		[12,680]								
	Memo: October amendment		[52,684]								
144	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLE	15	64,549		21,741		21,741			15	64,549
	Memo: original request		[21,741]								
	Memo: October amendment		[42,808]								
	Water Equipment										
145	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS	17	8,135	3	1,600	3	1,600			17	8,135
	Memo: original request		[1,600]								
	Memo: October amendment		[6,535]								
	Medical Equipment										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

		Amended Budget Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change vs. Amended Request		Conference Authorized	
Line	Program Title	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
146	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL		8,078		3,617		3,617				8,078
	Memo: original request		[3,617]								
	Memo: October amendment		[4,461]								
	Maintenance Equipment										
147	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMEN		145,039		69,997		69,997				145,039
	Memo: original request		[69,997]								
	Memo: October amendment		[75,042]								
148	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MAINT EC		120,586		60,586						120,586
	Transfer to MRAP				[-60,000]						
	Transfer to Title I						[-120,586]				
	Construction Equipment										
149	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (C	2	788							2	788
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[788]								
151	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	1	1,438							1	1,438
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,438]								
154	LOADERS	35	9,502							35	9,502
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,502]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
155	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	1	400							1	400
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[400]								
158	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVA	18	14,609							18	14,609
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[14,609]								
159	CONST EQUIP ESP	69	9,500	69	9,500	69	9,500			69	9,500
	Rail Float Containerization Equipment										
	Generators										
166	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED E		152,258		99,998		99,998				152,258
	Memo: original request		[99,998]								
	Memo: October amendment		[52,260]								
	Material Handling Equipment										
167	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANI	141	109,414	37	29,219	37	29,219			141	109,414
	Memo: original request		[29,219]								
	Memo: October amendment		[80,195]								
168	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTE	69	33,381		22,064		22,064			69	33,381
	Memo: original request		[22,064]								
	Memo: October amendment		[11,317]								
	Training Equipment										
170	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	136	342	136	342	136	342			136	342

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Test Measure and Dig Equipment (TMD)										
173	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT		57,307		36,856		36,856				57,307
	Memo: original request		[36,856]								
	Memo: October amendment		[20,451]								
174	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQU		133,918		57,111		57,111				133,918
	Memo: original request		[57,111]								
	Memo: October amendment		[76,807]								
175	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION	1,305	10,840	1,305	10,840	1,305	10,840			1,305	10,840
	Other Support Equipment										
176	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPC		1,161,552								1,161,552
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,161,552]								
176a	WARLOCKS		34,000								34,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[34,000]								
178	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OF		1,191		35		35				1,191
	Memo: original request		[35]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,156]								
180	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMI		23,007		4,620		4,620				23,007
	Memo: original request		[4,620]								
	Memo: October amendment		[18,387]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

		Amended Budget Request		House Authorized		Senate Authorized		Conference Change vs. Amended Request		Conference Authorized	
Line	Program Title	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost	Qty	Cost
182	BUILDING, PRE-FAB, RELOCATABLE		134,469								134,469
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[134,469]								
184	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3		131,740								131,740
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[131,740]								
	Spares and Repair Parts										
	OPA2										
	OPA3										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		1,077		1,077		1,077				1,077
	Total - Other Procurement, Army		**34,931,568**		**11,123,699**		**33,910,055**		**-2,453,000**		**32,478,568**

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund										
	Network Attack										
1	ATTACK THE NETWORK		926,000		926,000		926,000				926,000
	JIEDDO Device Defeat										
2	DEFEAT THE DEVICE		2,740,000		2,740,000		2,940,000		160,000		2,900,000
	Transfer from Title I						[200,000]		[160,000]		
	Force Training										
3	TRAIN THE FORCE		603,000		334,000		334,000				603,000
	Memo: original request		[334,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[269,000]								
	Staff and Infrastructure										
4	OPERATIONS						300,000		112,000		112,000
	Transfer from Title I						[300,000]		[112,000]		
	Total - Joint IED Defeat Fund		**4,269,000**		**4,000,000**		**4,500,000**		**272,000**		**4,541,000**

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Aircraft Procurement, Navy										
	Combat Aircraft										
2	EA-18G	5	375,000							5	375,000
2	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (										
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[375,000]								
3	EA-18G ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)										
4	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP	13	768,040	9	531,540					13	768,040
4	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (										
	Memo: original request		[713,540]								
	Memo: October amendment		[54,500]								
	Offset for aircraft provided in supplemental				[-182,000]						
	Transfer to Title I						[-713,540]				
8	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	2	140,500	2	140,500	2	140,500			2	140,500
8	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY)										
10	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	6	123,400	6	123,400					6	123,400
	Delay production ramp-up						[-123,400]				

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
11	MH-60S (MYP)	6	190,300	3	88,000	3	88,000			6	190,300
11	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (										
	Memo: original request		[88,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[102,300]								
13	MH-60R	6	205,000	6	205,000	6	205,000			6	205,000
	Airlift Aircraft										
	Trainer Aircraft										
	Other Aircraft										
20	KC-130J	7	495,400	7	495,400	7	495,400			7	495,400
	Modification of Aircraft										
25	EA-6 SERIES		200,710		200,710		200,710				200,710
26	AV-8 SERIES		22,600		22,600		22,600				22,600
28	F-18 SERIES		60,264		60,264		60,264				60,264
29	H-46 SERIES		35,140		35,140		35,140				35,140
30	AH-1W SERIES		66,974		66,974		66,974				66,974
31	H-53 SERIES		94,112		94,112		94,112				94,112
32	SH-60 SERIES		6,696		6,696		6,696				6,696
33	H-1 SERIES		42,134		42,134		42,134				42,134

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
34	EP-3 SERIES		9,000								9,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,000]								
35	P-3 SERIES		3,100		700		700				3,100
	Memo: original request		[700]								
	Memo: October amendment		[2,400]								
40	C-130 SERIES		53,100		53,100		53,100				53,100
43	E-6 SERIES		1,000		1,000		1,000				1,000
44	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES		3,360		3,360		3,360				3,360
45	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT		8,010		2,710		2,710				8,010
	Memo: original request		[2,710]								
	Memo: October amendment		[5,300]								
47	POWER PLANT CHANGES		11,300		11,300		11,300				11,300
50	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT		183,180		183,180		183,180				183,180
54	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY		107,792		107,792		107,792				107,792
	Aircraft Spares and Repair Parts										
55	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		386,487		371,487		371,487				386,487
	Memo: original request		[371,487]								
	Memo: October amendment		[15,000]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Aircraft Support Equipment and Facilities										
56	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		54,000		54,000		54,000				54,000
57	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		787		787		787				787
58	WAR CONSUMABLES		16,072		16,072		16,072				16,072
60	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		245,000								245,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[245,000]								
	Total - Aircraft Procurement, Navy		**3,908,458**		**2,917,958**		**2,263,018**				**3,908,458**
	Weapons Procurement, Navy										
	Ballistic Missiles										
	Modification of Missiles										
	Support Equipment and Facilities										
	Other Missiles										
	Strategic Missiles										
3	TOMAHAWK		103,460		103,460		103,460				103,460

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Tactical Missiles										
4	AMRAAM		825		825		825				825
7	SLAM-ER		13,500		13,500		13,500				13,500
10	HELLFIRE		44,000		44,000		44,000				44,000
	Modification of Missiles										
	Support Equipment and Facilities										
	Ordnance Support Equipment										
	Torpedoes and Related Equipment										
	Torpedoes and Related Equip.										
	Mod of Torpedoes and Related Equipment										
	Support Equipment										
	Destination Transportation										
	Other Weapons										
	Guns and Gun Mounts										
28	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		22,196		22,196		22,196				22,196
	Modification of Guns and Gun Mounts										
29	CIWS MODS	14	67,000							14	67,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[67,000]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Other										
35	MARINE CORPS TACTIAL UNMANNE		67,300		67,300		67,300				67,300
	Spares and Repair Parts										
	Total - Weapons Procurement, Navy		318,281		251,281		251,281				318,281
	Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps										
	Proc Ammo, Navy										
	Navy Ammunition										
2	JDAM	446	10,000							446	10,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[10,000]								
8	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASU		13,250		13,250		13,250				13,250
12	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		85		85		85				85
13	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AM		65,857		56,057		56,057				65,857
	Memo: original request		[56,057]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,800]								
14	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		127		127		127				127
	Proc Ammo, MC										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Marine Corps Ammunition										
16	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		55,289		55,289		55,289				55,289
20	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		7,749		7,749		7,749				7,749
22	40 MM, ALL TYPES		46,192		46,192		46,192				46,192
23	60MM, ALL TYPES		60,504		60,504		60,504				60,504
24	81MM, ALL TYPES		70,002		70,002		70,002				70,002
25	120MM, ALL TYPES		118,041		118,041		118,041				118,041
26	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES		1,341		1,341		1,341				1,341
28	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		18,769		18,769		18,769				18,769
29	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		16,546		16,546		16,546				16,546
30	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES		102,066		102,066		102,066				102,066
32	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPE		7,077		7,077		7,077				7,077
33	FUZE, ALL TYPES		1,761		1,761		1,761				1,761
34	NON LETHALS		11,231		11,231		11,231				11,231
35	AMMO MODERNIZATION		4,002		4,002		4,002				4,002
36	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION		1		1		1				1
	Total - Procurement of Ammunition, Navy &		**609,890**		**590,090**		**590,090**			**446**	**609,890**

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy										
	Other Warships										
	Amphibious Ships										
	Auxiliaries, Craft and Prior Yr Program Costs										
	Total - Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy										
	Other Procurement, Navy										
	Ships Support Equipment										
	Ship Propulsion Equipment										
1	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE		1,016								1,016
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,016]								
	Navigation Equipment										
	Underway Replenishment Equipment										
	Periscopes										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Other Shipboard Equipment										
9	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		11,000								11,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[11,000]								
	Reactor Plant Equipment										
	Ocean Engineering										
	Small Boats										
24	STANDARD BOATS		19,396		19,396		19,396				19,396
	Training Equipment										
	Production Facilities and Equipment										
	Other Ship Support										
	Drug Interdiction Support										
	Communications and Electronics Equipment										
	Ship Radars										
	Ship Sonars										
	ASW Electronic Equipment										
40	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER		3,060		3,060		3,060				3,060
	Electronic Warfare Equipment										
	Reconnaissance Equipment										
43	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT		44,000		44,000		44,000				44,000

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Submarine Surveillance Equipment										
44	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		20,100		17,100		17,100				20,100
	Memo: original request		[17,100]								
	Memo: October amendment		[3,000]								
	Other Ship Electronic Equipment										
47	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT		920		920		920				920
	Training Equipment										
	Aviation Electronic Equipment										
56	MATCALS		26,890		26,890		26,890				26,890
60	AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMEN		6,111		750		750				6,111
	Memo: original request		[750]								
	Memo: October amendment		[5,361]								
	Other Shore Electronic Equipment										
67	COMMON IMAGERY GROUND SURF		38,000				38,000				38,000
	Transfer to MRAP				[-38,000]						
	Shipboard Communications										
75	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMAT		12,021				12,021				12,021
	Transfer to MRAP				[-12,021]						
76	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER $		2,200		2,200		2,200				2,200

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
78	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQL		5,000								5,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[5,000]								
	Satellite Communications										
	Shore Communications										
	Cryptographic Equipment										
	Cryptologic Equipment										
	Other Electronic Support										
	Drug Interdiction Support										
	Aviation Support Equipment										
	Sonobuoys										
	Aircraft Support Equipment										
90	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS		29,750		22,050		29,750				29,750
	Transfer to MRAP				[-7,700]						
93	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT		10,120		10,120		10,120				10,120
95	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT		750								750
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[750]								
	Ordnance Support Equipment										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Ship Gun System Equipment										
100	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT		14,000								14,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[14,000]								
	Ship Missile System Equipment										
	FBM Support Equipment										
	ASW Support Equipment										
109	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS		3,600				3,600				3,600
	Transfer to MRAP				[-3,600]						
	Other Ordnance Support Equipment										
113	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL		147,200								147,200
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[147,200]								
	Other Expendable Ordnance										
	Civil Engineering Support Equipment										
118	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		4,530		4,530		4,530				4,530
120	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE E		111,100		101,400		101,400				111,100
	Memo: original request		[101,400]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,700]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
122	TACTICAL VEHICLES		368,615		311,535		311,535				368,615
	Memo: original request		[290,535]								
	Memo: July amendment		[55,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[23,080]								
	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles				[21,000]		[21,000]				
123	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT		350		350		350				350
126	ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION		122,731		116,531		116,531				122,731
	Memo: original request		[116,531]								
	Memo: October amendment		[6,200]								
127	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES		900								900
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[900]								
	Supply Support Equipment										
128	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		832		832		832				832
131	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTE		736,000		11,000		11,000				736,000
	Memo: original request		[11,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[725,000]								
	Personnel and Command Support Equipment										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Training Devices										
132	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		8,445		8,445		8,445				8,445
	Command Support Equipment										
133	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		40,109		3,150		15,950				40,109
	Memo: original request		[15,950]								
	Memo: October amendment		[24,159]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-12,800]						
135	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	1	820							1	820
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[820]								
137	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQ		16,900		6,900		16,900				16,900
	Transfer to MRAP				[-10,000]						
138	C4ISR EQUIPMENT		16,900								16,900
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[16,900]								
139	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIP		3,600								3,600
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[3,600]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
140	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		43,406		16,196		18,806				43,406
	Memo: original request		[18,806]								
	Memo: October amendment		[24,600]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-2,610]						
142	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
143	SPECIAL PROGRAM	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
	Other										
	Spares and Repair Parts										
	Total - Other Procurement, Navy		**1,870,597**		**727,580**		**814,311**				**1,870,597**
	Procurement, Marine Corps										
	Weapons and Combat Vehicles										
	Tracked Combat Vehicles										
2	AAV7A1 PIP		26,567		14,467		14,467				26,567
	Memo: original request		[14,467]								
	Memo: October amendment		[12,100]								
5	LAV PIP		113,001		113,001		113,001				113,001
5A	Improved Recovery Vehicle (IRV)		8,247		8,247		8,247				8,247

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
6	M1A1 FIREPOWER ENHANCEMENT:		90		90		90				90
	Artillery and Other Weapons										
8	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOW	12	36,000	12	36,000	12	36,000			12	36,000
10	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		16,695		16,695		16,695				16,695
	Weapons										
11	MODULAR WEAPON SYSTEM		17,098		17,098		17,098				17,098
	Other Support										
12	MODIFICATION KITS		4,906		1,064		4,906				4,906
	Transfer to MRAP				[-3,842]						
13	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGR		34,223		34,223		34,223				34,223
	Guided Missiles and Equipment										
	Guided Missiles										
	Other Support										
18	MODIFICATION KITS		949		69		949				949
	Transfer to MRAP				[-880]						
	Communications and Electronics Equipment										
	Command and Control Systems										
19	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER		92,400		92,400		92,400				92,400

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Repair and Test Equipment										
20	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		7,638		3,761		7,638				7,638
	Transfer to MRAP				[-3,877]						
	Other Support (Tel)										
22	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM		8,435		35		8,435				8,435
	Transfer to MRAP				[-8,400						
23	MODIFICATION KITS		15,984		8,034		15,984				15,984
	Transfer to MRAP				[-7,950]						
	Command and Control System (Non-tel)										
24	ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (COMM &		2,409		2,152		2,409				2,409
	Transfer to MRAP				[-257]						
25	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		107,593		97,927		107,593				107,593
	Transfer to MRAP				[-9,666]						
	Repair and Equipment (Non-tel)										
27	RADAR SYSTEMS		22,900		22,900		22,900				22,900
	Intell/Comm Equipment (Non-tel)										
28	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		18,075		18,075		18,075				18,075
29	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPME		34,348		10,090		34,348				34,348
	Transfer to MRAP				[-24,258]						

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Other Comm/Elec Equipment (Non-tel)										
30	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		153,631		107,731		142,731				153,631
	Memo: original request		[142,731]								
	Memo: October amendment		[10,900]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-35,000]						
	Other Support (Non-tel)										
31	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		87,410		6,018		87,410				87,410
	Transfer to MRAP				[-81,392]						
32	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		43,416		13,416		13,416				43,416
	Memo: original request		[13,416]								
	Memo: October amendment		[30,000]								
33	RADIO SYSTEMS		624,565		429,565		299,565				624,565
	Memo: original request		[464,565]								
	Memo: October amendment		[160,000]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-35,000]						
	Slow execution of radio procurement effort						[-165,000]				
34	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SY:		22,913		14,085		22,913				22,913
	Transfer to MRAP				[-8,828]						
	Support Vehicles										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Administrative Vehicles										
	Tactical Vehicles										
38	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	624	107,683	251	46,683	251	46,683			624	107,683
	Memo: original request		[46,683]								
	Memo: October amendment		[61,000]								
39	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIOI		293,962		149,162		149,162				293,962
	Memo: original request		[149,162]								
	Memo: October amendment		[144,800]								
40	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLA		13,676		13,676		13,676				13,676
43	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS		4,402		4,402		4,402				4,402
	Other Support										
44	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION		8,048		623		8,048				8,048
	Transfer to MRAP				[-7,425]						
	Engineer and Other Equipment										
45	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP		2,829		2,769		2,829				2,829
	Transfer to MRAP				[-60]						
46	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT		13,189		1,437		13,189				13,189
	Transfer to MRAP				[-11,752]						

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
47	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS		21,702		7,841		21,702				21,702
	Transfer to MRAP				[-13,861]						
48	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED		91,080		79,992		91,080				91,080
	Transfer to MRAP				[-11,088]						
49	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMEN		6,343		3,726		6,343				6,343
	Transfer to MRAP				[-2,617]						
50	EOD SYSTEMS	632	2,669,363		2,039,563		1,989,563			632	2,669,363
	Memo: original request		[50,563]								
	Memo: July amendment		[2,371,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[247,800]								
	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles				[1,989,000]		[1,939,000]				
	Materials Handling Equipment										
51	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		640,000		340,000		640,000				640,000
	Transfer to MRAP				[-300,000]						
53	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP		17,242		12,139		17,242				17,242
	Transfer to MRAP				[-5,103]						
	General Property										
55	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT		6,750		6,750		6,750				6,750

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
56	TRAINING DEVICES		30,300		30,300		30,300				30,300
57	CONTAINER FAMILY		18,032		15,949		18,032				18,032
	Transfer to MRAP				[-2,083]						
58	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPI		37,383		11,849		17,383				37,383
	Memo: original request		[17,383]								
	Memo: October amendment		[20,000]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-5,534]						
59	FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPOI		18,000		9,000		18,000				18,000
	Transfer to MRAP				[-9,000]						
60	BRIDGE BOATS		13,195		13,195		13,195				13,195
61	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN		68		68		68				68
	Other Support										
63	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION		4,007		4,007		4,007				4,007
	Spares and Repair Parts										
64	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		2,993		2,993		2,993				2,993
	Total - Procurement, Marine Corps		**5,519,740**		**3,863,267**		**4,236,140**				**5,519,740**

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Aircraft Procurement, Air Force										
	Combat Aircraft										
	Tactical Forces										
1	F-35	1	230,000					-1	-230,000		
1	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY)										
	F-35 Joint Strike Fighter				[-230,000]		[-230,000]				
	Airlift Aircraft										
	Tactical Airlift										
6	C-17A (MYP)							8	2,280,000	8	2,280,000
6	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (										
	Additional aircraft								[2,280,000]		
	Other Airlift										
8	C-130J	17	1,356,300	15	1,224,300	13	888,300	-2	-132,000	15	1,224,300
8	LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY)										
	Delay MC-130J production start				[-132,000]						
	More realistic production ramp-up						[-468,000]				
11	MC-130 RECAP				33,000						
	Advance procurement for 2 aircraft in FY 09				[33,000]						
	Trainer Aircraft										
	Operational Trainers										
	Other Aircraft										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Helicopters										
13	V22 OSPREY	5	492,500	5	492,500					5	492,500
	Delay production ramp-up to complete operational testing						[-492,500]				
	Mission Support Aircraft										
	Other Aircraft										
21	MQ-1 PREDATOR	24	191,600							24	191,600
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[191,600]								
22	MQ-9	8	149,100							8	149,100
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[149,100]								
22A	TG-10D	1	150	1	150	1	150			1	150
	Modification of In-service Aircraft										
	Strategic Aircraft										
23	B-2A		45,800				31,800		-14,000		31,800
	Delay in radar modernization				[-45,800]		[-14,000]		[-14,000]		
24	B-1B		46,120		41,120		46,120				46,120
	Excess to requirements				[-5,000]						

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
25	B-52		10,395		2,895		10,395				10,395
	Excess to requirements				[-7,500]						
	Tactical Aircraft										
27	A-10		33,500								33,500
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[33,500]								
28	F-15		262,644		130,944		130,944		-22,000		240,644
	Memo: original request		[152,944]								
	Memo: October amendment		[109,700]								
	Tactical targeting network technology (TTNT) funding in advance				[-22,000]		[-22,000]		[-22,000]		
29	F-16		36,800								36,800
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[36,800]								
	Airlift Aircraft										
31	C-5		75,000		75,000		75,000				75,000
33	C-17A		72,000	10	2,492,000		72,000				72,000
	Additional aircraft				[2,420,000]						
35	C-32A		43,000		43,000		43,000				43,000
36	C-37A		11,000		11,000		11,000				11,000
	Trainer Aircraft										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Other Aircraft										
46	C-40		39,000				39,000				39,000
	Excess to requirements				[-39,000]						
47	C-130		86,340		86,340		86,340				86,340
50	COMPASS CALL MODS		19,000		19,000		19,000				19,000
51	C-29A MODS										
52	DARP		158,800		40,000		158,800				158,800
	WC-135 engines				[-118,800]						
53	E-3		65,000		65,000		65,000				65,000
55	E-8		66,300		41,300						66,300
	Memo: original request		[41,300]								
	Memo: October amendment		[25,000]								
	JSTARS						[-41,300]				
57	H-60		6,900		6,900		6,900				6,900
	Aircraft Spares and Repair Parts										
63	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		116,900		116,900		116,900				116,900
	Aircraft Support Equipment and Facilities										
	Common Support Equip										
64	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPOR		237,360		237,360		237,360				237,360
	Post Production Support										
	Industrial Preparedness										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	War Consumables										
	Other Production Charges										
78	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		88,730		25,000		25,000				88,730
	Memo: original request		[25,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[63,730]								
	Classified Pgms										
	Other Production Charges SOF										
	DARP										
84	DARP		6,000		6,000		6,000				6,000
	Total - Aircraft Procurement, Air Force		**3,946,239**		**5,189,709**		**2,069,009**		**1,882,000**		**5,828,239**
	Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force										
	Procurement of Ammo, Air Force										
	Rockets										
	Cartridges										
2	CARTRIDGES		35,154		33,954		33,954				35,154
	Memo: original request		[33,954]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,200]								
	Bombs										
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		7,887		7,887		7,887				7,887

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
6	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	582	13,094	582	13,094	582	13,094			582	13,094
	Flare, IR MJU-7B										
12	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000		12,100		12,100		12,100				12,100
	Fuzes										
13	FLARES		6,495		6,495		6,495				6,495
14	FUZES		475		475		475				475
	Weapons										
	Small Arms										
15	SMALL ARMS		29,200								29,200
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[29,200]								
	Total - Procurement of Ammunition, Air Forc		**104,405**		**74,005**		**74,005**				**104,405**
	Missile Procurement, Air Force										
	Ballistic Missiles										
	Missile Replacement Equipment-Ballistic										
	Other Missiles										
	Tactical										
	Industrial Facilities										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Modification of in-service Missiles										
	Class IV										
8	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE		600		600		600				600
	Spares and Repair Parts										
	Missiles and Repair Parts										
12	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		1,200		1,200		1,200				1,200
	Other Support										
	Space Programs										
	Special Programs										
	Total - Missile Procurement, Air Force		1,800		1,800		1,800				1,800
	Other Procurement, Air Force										
	Vehicular Equipment										
	Passenger Carrying Vehicles										
2	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		23,396				23,396				23,396
	Transfer to MRAP				[-23,296]						
	Cargo and Utility Vehicles										
3	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE		575,624		7,624		7,624				575,624
	Memo: original request		[7,624]								
	Memo: July amendment		[568,000]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Special Purpose Vehicles										
7	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLE		155,315		585,315		585,315				155,315
	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles				[430,000]		[430,000]				
	Fire Fighting Equipment										
8	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VE		15,200		15,200		15,200				15,200
	Materials Handling Equipment										
9	HALVERSEN LOADER		27,000		6,750		27,000				27,000
	Transfer to MRAP				[-20,250]						
	Base Maintenance Support										
10	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV AND CLEA		6,987		6,987		6,987				6,987
11	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000(VEHI(		14,125		1,625		1,625				14,125
	Memo: original request		[1,625]								
	Memo: October amendment		[12,500]								
	Cancelled Account Adjustment										
	Electronics and Telecommunications										
	Comm Security Equipment (COMSEC)										
	Intelligence Programs										
16	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT		12,000								12,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[12,000]								

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Electronics Programs										
18	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		4,200		4,200		4,200				4,200
21	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTF		4,200		4,200		4,200				4,200
	Special Comm-Electronics Projects										
24	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOL		17,276		15,776		15,776				17,276
	Memo: original request		[15,776]								
	Memo: October amendment		[1,500]								
26	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTRO		24,000								24,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[24,000]								
27	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY S'		109,000		109,000		109,000				109,000
28	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		10,000		10,000		10,000				10,000
31	GCSS-AF FOS		15,024		15,024		15,024				15,024
	Air Force Communications										
33a	Defense Message System		400								400
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[400]								
34	BASE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE		231,000		116,000		231,000				231,000
	Transfer to MRAP				[-115,000]						
	DISA Programs										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
40	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE		20,000				20,000				20,000
	Transfer to MRAP				[-20,000]						
	Organization and Base										
44	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT		11,100								11,100
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[11,100]								
	Modifications										
	Other Base Maintenance and Support Equip										
	Personal Safety and Rescue Equipment										
52	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES		2,500								2,500
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[2,500]								
	Depot Plant and Material Handling Equip										
	Base Support Equipment										
57	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS		9,200								9,200
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,200]								
60	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000 (BASE		165,200		71,250		156,000				165,200
	Memo: original request		[156,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[9,200]								
	Transfer to MRAP				[-84,750]						

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
61	PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES			[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
	Special Support Projects										
63	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS		56,000		12,500		12,500				56,000
	Memo: original request		[12,500]								
	Memo: October amendment		[43,500]								
64	SELECTED ACTIVITIES		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]		[-26,756]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
65	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM				[ ]		[ ]				[ ]
66	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.				[ ]		[ ]				[ ]
	Spares and Repair Parts										
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		3,112,959		2,945,359		2,918,603		-93,580		3,019,379
	Memo: original request		[2,945,359]								
	Memo: October amendment		[167,600]								
	Classified program								[-20,080]		
	Classified program								[-73,500]		
	Total - Other Procurement, Air Force		**4,621,706**		**3,926,810**		**4,163,450**		**-93,580**		**4,528,126**

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Procurement, Defense-Wide										
	Major Equipment										
	Major Equipment, OSD/WHS										
	Major Equipment, NSA										
	Major Equipment, WHS										
	Major Equipment, DISA										
18	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM N		13,000								13,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[13,000]								
	Major Equipment, DIA										
	Major Equipment, DLA										
	Major Equipment, DCAA										
	Major Equipment, TJS										
	Major Equipment, DHRA										
	National Geospatial Intelligence Agency										
	Defense Threat Reduction Agency										
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency										
	Major Equipment, AFIS										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Major Equipment, DODDE										
	Major Equipment, DCMA										
	Major Equipment, DTSA										
	Major Equipment, CIFA										
	Major Equipment, BTA										
	Special Operations Command										
	Aviation Programs										
43	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION P		34,400								34,400
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[34,400]								
48	CV-22 SOF MOD		173,800		286,800		286,800				173,800
	Memo: original request		[286,800]								
	Memo: October amendment		[-113,000]								
50	C-130 MODIFICATIONS		11,000								11,000
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[11,000]								
	Shipbuilding										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	Ammunition Programs										
54	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT		32,759		30,379		30,379				32,759
	Memo: original request		[30,379]								
	Memo: October amendment		[2,380]								
55	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION		39,600		3,200		3,200				39,600
	Memo: original request		[3,200]								
	Memo: October amendment		[36,400]								
	Other Procurement Programs										
57	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS		44,346								44,346
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[44,346]								
58	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		30,952		4,583		4,583				30,952
	Memo: original request		[4,583]								
	Memo: October amendment		[26,369]								
59	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS			[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
64	SPECIAL PROGRAMS			[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
65	TACTICAL VEHICLES		147,458		125,000		124,000				147,458
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: July amendment		[131,000]								
	Memo: October amendment		[16,458]								
	Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles				[125,000]		[124,000]				
69	UNMANNED VEHICLES		23,500								23,500
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[23,500]								
70	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM (GDIP)			[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
74	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENT		34,393		1,337		1,337				34,393
	Memo: original request		[1,337]								
	Memo: October amendment		[33,056]								
	Chemical/Biological Defense										
	CBDP										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		182,949		143,469		143,469				182,949
	Memo: original request		[143,469]								
	Memo: October amendment		[39,480]								
	Total - Procurement, Defense-Wide		**768,157**		**594,768**		**593,768**				**768,157**
	National Guard & Reserve Equipment										
	Reserve Equipment										
	ARMY RESERVE										
1	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	NAVY RESERVE										
2	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	MARINE CORPS RESERVE										
3	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	AIR FORCE RESERVE										
4	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	National Guard Equipment										

Title XV - Procurement

(Dollars in Thousands)

Line	Program Title	Amended Budget Request Qty	Amended Budget Request Cost	House Authorized Qty	House Authorized Cost	Senate Authorized Qty	Senate Authorized Cost	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Qty	Conference Change vs. Amended Request Cost	Conference Authorized Qty	Conference Authorized Cost
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD										
5	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD										
6	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT										
	Total - National Guard & Reserve Equipment										
	Rapid Acquisition Fund										
1	RAPID ACQUISITION FUND		150,000						-150,000		
	Memo: original request		[0]								
	Memo: October amendment		[150,000]								
	Defer from base budget								[100,000]		
	Reduction for higher priorities								[-250,000]		
	Total - Rapid Acquisition Fund		**150,000**						**-150,000**		
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT		**71,590,366**		**40,327,471**		**56,402,624**		**-581,180**		**71,009,186**

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
			RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY					
2040	0602618A	14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	20,000				20,000
			MRAP July Request	[20,000]				
2040	0603747A	63	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	31,621		30,375		31,621
			February Request	[30,375]				
			October Budget Amendment	[1,246]				
			Transfer to MRAP		[-30,375]			
2040	0604601A	83	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	8,158	8,158	8,158		8,158
			February Request	[8,158]				
2040	0604642A	88	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	20,000				20,000
			February Request	[20,000]				
			Transfer to Title II			[-20,000]		
			Transfer to MRAP		[-20,000]			
2040	0604741A	102	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE - SDD	38,900	38,900	38,900		38,900
			February Request	[38,900]				
2040	0604746A	104	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	6,500	6,500	6,500		6,500
			February Request	[6,500]				
2040	0605013A	124	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	5,000				5,000
			October Budget Amendment	[5,000]				
2040	0605801A	145	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	20	20	20		20
			February Request	[20]				
2040	0203764A	161	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	7,500	7,500	7,500		7,500
			February Request	[7,500]				
2040	0303140A	170	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	23,300	23,300	23,300		23,300
			February Request	[23,300]				
2040	0303150A	173	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,800	3,800	3,800		3,800
			February Request	[3,800]				
2040	0303158A	174	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	6,200	6,200	6,200		6,200
			February Request	[6,200]				

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
2040	0305208A	177	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	12,300				12,300
			October Budget Amendment	[12,300]				
			Total, RDT&E Army	**183,299**				**183,299**
			RDT&E Army, February Request	144,753	94,378	124,753		
			RDT&E Army, MRAP July Request	20,000				
			RDT&E Army, October Budget Amendment	18,546				
			RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY					
1319	0603640M	20	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	13,000	13,000	13,000		13,000
			February Request	[13,000]				
1319	0603635M	55	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	35,825		15,825		35,825
			February Request	[35,825]				
			Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) - transfer to Title II			[-20,000]		
			Transfer to MRAP		[-35,825]			
1319	0603654N	56	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	14,000	14,000	14,000		14,000
			February Request	[14,000]				
1319	0603748N	65	LINK PLUMERIA	31,500				31,500
			October Budget Amendment	[31,500]				
1319	0603751N	66	RETRACT ELM	9,800				9,800
			October Budget Amendment	[9,800]				
1319	0603764N	68	LINK EVERGREEN	9,300	9,300	9,300		9,300
			February Request	[9,300]				
1319	0603851M	72	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	8,000		8,000		8,000
			February Request	[8,000]				
			Reduction		[-8,000]			
1319	0604214N	84	AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV	6,406	6,406	6,406		6,406
			February Request	[6,406]				

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1319	0604215N	85	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	6,000		6,000		6,000
			February Request	[6,000]				
			Reduction		[-6,000]			
1319	0604262N	94	V-22A	82,360	82,360	82,360		82,360
			February Request	[82,360]				
1319	0604270N	97	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	8,676	8,676	8,676		8,676
			February Request	[8,676]				
1319	0604373N	105	AIRBORNE MCM	19,500	19,500	19,500		19,500
			February Request	[19,500]				
1319	0604504N	109	AIR CONTROL	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500
			February Request	[1,500]				
1319	0604562N	115	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	4,900	4,900	4,900		4,900
			February Request	[4,900]				
1319	0605013N	136	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,810	2,810	2,810		2,810
			February Request	[2,810]				
1319	0304785N	140	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	13,000	13,000	13,000		13,000
			February Request	[13,000]				
1319	0605152N	144	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY	150	150	150		150
			February Request	[150]				
1319	0605863N	153	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	1,768				1,768
			October Budget Amendment	[1,768]				
1319	0603680N	162	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[-63,500]	[ ]
			Classified Program				[-63,500]	
1319	0204136N	170	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	1,500	1,500	1,500		1,500
			February Request	[1,500]				
1319	0204152N	171	E-2 SQUADRONS	1,024	1,024	1,024		1,024
			February Request	[1,024]				
1319	0205601N	179	HARM IMPROVEMENT	4,330	4,330	4,330		4,330
			February Request	[4,330]				

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1319	0206313M	186	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	80,542	76,842	80,542		80,542
			February Request	[80,542]				
			Reduction		[-3,700]			
1319	0206623M	187	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	20,600	10,600	20,600		20,600
			February Request	[20,600]				
			Transfer to MRAP		[-10,000]			
1319	0206624M	188	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	49,675	9,075	19,675		49,675
			February Request	[19,675]				
			MRAP July Request	[10,000]				
			October Budget Amendment	[20,000]				
			Transfer to MRAP		[-10,600]			
1319	0305204N	203	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	23,500	3,500	23,500		23,500
			February Request	[23,500]				
			Reduction		[-20,000]			
1319	0305206N	205	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	11,000				11,000
			October Budget Amendment	[11,000]				
1319	0305207N	206	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	46,200	6,200	14,200		46,200
			February Request	[14,200]				
			October Budget Amendment	[32,000]				
			Reduction		[-8,000]			
1319		999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	252,530	227,630		-63,500	189,130
			Total, RDT&E Navy	**759,496**			**-63,500**	**695,996**
			RDT&E Navy, February Request	618,428	516,303	370,798		
			RDT&E Navy, MRAP July Request	10,000				
			RDT&E Navy, October Budget Amendment	131,068				

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
			RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE					
3600	0602102F	5	MATERIALS	3,700				3,700
			October Budget Amendment	[3,700]				
3600	0602702F	14	COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS	1,800				1,800
			October Budget Amendment	[1,800]				
3600	0603112F	20	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	5,900	2,800	2,800		5,900
			February Request	[2,800]				
			October Budget Amendment	[3,100]				
3600	0603211F	22	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	300				300
			October Budget Amendment	[300]				
3600	0603270F	25	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	5,325				5,325
			October Budget Amendment	[5,325]				
3600	0604327F	57	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PR(	87,700				87,700
			October Budget Amendment	[87,700]				
3600	0604226F	67	B-1B	40,000	40,000	40,000		40,000
			February Request	[40,000]				
3600	0604240F	70	B-2 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER	14,100		14,100		14,100
			February Request	[14,100]				
			Reduction		[-14,100]			
3600	0604329F	75	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	27,900	27,900	27,900		27,900
			February Request	[27,900]				
3600	0604602F	82	ARMAMENT/ORDINANCE DEVELOPMENT	4,200				4,200
			October Budget Amendment	[4,200]				
3600	0604617F	84	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	3,800	3,800	3,800		3,800
			February Request	[3,800]				
3600	0604735F	87	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	10,000	10,000	10,000		10,000
			February Request	[10,000]				

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
3600	0207450F	98	E-10 SQUADRONS	178,390				178,390
			February Request	[178,390]				
			E-10 program cancellation / transfer to PE 0207581F (RDAF 157)		[-178,390]	[-178,390]		
3600	0605807F	110	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	22,115	21,815	21,815		22,115
			February Request	[21,815]				
			October Budget Amendment	[300]				
3600	0605976F	113	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION - TEST AND EVALU	1,610	1,610	1,610		1,610
			February Request	[1,610]				
3600	0401845F	117A	AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S)	11,700				11,700
			October Budget Amendment	[11,700]				
3600	0101314F	124	NIGHT FIST USSTRATCOM	1,640	1,640	1,640		1,640
			February Request	[1,640]				
3600	0207131F	129	A-10 SQUADRONS	280,000	15,000	230,000		280,000
			February Request	[230,000]	[-215,000]			
			October Budget Amendment	[50,000]				
3600	0207133F	130	F-16 SQUADRONS	55,300	7,700	7,700	-47,600	7,700
			February Request	[55,300]				
			F-16 beyond line-of-sight (BLOS) funding in advance of need		[-47,600]	[-47,600]	[-47,600]	
3600	0207134F	131	F-15E SQUADRONS	97,500	97,500	97,500		97,500
			February Request	[97,500]				
3600	0207253F	141	COMPASS CALL	5,600	5,600	5,600		5,600
			February Request	[5,600]				
3600	0207268F	142	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
			February Request	[20,000]				
3600	0207325F	144	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	23,000	23,000	23,000		23,000
			February Request	[23,000]				
3600	0207410F	145	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	2,100				2,100
			October Budget Amendment	[2,100]				
3600	0207417F	147	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	72,500	72,500	72,500		72,500
			February Request	[72,500]				

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
3600	0207418F	148	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	3,096				3,096
			October Budget Amendment	[3,096]				
3600	0207581F	157	JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS)	319,508	281,600	40,600		319,508
			February Request	[291,600]	[-10,000]			
			October Budget Amendment	[27,908]				
			Vanishing vendors development funding ahead of need			[-251,000]		
3600	0303601F	178	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	79,750		159,500		79,750
			February Request	[79,750]	[-79,750]			
			Milsatcom terminals			[79,750]		
3600	0304111F	179	SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[-15,000]	[ ]
			Classified program				[-15,000]	
3600	0304311F	181	SELECTED ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[-51,500]	[ ]
			Classified program			[-49,750]	[-51,500]	
3600	0305202F	201	DRAGON U-2	660	660	660		660
			February Request	[660]				
3600	0305206F	202	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	1,520	1,520	1,520		1,520
			February Request	[1,520]				
3600	0305220F	206	GLOBAL HAWK UAV	2,184	1,384	1,384		2,184
			February Request	[1,384]				
			October Budget Amendment	[800]				
3600	0305940F	214	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	8,900		8,900		8,900
			February Request	[8,900]	[-8,900]			
3600		999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	180,012	180,012	130,262	-66,500	113,512
			Total, RDT&E Air Force	**1,671,810**			**-114,100**	**1,457,710**
			RDT&E Air Force, February Request	1,369,781	**816,041**	**922,791**		
			RDT&E Air Force, MRAP July Request					
			RDT&E Air Force, October Budget Amendment	202,029				

Title XV-RDT and E

(Dollars in Thousands)

Acct	Program Element	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized (Feb Req)	Senate Authorized (Feb Req)	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
			RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE					
0400	0605117D8Z	137	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	192,000				192,000
			October Budget Amendment	[192,000]				
0400	0605200D8Z	144	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	34,000	34,000	34,000		34,000
			February Request	[34,000]				
0400	0301011G	177	CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[-35,000]	[ ]
			Classified program				[-35,000]	
0400	0304345BQ	201	NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (NGP)	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[-2,500]	[ ]
			Classified program				[-2,500]	
0400	0305125D8Z	203	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	9,000	9,000	9,000		9,000
			February Request	[9,000]				
0400	0305208BB	216A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,170				3,170
			October Budget Amendment	[3,170]				
0400	0902298J	228	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	1,028	1,028	1,028		1,028
			February Request	[1,028]				
0400	1160408BB	235	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	2,270				2,270
			October Budget Amendment	[2,270]				
0400	1160428BB	240	UNMANNED VEHICLES (UV)	74,968	74,968	74,968		74,968
			February Request	[74,968]				
0400		999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,041,152	606,502	416,091	-37,500	1,003,652
			Total, RDT&E Defense-Wide	**1,357,588**			**-37,500**	**1,320,088**
			RDT&E Defense-Wide, February Request	727,498	727,498	535,087		
			RDT&E Defense-Wide, MRAP July Request					
			RDT&E Defense-Wide, October Budget Amendment	630,090				
			TOTAL RDT&E	**3,872,193**			**-215,100**	**3,657,093**

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Operation and Maintenance, Army	54,933,351	45,350,964	45,519,264	-3,800	54,929,551
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	6,252,693	5,426,407	5,190,000	-2,900	6,249,793
Operation and Maintenance, MC	4,674,688	4,013,093	4,013,093		4,674,688
Operation and Maintenance, AF	10,809,673	10,536,330	10,532,630	-11,200	10,798,473
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide	6,402,785	6,098,990	5,976,216	21,300	6,424,085
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	196,694	158,410	158,410		196,694
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	83,407	69,598	69,598		83,407
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	68,193	68,000	68,000		68,193
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	24,266				24,266
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	757,008	466,150	466,150		757,008
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	103,267	31,168	31,168		103,267
Transfer Accounts					
Miscellaneous Appropriations					
GRAND TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	**84,306,025**	**72,219,110**	**72,024,529**	**3,400**	**84,309,425**

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Army					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		LAND FORCES					
2020a	010	MANEUVER UNITS					
		October budget amendment	6,776				6,776
2020a	020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES					
		October budget amendment	1,315				1,315
2020a	030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE					
		October budget amendment	11,031				11,031
2020a	040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS					
		October budget amendment	403				403
2020a	050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT					
		October budget amendment	916				916
2020a	060	AVIATION ASSETS					
		October budget amendment	9,666				9,666
		LAND FORCES READINESS					
2020a	070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT					
		October budget amendment	1,370				1,370

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT					
2020a	140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	34,348,922	33,468,922	33,637,222	-3,800	34,345,122
2020a		Grow the Force Transfer to title III			[-689,400]		
2020a		Classified program			[-22,300]	[-3,800]	
		Unjustified growth in LOGCAP		[-880,000]			
		MRAP July budget amendment	65,000				65,000
		October budget amendment	7,435,441				7,435,441
2020a		COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	977,441	977,441	977,441		977,441
		October budget amendment	242,000				242,000
2020a		RESET	7,840,027	7,840,027	7,840,027		7,840,027
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**50,940,308**	**42,286,390**	**42,454,690**	**-3,800**	**50,936,508**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		BASIC SKILL/ ADVANCE TRAINING					
2020a	230	FLIGHT TRAINING					
		October budget amendment	5,199				5,199
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	**5,199**				**5,199**

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SECURITY PROGRAMS					
2020a	310	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,111,465	1,111,465	1,111,465		1,111,465
		October budget amendment	190,270				190,270
		LOGISTICS OPERATIONS					
2020a	320	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	1,953,109	1,953,109	1,953,109		1,953,109
		MRAP July budget amendment	242,000				242,000
		October budget amendment	491,000				491,000
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	**3,987,844**	**3,064,574**	**3,064,574**		**3,987,844**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Army	**54,933,351**	**45,350,964**	**45,519,264**	**-3,800**	**54,929,551**
		Operation and Maintenance, Navy					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
1804n	010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	993,170	993,170	993,170		993,170
		October budget amendment	68,315				68,315

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1804n	020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	6,566	6,566	6,566		6,566
		October budget amendment	17,190				17,190
1804n	030	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	2,948	2,948	2,948		2,948
1804n	040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	64,217	64,217	64,217		64,217
1804n	050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	27,243	27,243	27,243		27,243
1804n	060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	147,709	147,709	147,709		147,709
		October budget amendment	138,000				138,000
		SHIP OPERATIONS					
1804n	080	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	560,850	560,850	560,850		560,850
		October budget amendment	91,472				91,472
1804n	090	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	9,938	9,938	9,938		9,938
		October budget amendment	5				5
1804n	100	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	339,377	339,377	339,377		339,377
		October budget amendment	189,598				189,598
1804n	110	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	28,062	28,062	28,062		28,062
		COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT					
1804n	120	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	9,015	9,015	9,015		9,015
		October budget amendment	324				324
1804n	130	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	10,294	10,294	10,294		10,294
1804n	140	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE					
		October budget amendment	3				3

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1804n	150	WARFARE TACTICS	10,863	10,863	10,863		10,863
		October budget amendment	205				205
1804n	160	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	1,812	1,812	1,812		1,812
		October budget amendment	1				1
1804n	170	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,773,563	1,773,563	1,773,563		1,773,563
		October budget amendment	202,761				202,761
1804n		JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF-JOINT PUBLICATION	3,300	3,300	3,300		3,300
1804n	180	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	90,512	90,512	90,512		90,512
		WEAPONS SUPPORT					
1804n	220	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	18,608	18,608	18,608		18,608
		October budget amendment	14,000				14,000
1804n	230	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	68,023	68,023	68,023		68,023
1804n	240	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT					
		October budget amendment	252				252
		BASE SUPPORT					
1804n	260	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	7,033	7,033	7,033		7,033
		October budget amendment	2				2
1804n	270	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	454,181	454,181	454,181		454,181
		October budget amendment	1,341				1,341
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**5,350,753**	**4,627,284**	**4,627,284**		**5,350,753**

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
		READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES					
1804n	280	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	164,486	164,486	164,486		164,486
1804n		TRANSCOM (Second Destination Transportation)	62,900	62,900	62,900		62,900
		MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS					
1804n	310	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM					
		October budget amendment	2				2
1804n	330	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	222,607	222,607			222,607
		TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	**449,995**	**449,993**	**227,386**		**449,995**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		ACCESSION TRAINING					
1804n	340	OFFICER ACQUISITION					
		October budget amendment	39				39
		BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
1804n	370	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	36,593	36,593	36,593		36,593
		October budget amendment	48,442				48,442
1804n	380	FLIGHT TRAINING					
		October budget amendment	4,715				4,715

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
1804n	410	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING					
		October budget amendment	3,627				3,627
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	93,416	36,593	36,593		93,416
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
1804n	450	ADMINISTRATION	3,435	3,435	3,435		3,435
1804n	460	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	337	337	337		337
1804n	480	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	1,262	1,262	1,262		1,262
1804n	490	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,145	6,145	6,145		6,145
1804n	500	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	25,809	25,809	25,809		25,809
		October budget amendment	1				1
		LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT					
1804n	520	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	113,231	113,231	113,231		113,231
		MRAP July budget amendment	38,000				38,000
		October budget amendment	6,809				6,809
1804n	550	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,289	1,289	1,289		1,289
		October budget amendment	3				3
1804n	570	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	372	372	372		372

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY PROGRAMS					
1804n		SECURITY PROGRAMS	155,987	155,987	142,187	-2,900	153,087
1804n		Classified program			[-13,800]	[-2,900]	
		October budget amendment	1,179				1,179
1804n	590	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	4,670	4,670	4,670		4,670
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	**358,529**	**312,537**	**298,737**	**-2,900**	**355,629**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy	**6,252,693**	**5,426,407**	**5,190,000**	**-2,900**	**6,249,793**
		Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
1106n	010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	2,068,150	2,068,150	2,068,150		2,068,150
		October budget amendment	127,300				127,300
1106n	020	FIELD LOGISTICS	787,879	787,879	787,879		787,879
		October budget amendment	117,500				117,500
1106n	030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	490,638	490,638	490,638		490,638
		USMC PREPOSITIONING					
1106n	050	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	26,893	26,893	26,893		26,893
		BASE SUPPORT					
1106n	070	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	60,401	60,401	60,401		60,401
		October budget amendment	57				57

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
1106n	080	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	92,710	92,710	92,710		92,710
		October budget amendment	103,533				103,533
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**3,771,528**	**3,526,671**	**3,526,671**		**3,771,528**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		ACCESSION TRAINING					
1106n	090	RECRUIT TRAINING	1,710	1,710	1,710		1,710
		October budget amendment	7				7
1106n	100	OFFICER ACQUISITION					
		October budget amendment	1				1
		BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
1106n	110	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	150	150	150		150
		October budget amendment	21				21
1106n	140	TRAINING SUPPORT	141,110	141,110	141,110		141,110
		RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION					
1106n	150	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	44,187	44,187	44,187		44,187

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BASE SUPPORT					
1106n	190	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	88	88	88		88
		October budget amendment	176				176
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	**187,450**	**187,245**	**187,245**		**187,450**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT					
1106n	200	SPECIAL SUPPORT	167	167	167		167
1106n	210	SERVICE-WIDE TRANSPORTATION	299,010	299,010	299,010		299,010
		MRAP July budget amendment	313,000				313,000
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	**612,177**	**299,177**	**299,177**		**612,177**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	**4,674,688**	**4,013,093**	**4,013,093**		**4,674,688**
		Operation and Maintenance, Air Force					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
3400f	010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	2,066,463	2,066,463	2,066,463		2,066,463
		October budget amendment	85,656				85,656
3400f	020	PRIMARY COMBAT WEAPONS	25,362	25,362	25,362		25,362
3400f	030	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	167,200	167,200	167,200		167,200
3400f	040	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	32,736	32,736	32,736		32,736

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
3400f	050	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	695,412	695,412	695,412		695,412
		October budget amendment	30,790				30,790
3400f	060	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	518,702	518,702	518,702		518,702
3400f	070	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	190,849	190,849	190,849		190,849
3400f	080	BASE SUPPORT	1,698,003	1,698,003	1,698,003		1,698,003
		October budget amendment	2,280				2,280
		COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS					
3400f	090	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	21,351	21,351	21,351		21,351
3400f	100	NAVIGATION/WEATHER SUPPORT	6,490	6,490	6,490		6,490
3400f	110	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	276,728	276,728	276,728		276,728
3400f	130	MANAGEMENT/OPERATIONAL HQ	106,907	106,907	106,907		106,907
3400f	140	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	1,092	1,092	1,092		1,092
		SPACE OPERATIONS					
3400f	150	LAUNCH FACILITIES	1,129	1,129	1,129		1,129
3400f	160	LAUNCH VEHICLES	21	21	21		21
3400f	170	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	585	585	585		585
3400f	180	SATELLITE SYSTEMS	75	75	75		75
3400f	190	OTHER SPACE OPERATIONS	8,132	8,132	8,132		8,132

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
3400f	200	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	160	160	160		160
3400f	210	BASE SUPPORT	9,266	9,266	9,266		9,266
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**5,945,389**	**5,826,663**	**5,826,663**		**5,945,389**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION					
		MOBILITY OPERATIONS					
3400f	220	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	3,629,379	3,629,379	3,629,379		3,629,379
		October budget amendment	92,308				92,308
3400f	230	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS C3I	12,567	12,567	12,567		12,567
3400f	240	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	20,447	20,447	20,447		20,447
3400f	260	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	115,670	115,670	115,670		115,670
3400f	270	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,497	1,497	1,497		1,497
3400f	280	BASE SUPPORT	97,494	97,494	97,494		97,494
		TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	**3,969,362**	**3,877,054**	**3,877,054**		**3,969,362**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING					
		ACCESSION TRAINING					
3400f	290	OFFICER ACQUISITION					
3400f	300	RECRUIT TRAINING	55	55	55		55
3400f	330	BASE SUPPORT	1,545	1,545	1,545		1,545
		BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING					
3400f	340	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	116,062	116,062	116,062		116,062

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
3400f	350	FLIGHT TRAINING	25	25	25		25
3400f	360	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	708	708	708		708
3400f	370	TRAINING SUPPORT	1,269	1,269	1,269		1,269
3400f	390	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	2,462	2,462	2,462		2,462
3400f	400	BASE SUPPORT	15,345	15,345	15,345		15,345
		RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION					
3400f	410	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	74	74	74		74
		TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	**137,545**	**137,545**	**137,545**		**137,545**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		LOGISTICS OPERATIONS					
3400f	460	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	251,052	251,052	251,052		251,052
3400f	470	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	1,126	1,126	1,126		1,126
3400f	480	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	116,393	116,393	116,393		116,393
		MRAP July budget amendment	62,000				62,000
3400f	500	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	149	149	149		149
3400f	510	BASE SUPPORT	15,472	15,472	15,472		15,472

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
3400f	520	ADMINISTRATION	2,068	2,068	2,068		2,068
3400f	530	SERVICE-WIDE COMMUNICATIONS	41,702	41,702	41,702		41,702
3400f	540	PERSONNEL PROGRAMS	227	227	227		227
3400f	560	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	48,578	48,578	48,578		48,578
3400f	570	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	2,663	2,663	2,663		2,663
3400f	600	BASE SUPPORT	2,927	2,927	2,927		2,927
		SECURITY PROGRAMS					
3400f	610	SECURITY PROGRAMS	188,537	188,537	184,837	-11,200	177,337
3400f		Classified program			[-3,700]	[-11,200]	
		October budget amendment	309				309
		SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS					
3400f	620	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	24,174	24,174	24,174		24,174
		TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	**757,377**	**695,068**	**691,368**	**-11,200**	**746,177**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	**10,809,673**	**10,536,330**	**10,532,630**	**-11,200**	**10,798,473**

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance

(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES					
		DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
0100d	010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	90,548	90,548	90,548		90,548
0100d	020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	1,660,342	1,660,342	1,660,342		1,660,342
		MRAP July budget amendmetn	28,000				28,000
		October budget amendment	14,165				14,165
		TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:	**1,750,890**	**1,750,890**	**1,750,890**		**1,750,890**
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
		DEFENSEWIDE ACTIVITIES					
0100d	050	AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE	16,409	16,409	16,409		16,409
0100d	100	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	15,345	15,345	15,345		15,345
0100d	120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	175,021	175,021	175,021		175,021
		October budget amendment	1,600				1,600
0100d	140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES	18,242	18,242	18,242		18,242
0100d	150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	154,015	154,015	154,015		154,015
0100d	180	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	12,401	12,401	12,401		12,401
0100d	190	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION AGENCY	174,813	174,813	174,813		174,813
0100d	200	DOD HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	27,152	27,152	27,152		27,152
0100d	210	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	6,012	6,012	6,012		6,012
0100d	220	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	1,700,000	1,700,000	1,700,000		1,700,000
0100d	260	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	63,214	63,214	63,214		63,214

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
0100d	270	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE	14,410	14,410	14,410		14,410
		Defense Security Cooperation Agency					
		OTHER PROGRAMS					
0100d	999	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,971,066	1,971,066	1,848,292	-44,700	1,926,366
0100d		Classified program			[-122,774]	[-44,700]	
		October budget amendment	260,030				260,030
		TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	**4,609,730**	**4,348,100**	**4,225,326**	**-44,700**	**4,305,000**
		Yellow Ribbon reintegration program				66,000	66,000
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	**6,402,785**	**6,098,990**	**5,976,216**	**21,300**	**6,424,085**
		Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT					
2080a	120	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	158,410	158,410	158,410		158,410
		October budget amendment	38,284				38,284
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**196,694**	**158,410**	**158,410**		**196,694**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	**196,694**	**158,410**	**158,410**		**196,694**

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
1806n	010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	44,105	44,105	44,105		44,105
		October budget amendment	13,320				13,320
1806n	020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	94	94	94		94
		SHIP OPERATIONS					
1806n	060	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	2,331	2,331	2,331		2,331
		October budget amendment	481				481
		COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT					
1806n	100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	6,700	6,700	6,700		6,700
1806n	110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	16,368	16,368	16,368		16,368
		October budget amendment	8				8

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		BASE SUPPORT					
1806n	150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT					
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**83,407**	**69,598**	**69,598**		**83,407**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	**83,407**	**69,598**	**69,598**		**83,407**
		Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		EXPEDITIONARY FORCES					
1107n	010	OPERATING FORCES	65,519	65,519	65,519		65,519
		October budget amendment	105				105
1107n	030	TRAINING SUPPORT	2,346	2,346	2,346		2,346
		October budget amendment	78				78
		BASE SUPPORT					
1107n	050	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	135	135	135		135
		October budget amendment	10				10
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**68,193**	**68,000**	**68,000**		**68,183**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	**68,193**	**68,000**	**68,000**		**68,193**

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
3740f	010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES					
		October budget amendment	24,266				24,266
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	24,266				24,266
		Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		LAND FORCES					
2065a	060	AVIATION ASSETS					
		October budget amendment	9,758				9,758
		LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT					
2065a	130	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	466,150	466,150	466,150		466,150
		October budget amendment	281,100				281,100
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	757,008	466,150	466,150		757,008
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	757,008	466,150	466,150		757,008

Title XV - Operation and Maintenance
(Dollars in Thousands)

Account	Line	Program Title	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
		Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard					
		BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES					
		AIR OPERATIONS					
3840f	010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	24,724	24,724	24,724		24,724
		October budget amendment	72,099				72,099
3840f	020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	6,444	6,444	6,444		6,444
		TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	**103,267**	**31,168**	**31,168**		**103,267**
		Total Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	**103,267**	**31,168**	**31,168**		**103,267**
		TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE TITLE:	**84,306,025**	**72,219,110**	**72,024,529**	**3,400**	**84,309,425**

Title XV - Other Authorizations

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
MILITARY PROGRAMS					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM					
In-House Care	344,261	344,261	344,261		344,261
October budget amendment in-house care	31,400				31,400
Private Sector Care	569,547	569,547	569,547		569,547
Consolidated Health Support	102,268	102,268	102,268		102,268
October budget amendment Consolidated health support	72,600				72,600
Information Management	1,598	1,598	1,598		1,598
October budget amendment information management	7,500				7,500
Management Activities	1,636	1,636	1,636		1,636
Education and Training	2,174	2,174	2,174		2,174
October budget amendment education and training	3,100				3,100
Base Operations/Communications	1,358	1,358	1,358		1,358
Total Defense Health Program	**1,137,442**	**1,022,842**	**1,022,842**		**1,137,442**
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES					
Drug Enforcement and Policy Support	257,618	257,618	94,818		257,618
Transfer to title XIV - Afghanistan			[-162,800]		
Subtotal Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	**257,618**	**257,618**	**94,818**		**257,618**
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
Office of the Inspector General, O&M	4,394	4,394	4,394		4,394

Title XV - Other Authorizations
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Subtotal Office of the Inspection General	**4,394**	**4,394**	**4,394**		**4,394**
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds - Army	1,363,000	1,363,000	1,363,000		1,363,000
Defense Working Capital Funds - Defense-wide	313,275	313,275	313,275		313,275
DWCF October budget amendment - fuel price increase	281,400				281,400
Subtotal Defense Working Capital Fund	**1,957,675**	**1,676,275**	**1,676,275**		**1,957,675**
Strategic Readiness Fund		1,000,000		1,000,000	1,000,000
National Defense Sealift Fund	5,110	5,110	5,110		5,110
Subtotal Revolving and Management Funds	**1,962,785**	**2,681,385**	**1,681,385**	**1,000,000**	**2,962,785**
SUBTOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS - MILITARY	**3,362,239**	**3,966,239**	**2,803,439**	**1,000,000**	**4,362,239**

Title XV - Other Authorizations

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
MILITARY PROGRAMS					
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM					
In-House Care	344,261	344,261	344,261		344,261
October budget amendment In-house care	31,400				31,400
Private Sector Care	569,547	569,547	569,547		569,547
Consolidated Health Support	102,268	102,268	102,268		102,268
October budget amendment Consolidated health support	72,600				72,600
Information Management	1,598	1,598	1,598		1,598
October budget amendment information management	7,500				7,500
Management Activities	1,636	1,636	1,636		1,636
Education and Training	2,174	2,174	2,174		2,174
October budget amendment education and training	3,100				3,100
Base Operations/Communications	1,358	1,358	1,358		1,358
Total Defense Health Program	**1,137,442**	**1,022,842**	**1,022,842**		**1,137,442**
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES					
Drug Enforcement and Policy Support	257,618	257,618	94,818		257,618
Transfer to title XIV - Afghanistan			[-162,800]		
Subtotal Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	**257,618**	**257,618**	**94,818**		**257,618**
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL					
Office of the Inspector General, O&M	4,394	4,394	4,394		4,394
Subtotal Office of the Inspection General	**4,394**	**4,394**	**4,394**		**4,394**

Title XV - Other Authorizations

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Amended FY2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds - Army	1,363,000	1,363,000	1,363,000		1,363,000
Defense Working Capital Funds - Defense-wide	313,275	313,275	313,275		313,275
DWCF October budget amendment - fuel price increase	281,400				281,400
Subtotal Defense Working Capital Fund	**1,957,675**	**1,676,275**	**1,676,275**		**1,957,675**
Strategic Readiness Fund		1,000,000		1,000,000	1,000,000
National Defense Sealift Fund	5,110	5,110	5,110		5,110
Subtotal Revolving and Management Funds	**1,962,785**	**2,681,385**	**1,681,385**	**1,000,000**	**2,962,785**
SUBTOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS - MILITARY	**3,362,239**	**3,966,239**	**2,803,439**	**1,000,000**	**4,362,239**

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Purpose (sec. 1501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1501) that would state the purpose of the funds provided in this title and would also state a policy with respect to the provision of such funds.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1521).

The Senate recedes with an amendment to delete the statement of policy.

Army procurement (sec. 1502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1502) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for Army procurement to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1501).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Navy and Marine Corps procurement (sec. 1503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1503) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for Navy and Marine Corps procurement to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1502).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Air Force procurement (sec. 1504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1504) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for Air Force procurement to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1503).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (sec. 1505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1505) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1510).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Defense-wide activities procurement (sec. 1506)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1506) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for defense-wide procurement to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1504).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (sec. 1507)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1507) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1505).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Operation and maintenance (sec. 1508)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1508) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for operation and maintenance programs.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1506).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Working capital funds (sec. 1509)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1509) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for Defense Working Capital Funds and the National Defense Sealift Fund to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision for working capital funds (sec. 1514) and for the National Defense Sealift Fund (sec. 1515).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Other Department of Defense programs (sec. 1510)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1510) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for the Defense Health Program, drug interdiction and counterdrug activities, and the Inspector General to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained similar separate provisions (sects. 1508, 1509, and 1516) for these programs.

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Iraq Freedom Fund (sec. 1511)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1511) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for the Iraq Freedom Fund.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1513).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

Iraq Security Forces Fund (sec. 1512)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1512) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for the Iraq Security Forces Fund.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1511).

The House recedes with an amendment that would require notification to the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives of any contributions to this fund from foreign governments or other outside entities.

Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (sec. 1513)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1513) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for the Iraq Security Forces Fund.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1512).

The House recedes with an amendment that would require notification to the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives of any contributions to this fund from foreign governments or other outside entities.

Military personnel (sec. 1514)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1514) that would authorize additional fiscal year 2008 funds for active and reserve component military personnel programs to support operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1507).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

[Addition in millions of dollars]

Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program 73.0

Strategic Readiness Fund (sec. 1515)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1708) that would authorize $1.0 billion for a Strategic Readiness Fund.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Treatment as additional authorizations (sec. 1516)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1518) that would state that the amounts authorized to be appropriated in title XV of this Act are in addition to any other amounts authorized in this Act.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1522).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Special transfer authority (sec. 1517)

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1523) that would authorize the transfer of up to $3.5 billion of war-related funding authorizations in this title among the accounts in this title. This special transfer authority is in addition to the general transfer authority contained in section 1001 of this Act, but the same reprogramming procedures applicable to transfers under section 1001 would also apply to transfers under this section.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

BUDGET ITEMS

Army tactical radio modernization plans

The budget request included $2.3 billion in Other Procurement, Army for single channel ground and airborne radios (SINCGARS).

The House bill would decrease this amount by $754.0 million.

The Senate bill would decrease this amount by $375.0 million.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables of this report in Other Procurement, Army, line 34.

The conferees strongly support the goal of providing more communications capability to all echelons of the Army. Based on current operational experience, it is clear to the conferees that in order to maintain the Army's current dominance in land warfare, the Army must significantly increase its tactical communications capability.

While the conferees are supportive of the overall effort to improve Army communications and properly equip near-term deploying units, the conferees believe that the Army's long-term tactical modernization plan lacks sufficient analysis of future Army communications needs, is not synchronized with other Army and Department of Defense programs, and does not account for future Army modernization funding projections.

Specifically, the conferees are concerned that the Army's continued desire to procure thousands more SINCGARS radios is not consistent with the Army's plan for a future

battlefield network that requires: dramatic increases in bandwidth for data transmission; significantly better network security; more flexible systems that can operate across a wider electronic spectrum; and systems that increase joint and coalition interoperability.

In addition, the conferees note that the Army continues to request funding for SINCGARS radios in spite of an Army Science Board study that recommended the Army stop SINCGARS procurement and that the Army cannot execute the full amount of SINCGARS funding requested due to limited production capacity. Further, the conferees are concerned that the Army's plans for continued SINCGARS radio procurement do not fully address the National Security Agency's goals for encryption modernization and are inconsistent with the Marine Corps decision to cease procurement of SINCGARS radios.

The conferees also believe that the Army's plan to acquire additional legacy tactical radios is not aligned with the goals of the Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) program. The conferees are concerned that the acquisition of thousands more SINCGARS radios will seriously undermine the Army's investment in the JTRS program. By reducing its future demand for the more capable JTRS radio, the Army will significantly increase the unit cost of JTRS systems for the Army and other services. Finally, the conferees note that the Army's plan to continue procurement of thousands of SINCGARS radios will impede efforts of the JTRS program to move the military services toward a standards-based acquisition model for tactical radios that encourages competition and avoids sole-source production dependency.

The conferees urge the Army and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration (ASD(NII)) to reexamine the Army's current tactical radio modernization plan. Specifically, the conferees strongly encourage the Army and ASD (NII) to develop a strategy for tactical radio modernization that focuses on the future. The conferees strongly support continued research and development investments in the JTRS program. However, the conferees understand that JTRS will not be available in large numbers for several more years and that the Army's plan for procurement of JTRS radios is not fully defined.

In the interim, the conferees agree that the Army should invest in a limited number of tactical radio systems that meet JTRS Software Communications Architecture (SCA) standards, and provide improvements in bandwidth capacity, programmable encryption, spectrum flexibility, and interoperability necessary for the Army to realize its future battlefield network plan. The conferees believe that over-investment in legacy systems will not give the Army flexibility to properly integrate JTRS radios and build its future network. If the Army procures any additional legacy radio systems prior to the fielding of the JTRS radio, it should only procure the minimum number needed to fill urgent short-term needs for deploying units. As it makes these limited investments, the conferees encourage the Army to avoid new or extended, long-term sole-source tactical radio procurement contracts that may limit the Army's options in the future.

Blast injury research

Blast injury from improvised explosive devices (IEDs) continues to be the most significant cause of American casualties in Iraq. The conferees are concerned that the Department of Defense has not appropriately allocated resources provided for the defeat of IEDs to the full range of efforts necessary to defeat the IED threat, including much needed research and training on the prevention, mitigation, and treatment of blast injuries. Section 256 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) established a Department of Defense-wide program to prevent, mitigate, and treat blast injuries. The conferees expect that the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Office (JIEDDO), in accordance with the 2006 Act, will be a partner in the Department-wide efforts to coordinate, manage, and fund research efforts for medical blast research.

To support these efforts, the conferees direct that JIEDDO fund, through interagency transfer of resources as appropriate, blast-related research, training, and programmatic activities which have been identified as high priorities by the DOD executive agent and the centers of excellence established under section 1621 of this Act, at a level of not less than $50.0 million in fiscal year 2008. These include, but are not limited to: research and development of diagnostics, training, and treatment for traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder; collection, storage, and integration of operational, medical, and protective equipment performance data associated with wounding and non-wounding events; body surface wound mapping for investigation of wounding patterns to be included in body armor design; research and training to prevent traumatic eye injury and cranial-facial injury; research to enhance prevention, healing, and quality of life relating to burns; advanced prosthetics; and enhanced research on hemorrhage control.

Further, the conferees direct JIEDDO to report to the congressional defense committees on the actions taken, including funding, to fulfill these requirements, no later than March 1, 2008.

Grow the force transfer

The budget request for the global war on terror included $689.4 million in Operation and Maintenance, Army (OMA) to fund the planned growth of the Army's end strength for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill would authorize $689.4 million in OMA of title XV.

The Senate amendment would authorize $689.4 million in OMA of title III.

The conferees agree to authorize $689.4 for growth of the Army's end strength in OMA of title XV of this Act.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Reactive armor for EFP protection

The amended budget request for the war-related budget includes $27.5 million in PE 62618A for ballistics technology. Of that amount, $20.0 million is for development of armor capable of countering explosively formed projectiles (EFPs).

The conferees understand that reactive armor technology may provide an effective solution at lower weight than existing designs. Furthermore, modeling and design tools are available that would allow a candidate reactive armor system to be designed and evaluated rapidly, followed by fabrication and testing. The conferees direct that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) ensure that the Army and the Joint Improvised Explosive Defeat Organization conduct a robust technology analysis as rapidly as possible to determine whether reactive armor would provide superior protection against EFPs and to determine the potential for weight reduction with a longer-term effort to optimize the reactive armor system. The conferees direct the USD(AT&L) to inform the congressional defense committees by letter within 60 days of enactment of this Act of his findings and plans on this matter.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Improvised explosive device protection for military vehicles

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1543) that would authorize $23.6 billion for the procurement of mine resistant ambush protected military vehicles.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables of this report in Other Procurement, Army, line 9a.

Reports on mitigation of effects of explosively formed projectiles and mines

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1517) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees reports on four items: (1) explosively formed projectiles (EFPs); (2) Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) vehicles; (3) tactical wheeled vehicle strategy; and (4) long-term armoring strategy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to report to the congressional defense committees no later than 120 days after enactment of this Act, on the following items: (1) a plan for improving capabilities to mitigate the effects of EFPs; (2) plans for armor upgrades, and their impact on system performance and sustainment; (3) the impact of the MRAP vehicle program on the current acquisition strategies and procurement plans of the Army and Marine Corps for the tactical wheeled vehicle fleet, including inventory mix, overall sustainment cost, and logistical and industrial base issues; and (4) plans for the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle program, including an assessment of the continued validity of previously adopted key performance parameters.

The conferees note that the MRAP has been designated the Department's highest acquisition priority by the Secretary of Defense. The conferees believe this designation is appropriate and will continue to work with the Department to ensure this critical force protection program is adequately funded. The conferees urge the Department to protect to the maximum extent possible the current engineering and technology of the MRAP vehicle, as well as subsequent improvements to the survivability of MRAP vehicles. Further, the conferees note that the MRAP is not the final solution to combat the improvised explosive devices and other asymmetric threats to tactical vehicles faced by the warfighter in Iraq and Afghanistan, and the conferees urge the Department to be ready to respond quickly as these threats evolve.

TITLE XVI—WOUNDED WARRIOR MATTERS

Wounded Warrior Act—overview

''The willingness with which our young people are likely to serve in any war, no matter how justified, shall be directly proportional as to how they perceive the Veterans of earlier wars were treated and appreciated by their country.''—General and President George Washington 1789

In fulfillment of President Washington's vision, American soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines receive the finest medical care available in the world. Through advances in medicine and battlefield care, the U.S. military has achieved the lowest war mortality rate in history in Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom. An Independent Review Group appointed by Secretary of Defense Robert Gates to investigate inadequacies at Walter Reed Army

Medical Center in February 2007 confirmed that, ''. . . the evolution of rapid joint battlefield medical response, rapid evacuation with intensive care, quality air transportation, and unsurpassed trauma care have yielded unprecedented survival rates for our combat forces.''

Yet despite this remarkable record of accomplishment, reports beginning on February 18, 2007 by the Washington Post illuminated inadequacies and failures at Walter Reed Army Medical Center. Subsequent independent investigations and hearings by congressional committees revealed the need for system-wide improvements in outpatient care, transition of recovering service members between the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs health care systems, and performance of the Departments' physical disability evaluation systems. In addition, as a consequence of improved battlefield care, increasing numbers of service members are surviving with complex, multiple injuries, traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other mental health conditions.

Following the revelations at Walter Reed, both the House of Representatives and the Senate adopted bills to improve the management of medical care, disability evaluations, personnel actions, and quality of life for members of the armed forces recovering from illness or injury received during the war. On March 28, 2007, the House of Representatives adopted the ''Wounded Warrior Assistance Act of 2007''. The Senate adopted the ''Dignified Treatment of Wounded Warriors Act'' on July 25, 2007.

This conference report includes provisions from each bill. Taken as a whole, it advances the care, management, and transition of recovering service members, enhances health care and benefits for families, and begins the process of fundamental reform of the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs disability evaluation systems. The conference report is the result of bipartisan efforts in the Senate and the House of Representatives by both the Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Veterans Affairs. It also includes, in particular, many recommendations of the President's Commission on Care for America's Returning Wounded Warriors.

In completing its work on the National Defense Authorization Act for 2008, the conferees express deep gratitude to U.S. service members and their families for their sacrifice and courage in service to their country. We are grateful for the work of outstanding medical personnel whose skill and dedication have saved or prolonged the lives of more than 30,000 service members who, as of this date, have benefitted from their care.

The conferees acknowledge that additional work remains to be done in order to achieve permanent improvements for wounded and ill service members. The conferees are committed to continued progress toward that goal, through oversight of actions now underway within the executive branch, consideration of findings of public and independent organizations, dialogue with recovering service members and their families, and enactment of additional laws as they are needed.

Together with all who share the goal of improving care for ill and injured service members and veterans, we will work to achieve the vision of our Nation's founders—to manifest not only appreciation and gratitude toward those who have borne the battle, but also to provide the highest quality care to U.S. service members and their families.

Short title (sec. 1601)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1601) that would provide that this title may be cited as the ''Dignified Treatment of Wounded Warriors Act''.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide that this title may be cited as the ''Wounded Warrior Act''.

General definitions (sec. 1602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec 1401) that would define terms used in the title on wounded warrior assistance.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1602) that would define the terms used in the Dignified Treatment of Wounded Warriors Act.

The House recedes with an amendment that would define terms used in the Wounded Warrior Act.

Consideration of gender-specific needs of recovering service members and veterans (sec. 1603)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1612) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to take into account and fully address any unique specific needs of women members of the armed forces and women veterans in developing and implementing a comprehensive policy on care, management, and transition of members of the armed forces with serious injuries or illnesses.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to take into account and fully address any unique gender-specific needs of recovering servicemembers and veterans when developing and implementing the policy required by the Wounded Warrior Act.

Subtitle A—Policy on Improvements to Care, Management, and Transition of Recovering Service Members

Comprehensive policy on improvements to care, management, and transition of recovering service members (sec. 1611)

The House bill contained a series of provisions that would make improvements to medical and dental care for members of the armed forces assigned to hospitals in an outpatient basis.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1411) that would amend chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, to establish requirements for the duties, training, supervision, and workload of medical care case managers and service member advocates. The provision would also require the secretary concerned to conduct semiannual surveys of members in an outpatient status.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1418) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to appropriate congressional committees on recommendations to improve training provided to health care professionals, medical care case managers, and service member advocates, in particular to ensure that such personnel were adequately trained to detect the early warning signs of post-traumatic stress disorder, suicidal or homicidal thoughts or behaviors, and other behavioral health conditions. The provision would require an annual review of such training, and development of a system to track any notifications made by care managers regarding the early warning signs of post-traumatic stress disorder.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1424) that would require the Secretary of Defense to expand the opportunities for recovering service members of the reserve components in an outpatient status to receive care at a military treatment facility closest to the member's home, rather than closest to the base from which the member was deployed.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1437) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the feasibility of developing a joint soldier patient tracking system that would provide transparency at all times on the location and status of recovering service members.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1439) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the feasibility of measuring family members' satisfaction with health care services.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1611) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop and implement, by January 1, 2008, a comprehensive policy on the care, management, and transition of members of the armed forces with serious injuries or illnesses. The provision would require that the policy address detailed requirements concerning: (1) the care and management of covered service members in a medical hold status or on the temporary disability retired list (including specific standards for access to medical care services); (2) the medical evaluation and disability evaluation systems for severely injured and ill service members; (3) the return of recovered service members to active duty when appropriate; and (4) the transition of service members from the Department of Defense to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The provision also would require that the Secretaries complete a review of all applicable policies and procedures of the Departments to identify potential shortfalls in those policies, and to consider the findings and recommendations of numerous commissions established to examine Department of Defense and veterans health care and compensation. The provision also would require a report on any reduction in disability ratings by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 257) that would require a study of a standard soldier tracking system in conjunction with pilot projects to be conducted to improve the disability evaluation systems of the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 705) that would express the sense of the Senate that the Department of Defense should encourage continuing collaboration between the Army and the Department of Veterans Affairs in treating America's wounded warriors and, when appropriate and available, provide additional support and resources for the development of such collaborations.

The conference agreement includes a provision that would integrate these provisions into a single requirement to develop and implement a comprehensive policy by July 1, 2008, on improvements to the care, management, and transition of recovering service members. The agreement would add a requirement for recovery plans and recovery coordinators, and require the Secretary of Defense to establish maximum workload amounts for recovery coordinators, medical and non-medical care managers, and minimum standards for access to health care services. The conference agreement would also add a requirement for policy on the relocation of any military personnel found to be housed in substandard outpatient facilities. Other areas included in the conference report would require procedures for referral of recovering service members to appropriate public and private entities for needed health care services, and care and job placement services for family members of recovering service members. The conference agreement would authorize the Secretary of Defense to apply policies for the care, management, and transition of members on the temporary disability retired list to those members as the Secretary deems appropriate.

The conferees acknowledge that the Secretaries of Defense and Veterans Affairs have established a Senior Oversight Committee and specific subgroups to address many of the policy improvements required by this section. The conferees intend that the improvements identified be established in consistent policies throughout the Department of Defense, and to the extent feasible, with the Department of Veterans Affairs. The conferees intend that this policy will be established and implemented in the near future, and expect that it will be periodically updated as best practices and improved approaches are discovered.

The conferees direct that the Secretary of Defense provide a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives within 30 days of enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter, which describes:

(1) the standards for maximum case workloads for recovery coordinators, and medical and non-medical managers which are in effect for recovering service members;

(2) the standards in effect for the frequency of periodic face-to-face reviews of the medical status of recovering service members;

(3) the standards in effect setting forth minimum periods of time for access to health care services for recovering service members;

(4) the performance of the Department in maintaining all such standards; and

(5) any deviation from the standard and the reasons for such deviation.

The conferees will closely monitor the performance of the Departments in achieving improvements in policy and practices related to recovering service members and will take into consideration such performance in development of future legislative requirements.

Medical evaluations and physical disability evaluations of recovering service members (sec. 1612)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1415) that would add a requirement to section 1222 of title 10, United States Code, to require the secretary of each military department to appoint an independent medical advocate for members before a medical evaluation board to serve as an advocate for the best interests of the member and to advise the member regarding the member's medical condition and recommendations of the medical evaluation board.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1416) that would amend section 1222 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to establish the maximum workload that could be assigned to physical evaluation board liaison officers, and would require a standardized training program for such officers.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1417) that would amend section 1216 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to establish a standardized training program and curriculum for the Department's disability evaluation system for commanders, enlisted members, health care professionals, and others involved in the disability evaluation system.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1433) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to conduct a joint evaluation of the disability evaluation systems used by the Departments in order to improve the consistency of the two systems, and to evaluate the feasibility of consolidating them into a single system.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1611(d)(2)) that would require that the comprehensive policy on the care and management of covered members provide processes, procedures, and standards for medical evaluations and physical disability evaluations of covered service members.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a policy on: improvements for the conduct by the military departments of medical evaluations of recovering service members that include uniform processes; standard criteria and definitions for determining achievement of the maximum medical benefit from treatment and rehabilitation; standard timelines for determinations of fitness for duty, specialty care consultations, preparation of medical documents, and appeals of medical evaluation determinations; review of the findings and recommendations of the medical evaluation board upon request of the member by a health care professional independent of the medical evaluation board; standards for qualifications and training of medical evaluation board personnel; and standards for information for recovering service members and their families on the medical evaluation board process.

The amendment would require a similar policy on improvements for the conduct of physical disability evaluations that include: a clearly defined process for disability determinations; procedures to eliminate unacceptable discrepancies and improve consistency of disability ratings; uniform timelines for appeals of disability determinations; uniform standards for qualifications and training of physical disability evaluation board personnel; uniform standards for the number of cases pending before a disability evaluation board; uniform standards and procedures for provision of legal counsel to recovering service members; and uniform standards on the roles and responsibilities of nonmedical care managers. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to report to Congress on the feasibility and advisability of consolidating the disability evaluation systems of the military departments and of the Department of Veterans Affairs into a single disability evaluation system.

Return of recovering service members to active duty in the Armed Forces (sec. 1613)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1611(d)(2)(C)) that would require that the comprehensive policy on the care and management of covered members provide standards for determinations by the military departments on the return of covered service members to active duty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Transition of recovering service members from care and treatment through the Department of Defense to care, treatment, and rehabilitation through the Department of Veterans Affairs (sec. 1614)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1421) that would amend chapter 58 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to provide each service member being separated or retired for physical disability with a written plan for transition to programs operated by the Department of Veterans Affairs. The provision would amend section 1145 of title 10, United States Code, to require establishment of a joint separation and evaluation physical examination for use by both Departments, and would require establishment and implementation of a process to ensure an interoperable, bi-directional, real time exchange of critical medical information.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 685) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit to Congress a plan to maximize access to the benefits delivery at discharge program for members of the reserve components who have been ordered to active duty since September 11, 2001.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide for each service member separating from the armed forces or who detaches from the member's regular unit while awaiting medical separation or retirement, upon the request of the member, the address and other appropriate contact information of the member to the State veterans agency in the State in which the member will first reside after separation or the State in which the member resides while awaiting medical separation or retirement.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1611(d)(2)(D)) that would require that the comprehensive policy on the care and management of covered service members provide processes, procedures, and standards for the transition of covered service members from care and treatment by the Department of Defense to care and treatment by the Department of Veterans Affairs before, during, and after separation from the armed forces.

The Senate amendment also contained a provision (sec. 1662) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly develop and implement a mechanism to provide for the electronic transfer from the Department of Defense to the Department of Veterans Affairs of any Department of Defense documents (including Department of Defense form DD 214) necessary to establish or support the eligibility of a service member for benefits administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly develop and implement processes, procedures, and standards for the transition of recovering service members from care and treatment through the Department of Defense to care, treatment, and rehabilitation through the Department of Veterans Affairs. These processes, procedures, and standards would require the provision for the electronic transfer of documents and the member's address and contact information to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Reports (sec. 1615)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1611(e)) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly submit to Congress a report on the comprehensive policy on the care and management of covered service members not later than January 1, 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the comprehensive policy upon completion of the policy, but not later than July 1, 2008, and an interim report not later than February 1, 2008. The amendment would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the appropriate committees of Congress not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, and every year thereafter through 2010, a report setting forth the Comptroller General's assessment of the progress of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs in developing and implementing the policy.

Establishment of a wounded warrior resource center (sec. 1616)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1412) that would establish a Department of

Defense-wide Ombudsman Office within the office of the Secretary of Defense to provide policy guidance to, and oversight of, the ombudsman offices in the military departments.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 1413) that would amend chapter 80 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to establish and maintain a toll-free hot line to collect, maintain, and update information regarding possible deficiencies in the adequacy, quality, and state of repair of medical-related support facilities.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1611 (d)(1)(Q)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to include establishment of a Department of Defense-wide Ombudsman Office within a comprehensive policy on responsibility for members in a medical hold status.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a wounded warrior resource center to provide wounded warriors, their families, and their primary caregivers with a single point of contact for assistance with reporting deficiencies in certain military facilities, obtaining health care services, receiving benefits information, and any other difficulties encountered while supporting wounded warriors. The center would provide multiple methods of access, including at a minimum an Internet website and a toll-free telephone number. Individuals who provide information to the center would be informed of their option to have their identity remain confidential.

The conferences intend that the resources of the Wounded Warrior Resource Center shall also be available to residents of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

Notification to Congress of hospitalization of combat wounded service members (sec. 1617)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1414) that would amend chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, to require the service secretaries, if the member consents, to notify appropriate members of Congress of the hospitalization of any member of the armed forces evacuated from a theater of combat.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require notification to appropriate members of Congress of the hospitalization of any member of the armed forces evacuated from a theater of combat and admitted to a military treatment facility within the United States.

Comprehensive plan on prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of, and research on, traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other mental health conditions in members of the armed forces (sec. 1618)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1425) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to incorporate into training, combat theater operations, and post-deployment service evidence-based preventive and early-intervention measures, practices, or procedures that reduce the likelihood that personnel in combat will develop post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) or other stress-related psychopathologies.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1631) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to submit to the congressional defense committees comprehensive plans for programs and activities of the Department of Defense to prevent, diagnose, mitigate, treat, and otherwise respond to traumatic brain injury and PTSD in members of the armed forces.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would include in the plan a requirement to incorporate into training, combat theater operations, and post-deployment service evidence-based preventive and early-intervention measures, practices, or procedures that reduce the likelihood that personnel in combat will develop PTSD or other stress-related conditions.

Subtitle B—Centers of Excellence in the Prevention, Diagnosis, Mitigation, Treatment, and Rehabilitation of Traumatic Brain Injury, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, and Eye Injuries

Center of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of traumatic brain injury (sec. 1621)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1633(a)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a center of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of traumatic brain injury (TBI), including mild, moderate, and severe TBI. The amendment would ensure collaboration to the maximum extent practicable with the Department of Veterans Affairs and other appropriate public and private entities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the center would be responsible for implementation of the elements of the comprehensive plan required by section 1631 of this Act that relate to traumatic brain injury.

Center of excellence in prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of post-traumatic stress disorder and other mental health conditions (sec. 1622)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1633(b)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a center of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), including mild, moderate, and severe PTSD. The amendment would require collaboration with the National Center for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder of the Department of Veterans Affairs, institutions of higher learning, and other appropriate public and private entities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the center shall also address other mental health conditions and would be responsible for implementation of elements of the comprehensive plan required by section 1631 of this Act that relate to PTSD and other mental health conditions.

Center of excellence in prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of military eye injuries (sec. 1623)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 710) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a center of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of military eye injuries. The amendment would require collaboration with the Department of Veterans Affairs and establishment of a military eye injury registry.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with several clarifying amendments.

Report on establishment of centers of excellence (sec. 1624)

The Senate amendment contained two provisions (secs. 710 and 1633) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish centers of excellence for traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, and military eye injuries.

The House bill contained no similar provisions.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act on the establishment of the centers of excellence as required elsewhere in this conference report.

Subtitle C—Health Care Matters

Medical care and other benefits for members and former members of the armed forces with severe injuries or illnesses (sec. 1631)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1621) that would authorize medical benefits equivalent to those available to members on active duty for members and former members of the armed forces with severe injuries or illnesses and who may also be eligible for health and disability benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) during the 3 years from the date of injury or illness or date of enactment of this Act, whichever is later. The amendment would also authorize a service member with a severe injury or illness to receive rehabilitation and vocational benefits from the VA in the same manner that the Secretary of Veterans Affairs provides medical care to members of the armed forces receiving care in medical facilities of the VA.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide any former service member with a severe injury or illness the same medical and dental care as an active duty service member when such care is not reasonably available from the VA. The amendment would also authorize a member of the armed forces with a severe injury or illness to receive benefits (including rehabilitation and vocational benefits, but not compensation) from the VA. Both authorities would expire on December 31, 2012.

Senior Department of Defense officials have acknowledged that the health care benefit for service members on active duty includes limited health care benefits that are not available to service members in a retired status. This has created an incentive for some seriously wounded service members to remain on active duty solely for the increased medical benefit. The conferees intend that this provision would be implemented by the Secretary of Defense in a manner that will ensure that severely wounded or ill service members who are medically retired experience no gap in health care coverage due to lack of reasonably available capacity of the Veterans Health Administration or any limitation in current health care benefits required by the member.

Reimbursement of travel expenses of retired members with combat-related disabilities for follow-on specialty care, services, and supplies (sec. 1632)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1622) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide reimbursement for reasonable travel expenses for follow-on specialty care at a military treatment facility more than 100 miles from where the member resides for a service member and any eligible accompanying family member when the member has incurred a combat-related disability and is entitled to retired or retainer pay, or equivalent pay.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to implement an outreach program for such members in order to ensure that eligible members are medically monitored and receiving travel reimbursement for specialty care when necessary.

Respite care and other extended care benefits for members of the uniformed services who incur a serious injury or illness on active duty (sec. 1633)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1627) that would amend section 1079(d) of title 10, United States Code, to provide that the program of extended benefits would include extended benefits, including respite care, for the primary caregivers of members of the uniformed services who incur a serious injury or illness on active duty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would amend section 1074(c) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize extended benefits to members of the uniformed services who incur a serious injury or illness on active duty in a manner consistent with extended health care benefits authorized for eligible dependents in sections 1079(d) and (c) of title 10, United States Code.

Reports (sec. 1634)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1636) that would require the Secretary of Defense, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the progress in completing studies and reports on: (1) a longitudinal study on traumatic brain injury incurred by members of the armed forces in Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom; (2) enhanced mental health screening and services for members of the armed forces; and (3) pilot projects on early diagnosis and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder and other mental health conditions. The provision would also require annual reports on expenditures for activities on traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require a report on the longitudinal study on traumatic brain injury and the pilot projects on early diagnosis and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder and other mental health conditions, and would require annual reports on expenditures for activities on traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder.

Fully interoperable electronic personal health information for the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs (sec. 1635)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1421(c)) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly establish and implement a process to ensure an interoperable, bi-directional, real-time exchange of critical medical information.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1641) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly develop and implement a joint electronic health record and accelerate the exchange of health care information between the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs. The amendment would also establish a Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs interagency program office for a joint electronic health record.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly develop and implement electronic health record systems or capabilities that would allow for full interoperability of personal health care information between the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs, and would modify elements of the Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs interagency program office.

Enhanced personnel authorities for the Department of Defense for health care professionals for care and treatment of wounded and injured members of the armed forces (sec. 1636)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1642) that would amend section 1599c of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to exercise any authority for the appointment and pay of health care personnel under chapter 74 of title 38, United States Code, for purposes of recruitment, employment, and retention of civilian health care professionals for the Department of Defense, and to require the service secretaries to develop and implement a strategy to disseminate the authorities and best practices for the recruitment of medical and health professionals.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would terminate the authority of the Secretary of Defense to exercise the authorities available under chapter 74 of title 38 on September 30, 2010.

Continuation of transitional health benefits for members of the armed forces pending resolution of service-related medical conditions (sec. 1637)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 716) that would authorize a service member entitled to transitional health care benefits under section 1145 of title 10, United States Code, to receive medical and dental care for a specific medical condition related to the member's military service as if the member were an active duty member until the condition is resolved.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the service-related medical condition to be identified during the member's 6 month transition period, and would provide health care for such condition as if the member were still on active duty for 6 months following the diagnosis of the condition.

Subtitle D—Disability Matters

Utilization of veterans' presumption of sound condition in establishing eligibility of members of the armed forces for retirement for disability (sec. 1641)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1651) that would amend sections 1201 and 1203 of title 10, United States Code, to establish a presumption that a disability is incurred while on active duty for a service member with 6 months or more of active military service whose disability was not noted at the time of the member's entrance on active duty, unless compelling evidence or medical judgment is such to warrant a finding that the disability existed before the member's entrance on active duty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that section 1207a of title 10, United States Code, which provides that disabilities of service members with at least 8 years of active service will be deemed to have been incurred while on active duty, remains in effect.

Requirements and limitations on Department of Defense determinations of disability with respect to members of the armed forces (sec. 1642)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1652) that would amend chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to utilize, to the extent feasible, the schedule for rating disabilities in use by the Department of Veterans Affairs, including any applicable interpretation of the schedule by the United States Court for Veterans Claims and would require the Secretary, when making a determination of a disability rating, to take into account all medical conditions, whether individually or collectively, that render a member unfit to perform the duties of the member's office, grade, rank, or rating.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Review of separation of members of the armed forces separated from service with a disability rating of 20 percent disabled or less (sec. 1643)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1653) that would amend chapter 79 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to establish a board to review the cases of former service members who were separated with disability ratings of 20 percent or less and to authorize the secretary concerned to correct the military records of the former member in accordance with the recommendation of the board.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the board to notify the former member, or the former member's surviving spouse, next of kin, or legal representative, that consideration of the former member's case by the board, whether in response to a request or self-generated by the board, will preclude further consideration of the former member's case by the Board for Correction of Military Records.

The conferees believe that the recommendation of the Veterans' Disability Benefits Commission in its report of October 3, 2007, to reassess the ratings of service members who were discharged as unfit but given low ratings should be implemented. The Commission's analysis of service disability ratings from 2000 to 2006 set forth in chapter V of its report reflected disturbing and ''counterintuitive'' differences between the Army and Marine Corps and the Navy and Air Force that must be addressed. The conferees expect the Secretary of Defense to ensure that cases before the Physical Disability Board of Review receive equitable ratings as recommended by the Commission.

Authorization of pilot programs to improve disability evaluation system for members of the armed forces (sec. 1644)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1654) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out three pilot programs and authorize the Secretary to carry out additional pilot programs with respect to the disability evaluation system of the Department of Defense (DOD). The required pilot programs would include use of a disability rating assigned by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), use of a joint DOD/VA assigned disability rating, and use of a single Internet Web site for the DOD disability system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish and conduct pilot programs with respect to the disability evaluation system of the Department of Defense for the evaluation of the disabilities of members of the armed forces who are being separated or retired from the armed forces for disability under chapter 61, United States Code.

Reports on Army Medical Action Plan in response to deficiencies in the Army physical disability evaluation system (sec. 1645)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1655) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the implementation of corrective measures by the Department of Defense with respect to the Physical Disability Evaluation System in response to several different reports.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the reports to be submitted by June 1, 2008 and June 1, 2009.

Enhancement of disability severance pay for Members of the armed forces (sec. 1646)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1661) that would amend section 1212 of title 10, United States Code, to increase the minimum severance pay to 12 month's basic pay for service members separated for a disability incurred in a combat zone and 6 month's basic pay for other members, and increasing the maximum severance pay from 24 month's basic pay to 38 month's basic pay. The provision would also remove the requirement that severance pay received by members for a disability incurred in a combat zone be deducted from disability compensation received from the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Assessments of continuing utility and future role of temporary disability retired list (sec. 1647)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1420) that would amend section 1210(e) of title 10, United States Code, to require that a service member's medical condition be permanent and stable before the service member can be removed from the temporary disabled retired list (TDRL).

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1663) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees assessing the continuing utility of the TDRL.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees, no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report containing a statistical history regarding the TDRL and an assessment of: (1) the continuing utility of the TDRL; (2) the need to require that the condition of a member be permanent and stable before the member is separated with less than a 30 percent disability rating; and (3) the future role of the TDRL in the disability evaluation system of the Department of Defense and the changes in policy and law required to fulfill the future role of the TDRL.

Standards for military medical treatment facilities, specialty medical care facilities, and military quarters housing patients and annual report on such facilities (sec. 1648)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1431) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report beginning with the budget submission for fiscal year 2009 on the adequacy, suitability, and quality of military medical facilities and medical-related support facilities. This section would also require that the report include any facility deficiencies and accompanying response plans identified through the toll-free hot line made available to service members and families residing in medical-related support facilities.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1671) that would require the Secretary to establish standards for medical treatment facilities and quarters or leased housing for patients, and to set a deadline for compliance with such standards. The amendment would also require a report to the congressional defense committees on actions taken to meet these standards.

The House recedes with an amendment that would combine the annual report and the requirement to establish standards.

Reports on Army Medical Action Plan in response to deficiencies identified at Walter Reed Army Medical Center (sec. 1649)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1672) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit reports to the congressional defense committees on the implementation of the action plan of the Army to correct deficiencies identified in the condition of facilities, and in the administration of outpatients in medical hold or medical holdover status, at Walter Reed Army Medical Center and at other applicable Army installations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report no later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter until March 1, 2009, on the implementation of the Army Medical Action Plan to correct deficiencies identified in the condition of facilities and patient administration.

Required certifications in connection with closure of Walter Reed Army Medical Center, District of Columbia (sec. 1650)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1673) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees no later than 90 days after enactment of this Act certain certifications regarding the closure of Walter Reed Army Medical Center, District of Columbia. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Handbook for members of the armed forces on compensation and benefits available for serious injuries and illnesses (sec. 1651)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1681) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop and maintain, in handbook and electronic form, a comprehensive description of the compensation and other benefits to which a service member and their family would be entitled when the member separates or retires from the armed forces. The Secretary of Defense would be required to do this in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Secretary of Health and Human Service, and the Commissioner of Social Security.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to develop the handbook and electronic form no later than October 1, 2008.

Subtitle E—Studies and Reports

Study on physical and mental health and other readjustment needs of members and former members of the armed forces who deployed in Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom and their families (sec. 1661)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1691) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to enter into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences for a study on the physical and mental health and other readjustment needs of members and former members of the armed forces who deployed in Operation Iraqi Freedom or Operation Enduring Freedom and their families as a result of such deployment. The amendment would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop a joint plan to address the findings and recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences study within 90 days of receiving the report. The amendment would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to Congress a report assessing the Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs plan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the preliminary phase of the study to be completed not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and an assessment of gender- and ethnic group-specific needs and concerns. The amendment would also remove the requirement for the review by the Comptroller General of the United States of the Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs plan.

Access of recovering service members to adequate outpatient residential facilities (sec. 1662)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1432) that would require the Inspectors General of the regional medical commands to conduct semi-annual inspections of facilities housing recovering service members for the first two years following the date of enactment of this Act and annually thereafter. This section would require the inspection results to be coordinated with local and service medical and civilian leadership, reported to the Congress, and posted on the Internet website of the regional medical command.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Study and report on support services for families of recovering service members (sec. 1663)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1434) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study of the provision of support services for families of recovering service members. The study would include a determination of the types of support services currently provided, a determination of additional types of support services that would be feasible, an estimate of the number of family members to whom the support services would be provided, and a determination of discrimination in employment that family members experience.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment that would include job placement services as an element of the determination of additional types of support services that would be feasible.

Report on traumatic brain injury classifications (sec. 1664)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1435) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives an interim report not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act describing the changes undertaken within the Department of Defense to ensure that traumatic brain injury victims receive a proper medical designation concomitant with their injury, and a final report not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act concerning traumatic brain injury classification.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to jointly submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report describing the changes undertaken within the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to ensure that traumatic brain injury victims receive a medical designation concomitant with their injury rather than a medical designation that assigns a generic classification (such as ''organic psychiatric disorder''). The report would be due not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Evaluation of the Polytrauma Liaison Officer/Non-Commissioned Officer Program (sec. 1665)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1436) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct an evaluation of the Polytrauma Liaison Officer/Non-Commissioned Officer program, operated by the military departments and the Department of Veterans Affairs, to assist the transition of members from the Department of Defense health care system to the Department of Veterans Affairs system. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a report to Congress containing the results of the evaluation and recommendations for improvement not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Prohibition on transfer of resources from medical care (sec. 1671)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1452) that would prohibit the transfer of funds or personnel from medical care functions within the Department of Defense to support the administrative requirements imposed by this Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Medical care for families of members of the armed forces recovering from serious injuries or illnesses (sec. 1672)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1626) that would authorize medical care on a space available basis at military medical treatment facilities or medical facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs for certain family members caring for service members in a medical hold or holdover status or on the temporary disability retired list. Family members must be on invitational travel orders, a non-medical attendee caring for certain injured service members, or receiving per diem while caring for certain injured service members. The provision would also authorize job placement services for family members on invitational travel orders or non-medical attendees caring for certain injured service members for more than 45 days during a 1-year period, and require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the need for additional employment services and protections for certain family members who are placed on leave from employment or otherwise displaced from employment while caring for an injured service member.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment that would authorize medical care on a space available basis at military medical treatment facilities or medical facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs for certain family members not otherwise eligible for medical care who are caring for a recovering service member. Job placement services and the report on the need for additional services are contained elsewhere in this conference report.

Improvement of medical tracking system for members of the armed forces deployed overseas (sec. 1673)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 711) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a computer-based program that assesses the cognitive functioning, in a pre- and post-deployment environment, of all members of the armed forces who are deployed in support of the global war on terror, including Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1632) that would amend section 1074f of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to establish a protocol for the pre-deployment assessment and documentation of the cognitive functioning of a member who is deployed outside the United States in order to facilitate the assessment of the post-deployment cognitive functioning of the member. The amendment would require the Secretary to conduct up to three pilot projects to evaluate various mechanisms for use in the protocol, and to establish a means to implement any mechanism that is selected for incorporation in the protocol not later than 180 days after completion of the pilot projects.

The House recedes with an amendment to clarify the characteristics of a computer-based assessment tool to be evaluated under the pilot projects.

Guaranteed funding for Walter Reed Army Medical Center, District of Columbia (sec. 1674)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would require that the amount of funds available for the commander of Walter Reed Army Medical Center shall not be less than the amount expended in fiscal year 2006 until the first fiscal year beginning after the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that the expanded facilities at the National Naval Medical Center and DeWitt Army Community Hospital are completed, equipped, and staffed with sufficient capacity to accept and provide at least the same level of care as patients received at Walter Reed Army Medical Center during fiscal year 2006.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require that the amount of funds available shall not be less than the amount expended by the commander of Walter Reed Army Medical Center in fiscal year 2006 until the first fiscal year beginning after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a plan for the provision of health care for military beneficiaries and their dependents in the National Capital Region. After submission of this plan, the amendment would require the Secretary to certify to the congressional defense committees on a quarterly basis that patients, staff, bed capacity, functions, or parts of functions at Walter Reed Army Medical Center have not been moved or disestablished until the expanded facilities at the National Naval Medical Center and DeWitt Army Community Hospital are completed, equipped, and staffed with sufficient capacity to accept and provide, at a minimum, the same level of and access to care as patients received at Walter Reed Army Medical Center during fiscal year 2006.

Use of leave transfer program by wounded veterans who are federal employees (sec. 1675)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1110) that would allow federal employees who sustain a combat-related injury while on active duty to accept donated leave without having to deplete their own leave allocations while they are undergoing medical treatment for the disability, for up to 5 years.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Moratorium on conversion to contractor performance of Department of Defense functions at military medical facilities (sec. 1676)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1451) that would: (1) prohibit the Department of Defense from initiating any new public-private competitions for the performance of functions at military medical facilities for a period of 1 year; and (2) require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on public-private competitions currently being carried out at such facilities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment prohibiting the Department of Defense from initiating any new public-private competitions for the performance of functions at military medical facilities until the Secretary: (1) certifies that appropriate steps have been taken to ensure that such competitions will not have an adverse impact on the quality of military medical care; and (2) submits the required report.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Establishment of medical support fund for support of members of the armed forces returning to military service or civilian life

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1422) that would require the establishment of a medical support fund on the books of the Treasury to support programs and activities relating to the medical treatment, care, rehabilitation, recovery, and support of wounded and injured service members and their families, and authorized $50.0 million for the fund.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Funding for improved diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation of members of the armed forces with traumatic brain injury or post-traumatic stress disorder

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1635) that would authorize $50.0 million for activities relating to the improved diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation of members of the armed forces with traumatic brain injury or post-traumatic stress disorder. Of that amount, $17.0 million would be available for the Defense and Veterans Brain Injury Center of the Department of Defense.

The Senate recedes.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables of this report.

Personnel shortages in the mental health workforce of the Department of Defense, including personnel in the mental health workforce

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1643) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth the recommendations of the Secretary for such legislative or administrative actions as the Secretary considers appropriate to address shortages in health care professionals

within the Department of Defense, including personnel in the mental health workforce. The amendment would also require the Secretary to implement, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, programs to recruit qualified individuals in health care fields (including mental health) to serve in the armed forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conference agreement includes a requirement for the Secretary of Defense to report on implementation of the recommendations of the Department of Defense Task Force on Mental Health, which include improving access to mental health services by increasing the number of mental health personnel.

Pilot program to establish an Army Wounded Warrior battalion at an appropriate active duty base

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1419) that would require the Secretary of the Army to establish a pilot program, known as the Army Wounded Warrior Program, based on the Wounded Warrior Regiment program of the Marine Corps.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferrees are aware that the Army has already established Warrior Transition Units that are similar in function to the Marine Wounded Warrior Regiment program.

Oversight Board for Wounded Warriors

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1423) that would require the establishment of a 12 member board to be known as the Oversight Board for Wounded Warriors to provide oversight of medical care, quality of life, administrative processing, and family programs supporting wounded warriors and to provide advice and counsel to Congress and the Department of Defense about how the programs can be made more efficient and effective.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XVII—VETERANS MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Sense of Congress on Department of Veterans Affairs efforts in the rehabilitation and reintegration of veterans with traumatic brain injury (sec. 1701)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1701) that would express the sense of Congress that:

(1) the Department of Veterans Affairs is a leader in the field of traumatic brain injury and coordination of such care;

(2) the Department of Veterans Affairs should have the capacity and expertise to provide veterans who have traumatic brain injury with patient-centered health care, rehabilitation, and community integration services that are comparable to or exceed similar care and services available to persons with such injuries in the academic and private sector;

(3) rehabilitation for veterans who have a traumatic brain injury should be individualized, comprehensive, and interdisciplinary with the goals of optimizing the independence of such veterans and reintegrating them into their communities;

(4) family support is integral to the rehabilitation and community reintegration of veterans who have sustained a traumatic brain injury, and the Department should provide the families of such veterans with education and support;

(5) the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs have made efforts to provide a smooth transition of medical care and rehabilitative services to individuals as they transition from the health care system of the Department of Defense to that of the Department of Veterans Affairs, but more can be done to assist veterans and their families in the continuum of the rehabilitation, recovery, and reintegration of wounded or injured veterans into their communities;

(6) in planning for rehabilitation and community reintegration of veterans who have a traumatic brain injury, it is necessary for the Department of Veterans Affairs to provide a system for life-long case management for such veterans; and

(7) in such a system for life-long case management, it is necessary to conduct outreach and to tailor specialized traumatic brain injury case management and outreach for the unique needs of veterans with traumatic brain injury who reside in urban and nonurban settings.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Individual rehabilitation and community reintegration plans for veterans and others with traumatic brain injury (sec. 1702)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1702) that would amend subchapter II of chapter 17 of title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to develop an individualized rehabilitation and reintegration plan for each veteran or member of the armed forces who receives inpatient or outpatient rehabilitation care from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) for a traumatic brain injury and to provide the plan in writing to the veteran or service member before discharge from inpatient care.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would add a requirement for the Secretary to develop and carry out a comprehensive program of long-term care for post-acute traumatic brain injury rehabilitation at each VA polytrauma center. The program would include residential, community, and home-based components utilizing interdisciplinary treatment teams.

Use of non-Department of Veterans Affairs facilities for implementation of rehabilitation and community reintegration plans for traumatic brain injury (sec. 1703)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1703) that would amend subchapter II of chapter 17 of title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide rehabilitative treatment or services at non-Department of Veterans Affairs facilities to veterans or members of the armed forces who receive inpatient or outpatient care from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) for a traumatic brain injury when the VA is unable to provide treatment or services at the frequency or for the duration prescribed in the individual treatment plans or for whom the Secretary determines that it is optimal with respect to the recovery and rehabilitation of such individual.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary to provide health care and services through cooperative agreements with appropriate public or private entities with expertise in neurobehavioral rehabilitation and recovery programs.

Research, education, and clinical care program on traumatic brain injury (sec. 1704)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1704) that would amend subchapter II of chapter 73 of title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a program on research, education, and clinical care to provide neuro-rehabilitation to veterans with a severe traumatic brain injury, including veterans in a minimally conscious state who would otherwise receive only long-term residential care.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to establish and maintain a registry of names of each individual who: (1) served as a member of the armed forces in Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom; (2) exhibits symptoms associated with traumatic brain injury; (3) applies for care and services from the Department of Veterans Affairs or files a claim for compensation for disability associated with such service; and (4) grants permission to the Secretary to include such information in the registry.

Pilot program on assisted living services for veterans with traumatic brain injury (sec. 1705)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1705) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in collaboration with the Defense and Veterans Brain Injury Center, to carry out a pilot program to assess the effectiveness of providing assisted living services to eligible veterans to enhance the rehabilitation, quality of life, and community integration of such veterans.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Provision of age-appropriate nursing home care (sec. 1706)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1707) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to ensure that nursing home care provided to young veterans who are injured or disabled through military service and require long-term care is provided in an age-appropriate manner.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Extension of period of eligibility for health care for veterans of combat service during certain periods of hostilities and war (sec. 1707)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1708) that would amend section 1710 of title 38, United States Code, to extend from 2 to 5 years the period of automatic eligibility for health care benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) for veterans who served in a combat theater of operations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize 3 years of automatic eligibility for health care from the VA for veterans who served in a combat theater of operations and were discharged after 1998, but more than 5 years before the date of enactment of this Act, and who have not enrolled in the health care program of the VA.

Service-connection and assessments for mental health conditions in veterans (sec. 1708)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1709) that would amend section 1702 of title 38, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide a preliminary general mental health assessment to certain veterans within 30 days of such a request.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that an eligible veteran is one who served on active duty in a theater of combat operations during a period of war

after the Persian Gulf War, or in combat against a hostile force during a period of hostilities after November 11, 1998.

Modification of requirements for furnishing outpatient dental services to veterans with service-connected dental conditions or disabilities (sec. 1709)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1710) that would amend section 1712 of title 38, United States Code, to extend from 90 to 180 days after discharge or release from active duty the application period for dental benefits for veterans.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Clarification of purpose of outreach services program of Department of Veterans Affairs (sec. 1710)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1712) that would amend section 6301 of title 38, United States Code, to clarify that the outreach services program of the Department of Veterans Affairs includes members of the reserve components.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Designation of fiduciary or trustee for purposes of Traumatic Servicemembers' Group Life Insurance (sec. 1711)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1071) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to develop a form for the designation of a fiduciary to receive the funds distributed under section 1980A of title 38, United States Code, in the case of a service member who is medically incapacitated or experiencing an extended loss of consciousness.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, in consultation with the military service secretaries, to develop a process for the designation of a fiduciary or trustee of a member of the uniformed services insured against traumatic injury under section 1980A of title 38, United States Code.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Demonstration program on preventing veterans at risk of homelessness from becoming homeless

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1711) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a demonstration program for the purpose of: (1) identifying members of the armed forces on active duty who are at risk of becoming homeless after they are discharged or released from active duty; and (2) providing referral, counseling, and supportive services, as appropriate, to help prevent such members, upon becoming veterans, from becoming homeless.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Increase in physicians at hospitals of the Department of Veterans Affairs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1453) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to increase the number of resident physicians at hospitals of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Research on traumatic brain injury

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1706) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, when carrying out certain research programs and activities, to ensure that such programs and activities include research on the sequelae of mild to severe forms of traumatic brain injury.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Study and report of waiting periods for appointments at Department of Veterans Affairs medical facilities

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1438) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to conduct a study on the average length of time between the desired date for which a veteran seeks to schedule an appointment for health care at a Department of Veterans Affairs medical facility and the date on which such appointment is completed.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XVIII—NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU MATTERS AND RELATED MATTERS

Short title (sec. 1801)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1601) that would provide that this title may be cited as the ''National Guard Empowerment Act''.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1801) that would provide that this title may be cited as the ''National Guard Empowerment Act of 2007''.

The House recedes.

Subtitle A—National Guard Bureau

Appointment, grade, duties, and retirement of the Chief of the National Guard Bureau (sec. 1811)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1611) that would amend section 10502 of title 10, United States Code, to require that an officer appointed as the Chief of the National Guard Bureau (CNGB) serve in the grade of general and be the principal advisor to the Secretary of Defense, through the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS), on National Guard matters. The provision would also designate the CNGB as an advisor on National Guard matters to the commanders of the United States Northern Command and to the Secretary of Homeland Security. Additionally, the provision would describe the appointment process by which officers would be recommended to the President for appointment as CNGB.

The Senate amendment contained similar provisions (secs. 533 and 1802(b)) that would establish additional criteria for appointment of CNGB in the grade of general, repeal the age 64 limitation on service of an officer serving as CNGB, designate the CNGB as an advisor to the Secretary of Defense through the CJCS on matters involving non-federalized National Guard forces and on other matters as determined by the Secretary of Defense, and authorize the President to defer the retirement of an officer serving as CNGB until the first day of the month following the month in which the officer becomes 68 years of age.

The House recedes with an amendment that would designate the CNGB as a principal advisor to the Secretary of Defense, through CJCS, on matters involving non-federalized National Guard forces and on other matters as determined by the Secretary of Defense. The CNGB would also serve as principal advisor to the Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Army and the Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Air Force on matters relating to the National Guard, the Army National Guard of the United States, and the Air National Guard of the United States. The amendment would also delete as unnecessary the provision relating to the deferral of retirement by the CNGB in view of the revisions contained elsewhere in this conference report.

Establishment of National Guard Bureau as joint activity of Department of Defense (sec. 1812)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1612) that would amend section 10501 of title 10, United States Code, to establish the National Guard Bureau (NGB) as a joint activity of the Department of Defense, and would amend chapter 1011 of title 10, United States Code, to require that the manpower requirements of the NGB as a joint activity of the Department of Defense be determined in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec 1802(a)).

The Senate recedes.

The conferees concur with the views and recommendations of the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves as set forth in its report of March 1, 2007, with respect to the designation of the NGB as a joint activity. Specifically, this statutory amendment is intended to reflect the structure of the NGB and should not result in any change in the day-to-day relationship between the Chief of the NGB and the Secretaries of the Army and Air Force and their respective staffs. The conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense to modify the charter of the NGB accordingly and to consult with the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Air Force, as well as the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in developing regulations to determine the manpower requirements of the NGB.

Enhancement of functions of National Guard Bureau (sec. 1813)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1613) that would amend section 10503 of title 10, United States Code, to expand the National Guard Bureau (NGB) charter to include facilitation and coordination with other federal agencies, the adjutants general of the States, United States Northern Command, and United States Joint Forces Command on the use of National Guard personnel and resources in the conduct of operations under title 32 of the United States Code, or in support of State missions, and would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army and Secretary of the Air Force, to develop the charter for the NGB.

The House bill contained another provision (sec. 1616) that would make conforming and clerical amendments regarding section 10503 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained similar provisions (secs. 532 and 1802(c)).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would expand the NGB charter to include assisting the Secretary of Defense in facilitating and coordinating with other federal agencies, the adjutants general of the States, United States Northern Command, and United States Joint Forces Command on the use of National Guard personnel and resources in the conduct of operations under title 32 of the United States Code, or in support of State missions.

The conferees agree with the view of the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves that the charter of the NGB does not reflect some of the NGB's key roles and responsibilities and needs to be updated. The conferees also concur with the Commission that the NGB should continue to report to and work with the Secretaries and Chiefs of Staff of the Army and Air Force in coordinating the use of National Guard units.

Requirement for Secretary of Defense to prepare plan for response to natural disasters and terrorist events (sec. 1814)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1614) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prepare and submit to Congress an annual plan for coordinating the use of the National Guard and members of the armed forces on active duty when responding to natural disasters, acts of terrorism, and other man-made disasters. The Secretary of Defense would be required to prepare and submit the plan to Congress not later than March 1, 2008, and each March 1 thereafter.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1806).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to consult with the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Commander of the United States Northern Command, and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau in the preparation of the plan, and would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an update of the plan no later than June 1, 2010.

The conferees urge the Secretary of Defense, as part of the response planning required by this provision, to address the nature of command relationships under which troops will operate during particular contingencies and ensure, as recommended by the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves, that necessary agreements are entered into as soon as practicable.

Determination of Department of Defense civil support requirements (sec. 1815)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1615) that would require the Secretary of Defense to determine the military-unique capabilities needed to be provided by the Department of Defense to support civil authorities in an incident of national significance or a catastrophic incident, and, in coordination with the secretaries of the military departments and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to develop and implement a plan for providing the necessary funds and resources.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1802(b)(3)) that would amend section 10504 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to submit a report to Congress on the validated requirements for military assistance to civil authorities.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to consult with the Secretary of Homeland Security in determining the required military-unique capabilities.

Subtitle B—Additional Reserve Component Enhancement

United States Northern Command (sec. 1821)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1621) that would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to review the civilian and military positions, job descriptions, and assignments within the United States Northern Command (NORTHCOM). The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to establish procedures under which an officer who is on active duty or an officer who is on full-time National Guard duty may command mixed-status forces for homeland defense missions, domestic emergency responses, and providing military support to civil authorities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to conduct the manpower review of NORTHCOM with a goal of assessing the feasibility of significantly increasing the number of reserve component members assigned to, and civilians employed by, NORTHCOM who have experience in the planning, training, and employment of forces for homeland defense missions, domestic emergency response, and providing military support to civil authorities.

Council of Governors (sec. 1822)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1622) that would require the President to establish a bipartisan Council of Governors to advise the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the White House Homeland Security Council on matters related to the National Guard and civil support missions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Plan for Reserve Forces Policy Board (sec. 1823)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1623) that would amend section 10301 of title 10, United States Code, to replace the Reserve Forces Policy Board with a Reserve Components Policy Board consisting of 15 members appointed from civilian life by the Secretary of Defense to provide independent advice and recommendations on strategies, policies, and practices designed to improve and enhance the capabilities, efficiency, and effectiveness of the reserve components of the United States.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec 531).

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to implement revisions that the Secretary determines necessary in the designation, organization, membership, functions, procedures, and legislative framework of the Reserve Forces Policy Board. The plan must be consistent with the findings, conclusions, and recommendations included in the report of the Commission on the National Guard and Reserves of March 1, 2007, and, to the extent possible, take into account the views and recommendations of civilian and military leaders, past chairmen of the Reserve Forces Policy Board, private organizations with expertise and interest in Department of Defense organization, and other individuals or groups in the discretion of the Secretary.

High-level positions authorized or required to be held by reserve component general or flag officers (sec. 1824)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1624) that would amend section 526(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, to increase from 10 to 15 the number of general and flag officer positions on the staffs of the commanders of combatant commands that may be held by reserve component officers. The provision would also require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to designate up to three general and flag officer positions in the grade of lieutenant general or vice admiral that must be held by reserve component officers and provide that one of these positions must be the deputy commander of the combatant command whose geographic area of responsibility includes the United States.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1803) that would express the sense of Congress that, whenever officers are considered for promotion to lieutenant general or vice admiral, qualified officers in the reserve components should be considered for promotion to that grade. The Senate amendment also contained a provision (sec. 1805) that would require that a position of Deputy Commander of United States Northern Command (NORTHCOM) be filled by a qualified officer of the National Guard eligible for promotion to the grade of lieutenant general.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) express the sense of Congress that whenever officers are considered for promotion to lieutenant general or vice admiral, qualified officers in the reserve components should be considered for promotion to that grade; (2) amend section 164(e) of title 10, United States Code, to require that at least one deputy commander of NORTHCOM be a National Guard officer who is eligible for promotion to the grade of O–9 unless a National Guard officer is the commander of NORTHCOM; and (3) increase from 10 to 15 the number of general and flag officer positions on the staffs of the commanders of combatant commands that may be held by reserve component officers below the grade of lieutenant general or vice admiral.

Retirement age and years of service limitations on certain reserve general and flag officers (sec. 1825)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1625) that would amend section 14511 of title 10, United States Code, to specify the age at which reserve officers in the rank of general or admiral would be required to retire and limit their total service to 40 years. The provision would also amend section 14512 of title 10, United States Code, to exclude the Chief of the National Guard Bureau from its coverage and to require waiver by the President to allow the Chief of the Navy Reserve or the Commander of the Marine Forces Reserve to serve beyond age 64.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 533(e)) that would amend section 14512(a) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the President to defer until age 68 the retirement of a reserve officer of the Army or Air Force serving as Chief of the National Guard Bureau, Chief of the Army Reserve, Chief of the Air Force Reserve, Director of the Army National Guard, Director of the Air National Guard, an adjutant general, or the commanding general of the troops of a State.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify section 14511 of title 10, United States Code, to provide that reserve officers in the grade of major general or rear admiral and above must retire at age 64 unless waivers to age 66 or 68 are authorized by the Secretary of Defense or the President respectively. The amendment would also modify section 14512 to authorize the Secretary of Defense to defer the retirement until age 66 of the Chief of the Navy Reserve and the Commander of the Marine Forces Reserve.

Additional reporting requirements relating to National Guard equipment (sec. 1826)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1626) that would amend section 10541 of title 10, United States Code, to add two additional reporting requirements to the annual report to Congress on National Guard and reserve component equipment: (1) a statement of the accuracy of the previous inventory projection, and if the projection was not met, an explanation of why it was not met, and (2) a certification from the Chief of the National Guard Bureau setting forth an inventory for the preceding fiscal year of each item of equipment for which funds were appropriated and which was due to be procured during that fiscal year which has not been received by a National Guard unit as of the close of that fiscal year.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 1807).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Promotion of reserve officers to lieutenant general grade

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1804) that would amend section 10506(a)(3) of title 10, United States Code, to require that service of an officer as adjutant

general be treated as joint duty experience, and would require the Secretaries of the Army and Air Force to review and report to the congressional defense committees on the promotion practices of their military departments for the promotion of reserve officers from major general to lieutenant general.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Division B—Military Construction Authorizations

BUDGET ITEMS

Summary and explanation of funding tables

Division B of this Act authorizes funding for military construction projects of the Department of Defense. It includes funding authorizations for the construction and operation of military family housing as well as military construction for the reserve components, the defense agencies, and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Security Investment program. It also provides authorization for the base closure accounts that fund military construction, environmental cleanup, and other activities required to implement the decisions in base closure rounds.

The following tables provide the project-level authorizations for the military construction funding authorized in Division B of this Act and summarize that funding by account. Funding for base closure projects is explained in additional detail in the table included in title XXVII of this report.

The budget request for fiscal year 2008 included authorization of appropriations for military construction and housing programs totaling $21.2 billion. Of this amount: $9.8 billion was requested for military construction; $2.9 billion for the construction and operation of family housing; and $8.4 for base closure activities, including $8.2 billion to implement the results of the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.

The original budget request also proposed an additional $907.9 million in emergency spending for Army military construction projects in Iraq and Afghanistan and Navy military construction projects in the United States related to the administration's proposal to grow the size of the Marine Corps. The President's October budget amendment requested an additional $1.5 billion in emergency funding for military construction and base closure, for a total emergency request of $2.4 billion in addition to the $21.2 billion request in the base budget, bringing the total military construction request to $23.6 billion.

Authorizations for which emergency funding was requested and additional appropriations for domestic and overseas projects are contained in title XXIX of this Act.

Including all funding in Division B of this Act, the conferees recommend authorization of appropriations for military construction and housing programs totaling $23.7 billion. The total amount authorized for appropriations reflects the continuing commitment of Congress to invest in the recapitalization of Department of Defense facilities and infrastructure to improve the quality of life and quality of work of our service members and their families.

Included in this conference report are projects not contained in either bill which were not included in the normal authorization and appropriation legislation as a result of a decision by the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives to modify their traditional procedures. These projects were eventually voted on and approved by the House of Representatives in appropriations legislation on November 6, 2007, approximately 5 weeks after the Senate requested a conference and appointed conferees on this legislation. Because these projects have been approved by the House of Representatives, and as a matter of comity, the conferees agreed to consider and authorize them in this legislation. But the conferees consider the process that was followed in this case to be an exception and by authorizing these projects do not intend to establish a precedent or commit to following such a process in the future.

SUMMARY OF FY 2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Army	4,039,197	4,039,197	4,055,747	-88,814	3,950,383
Navy	2,104,276	2,104,276	2,361,291	116,508	2,220,784
Air Force	912,109	912,109	1,046,275	247,638	1,159,747
Defense Agencies	1,799,336	1,799,336	1,695,161	-85,564	1,713,772
Chemical Demilitarization Construction	86,176	86,176	0	-86,176	0
NATO Security Investment Program	201,400	201,400	201,400	0	201,400
Army National Guard	404,291	404,291	458,515	132,365	536,656
Army Reserve	119,684	119,684	134,684	28,449	148,133
Naval and Marine Corps Reserve	59,150	59,150	59,150	5,280	64,430
Air National Guard	85,517	85,517	216,417	202,020	287,537
Air Force Reserve	26,559	26,559	28,559	1,800	28,359
Subtotal Construction	**9,837,695**	**9,837,695**	**10,455,219**	**473,506**	**10,311,201**
Army Family Housing Construction	419,400	419,400	419,400	5,000	424,400
Army Family Housing Operations	742,920	742,920	742,920	-11,000	731,920
Navy Family Housing Construction	298,329	298,329	300,095	-5,200	293,129
Navy Family Housing Operations	371,404	371,404	371,404	0	371,404
Air Force Family Housing Construction	362,747	362,747	362,747	-35,000	327,747
Air Force Family Housing Operations	688,335	688,335	688,335	0	688,335
Defense Agencies Family Housing Operations	48,848	48,848	48,848	0	48,848
Family Housing Improvement Fund	500	500	500	0	500
Subtotal Family Housing	**2,932,483**	**2,932,483**	**2,934,249**	**-46,200**	**2,886,283**

SUMMARY OF FY 2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Base Realignment and Closure IV	220,689	220,689	220,689	75,000	295,689
Base Realignment and Closure 2005	8,174,315	8,174,315	8,174,315	-133,914	8,040,401
Subtotal BRAC	**8,395,004**	**8,395,004**	**8,395,004**	**-58,914**	**8,336,090**
Subtotal Construction, Housing and BRAC	**21,165,182**	**21,165,182**	**21,784,472**	**368,392**	**21,533,574**
FY2008 Authorizations (Non War-Related)					
Prior Year Savings	0	0	-3,100	-3,100	-3,100
Subtotal Excluding war-related contstruction	**21,165,182**	**21,165,182**	**21,781,372**	**365,292**	**21,530,474**
Title XXIX War-Related Construction					
Army Military Construction	1,440,750	528,450	752,650	-183,000	1,257,750
Navy Military Construction	237,505	157,305	0	-50,490	187,015
Air Force Military Construction	305,000	0	0	-46,300	258,700
Defense Agencies Construction	27,600	0	0	0	27,600
Base Realignment and Closure 2005	415,910	0	0	0	415,910
Navy Housing Construction	11,766	11,766	0	0	11,766
Subtotal War-Related Construction	**2,438,531**	**695,521**	**752,650**	**-279,790**	**2,146,975**
Grand Total Division B	**23,603,713**	**21,860,703**	**22,534,022**	**85,502**	**23,677,449**

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Alabama	Army	ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT	INDUSTRIAL WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000
Alabama	Army	REDSTONE ARSENAL	SYSTEM SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ANNEX, PHASE 2		0	20,000	20,000
Alabama	Army	REDSTONE ARSENAL	Child Care Center		0	0	2,000
Alabama	Navy	EVERGREEN	NOLF EVERGREEN RUNWAY EXTENSION	9,560	9,560	9,560	9,560
Alabama	Army NG	SPRINGVILLE	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT (ADRS)	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300
Alaska	Army	FORT RICHARDSON	Military Police Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		42,000	42,000	42,000
Alaska	Army	FORT RICHARDSON	Barracks (Grow the Force)		36,000	36,000	36,000
Alaska	Army	FORT RICHARDSON	Construction Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		14,800	14,800	14,800
Alaska	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT	EOD/MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		11,600	11,600	11,600
Alaska	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT	Barracks (Grow the Force)		20,000	20,000	20,000
Alaska	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT	RAILHEAD OPERATIONS FACILITY PHASE 1		0	8,900	8,900
Alaska	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT	REPLACE SUBSTATION/UPGRADE ELECTRIC	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
Alaska	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT	COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Alaska	Air Force	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 JET ENGINE INSPECTION & MAINT	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800
Alaska	Air Force	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 7 BAY AIRCRAFT SHELTER	21,400	21,400	21,400	21,400
Alaska	Air Force	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 FIGHTER TOWN EAST INFRASTRUCTURE PHASE 2	7,100	7,100	7,100	7,100
Alaska	Air Force	ELMENDORF AFB	F-22 TAXIWAY, TAXILANE & ARM/DE-ARM PAD	27,880	27,880	27,880	27,880
Alaska	Air Force	ELMENDORF AFB	REPLACE JOINT PROF MIL EDUC CENTER, PHASE 1		0	13,000	13,000
Alaska	AF Res	ELMENDORF AFB	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SQUADRON FACILITY	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550
Alaska	AF Res	ELMENDORF AFB	GROUP HEADQUARTERS	10,400	10,400	10,400	10,400
Arizona	Army	FORT HUACHUCA	EFFLUENT REUSE SYSTEM	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
Arizona	Army	FORT HUACHUCA	General Instructional Building (Grow the Force)		13,600	13,600	13,600
Arizona	Army	FORT HUACHUCA	AIT Trainee Complex (Grow the Force)		105,000	105,000	105,000
Arizona	Navy	YUMA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	22,980	22,980	22,980	22,980
Arizona	Navy	YUMA	TOWWAY "G"	10,740	10,740	10,740	10,740
Arizona	Air Force	DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB	CSAR EC130 MAINT HANGAR/AMU	11,200	11,200	11,200	11,200
Arizona	Air Force	LUKE AFB	REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS PHASE I	0	5,500	0	5,500
Arizona	Army NG	FLORENCE	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP		0	10,870	10,870
Arkansas	Air Force	LITTLE ROCK AFB	MULTI-PURPOSE EDUCATION CENTER	0	9,800	0	9,800
Arkansas	Air Force	LITTLE ROCK AFB	REPAIR RUNWAY		0	9,800	9,800

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Arkansas	Army NG	CAMP ROBINSON	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
Arkansas	Army NG	CAMP ROBINSON	Professional Educ Ctr/Training Complex (Grow the Force)		18,000	18,423	18,423
Arkansas	Army NG	CAMP ROBINSON	Urban Assault Course		0	0	1,900
California	Army	FORT IRWIN	MILITARY OPERATIONS IN URBAN TERRAIN, PHASE 2	18,500	18,500	18,500	18,500
California	Army	FORT IRWIN	TRAINING LAND IMPROVEMENTS	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
California	Army	PRESIDIO MONTEREY	GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	8,510	8,510	8,510	8,510
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	BEQ - CHAPPO AREA	29,050	29,050	29,050	29,050
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	ISR CAMP - INTEL BATTALION	17,980	17,980	17,980	17,980
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	MARSOC SUPPORTING FACILITIES	17,730	17,730	17,730	17,730
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	BEQ - HEADQUARTERS	31,980	31,980	31,980	31,980
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENTS	5,830	5,830	5,830	5,830
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS EXPANSION	6,050	6,050	6,050	6,050
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	HANGAR ADDITIONS	4,400	4,400	4,400	4,400
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	BEQ - MARGARITA	26,530	26,530	26,530	26,530
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	18,090	18,090	0	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Force Intel Ops Center - HQ Area (Grow the Force)		24,990	24,990	24,990
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Consolidated Comm/Electronics Shop (Grow the Force)		16,840	16,840	16,840
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	1st MLG Operations Center (Grow the Force)		18,160	18,160	18,160
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	1st MLG Armory (Grow the Force)		8,150	8,150	8,150
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	1st MLG Group and Battalion Ops Center (Grow the Force)		22,220	22,220	22,220
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	BEQ - Wounded Warrior Battalion (Grow the Force)		25,940	25,940	25,940
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Armory - Regimental and Battalion HQ (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	5,160	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Armory - Intelligence Battalion (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	4,180	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Armory - 5th Marine Regiment (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	10,890	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Bachelor Quarters and Armory - Horno (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	34,970	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Bachelor Quarters and Dining Facility (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	24,390	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Company HQ - Military Police (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	8,240	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Explosive Ordnance Detachment - Ops Facility (From Title XXIX)		0	13,090	0
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Intelligence Surveillance Reconnaissance Camp (From Title XXIX)		0	1,114	0

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
California	Navy	MIRAMAR MCAS	Hanger Modification (Grow the Force)		26,760	26,760	26,760
California	Navy	NAVAL STA SAN DIEGO	MAIN GATE (GATE 6) IMPROVEMENTS	0	3,000	0	3,000
California	Navy	SUB BASE SAN DIEGO	PIER 5002 SUB FENDER INSTALLATION	9,040	9,040	9,040	9,040
California	Navy	SUB BASE SAN DIEGO	MAGNETIC SILENCING FACILITY MODIFICATION	14,590	14,590	14,590	14,590
California	Navy	Monterey NSA	DOD Global Weather Operations Center		0	0	9,780
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	BEQ AND PARKING STRUCTURE	34,329	34,329	34,329	34,329
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Multi-Battalion Operations Center (Grow the Force)		33,770	33,770	33,770
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Multi-Battalion Operations Center (Grow the Force)		33,650	33,650	33,650
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Armory (Grow the Force)		5,920	5,920	5,920
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Landfill (Grow the Force)		13,560	13,560	13,560
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	MOUT Facility (Phase 3) (Grow the Force)		21,390	21,390	21,390
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Regimental Headquarters Addition (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	4,440	0
California	Air Force	EDWARDS AFB	MAIN BASE RUNWAY INCREMENT 3	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
California	Air Force	EDWARDS AFB	MAIN BASE RUNWAY INCREMENT 4	0	8,500	0	8,500
California	Air Force	TRAVIS AFB	C-17 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600
California	Air Force	TRAVIS AFB	C-17 SOUTHWEST LANDING ZONE	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000
California	Air Force	TRAVIS AFB	GLOBAL SUPPORT SQUADRON FACILITY	0	10,800	0	10,800
California	SOCOM	CAMP PENDLETON	SOF SUPPLY FACILITY	8,310	8,310	8,310	8,310
California	SOCOM	CAMP PENDLETON	SOF PARALOFT/BOAT/DIVE LOCKER	5,770	5,770	5,770	5,770
California	SOCOM	CAMP PENDLETON	SOF ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	5,950	5,950	5,950	5,950
California	SOCOM	CORONADO	SOF SPECIAL BOAT TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
California	DLA	POINT LOMA ANNEX	REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES	140,000	55,700	140,000	55,700
California	Army NG	CAMP ROBERTS	INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
California	Army NG	SACRAMENTO ARMY DEPOT	READINESS CENTER	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
California	Army Res	BT COLLINS ARMY RES CTR	REGIONAL COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING CENTER	0	6,800	0	6,874
California	Army Res	FORT HUNTER LIGGETT	CONVOY LIVE FIRE RANGE	2,534	2,534	2,534	2,534
California	Army Res	FORT HUNTER LIGGETT	RANGE CONTROL FACILITY	4,501	4,501	4,501	4,501
California	Army Res	GARDEN GROVE	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	25,440	25,440	25,440	25,440
California	Naval Res	MIRAMAR MCAS	RESERVE CENTER ADDITIONS	5,580	5,580	5,580	5,580
Colorado	Army	FORT CARSON	INDOOR RANGE	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Colorado	Army	FORT CARSON	DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	9,300	9,300	8,300	8,300
Colorado	Army	FORT CARSON	Engineer Bn HQ/Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		59,000	59,000	59,000
Colorado	Army	FORT CARSON	Barracks (Grow the Force)		53,000	53,000	53,000
Colorado	Army	FORT CARSON	MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		13,000	13,000	13,000
Colorado	Army	FORT CARSON	Hospital Addition & Dental Clinic (Grow the Force)		18,000	18,000	18,000
Colorado	Air Force	FORT CARSON	AIR SUPT OPS SQUADRON COMPLEX	13,500	13,500	13,500	13,500
Colorado	Air Force	SCHRIEVER AFB	AIR AND SPACE INTEGRATION FACILITY	24,500	24,500	24,500	24,500
Colorado	Air Force	U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY	UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY PH IVB	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Colorado	Chem Demil	PUEBLO DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PHASE IX	35,159	35,159	0	0
Colorado	Chem Demil	PUEBLO DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PHASE IX		0	35,159	35,159
Colorado	Air Guard	BUCKLEY ANGB	SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		7,300	7,300	7,300
Connecticut	Navy	SUB BASE NEW LONDON	WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY		0	11,900	11,900
Connecticut	Navy	SUB BASE NEW LONDON	Submarine Learning Center		0	0	9,260
Connecticut	Army NG	NIANTIC	READINESS CENTER (ADRS)	13,600	13,600	13,600	13,600
Delaware	Army	DOVER AFB	JOINT PERSONAL EFFECTS DEPOT	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
Delaware	Air Guard	NEW CASTLE	REPLACE C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR		0	10,800	10,800
District of Columbia	Air Force	BOLLING AFB	COMMUNICATION SWITCH FACILITY	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
District of Columbia	DIA	BOLLING AFB	INSTALL BACKUP WATER SYSTEM	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012
Florida	Army	EGLIN AFB	OPERATIONS COMPLEX, PHASE 2	66,000	20,000	66,000	0
Florida	Army	MIAMI DORAL	SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	237,000	167,000	233,533	96,533
Florida	Army	MIAMI DORAL	SOUTHCOM HQ CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	0	0	3,467	3,467
Florida	Navy	BLOUNT ISLAND	MAIN GATE IMPROVEMENTS	7,570	7,570	7,570	7,570
Florida	Navy	BLOUNT ISLAND	Slipway Barrier		0	0	2,670
Florida	Navy	CAPE CANAVERAL	ENGINEERING SERVICES FACILITY		0	9,900	9,900
Florida	Navy	PANAMA CITY	LITTORAL WARFARE SYSTEMS FACILITY	13,870	13,870	13,870	13,870
Florida	Navy	NAS PENSACOLA	FIRE STATION, CORRY FIELD	0	1,600	0	3,140
Florida	Air Force	EGLIN AFB	F-35 ADAL 53RD JOINT REPROGRAMMING FAC	8,300	8,300	8,300	8,300
Florida	Air Force	EGLIN AFB	CONSTRUCT SEAWALLS SANTA ROSA IS RANGE COMP	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
Florida	Air Force	EGLIN AFB	REPAIR ROADS SANTA ROSA IS RANGE COMPLEX	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000
Florida	Air Force	EGLIN AFB	F-35 INTEGRATED TRAINING CENTER	39,000	39,000	39,000	39,000

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Florida	Air Force	EGLIN AFB	F-35 SQUADRON OPS/AMU/HANGAR	27,000	27,000	27,000	27,000
Florida	Air Force	MACDILL AFB	CENTCOM JOINT INTELLIGENCE CENTER PHASE III	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
Florida	Air Force	MACDILL AFB	ALTER USCENTCOM HEADQUARTERS	57,000	57,000	57,000	57,000
Florida	Air Force	MACDILL AFB	EOD FACILITY	0	3,500	0	3,500
Florida	Air Force	PATRICK AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	11,854	11,854	11,854	11,854
Florida	Air Force	TYNDALL AFB	FITNESS CENTER	19,014	19,014	19,014	19,014
Florida	Air Force	TYNDALL AFB	REPAIR AIRFIELD	25,100	25,100	25,100	25,100
Florida	Air Force	TYNDALL AFB	1st Air Force Forces Facility HQ		0	0	8,400
Florida	SOCOM	HURLBURT FIELD	SOF MAINTENANCE STORAGE FACILITY	4,711	4,711	4,711	4,711
Florida	SOCOM	HURLBURT FIELD	SOF OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
Florida	SOCOM	HURLBURT FIELD	SOFCOMBAT WEATHER OPERATIONS FACILITY	14,900	14,900	14,900	14,900
Florida	SOCOM	HURLBURT FIELD	SOF SQUADRON OPERATIONS ADDITION	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Florida	DLA	KEY WEST	REPLACE FUEL PUMP HOUSE	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,874
Florida	SOCOM	MACDILL AFB	SOF ACQUISITION CENTER	35,500	35,500	35,500	35,500
Florida	SOCOM	MACDILL AFB	SOF 501-D BUILDING ADDITION PHASE 2	12,200	12,200	12,200	12,200
Florida	TMA	MACDILL AFB	PHARMACARE ADDITION/ALTERATION	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Florida	TMA	MACDILL AFB	CLINIC REPLACEMENT, INCREMENT II	41,400	41,400	41,400	41,400
Florida	Army NG	JACKSONVILLE	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY ADD/ALT	12,200	12,200	12,200	12,200
Florida	Army NG	CAMP BLANDING	Regional Training Institute Phase III - Joint Ops Center Training		0	0	15,524
Florida	Air Guard	JACKSONVILLE IAP	Replace Communications Facility, 125th Fighter Wing		0	0	6,000
Georgia	Army	FORT BENNING	RECEPTION STATION, PHASE 1	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000
Georgia	Army	FORT BENNING	TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX	73,000	73,000	73,000	73,000
Georgia	Army	FORT BENNING	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	5,800	5,800	5,800	5,800
Georgia	Army	FORT BENNING	SIMULATIONS TRAINING FACILITY	56,000	56,000	56,000	56,000
Georgia	Army	FORT BENNING	Child Development Center		0	0	3,700
Georgia	Army	FORT STEWART	BARRACKS COMPLEX	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000
Georgia	Army	FORT STEWART	BRIGADE COMPLEX-HEADQUARTERS	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000
Georgia	Army	FORT STEWART	Fire Station (Grow the Force)		5,500	5,500	5,500
Georgia	Army	FORT STEWART	Barracks (Grow the Force)		25,000	25,000	25,000
Georgia	Army	FORT STEWART	Human Resources Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		15,000	15,000	15,000

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Georgia	Army	HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD	Quartermaster Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		16,000	16,000	16,000
Georgia	Navy	MCLB ALBANY	Combat Vehicle Warehouse		0	0	9,980
Georgia	Air Force	MOODY AFB	Commercial Access Gate		0	0	7,500
Georgia	Air Force	ROBINS AFB	AIRCRAFT COMPONENT REPAIR FAC	14,700	14,700	14,700	14,700
Georgia	Air Force	ROBINS AFB	COMMAND POST	0	5,000	0	5,000
Georgia	NSA	AUGUSTA	REGIONAL SECURITY OPERATION CENTER INCREMENT III	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
Georgia	SOCOM	FORT BENNING	SOF BATTALION COMPLEX	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Georgia	SOCOM	FORT BENNING	SOF HQ BUILDING ADDITION	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Georgia	SOCOM	FORT BENNING	SOF TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000
Georgia	SOCOM	HUNTER ANGS	SOF SUPPORT COMPANY FACILITY	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800
Georgia	Air Guard	SAVANNAH IAP	TROOP QUARTERS		0	9,000	9,000
Hawaii	Army	FORT SHAFTER	BARRACKS COMPLEX	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000
Hawaii	Army	KAHUKU TRAINING AREA	TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	0	9,200	0	10,200
Hawaii	Army	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	BARRACKS COMPLEX	43,000	43,000	43,000	43,000
Hawaii	Army	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	BARRACKS COMPLEX	45,000	45,000	45,000	45,000
Hawaii	Army	WHEELER AFB	BARRACKS COMPLEX	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000
Hawaii	Navy	KANEOHE BAY	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	37,961	37,961	37,961	37,961
Hawaii	Navy	PEARL HARBOR	SUB DRIVE-IN MAGNETIC SILENCING FACILITY	99,860	99,860	99,860	49,860
Hawaii	Navy	PEARL HARBOR NSY	DRYDOCK NUMBER 1 AND 2		0	30,200	30,200
Hawaii	Navy	WAHIAWA	COMMUNICATION CENTER	65,410	65,410	65,410	65,410
Hawaii	Air Force	HICKAM AFB	DCGS INTELLIGENCE SQUADRON OPS FACILITY	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500
Hawaii	Air Force	HICKAM AFB	C-17 PARKING RAMP	15,471	15,471	15,471	15,471
Hawaii	DLA	HICKAM AFB	REPLACE HYDRANT FUELS SYSTEM	11,900	11,900	11,900	11,900
Hawaii	NSA	KUNIA	REGIONAL SECURITY OPERATION CENTER INCREMENT III	136,318	136,318	136,318	136,318
Idaho	Army NG	GOWEN FIELD	TRAINING AREA RAILHEAD, PHASE 2		0	7,615	7,615
Idaho	Army NG	ORCHARD TRAINING AREA	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
Illinois	Army	ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL	COMBINED FIRE/POLICE FACILITY, PHASE 2		0	3,350	3,350
Illinois	Navy	GREAT LAKES	RTC INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE INCREMENT 3	16,650	16,650	16,650	16,650
Illinois	Navy	GREAT LAKES	SMALL ARMS MARKMANSHIP TRAINER	10,221	10,221	10,221	10,221
Illinois	Air Force	SCOTT AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		0	8,200	8,200

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Illinois	Air Force	SCOTT AFB	SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY	16,700	16,700	16,700	16,700
Illinois	TMA	GREAT LAKES	FEDERAL HEALTHCARE FACILITY	99,000	99,000	99,000	99,000
Illinois	Army NG	ST CLAIR COUNTY	READINESS CENTER (ADRS)	8,100	8,100	8,100	8,100
Indiana	Navy	NSA CRANE	SECURED ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYS FAC		0	12,000	12,000
Indiana	Navy	NSA CRANE	Special Weapons Assessment Facility		0	0	11,800
Indiana	Army NG	Muscatatuck	Security Fence		0	0	4,996
Indiana	Air Guard	HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT	DIGITAL GROUND STATION (DGS) BEDDOWN	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700
Iowa	Army NG	IOWA CITY	READINESS CENTER		0	13,186	13,186
Kansas	Army	FORT LEAVENWORTH	BARRACKS COMPLEX	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000
Kansas	Army	FORT LEAVENWORTH	MP HQ & MP I/R Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		23,000	23,000	23,000
Kansas	Army	FORT LEAVENWORTH	Barracks (Grow the Force)		12,800	12,800	12,800
Kansas	Army	FORT RILEY	Engineer Bn HQ/Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		43,000	43,000	43,000
Kansas	Army	FORT RILEY	Barracks (Grow the Force)		50,000	50,000	50,000
Kansas	Army	FORT RILEY	Child Development Center (Grow the Force)		8,500	8,500	8,500
Kansas	Army	FORT RILEY	Health & Dental Clinic (Grow the Force)		8,800	8,800	8,800
Kansas	Army	FORT LEAVENWORTH	Chapel Complex Phase I		0	0	11,600
Kansas	Army	FORT RILEY	DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000
Kansas	Army	FORT RILEY	MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY	0	1,900	0	1,900
Kansas	Air Force	FORT RILEY	AIR SUPPORT OPS SQUADRON COMPLEX	12,515	12,515	12,515	12,515
Kansas	Air Force	MCCONNELL AFB	MXG Consolidation and Forward Logistics Center		0	0	6,300
Kansas	Air Guard	SMOKY HILL RANGE	AIR SUPPORT OPS SQUADRON BEDDOWN		0	9,000	9,000
Kentucky	Army	FORT CAMPBELL	INDOOR RANGE	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Kentucky	Army	FORT CAMPBELL	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000
Kentucky	Army	FORT CAMPBELL	MP/CID/Medical Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		24,000	24,000	24,000
Kentucky	Army	FORT CAMPBELL	Barracks (Grow the Force)		27,000	27,000	27,000
Kentucky	Army	FORT CAMPBELL	Child Development Center (Ages 0-5)		0	0	8,600
Kentucky	Army	FORT KNOX	CANTONMENT AREA ROADS, PAVED	6,700	6,700	6,700	6,700
Kentucky	Chem Demil	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PHASE VIII	51,017	51,017	0	0
Kentucky	Chem Demil	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PHASE VIII		0	69,017	69,017
Kentucky	SOCOM	FORT CAMPBELL	SOF BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Kentucky	SOCOM	FORT CAMPBELL	SOF GROUP SUPPORT BATTALION COMPLEX	18,500	18,500	18,500	18,500
Kentucky	Army NG	LONDON	Readiness Center Phase II - Joint Support Operations		0	0	2,427
Louisiana	Army	FORT POLK	4TH BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS		0	9,800	9,800
Louisiana	Army	FORT POLK	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		0	6,100	6,100
Louisiana	Air Guard	CAMP BEAUREGARD	UPGRADE ASOS FACILITY	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
Maine	Navy	PORTSMOUTH NSY	CONSOLIDATED EMERGENCY CONTROL CENTER		0	9,700	9,700
Maryland	Army	ABERDEEN PG	AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION FACILITY		0	12,200	12,200
Maryland	Navy	INDIAN HEAD	ADVANCED ENERGETICS RESEARCH LAB	0	9,500	0	9,450
Maryland	Navy	PATUXENT RIVER	AIRCRAFT PROTOTYPE FACILITY PHASE 1	17,990	17,990	17,990	17,990
Maryland	Navy	PATUXENT RIVER	E-2 ADVANCED HAWKEYE RDT&E FACILITY	13,650	13,650	13,650	13,650
Maryland	Navy	PATUXENT RIVER	JPALS ADDITION TO BUILDING 2110/2122	6,720	6,720	6,720	6,720
Maryland	Navy	SUITLAND	NATIONAL MARITIME INTELLIGENCE CENTER INCREMENT II	52,069	52,069	52,069	52,069
Maryland	TMA	FORT DETRICK	USAMRIID STAGE I, INCREMENT II	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000
Maryland	NSA	FORT MEADE	NSAW PSC UTILITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM PHASE II	7,901	7,901	7,901	7,901
Maryland	NSA	FORT MEADE	NSAW OPS1 SOUTH STAIR TOWER	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Massachusetts	Air Force	HANSCOM AFB	RENOVATE ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT FACILITY		0	12,800	12,800
Massachusetts	Air Guard	BARNES ANGB	Fire Crash/Rescue Station		0	0	7,300
Massachusetts	Air Guard	OTIS ANGB	DIGITAL GROUND STATION (DGS) IOC BEDDOWN	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
Michigan	Army	DETROIT ARSENAL	GROUND SYSTEMS POWER & ENERGY LAB		0	18,500	18,500
Michigan	Army NG	CAMP GRAYLING	INFANTRY PL BATTLE COURSE/LIVE FIRE RANGE		0	2,450	2,450
Michigan	Army NG	LANSING	USPFO AND READINESS CENTER, PHASE 2		4,200	4,239	4,239
Michigan	Naval Res	SELFRIDGE ANGB	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	4,030	4,030	4,030	4,030
Minnesota	Army NG	CAMP RIPLEY	COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	4,850	4,850	4,850	4,850
Minnesota	Army NG	CAMP RIPLEY	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility, Phase 2		0	0	12,600
Mississippi	Navy	NAS MERIDIAN	FIRE STATION		0	6,770	6,770
Mississippi	Air Force	COLUMBUS AFB	Mission Support Complex Phase II		0	0	9,800
Mississippi	SOCOM	STENNIS SPACE CENTER	SOF RIVERINE AND COMBATANT CRAFT OPS FACILITY		0	10,200	10,200
Mississippi	Army NG	CAMP SHELBY	LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE/URBANASSAULT COURSE		4,000	4,000	4,000
Mississippi	Air Guard	KEY FIELD	ASOS/ATCS Training Center		0	0	6,100
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,800	3,800	3,800	3,800

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range (Grow the Force)		4,150	4,150	4,150
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	Automated Pistol Range (Grow the Force)		2,700	2,700	2,700
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	Manuever Enhance Bde Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		56,000	56,000	56,000
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	Barracks (Grow the Force)		26,000	26,000	26,000
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	Dining Facility-Basic Combat Training Complex (Grow the Force)		22,000	22,000	22,000
Missouri	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		0	7,000	7,000
Missouri	Air Force	WHITEMAN AFB	CHAPEL	0	10,400	0	10,400
Missouri	Army NG	WHITEMAN AFB	CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	0	11,400	0	11,400
Montana	Air Force	MALMSTROM AFB	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Montana	Army Res	BUTTE	CONSTRUCT COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER		0	7,000	7,000
Nebraska	Air Force	OFFUTT AFB	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	7,629	7,629	7,629	7,629
Nebraska	Air Guard	LINCOLN	ADAL INTELL SQUADRON FAC	16,952	16,952	16,952	16,952
Nevada	Army	HAWTHORNE AAP	SECURITY FORCES & COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		0	8,900	8,900
Nevada	Navy	NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON	GROUND WATER TREATMENT PLANT	11,800	11,800	11,800	11,800
Nevada	Air Force	NELLIS AFB	RANGE IMPROVEMENTS, B-20		0	11,460	11,460
Nevada	Air Guard	RENO-TAHOE IAP	JOINT TERMINAL AIR CONTROL VIRTUAL TRAINING FAC		0	4,950	4,950
New Hampshire	Air Guard	PEASE ANGB	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP		0	5,200	5,200
New Jersey	Army	Picatinny Arsenal	WING HEADQUARTERS, OPS AND TRAINING FACILITY		0	8,900	8,900
New Jersey	Navy	NAS LAKEHURST	Armament Integration Facility		0	0	9,900
New Jersey	Air Guard	ATLANTIC CITY	JOINT INSTALLATION ROAD IMPROVEMENTS		0	4,100	4,100
New Jersey	Army Res	FORT DIX	ASOS BEDDOWN PHASE I	0	6,000	0	9,800
New Jersey	Army Res	FORT DIX	TACTICAL TRAINING BASE PHASE I	0	5,900	0	5,900
New Mexico	Army	WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE	COMBINED MAINTENANCE FACILITY	17,000	17,000	17,000	17,000
New Mexico	Air Force	CANNON AFB	Engineer Bn HQ/Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		71,000	71,000	71,000
New Mexico	Air Force	KIRTLAND AFB	ADAL HANGAR 09 FOR C-130	1,688	1,688	1,688	1,688
New Mexico	Air Force	KIRTLAND AFB	PJ/CRO RESCUE/RECOVERY TRAINING CENTER		0	11,400	11,400
New Mexico	SOCOM	CANNON AFB	PJ/CRO LOGISTICS BUILDING		3,700	0	3,700
New Mexico	DLA	KIRTLAND AFB	SOF FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
			REPLACE FUEL UNLOAD FACILITY	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	Child Development Center		0	0	10,800
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	BRIGADE COMPLEX-COMPANY OPERATIONS	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS/OPERATIONS	40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	BRIGADE COMPLEX MAINTENANCE FACILITY	44,000	44,000	36,161	36,161
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	DINING FACILITY	0	0	7,839	7,839
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	BN/Forward Supt/Eng Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		41,000	41,000	41,000
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	MP BN HQ/MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		38,000	38,000	38,000
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	Barracks (Grow the Force)		61,000	61,000	61,000
New York	Army	FORT DRUM	AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	0	9,600	0	9,600
New York	TMA	FORT DRUM	MEDICAL CLINIC ADD/ALT	41,000	41,000	41,000	41,000
New York	Air Guard	GABRESKI AIRPORT	PARARESCUE FACILITY, PHASE 1		0	8,400	8,400
New York	Air Guard	GRIFFISS	NEADS SUPPORT FACILITY PHASE II	0	6,600	0	6,600
New York	Air Guard	Hancock Field	Upgrade Base Facilities		0	0	5,100
New York	Army Res	FORT DRUM	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	15,923	15,923	15,923	15,923
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	BARRACKS COMPLEX, INCREMENT 3	47,400	47,400	47,400	47,400
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	INDOOR RANGE	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	STUDENT BARRACKS	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	BLOOD DONOR FACILITY		0	4,800	4,800
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	Barracks (Grow the Force)		73,000	73,000	73,000
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	Ammunition Supply Point/Vehicle Maint (Grow the Force)		88,000	88,000	88,000
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	Surv/Signal/Qtr/Eng/POL Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		54,000	54,000	54,000
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	Community Emergency Services Facility		0	0	2,900
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	Child Development Center		0	0	8,700
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ - FRENCH CREEK	27,800	27,800	27,800	27,800
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	FIELD MEDICAL SERVICE SCHOOL	8,080	8,080	8,080	8,080
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	MOUT ENHANCEMENTS	14,120	14,120	14,120	14,120
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	MARSOC SUPPORT FACILITY	21,720	21,720	21,720	21,720
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	MARSOC TRAINING FACILITIES	12,590	12,590	12,590	12,590
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	MARSOC FITNESS CENTER/TRAINING TANK	14,480	14,480	14,480	14,480

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	MARSOC COMMUNITY SUPPORT FACILITIES	9,170	9,170	9,170	9,170
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	16,460	16,460	16,460	16,460
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ - 4TH MEB	29,970	29,970	29,970	29,970
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Multi-Purpose Machine Gun Range - G10 (Grow the Force)		17,250	17,250	17,250
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Landfill Cell (Grow the Force)		14,170	14,170	14,170
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Wastewater System Modification (Grow the Force)		7,070	7,070	7,070
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Main Gate Physical Security Upgrade (Grow the Force)		7,920	7,920	7,920
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Physical Security Upgrades - Piney Green (Grow the Force)		6,660	6,660	6,660
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	BEQ - Wounded Warrior Battalion (Grow the Force)		27,270	27,270	27,270
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Maintenance/Operations Complex-2/9 (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	43,340	0
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Child Development Center, Midway Park		0	0	14,200
North Carolina	Navy	CHERRY POINT MCAS	UAV OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE	12,110	12,110	12,110	12,110
North Carolina	Navy	CHERRY POINT MCAS	HANGER RENOVATION & FAC UPGRADES F/A18E/F	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500
North Carolina	Navy	NEW RIVER	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	22,530	22,530	22,530	22,530
North Carolina	Navy	NEW RIVER	HANGAR ADDITION (PHASE 2)	17,330	17,330	17,330	17,330
North Carolina	Navy	NEW RIVER	JET ENGINE TEST CELL	14,570	14,570	14,570	14,570
North Carolina	Navy	NEW RIVER	MAIN GATE SECURITY UPGRADE	0	4,200	0	4,270
North Carolina	Navy	NOLF WASHINGTON COUNTY	OUTLYING LANDING FIELD FACS & LAND AC (INCR 5-7)	10,060	0	0	0
North Carolina	DODEA	CAMP LEJEUNE	DELALIO ES - CONSTRUCT GYMNASIUM	2,014	2,014	2,014	2,014
North Carolina	SOCOM	CAMP LEJEUNE	SOF SUPPLY & PRE-DEPLOYMENT FAC	10,500	10,500	10,500	10,500
North Carolina	SOCOM	CAMP LEJEUNE	SOF ACADEMIC INSTRCUTION FACILITY	6,910	6,910	6,910	6,910
North Carolina	SOCOM	CAMP LEJEUNE	SOF EQUIPMENT FACILITY	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800
North Carolina	SOCOM	FORT BRAGG	SOF OPERATIONS/INTEL ADDITION	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
North Carolina	SOCOM	FORT BRAGG	SOF HQ AND MOTOR POOL COMPLEX	39,250	39,250	39,250	39,250
North Carolina	Army NG	Asheville	Field Maintenance Shop		0	0	3,733
North Carolina	Air Guard	CHARLOTTE	235TH AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SQUADRON FACILITY	0	4,000	0	4,000
North Dakota	Air Force	GRAND FORKS AFB	CONTROL TOWER/RAPCON		0	13,000	13,000
North Dakota	Air Force	MINOT AFB	DORMITORY (144 RM)	18,200	18,200	18,200	18,200
North Dakota	Army NG	CAMP GRAFTON	Regional Training Institute Phase 1 (Grow the Force)		34,000	33,416	33,416
Ohio	DLA	COLUMBUS	DECENTRALIZE HEAT PLANT	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Ohio	Naval Res	WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER - DAYTON	10,277	10,277	10,277	10,277
Ohio	Air Guard	Rickenbacker ANGB	Security Forces Complex		0	0	7,600
Oklahoma	Army	FORT SILL	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900
Oklahoma	Army	FORT SILL	Fire and Movement Range		0	0	1,300
Oklahoma	Army	FORT SILL	MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE		0	3,300	3,300
Oklahoma	Air Force	ALTUS AFB	C-17 SHEET METAL COMPOSITE SHOP	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Oklahoma	Air Force	TINKER AFB	CONSOLIDATED FUEL O/H REPAIR & TEST FACILITY	34,600	34,600	34,600	34,600
Oklahoma	Air Force	VANCE AFB	FUELS SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR		0	7,700	7,700
Oregon	Army NG	ONTARIO	READINESS CENTER	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
Oregon	Naval Res	PORTLAND	OPERATIONAL FACILITIES MIUW 110/IBU 13	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
Pennsylvania	DLA	DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND	REPLACE CENTRAL HEAT PLANT	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
Pennsylvania	Army NG	CARLISLE	READINESS CENTER (SBCT)	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800
Pennsylvania	Army NG	EAST FALLOWFIELD TSP	READINESS CENTER (SBCT)	8,300	8,300	8,300	8,300
Pennsylvania	Army NG	FORT INDIANTOWN GAP	AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT UPGRADE (SBCT)	9,500	9,500	9,500	9,500
Pennsylvania	Army NG	GETTYSBURG	READINESS CENTER (SBCT)	6,300	6,300	6,300	6,300
Pennsylvania	Army NG	GRATERFORD	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP (SBCT)	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300
Pennsylvania	Army NG	HANOVER	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT (SBCT)	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
Pennsylvania	Army NG	HAZELTON	READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT (SBCT)	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
Pennsylvania	Army NG	HOLIDAYSBURG	READINESS CENTER (SBCT)	9,400	9,400	9,400	9,400
Pennsylvania	Army NG	HUNTINGDON	READINESS CENTER (SBCT)	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
Pennsylvania	Army NG	KUTZTOWN	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT (SBCT)	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800
Pennsylvania	Army NG	LEBANON	READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT (SBCT)	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800
Pennsylvania	Army NG	PHILADELPHIA	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP, ADD/ALT (SBCT)	3,650	3,650	3,650	3,650
Pennsylvania	Army NG	PHILADELPHIA	READINESS CENTER, ALTERATION (SBCT)	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Pennsylvania	Army NG	Waynesburg	Readiness Center		0	0	9,000
Pennsylvania	Air Guard	FORT INDIANTOWN GAP	AIR SUPT OPS SQUADRON (ASOS) BEDDOWN	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400
Pennsylvania	Air Guard	FORT INDIANTOWN GAP	OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY		0	6,300	6,300
Pennsylvania	Air Guard	Harrisburg	Expand Aircraft Parking Apron/Relocate Taxiway (Add to FY08)		0	0	1,000
Rhode Island	Navy	NAV STA NEWPORT	RECONSTRUCT WHARF BETWEEN PIERS 1 AND 2		0	9,990	9,900
Rhode Island	Navy	NAV STA NEWPORT	HAZMAT Storage Facility		0	0	3,860

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Rhode Island	Army NG	EAST GREENWICH	READINESS CENTER	8,200	8,200	8,200	8,200
Rhode Island	Army NG	N. KINGSTOWN	ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000
Rhode Island	Air Guard	QUONSET STATE AIRPORT	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING FAC		0	5,000	5,000
South Carolina	Army	FORT JACKSON	Basic Training Complex (Grow the Force)		85,000	85,000	85,000
South Carolina	Navy	BEAUFORT	FIRE STATION	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800
South Carolina	Navy	BEAUFORT	NBC FACILITY	0	3,500	0	3,500
South Carolina	Navy	PARRIS ISLAND	RECRUIT BARRACKS - 3RD BATTALION (PH 1)	25,322	25,322	25,322	25,322
South Carolina	Navy	PARRIS ISLAND	MOTOR TRANSPORTATION COMPLEX	5,530	5,530	5,530	5,530
South Carolina	Navy	PARRIS ISLAND MCRD	Consolidated Dining Facility (Grow the Force)		24,430	24,430	24,430
South Carolina	Air Force	CHARLESTON AFB	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		0	11,000	11,000
South Carolina	Air Force	SHAW AFB	BASE INFRASTRUCTURE	0	9,300	0	9,300
South Dakota	Air Force	ELLSWORTH AFB	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING ADMIN FACILITY		0	16,600	16,600
South Dakota	Air Guard	JOE FOSS FIELD	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE FACILITY		0	7,900	7,900
South Dakota	Naval Res	SIOUX FALLS	JOINT ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	3,730	3,730	3,730	3,730
Tennessee	Air Guard	Lovell Field	Communications Training Complex		0	0	8,200
Tennessee	Air Guard	MCGHEE-TYSON AIRPORT	MILSTAR BEDDOWN-RELOCATE BASE ACCESS RD	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
Tennessee	Air Guard	MEMPHIS IAP	C-5 GROUND RUN-UP ENCLOSURE	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
Tennessee	Air Guard	MEMPHIS IAP	C-5 FINAL INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	6,676	6,676	6,676	6,676
Tennessee	Air Guard	MEMPHIS IAP	C-5 MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Texas	Army	CAMP BULLIS	URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
Texas	Army	CORPUS CHRISTI	ROTORBLADE PROCESSING FACILITY	0	11,200	0	11,200
Texas	Army	FORT BLISS	Army Eval Task Force Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		84,000	84,000	84,000
Texas	Army	FORT BLISS	Barracks (Grow the Force)		11,400	11,400	11,400
Texas	Army	FORT BLISS	Health & Dental Clinic (Grow the Force)		16,500	16,500	16,500
Texas	Army	FORT HOOD	Air Defense Bde HQ/Patriot Bn Unit Ops Facil (Grow the Force)		46,000	46,000	46,000
Texas	Army	FORT HOOD	Barracks (Grow the Force)		45,000	45,000	45,000
Texas	Army	FORT BLISS	Child Youth Services Center		0	0	6,600
Texas	Army	FORT HOOD	CH-47 Maintenance Hangar		0	0	18,000
Texas	Army	FORT HOOD	BARRACKS COMPLEX	47,000	47,000	47,000	47,000

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Texas	Army	FORT HOOD	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		0	7,400	7,400
Texas	Army	FORT SAM HOUSTON	BATTLE COMMAND TRAINING CENTER, PHASE 1	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950
Texas	Army	FORT SAM HOUSTON	Medical Companies Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		10,600	10,600	10,600
Texas	Army	FORT SAM HOUSTON	Barracks (Grow the Force)		6,600	6,600	6,600
Texas	Army	RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT	MANEUVER SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT CTR, PHASE 2	9,200	9,200	9,200	9,200
Texas	Navy	CORPUS CHRISTI	AVIATION TRAINER/SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	14,290	14,290	14,290	14,290
Texas	Air Force	Goodfellow AFB	Addition to Fitness Center		0	0	5,800
Texas	Air Force	LACKLAND AFB	BASIC EXPEDITIONARY AIRMAN SKILL TRNG PHASE 2	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Texas	Air Force	Laughlin AFB	Student Activity Center & Library		0	0	5,200
Texas	Air Force	Randolph AFB	Taxiway West Flightline		0	0	2,950
Texas	Air Force	SHEPHARD AFB	BASE OPERATIONS RAMP PHASE I	0	7,000	0	7,000
Texas	TMA	CAMP BULLIS	HEALTH CLINIC REPLACEMENT	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400
Texas	Army NG	CAMP BOWIE	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Texas	Army NG	FORT WOLTERS	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
Texas	Air Guard	ELLINGTON FIELD	Multi-Use Aviation Fire Station		0	0	7,200
Texas	Army Res	ELLINGTON FIELD	AFRC/BATTLE PROJECTION CENTER, PHASE 2		0	15,000	15,000
Texas	Army Res	FORT WORTH	ARMY RESERVE CENTER	15,076	15,076	15,076	15,076
Texas	Naval Res	AUSTIN	RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	6,490	6,490	6,490	6,490
Texas	Naval Res	FORT WORTH	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,920	4,920	4,920	4,920
Texas	Naval Res	FORT WORTH	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT PARKING FAC	5,140	5,140	5,140	5,140
Texas	Naval Res	FORT WORTH	JOINT CONTROL TOWER	12,454	12,454	12,454	12,454
Texas	Naval Res	FORT WORTH	Joint Indoor Training Tank		0	0	4,970
Utah	Air Force	HILL AFB	AIRCRAFT POWER SYSTEMS REPAIR FACILITY	8,399	8,399	8,399	8,399
Utah	Air Force	HILL AFB	HYDRAULIC FLIGHT CONTROL FACILITY	8,400	8,400	8,400	8,400
Utah	Air Force	HILL AFB	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY		0	9,200	9,200
Utah	Army NG	NORTH SALT LAKE	READINESS CENTER	12,200	12,200	12,200	12,200
Utah	AF Res	HILL AFB	WING SUPPORT FACILITY	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
Vermont	Army NG	ETHAN ALLEN RANGE	MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE		0	1,996	1,996
Vermont	Air Guard	BURLINGTON	POOR FARM ROAD SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS		0	6,600	6,600
Virginia	Army	FORT BELVOIR	DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD PHASE 3	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Virginia	Army	FORT EUSTIS	Barracks (Grow the Force)		32,000	32,000	32,000
Virginia	Army	FORT EUSTIS	Transportation Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		43,000	43,000	43,000
Virginia	Army	FORT LEE	POL Truck Company Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		9,800	9,800	9,800
Virginia	Army	FORT LEE	Barracks (Grow the Force)		6,900	6,900	6,900
Virginia	Army	FORT LEE	CHAPEL	0	5,900	0	5,900
Virginia	Army	FORT MYER	Infantry Company Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		8,400	8,400	8,400
Virginia	Army	FORT MYER	Barracks (Grow the Force)		12,400	12,400	12,400
Virginia	Navy	CHESAPEAKE	MOBILE USER OBJECTIVE SYSTEM INSTALLATION	8,450	8,450	8,450	8,450
Virginia	Navy	NSWC DAHLGREN	ELECTROMAGNETIC LAUNCH RDT&E FACILITY (RAILGUN)	0	10,000	0	10,000
Virginia	Navy	NORFOLK	E2/C2 AIRCREW TRAINING FACILITY	11,510	11,510	11,510	11,510
Virginia	Navy	NORFOLK	MH-60S HANGER & AIRFIELD IMPROVEMENTS	53,850	53,850	53,850	53,850
Virginia	Navy	NORFOLK	JOINT FORCES COMMAND HQ FACILITY - BUILDING 1		0	14,200	14,200
Virginia	Navy	QUANTICO	STUDENT QUARTERS - TBS (PHASE 2)	26,680	26,680	26,680	26,680
Virginia	Navy	QUANTICO	BEQ - MSG BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	18,839	18,839	18,839	18,839
Virginia	Navy	QUANTICO	Warfare Programs Support Center (Grow the Force)		5,000	5,000	5,000
Virginia	SOCOM	DAM NECK	SOF OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Virginia	SOCOM	DAM NECK	SOF OPERATIONS FACILITY	94,500	94,500	94,500	47,250
Virginia	SOCOM	NAS OCEANA DAM NECK	PARACHUTE DRYING FACILITY	0	5,300	0	5,300
Virginia	DLA	FORT BELVOIR	ENTRANCE GATE SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Virginia	SOCOM	LITTLE CREEK	SOF SPECIAL BOAT TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY	14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Virginia	SOCOM	LITTLE CREEK	SOF SEAL TEAM OPS AND SUPPORT FACILITY	34,000	34,000	34,000	34,000
Virginia	SOCOM	LITTLE CREEK	SOF HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	51,000	51,000	51,000	0
Virginia	TMA	NORFOLK	ENVIORNMENTAL PREVENTIVE MEDICINE UNIT 2 REPL	6,450	6,450	6,450	6,450
Virginia	WHS	PENTAGON	PENTAGON RESERVATION ELECTRICAL UPGRADES	18,531	18,531	0	0
Virginia	Army NG	FORT PICKETT	COMBAT PISTOL QUALIFICATION COURSE	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
Virginia	Army NG	FORT PICKETT	Regional Training Institute Phase 1 (Grow the Force)		25,000	25,161	25,161
Virginia	Army NG	WINCHESTER	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP		0	3,113	3,113
Virginia	Naval Res	QUANTICO	RESERVE CENTER ADDITIONS	2,410	2,410	2,410	2,410
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	INDOOR RANGE	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	BRIGADE COMPLEX, INCREMENT 2	102,000	102,000	102,000	102,000

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	Railroad Yard Upgrade (Grow the Force)		14,600	14,600	14,600
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	Barracks (Grow the Force)		32,000	32,000	32,000
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	EOD/Eng/Med/MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)		62,000	62,000	62,000
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	Battlefield Surveil Bde Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)		51,000	51,000	51,000
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	FUELING FACILITY	0	3,300	0	3,300
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	Child Development Center (PN 63837)		0	0	10,600
Washington	Army	YAKIMA	DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000
Washington	Navy	BANGOR	LIMITED AREA PROD & STORAGE COMPLEX INCR IV	39,750	39,750	39,750	39,750
Washington	Navy	BREMERTON	BEQ HOMEPORT ASHORE INCREMENT II	47,240	47,240	47,240	47,240
Washington	Navy	BREMERTON	CVN MAINTENANCE PIER REPLACEMENT	91,070	91,070	91,070	91,070
Washington	Navy	BREMERTON	MISSILE ASSEMBLY BUILDING 3	28,690	28,690	28,690	28,690
Washington	Navy	NAVAL STATION EVERETT	FLEET REGION READINESS CENTER		0	10,940	10,940
Washington	Navy	Kitsap NB	Ocean Engineering Support Facility		0	0	6,130
Washington	Navy	WHIDBEY ISLAND	EA-18G FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	23,910	23,910	23,910	23,910
Washington	Navy	WHIDBEY ISLAND	INDOOR AIRCRAFT WASHRACK	0	10,600	0	10,610
Washington	Air Force	FAIRCHILD AFB	PHYSIOLOGICAL TRAINING FACILITY	0	6,200	0	6,200
Washington	SOCOM	FORT LEWIS	SOF SUPPORT BATTALION COMPLEX	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Washington	SOCOM	FORT LEWIS	SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	47,000	47,000	47,000	47,000
Washington	TMA	FORT LEWIS	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
West Virginia	Army NG	CAMP DAWSON	MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
West Virginia	Army NG	CAMP DAWSON	Multipurpose Building		0	0	4,900
West Virginia	Air Guard	EWV REG APT-SHEPHERD	C-5 FUEL CELL MAINT HANGAR AND SHOPS	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000
West Virginia	Air Guard	EWV REG APT-SHEPHERD	C-5 FINAL INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE	5,176	5,176	5,176	5,176
West Virginia	Air Guard	EWV REG APT-SHEPHERD	C-5 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600
West Virginia	Air Guard	EWV REG APT-SHEPHERD	C-5 PARKING APRON ADD/UPGRADE RUNWAY		0	12,000	12,000
West Virginia	Air Guard	YEAGER	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR		0	17,300	17,300
Wisconsin	Air Guard	TRUAX FIELD	FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION		0	7,300	7,000
Wisconsin	Army Res	ELLSWORTH	ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100
Wisconsin	Army Res	FORT MCCOY	REGIONAL MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	8,523	8,523	8,523	8,523
Wyoming	Air Force	F. E. WARREN AFB	RENOVATE HISTORIC DORMITORIES	14,600	14,600	14,600	14,600

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Wyoming	Army NG	CAMP GUERNSEY	QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650
					0	0	
Afghanistan	Army	BAGRAM AB	ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING (Transfer to Title XXIX)	13,800	13,800	0	13,800
Bahrain	Navy	NSA BAHRAIN	WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT PHASE 1	35,500	35,500	35,500	35,500
Bahrain	SOCOM	NSA BAHRAIN	SOF OPERATIONS FACILITY	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
Belgium	DODEA	CASTEU	BRUSSELS AMERCIAN SCHOOL ADDITION	5,992	5,992	5,992	5,992
Bulgaria	Army	NEVO SELO FOS	BASE CAMP	61,000	61,000	61,000	61,000
Diego Garcia	Navy	DIEGO GARCIA	SEWAGE LAGOON, AIR OPS	7,150	7,150	7,150	7,150
Djibouti	Navy	CAMP LEMONIER	FUEL FARM	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Djibouti	Navy	CAMP LEMONIER	WESTERN TAXIWAY	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900
Djibouti	Navy	CAMP LEMONIER	FULL LENGTH TAXIWAY	15,490	15,490	15,490	15,490
Germany	Army	GRAFENWOEHR	BRIGADE COMPLEX-MAINTENANCE/OPERATIONS	34,000	34,000	34,000	34,000
Germany	Army	GRAFENWOEHR	BRIGADE COMPLEX-MAINTENANCE/OPERATIONS	28,000	28,000	28,000	28,000
Germany	Air Force	RAMSTEIN AB	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB FACILITIES PHASE 2	6,260	6,260	6,260	6,260
Germany	Air Force	RAMSTEIN AB	JOINT MOBILITY PROCESSING CENTER	24,000	24,000	24,000	24,000
Germany	Air Force	RAMSTEIN AB	DORMITORY - 128 RM	14,949	14,949	14,949	14,949
Germany	Air Force	RAMSTEIN AB	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Germany	DODEA	RAMSTEIN AB	RAMSTEIN INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL ADDITION	5,393	5,393	5,393	5,393
Germany	TMA	SPANGDAHLEM AB	MEDICAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	30,100	30,100	30,100	30,100
Germany	DODEA	WEISBADEN	HAINERBER ES/WEIS MS ADDITION	5,093	5,093	5,093	5,093
Germany	DODEA	WEISBADEN	H.H. ARNOLD HS ADDITION	15,379	15,379	15,379	15,379
Guam	Navy	NAVAL BASE GUAM	KILO WHARF EXTENSION	101,828	51,828	101,828	50,916
Guam	Navy	NAVAL BASE GUAM	HARDEN BASE ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	59,420	59,420	59,420	59,420
Guam	Navy	NAVAL BASE GUAM	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT REPAIRS & UPGRADE	40,870	40,870	40,870	40,870
Guam	Navy	NAVAL BASE GUAM	FITNESS CENTER GUAM	45,250	45,250	39,950	45,250
Guam	Navy	NAVAL BASE GUAM	POTABLE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PHASE 1	31,450	31,450	31,450	31,450
Guam	Air Force	ANDERSEN AFB	UPGRADE NW FIELD INFRASTRUCTURE	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Guam	Air Force	ANDERSEN AFB	TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	0	5,800	0	5,818
Honduras	Army	SOTO CANO AIR BASE	DINING FACILITY	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
Italy	Army	VICENZA	BRIGADE COMPLEX-OPERATIONS SPT FACILITY (INCR 1)	87,000	87,000	50,000	11,400

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Italy	Army	AVIANO AIR BASE	AIRBORNE EQUIPMENT/PARACHUTE SHOP		0	0	12,100
Italy	Army	VICENZA	BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS/COMMUN FAC (INCR 1)	86,000	86,000	50,000	22,500
Japan	Navy	YOKOSUKA	WHARF UPGRADES (INCREMENT 3)	8,750	8,750	8,750	8,750
Korea	Army	CAMP HUMPHREYS	BARRACKS COMPLEX	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000
Korea	Army	CAMP HUMPHREYS	BARRACKS COMPLEX	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
Qatar	Air Force	AL UDEID AIR BASE	MULTI AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	22,300	22,300	22,300	22,300
Qatar	SOCOM	AL UDEID AIR BASE	SOF OPERATIONS COMPLEX	18,908	18,908	18,908	18,908
Qatar	SOCOM	AL UDEID AIR BASE	SOF AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP	18,515	18,515	18,515	18,515
Qatar	SOCOM	AL UDEID AIR BASE	SOF VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,507	3,507	3,507	3,507
Qatar	SOCOM	AL UDEID AIR BASE	SOF STORAGE FACILITY	3,590	3,590	3,590	3,590
Qatar	SOCOM	AL UDEID AIR BASE	SOF AIR OPERATIONS CENTER	8,332	8,332	8,332	8,332
Romania	Army	MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU FOB	BASE CAMP, PHASE 2	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600
Spain	Air Force	MORON	TACT LEADERSHIP PROGRAM DORM (400 RM)	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
United Kingdom	Air Force	MENWITH HILL STATION	POWER AVAIL & INFRASTRUC IMPROVEMENTS	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
United Kingdom	Air Force	MENWITH HILL STATION	ADAL OPS AND TECHNICAL FACILITY	31,000	31,000	31,000	31,000
United Kingdom	Air Force	RAF LAKENHEATH	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB - STORAGE IGLOO	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
United Kingdom	Air Force	RAF LAKENHEATH	F-15C SQUAD OPS/AMU	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
Classified	Air Force	CLASSIFIED LOCATION	CLASSIFIED MILCON PROJECT	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Classified	Air Force	CLASSIFIED LOCATION	SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM	9,889	9,889	9,889	9,889
Classified	Air Force	CLASSIFIED LOCATION	SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM	4,051	4,051	4,051	2,439
Worldwide	SOCOM	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CLASSIFIED	1,887	1,887	1,887	1,887
Worldwide	Navy	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	WHARF UTILITIES UPGRADE	8,900	8,900	8,900	8,900
					0	0	
					0	0	
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	GROWING THE FORCE	1,608,129	0	0	0
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	23,000	27,200	23,000	25,900
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOST NATION SUPPORT	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN (Other)	75,468	74,068	78,468	66,504
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN (GROW THE FORCE)	383,000	232,479	232,479	232,479

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	GROWING THE FORCE FACILITIES	361,120	0	0	0
Worldwide	Navy	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	HOST NATION INFRASTRUCTURE	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Worldwide	Navy	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (Other)	88,375	89,275	90,375	91,225
Worldwide	Navy	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN (Grow the Force)	21,792	21,792	21,792	21,792
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Planning and Design (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	7,491	0
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	49,367	59,887	58,903	41,521
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	TMA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,499	3,499	3,499	3,499
Worldwide	TMA	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	69,000	69,000	69,000	69,130
Worldwide	SOCOM	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,693	3,693	3,693	3,693
Worldwide	SOCOM	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	19,679	19,679	19,679	20,390
Worldwide	DODEA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	666	666	666	666
Worldwide	DODEA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
Worldwide	NSA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	NSA PLANNING AND DESIGN	26,749	26,749	26,749	26,749
Worldwide	TJS	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,753	8,753	8,753	8,753
Worldwide	DLA	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION (S)	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100
Worldwide	UNDD	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000
Worldwide	UNDD	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000	10,000	5,000
Worldwide	UNDD	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Worldwide	UNDD	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	35,900	28,500	35,900	35,900
Worldwide	UNDD	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	NATO	NATO SECURITY INVEST PROGI	NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	201,400	201,400	201,400	201,400
Worldwide	Army NG	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	GROWING THE FORCE	77,000	0	0	0
Worldwide	Army NG	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,700	10,700	8,700	18,064
Worldwide	Army NG	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (Other)	26,841	38,241	33,598	47,293

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Worldwide	Army NG	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN (Grow the Force)	17,000	17,000	17,000	17,000
Worldwide	Army NG	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Air Guard	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,900
Worldwide	Air Guard	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN	7,965	10,265	8,965	9,085
Worldwide	Air Guard	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Army Res	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
Worldwide	Army Res	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	10,958	11,658	10,958	11,633
Worldwide	Army Res	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Naval Res	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,219	3,019	2,219	2,529
Worldwide	Naval Res	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	AF Res	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,909	4,909	4,909	4,909
Worldwide	AF Res	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,500	4,500	3,500	5,300
Worldwide	AF Res	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UNDISTRIBUTED INCREASE	0	0	0	0
Utah	Army	DUGWAY PROVING GROUNDS	REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION PHASE I		5,000	0	5,000
Germany	Army	ANSBACH	FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT CONSTRUCTION	52,000	52,000	52,000	52,000
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	99,400	99,400	99,400	99,400
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (Grow the Force)	266,000	266,000	0	0
Colorado	Army	FORT CARSON	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)		0	98,300	98,300
North Carolina	Army	FORT BRAGG	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)		0	59,400	59,400
Texas	Army	FORT BLISS	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)		0	35,600	35,600
Washington	Army	FORT LEWIS	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)		0	72,700	72,700
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING P&D	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	145,366	145,366	145,366	145,366
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	OPERATIONS	128,289	128,289	128,289	128,289
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	OPERATIONS (Grow the Force)	11,000	0	11,000	11,000
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	General Reduction	0	0	0	-11,000
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	206,129	206,129	206,129	206,129
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	215,585	215,585	215,585	215,585
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	35,694	35,694	35,694	35,694
Worldwide	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS (Grow the Force)	857	857	857	857
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Air Conditioning, Vista Del Sol		0	0	4,800
Guam	Navy	GUAM	REPLACE GUAM OLD APRA PHASE II, MARIANAS	57,167	57,167	47,167	47,167
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	USMC GROUND FORCES AUGMENTATION	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	USMC FAMILY HOUSING (Grow the Force)	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	IMPROVEMENTS	162,990	162,990	162,990	162,990
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Improvements (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	10,692	0
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Improvements (Transfer from Title XXIX)		0	1,074	0
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	DESIGN	3,172	3,172	3,172	3,172
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	41,802	41,802	41,802	41,802
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	14,962	14,962	14,962	14,962
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	59,422	59,422	59,422	59,422
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	640	640	640	640
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	13,155	13,155	13,155	13,155
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	141,757	141,757	141,757	141,757
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	70,678	70,678	70,678	70,678
Worldwide	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	28,988	28,988	28,988	28,988
Germany	Air Force	RAMSTEIN AB	REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING (117 UNITS)	56,275	56,275	56,275	56,275
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	294,211	294,211	294,211	259,211
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	CLASSIFIED PROJECT	51	51	51	51
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN	12,210	12,210	12,210	12,210
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	100,176	100,176	100,176	100,176
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	1,534	1,534	1,534	1,534
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	55,202	55,202	55,202	55,202
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	20,673	20,673	20,673	20,673
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	43,472	43,472	43,472	43,472

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,960	1,960	1,960	1,960
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING ACCOUNT	1,485	1,485	1,485	1,485
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING ACCOUNT	112,909	112,909	112,909	112,909
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT	2,097	2,097	2,097	2,097
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE (RMPA & RMPC)	296,368	296,368	296,368	296,368
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	DEBT ACCOUNT	1	1	1	1
Worldwide	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	HOUSING PRIVATIZATION	52,458	52,458	52,458	52,458
Worldwide	DLA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	UTILITIES ACCOUNT	445	445	445	445
Worldwide	NSA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	34	34	34	34
Worldwide	DIA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	4,274	4,274	4,274	4,274
Worldwide	DLA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	104	104	104	104
Worldwide	DLA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	SERVICES ACCOUNT	49	49	49	49
Worldwide	DLA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	410	410	410	410
Worldwide	NSA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	10,534	10,534	10,534	10,534
Worldwide	DIA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	LEASING	32,662	32,662	32,662	32,662
Worldwide	NSA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	70	70	70	70
Worldwide	DLA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	266	266	266	266
Worldwide	UNDD	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	500	500	500	500

FY2008 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

State/ Country	Service/ Agency	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Authorized
Worldwide	BRAC - Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, ARMY	73,716	73,716	73,716	96,716
Worldwide	BRAC - Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, NAVY	0	10,000	0	50,000
Worldwide	BRAC - AF	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, AIR FORCE	143,260	143,260	143,260	143,260
Worldwide	BRAC - DOD	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, UNSPECIFIED	0	0	0	0
Worldwide	BRAC - DLA	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE IV, DEFENSE AG	3,713	3,713	3,713	3,713
Worldwide	BRAC - Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE V, ARMY	4,015,746	4,015,746	4,015,746	4,015,746
Worldwide	BRAC - Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE V, NAVY	733,695	733,695	733,695	733,695
Worldwide	BRAC - AF	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE V, AIR FORCE	1,183,812	1,183,812	1,183,812	1,183,812
Worldwide	BRAC - DW	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BASE REALIGNMENT & CLOSURE V, DEFENSE AG	2,241,062	2,241,062	2,241,062	2,241,062
Worldwide	BRAC - DW	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	BRAC 2005 General Reduction DOD-Wide		0	0	-133,914
			SUBTOTAL FY2008 AUTHORIZATIONS	21,165,182	21,164,322	21,784,472	21,533,574
Alaska	AF Res	ELMENDORF AFB	C-17 HANGAR, FY2006 PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS (Section 2606)	0	0	-3,100	-3,100
			TOTAL TITLES XXI-XXVII	21,165,182	21,164,322	21,781,372	21,530,474

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Short title (sec. 2001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2001) that would designate Division B of this Act as the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2001).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law (sec. 2002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2701) that would establish the expiration date for authorizations in this Act for military construction projects, repair of real property, land acquisition, family housing projects, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization infrastructure program, as of October 1, 2010, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2011, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2802).

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Effective date

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2704) that would provide that titles XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, and XXVI of this Act shall take effect on October 1, 2007, or the date of enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2801).

Because the conference report was not adopted prior to October 1, 2007, this provision is no longer required and was not included in the conference agreement.

TITLE XXI—ARMY

BUDGET ITEMS

Summary

The fiscal year 2008 budget request for Army military construction included ''placeholders'' of $2.4 billion related to facilities to support the administration's ''Grow the Force'' proposal to increase the size of the Army. On March 30, 2007, the Army provided a detailed breakout and supporting budget justification materials to Congress requesting a specific allocation of these funds. While this was not an official administration budget amendment, the conferees have reviewed this request and included these proposed changes in the conference agreement. These projects are identified in the State list table included in this report.

The conferees have reduced the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2008 for projects for which a substantial amount of the full cost of the project cannot be executed in fiscal year 2008, including projects to support the stationing of a full brigade complex at Vicenza, Italy, and the funding requested for a new headquarters facility for the U.S. Southern Command in Miami, Florida. The conferees have provided full project authorizations for these projects, and these reductions to the authorization of appropriations were made without prejudice to the projects. The conferees encourage the Army to request the balance of the funding for these projects in the fiscal year 2009 budget.

Funding for a project at Eglin Air Force Base, Florida was eliminated because the project could not be executed in fiscal year 2008 based on the current schedule for the required environmental analysis.

The congressional defense committees and the Department of Defense have traditionally analyzed requirements and funding for mission projects and quality of life projects as important and distinct categories. Two projects requested by the Army blur these distinctions. The first is a headquarters facility for the U.S. Southern Command in Miami, which included funding for a child development center inside the overall project cost for the headquarters. The second is a brigade complex maintenance facility at Fort Drum, New York that combined funding for a dining facility with funding for mission-oriented projects such as vehicle maintenance shops. The conferees have authorized the mission and quality of life elements as separate projects in these cases. However, the conferees direct the Army, and the other elements of the Department of Defense, to refrain from combining mission facilities and quality of life facilities into single project requests in future budget submissions.

The conferees also separately authorized funding requested within a project for Vicenza that is actually intended for a separate installation. The conferees also direct the Department to refrain from including projects at disparate installations in a single project line in the future.

ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Unspecified minor construction, Army

The conferees agree that of the funds authorized for appropriation for unspecified minor construction for the Army, the following amounts may be made available: Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada-Wabuska rail spur, $1.4 million; and Camp Rudder, Eglin Air Force Base, Florida, dining facility, $1.5 million.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2101) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Army for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2101).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2102) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2008. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2102).

The Senate recedes.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2103) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2008 to improve existing Army family housing units.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2103).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Army (sec. 2104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2104) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Army for fiscal year 2008. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2008 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Army.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2204).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Termination of authority to carry out fiscal year 2007 Army projects for which funds were not appropriated (sec. 2105)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2105) that would repeal the project authorizations and authorization of appropriations for Army military construction projects authorized in fiscal year 2007 for which no funds were appropriated. No appropriations were provided in fiscal year 2007 for projects that were authorized but were not included in the President's original budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The entire list of fiscal year 2007 active-component Army projects for which the authorizations would be repealed follows. The conferees have provided new authorizations for some of these projects for fiscal year 2008. Those projects that received new fiscal year 2008 authorizations can be found in the State list of fiscal year 2008 projects contained in this report.

The conferees urge the Department of Defense and the military departments to review any projects on this list that are not authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 2008 and re-insert those projects, if the requirements are still valid, in the fiscal year 2009 future-years defense program.

TERMINATION OF FY2007 AUTHORIZATIONS

Account	Location	Installation	Project Title	FY2007 Budget Request	FY 2007 Conference Authorization	Authorization Amount Terminated
Army	Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	Software Engineering Annex, Phase 2		20,000	20,000
Army	Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	Explosive Cargo Handling Apron		2,300	2,300
Army	Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	Child Development Center		2,000	2,000
Army	Alaska	Fort Wainwright	Railhead Operations Facility		8,800	8,800
Army	California	Fort Irwin	Child Development Center		8,200	8,200
Army	Colorado	Fort Carson	Utility Upgrades, Phase 1		6,800	6,800
Army	Kansas	Fort Riley	Vehicle Inspection/Cargo Processing Facility		10,200	10,200
Army	Kansas	Fort Leavenworth	Child Development Center		8,200	8,200
Army	Kentucky	Fort Campbell	Warrior Rehabilitation/Fitness Center		11,800	11,800
Army	Kentucky	Fort Campbell	Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Facility		8,100	8,100
Army	Louisiana	Fort Polk	Construct Brigade Facility (4th BDE 10th HQ)		9,800	9,800
Army	Louisiana	Fort Polk	Child Development Center		6,100	6,100
Army	Maryland	Fort Detrick	Research Acquisition Building		12,400	12,400
Army	Maryland	Aberdeen PG	Automotive Technology Evaluation Facility, Ph 1		8,800	8,800
Army	Michigan	Detroit Arsenal	Ground System Power and Energy Lab		18,500	18,500
Army	Missouri	Fort Leonard Wood	Vehicle Maintenance Shop - 58th Trans Bn		10,600	10,600
Army	Missouri	Fort Leonard Wood	Child Development Center		6,900	6,900
Army	New Jersey	Picatinny Arsenal	Armament Integration Facility		9,900	9,900
Army	New York	Fort Drum	Automated Qualification Training Range		9,400	9,400
Army	North Carolina	Fort Bragg	Child Development Center		7,900	7,900
Army	Pennsylvania	Letterkenny Depot	Construct Port Staging Facility		7,500	7,500
Army	Texas	Fort Hood	CH-47 Maintenance Hangar		18,000	18,000
Army	Texas	Corpus Christi Depot	Aircraft Component Maintenance Shop		12,200	12,200
Army	Texas	Fort Bliss	Physical Fitness Center		8,200	8,200
Army	Texas	Red River Depot	Construct Maneuver Sys Sustainment Ctr, Ph 1		6,000	6,000
Army	Virginia	Fort Lee	Deployment Staging Area		4,150	4,150
			Army Authorization Terminations			242,750

Technical amendments to Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (sec. 2106)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2108) that would make two corrections to the table of project authorizations in section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Division B of Public Law 109–364). One amendment would modify the name of a specific location of a project in Romania to reflect a modification of the original plan. This modification was proposed by the Army and understood by the conferees prior to the adoption of the fiscal year 2007 legislation. The second amendment would correct an enrolling error and align the text of the public law with the text of the conference report.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2006 projects (sec. 2107)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2105) that would amend section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Division B of Public Law 109–163) to increase the project authorizations for Fort Bragg, North Carolina by $7.0 million. This increase was requested by the Department of Defense in its legislative proposal to Congress.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2106).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2005 project (sec. 2108)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2107) that would extend the authorization for an Army fiscal year 2005 military construction project at Schofield Barracks, Hawaii, until October 1, 2008, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2009, whichever is later.

The House bill contained this extension in a broader provision extending authorizations for certain fiscal year 2005 projects across the Department of Defense (sec. 2702).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees note that this extension was requested by the Department of Defense in their legislative proposal to Congress.

Ground lease, SOUTHCOM headquarters facility, Miami-Doral, Florida (sec. 2109)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2109) that would require amendments to the existing ground lease agreement between the United States Government and the State of Florida for the land proposed as the site of a new headquarters for the U.S. Southern Command (SOUTHCOM) before the Secretary of the Army could begin construction of the headquarters. The amendment would allow flexibility for the use of this facility by other federal agencies in the event future requirements change, and would require the lease term to extend to 2055 rather than for 20 years.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2834).

The House recedes.

The conferees understand the State of Florida is willing to make these modifications to the lease agreement.

TITLE XXII—NAVY

BUDGET ITEMS

Summary

The budget request for Navy military construction included ''placeholders'' of $382.9 million related to facilities to support the administration's ''Grow the Force'' proposal to increase the size of the Marine Corps. On April 20, 2007, the Navy provided a detailed breakout and supporting budget justification materials to Congress requesting a specific allocation of these funds. While this was not an official administration budget amendment, the conferees have reviewed this request and included these proposed changes in the conference agreement. These projects are identified in the State list table included in this report.

The conferees have reduced the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2008 for projects for which a substantial amount of the full cost of the project cannot be executed in fiscal year 2008, including a submarine magnetic silencing facility at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, and the Kilo Wharf project in Guam. The conferees have provided full project authorizations for these projects, and these reductions to the authorization of appropriations were made without prejudice to the projects. The conferees encourage the Navy to request the balance of the funding for these projects in the fiscal year 2009 budget.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2201) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Navy and Marine Corps for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2201).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2202) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2008. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2202).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2203) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2008 to improve existing Navy and Marine Corps family housing units.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2203).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Navy (sec. 2204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2204) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2008. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2008 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Navy and Marine Corps.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2204).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Termination of authority to carry out fiscal year 2007 Navy projects for which funds were not appropriated (sec. 2205)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2205) that would repeal the project authorizations and authorization of appropriations for Navy military construction projects authorized in fiscal year 2007 for which no funds were appropriated. No appropriations were provided in fiscal year 2007 for projects that were authorized but were not included in the President's original budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The entire list of fiscal year 2007 active-component Navy projects for which the authorizations would be repealed follows. The conferees have provided new authorizations for some of these projects for fiscal year 2008. Those projects that received new fiscal year 2008 authorizations can be found in the State list of fiscal year 2008 projects contained in this report.

The conferees urge the Department of Defense and the military departments to review any projects on this list that are not authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 2008 and re-insert those projects, if the requirements are still valid, in the fiscal year 2009 future-years defense program.

TERMINATION OF FY2007 AUTHORIZATIONS

Account	Location	Installation	Project Title	FY2007 Budget Request	FY 2007 Conference Authorization	Authorization Amount Terminated
Navy	California	MCB 29 Palms	MOUT Facility, Phase 2		19,000	19,000
Navy	California	NSA Monterey	Global Weather Ops Center Expansion		7,380	7,380
Navy	Connecticut	NSB New London	Waterfront Operations Small Craft Facility		9,580	9,580
Navy	Florida	Cape Canaveral	Engineering Services Facility		9,900	9,900
Navy	Georgia	MCLB Albany	Combat Vehicle Warehouse		4,960	4,960
Navy	Georgia	Blount Island	Waterfront Operations Facility		3,580	3,580
Navy	Hawaii	NSY Pearl Harbor	Dry Dock Ship Support Services		22,000	22,000
Navy	Hawaii	NAVMAG Pearl Harbor	Fire Station		6,010	6,010
Navy	Indiana	NSA Crane	Special Weapons Assessment Facility		6,730	6,730
Navy	Maine	NSY Portsmouth	Drydock #3 Waterfront Support Facility		9,650	9,650
Navy	Mississippi	NAS Meridian	Construct Fire Station		5,870	5,870
Navy	Nevada	NAS Fallon	Improve Range B-20		7,730	7,730
Navy	North Carolina	MCAS Cherry Point	Commercial Power/Cargo Refueling Lane		2,790	2,790
Navy	Rhode Island	NS Newport	Replace Vehicle Bridge, Incr 2*		3,410	3,410
Navy	South Carolina	MCAS Beaufort	Nuclear/Bio/Chem Facility		3,350	3,350
Navy	Virginia	NSA Norfolk	JFCOM Headquarters Building		13,250	13,250
Navy	Virginia	NSWC Dahlgren	Construct Electromagnetic Launch RDT&E Fac		9,850	9,850
Navy	Washington	NAS Whidbey Island	Indoor Aircraft Washrack		9,650	9,650
Navy	Washington	NB Kitsap	Ocean Engineering Support Facility		4,110	4,110
Navy Housing	Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide	Construction Improvements (29 Palms)	176,446	180,146	3,700
			Navy Authorization Terminations			162,500

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2005 project (sec. 2206)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2206) that would amend section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375) as amended by section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), and further amended by section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), to increase the authorized cost for a project at the Strategic Weapons Facility, Bangor, Washington.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that this increase was requested by the Department of the Navy.

Repeal of authorization for construction of Navy outlying landing field, Washington County, North Carolina (sec. 2207)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2205) that would amend section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136), as amended, and section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375), as amended, to repeal the authority for construction of an outlying landing field at Washington County, North Carolina.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees expect the Department of the Navy to request new authorization for an outlying field once a study of the impact to the environment is completed and a site is selected.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2301) that would authorize Air Force military construction projects for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2301).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Family housing (sec. 2302)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2302) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Air Force for fiscal year 2008. It would also authorize funds for facilities that support family housing, including housing management offices and housing maintenance and storage facilities.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2302).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2303)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2303) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2008 to improve existing Air Force family housing units.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2303).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Air Force (sec. 2304)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2304) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Air Force for fiscal year 2008. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2008 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the active-duty component of the Air Force.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2304).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Termination of authority to carry out fiscal year 2007 Air Force projects for which funds were not appropriated (sec. 2305)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would repeal the project authorizations and authorization of appropriations for Air Force military construction projects authorized in fiscal year 2007 for which no funds were appropriated. No appropriations were provided in fiscal year 2007 for projects that were authorized but were not included in the President's original budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide an exception for projects for which a contract was awarded during fiscal year 2007. The conferees note that this would apply only to a project at Robins Air Force Base, Georgia.

The entire list of fiscal year 2007 active-component Air Force projects for which the authorizations would be repealed follows. The conferees have provided new authorizations for some of these projects for fiscal year 2008. Those projects that received new fiscal year 2008 authorizations can be found in the State list of fiscal year 2008 projects contained in this report.

The conferees urge the Department of Defense and the military departments to review any projects on this list that are not authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 2008 and re-insert those projects, if the requirements are still valid, in the fiscal year 2009 future-years defense program.

TERMINATION OF FY2007 AUTHORIZATIONS

Account	Location	Installation	Project Title	FY2007 Budget Request	FY 2007 Conference Authorization	Authortzation Amount Terminated
Air Force	Alaska	Elmendorf AFB	Replace Joint PME Center, Phase 1		12,000	12,000
Air Force	Arizona	Davis-Monthan AFB	Consolidated Mission Support Center		7,200	7,200
Air Force	Arkansas	Little Rock AFB	Multi-Purpose Education Center		9,800	9,800
Air Force	California	Travis AFB	Construct Large Fire/Crash Rescue Station		11,900	11,900
Air Force	Colorado	Peterson AFB	Acquire Land - Command Complex Force Prot		4,900	4,900
Air Force	Delaware	Dover AFB	Construct Precision Measurement Equipmt Lab		4,000	4,000
Air Force	Florida	Eglin AFB	Child Development Center		11,000	11,000
Air Force	Florida	Tyndall AFB	1st Air Force Headquarters Facility, Phase 3		6,400	6,400
Air Force	Georgia	Robins AFB	Construct Software Support Facility, Phase 1		14,000	14,000
Air Force	Georgia	Robins AFB	Construct Software Support Facility, Phase 2#		7,000	7,000
Air Force	Illinois	Scott AFB	Child Development Center		8,200	8,200
Air Force	Kansas	McConnell AFB	Upgrade Ramp Lighting North and South		3,875	3,875
Air Force	Massachusetts	Hanscom AFB	Renovate Acquisition Management Facility		12,400	12,400
Air Force	Missouri	Whiteman AFB	Animal Clinic/SF Kennel Complex		3,800	3,800
Air Force	Montana	Malmstrom AFB	Community Activity Center		5,700	5,700
Air Force	New Jersey	McGuire AFB	Unified Security Forces Operations Facility		13,000	13,000
Air Force	New Mexico	Kirtland AFB	Rescue/Recovery Training Ctr (PJ/CRO)		11,400	11,400
Air Force	North Dakota	Minot AFB	Air Traffic Control Complex, Phase 1		9,000	9,000
Air Force	Oklahoma	Altus AFB	Construct Visiting Quarters		8,000	8,000
Air Force	Oklahoma	Tinker AFB	Electrical Substation		5,700	5,700
Air Force	South Carolina	Charleston AFB	Child Development Center		10,200	10,200
Air Force	South Carolina	Shaw AFB	Air Defense Alert Airfield Pavements		9,300	9,300
Air Force	South Dakota	Ellsworth AFB	Base Water Well		3,000	3,000
Air Force	Texas	Laughlin AFB	Student Officers Quarters, Phase 2		12,600	12,600
Air Force	Texas	Sheppard AFB	Base Operations Ramp, Phase 1		7,000	7,000
Air Force	Utah	Hill AFB	Construct Consolidated ALC Warehouse		10,000	10,000
Air Force	Washington	Fairchild AFB	Physiological Training Facility		4,250	4,250
			Air Force Authortzation Terminations			225,825

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2006 project (sec. 2306)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would amend section 2301 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Division B of Public Law 109–163) to increase project authorizations for MacDill Air Force Base, Florida by $25.0 million.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2306).

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this increase was requested by the Department of Defense in its legislative proposal to Congress.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2005 projects (sec. 2307)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2307) that would extend the authorization for several fiscal year 2005 Air Force military construction projects until October 1, 2008, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2009, whichever is later.

The House bill contained a similar list of extensions in a broader provision extending authorizations for certain fiscal year 2005 projects across the Department of Defense (sec. 2702).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees note that these extensions were requested by the Air Force.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2004 projects (sec. 2308)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2308) that would extend the authorization for certain fiscal year 2005 Air Force military construction projects until October 1, 2008, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2009, whichever is later.

The House bill contained an identical list of extensions in a broader provision extending authorizations for certain fiscal year 2004 projects across the Department of Defense (sec. 2703).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees note that these extensions were requested by the Department of Defense in their legislative proposal to Congress.

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

BUDGET ITEMS

Summary

The Department of Defense requested funding for chemical demilitarization as a new separate funding title. The conference agreement continues to fund this program in title XXIV, as in previous years.

The conferees have reduced the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2008 for projects for which a substantial amount of the full cost of the project cannot be executed in fiscal year 2008, including a Special Operations facility at Dam Neck, Virginia, and a Defense Logistics Agency project at Point Loma, California. The conferees have provided full project authorizations for these projects, and these reductions to the authorization of appropriations were made without prejudice to the projects. The conferees encourage the Department to request the balance of the funding for these projects in the fiscal year 2009 budget.

No authorization was provided for a Special Operations Forces headquarters facility at Little Creek, Virginia, based on information from the Commander, United States Special Operations Command, that this project is not required at this time.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized defense agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2401) that would authorize military construction projects for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2401).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Energy conservation projects (sec. 2402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2402) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out energy conservation projects.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2402).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Authorization of appropriations, defense agencies (sec. 2403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2404) that would authorize appropriations for the military construction projects of the defense agencies for fiscal year 2008. This provision would also provide an overall limit on the cost of the fiscal year 2008 military construction projects authorized for the defense agencies.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2403).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Termination or modification of authority to carry out fiscal year 2007 defense agencies projects (sec. 2404)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2404) that would repeal the project authorizations and authorization of appropriations for defense agency military construction projects authorized in fiscal year 2007 for which no funds were appropriated. No appropriations were provided in fiscal year 2007 for projects that were authorized but were not included in the President's original budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The entire list of fiscal year 2007 defense agency projects for which the authorizations would be repealed follows. The conferees have provided new authorizations for some of these projects for fiscal year 2008. Those projects that received new fiscal year 2008 authorizations can be found in the State list of fiscal year 2008 projects contained in this report.

The conferees urge the Department of Defense to review any projects on this list that are not authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 2008 and re-insert those projects, if the requirements are still valid, in the fiscal year 2009 future-years defense program.

TERMINATION OF FY2007 AUTHORIZATIONS

Account	Location	Installation	Project Title	FY2007 Budget Request	FY 2007 Conference Authorization	Authorization Amount Terminated
Defense Agenci	Mississippi	Stennis Space Center	Riverine and Combat Craft Operations Facility		10,200	10,200
Defense Agenci	North Carolina	Fort Bragg	SOF Communications Training Facility		6,900	6,900
Defense Agenci	Kentucky	Blue Grass Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase 7	89,157	99,157	10,000
			Defense Agency Authorization Terminations			27,100

Munitions demilitarization facilities, Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, and Pueblo Chemical Activity, Colorado (sec. 2405)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2406) that would permit the Secretary of Defense to transfer, subject to certain certifications, authorizations in this Act for military construction for the defense agencies in order to provide additional funds to accelerate the construction of previously authorized facilities for the destruction of chemical weapons at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, and Pueblo Chemical Activity, Colorado. The amendment would set forth a maximum amount that could be transferred for each facility.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment. The conferees expect any authorizations transferred under the authority of this section to be derived from bid savings, not from the cancellation of other projects.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2005 projects (sec. 2406)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2405) that would extend the authorization for certain fiscal year 2005 military construction projects until October 1, 2008, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2009, whichever is later.

The House bill contained an identical list of extensions in a broader provision extending authorizations for certain fiscal year 2005 projects across the Department of Defense (sec. 2702).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Wounded warrior facility support

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2405) that would reallocate funding in the base closure account to accelerate or enhance medical care facilities related to the establishment of the Walter Reed National Medical Center.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conference outcome is reflected in the tables in this conference report. The conferees note that the elements of this provision were incorporated in the budget amendment submitted by the President on October 22, 2007, and are authorized in title XXIX of this Act.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2501) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Security Investment Program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this title and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2501).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2502) that would authorize appropriations of $201.4 million for the United States' contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Security Investment Program for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2502).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

BUDGET ITEMS

The conference agreement includes specific project authorizations for Guard and reserve projects, in accordance with the long-standing process used for active component construction projects, in addition to the traditional authorization of appropriations for Guard and reserve projects.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Unspecified minor construction, Army National Guard

The conferees agree that of the funds authorized for appropriation for unspecified minor construction for the Army National Guard, the following amounts may be made available: Marana, Arizona, fire station, Silverbell Army Heliport, $2.0 million; Camp Dodge, Iowa, main entrance, $1.5 million; Camp Perry, Ohio, base engineering, operations, and classroom facility, $1.5 million; Newton Falls, Ohio, training building (#813) alteration, Ravenna Training and Logistics Site, $1.5 million; Kenai, Alaska, add/alter readiness center, $1.4 million; and Northfield, Vermont, billeting, regional readiness tech center, $1.5 million.

Unspecified minor construction, Air National Guard

The conferees agree that of the funds authorized for appropriation for unspecified minor construction for the Air National Guard, the following amounts may be made available: Duluth, Minnesota, replace storage facilities, $1.5 million; and Rapid City, South Dakota, joint force headquarters, $900,000.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2601)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2601) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army National Guard for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment modifying the list of projects authorized in this Act.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2602)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2602) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army Reserve for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment modifying the list of projects authorized in this Act.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2603)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2603) that would authorize military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment modifying the list of projects authorized in this Act.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2604)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2604) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air National Guard for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment modifying the list of projects authorized in this Act.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2605)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2605) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The authorized amounts are listed in this provision on an installation-by-installation basis. A State list of projects contained in the table at the beginning of the statement of managers of Division B of this conference report provides the binding list of specific construction projects authorized at each location.

Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve (sec. 2606)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2601) that would authorize appropriations for reserve component military construction projects for fiscal year 2008.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2606).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Termination of authority to carry out fiscal year 2007 Guard and Reserve projects for which funds were not appropriated (sec. 2607)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2607) that would repeal the project authorizations and authorization of appropriations for reserve component military construction projects authorized in fiscal year 2007 for which no funds were appropriated. No appropriations were provided in fiscal year 2007 for projects that were authorized but were not included in the President's original budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The entire list of fiscal year 2007 reserve component projects for which the authorizations would be repealed follows. The conferees have provided new authorizations for some of these projects for fiscal year 2008. Those projects that received new fiscal year 2008 authorizations can be found in the State

list of fiscal year 2008 projects contained in this report.

The conferees urge the Department of Defense and the military departments to review any projects on this list that are not authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 2008 and re-insert those projects, if the requirements are still valid, in the fiscal year 2009 future-years defense program.

TERMINATION OF FY2007 AUTHORIZATIONS

Account	Location	Installation	Project Title	FY2007 Budget Request	FY 2007 Conference Authorization	Authorization Amount Terminated
Army Guard	Arizona	Florence	Field Maintenance Shop		10,870	10,870
Army Guard	Florida	Camp Blanding	Regional Training Institute, Phase 3		14,800	14,800
Army Guard	Idaho	Gowen Field	Construct Railhead, Phase 2		6,964	6,964
Army Guard	Iowa	Iowa City	Construct Readiness Center		10,724	10,724
Army Guard	Kentucky	London	Readiness Center		2,500	2,500
Army Guard	Michigan	Lansing	USPFO/Readiness Center Phase 2		4,239	4,239
Army Guard	Minnesota	Camp Ripley	Urban Assault Course		1,700	1,700
Army Guard	Mississippi	Camp Shelby	Joint Use Simulation Center		14,839	14,839
Army Guard	Pennsylvania	Waynesburg	Readiness Center		8,012	8,012
Army Guard	Rhode Island	East Greenwich	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	27,472	29,547	2,075
Army Guard	Virginia	Winchester	Organizational Maintenance Shop		3,113	3,113
Army Guard	West Virginia	Camp Dawson	Multi-Purpose Building		4,842	4,842
			Army National Guard Authorization			84,678
Air Guard	Alabama	Birmingham IAP	Alert Quarters Mobility Complex		4,500	4,500
Air Guard	California	Fresno/Yosemite	Replace Squadron Operations Facility		9,800	9,800
Air Guard	Colorado	Buckley AFB	Replace Squadron Ops Facility		7,000	7,000
Air Guard	Colorado	Buckley AFB	Air Sovereignty Alert Crew Quarters		3,100	3,100
Air Guard	Georgia	Savannah CRTC	Troop Training Quarters		8,700	8,700
Air Guard	Iowa	Fort Dodge	Vehicle Maint Communications Training Center		5,600	5,600
Air Guard	Maryland	Martin State Airport	Fire/Rescue Station		8,800	8,800
Air Guard	Massachusetts	Barnes ANGB	Add/Alt Fire Crash Rescue Station		7,000	7,000
Air Guard	Montana	Great Falls	Replace Operation and Training Facility		9,600	9,600
Air Guard	Nevada	Reno-Tahoe IAP	Vehicle Maintenance Complex		5,000	5,000
Air Guard	New Jersey	Atlantic City IAP	Arm/Disarm Apron		1,800	1,800
Air Guard	New York	Hancock Field	Upgrade Base Facilities		8,000	8,000

TERMINATION OF FY2007 AUTHORIZATIONS

Account	Location	Installation	Project Title	FY2007 Budget Request	FY 2007 Conference Authorization	Authorization Amount Terminated
Air Guard	New York	Griffiss	Construct NEADS Support Facility, Phase 2		6,600	6,600
Air Guard	Ohio	Rickenbacker ANG Base	Security Forces Complex/Communications Building		7,200	7,200
Air Guard	Pennsylvania	Fort Indiantown Gap	Operations and Training Facility		6,000	6,000
Air Guard	South Dakota	Joe Foss Field	Replace Civil Engineer Maintenance Complex		7,500	7,500
Air Guard	Tennessee	McGhee Tyson	Replace Squadron Operations Facility		11,200	11,200
Air Guard	Vermont	Burlington	Improve Base Security, Phase 1		6,000	6,000
Air Guard	West Virginia	Yeager	Replace Aircraft Maintenance Hangar		17,300	17,300
Air Guard	West Virginia	Martinsburg ANGS	Construct C-5 Avionics Shop, ASE, Aerial Port		5,000	5,000
Air Guard	Wisconsin	Truax Field	Add/Alt Fire Crash Rescue Station		7,000	7,000
Air Guard	Wyoming	Cheyenne Airport	Replace Squadron Operations Facility		7,600	7,600
			Air National Guard Authorization Terminations			**160,300**
Army Reserve	Nebraska	North Platte	Reserve Center/OMS/AMSA/Storage		7,630	7,630
Army Reserve	Texas	Ellington Field	Construct Armed Forces Reserve Ctr, Ph 2		15,000	15,000
			Army Reserve Authorization Terminations			**22,630**
Naval Reserve	Texas	NAS/JRB Fort Worth	Joint Multi-Level Parking Facility		6,500	6,500
			Navy Reserve Authorization Terminations			**6,500**
Air Force Reser	Florida	Patrick AFB	ISO/Phase Dock Extension, Hangar 630		3,000	3,000
Air Force Reser	Minnesota	Minneapolis-St. Paul IAP	Aerial Port Facility		6,400	6,400
			Air Force Reserve Authorization Terminations			**9,400**

Modification of authority to carry out fiscal year 2006 Air Force Reserve construction and acquisition projects (sec. 2608)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2608) that would reduce the authorization of appropriations for fiscal year 2006 Air Force Reserve projects. The provision would eliminate the authorization to convert a hanger into a headquarters for a C–17 unit at Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska.

The House bill included no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2005 projects (sec. 2609)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2609) that would extend the authorization of certain fiscal year 2005 military construction projects until October 1, 2008, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2009, whichever is later.

The House bill contained an identical list of extensions in a broader provision extending authorizations for certain fiscal year 2005 projects across the Department of Defense (sec. 2702).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2004 projects (sec. 2610)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2610) that would extend the authorization for certain fiscal year 2005 Army National Guard military construction projects until October 1, 2008, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2009, whichever is later.

The House bill contained an identical list of extensions in a broader provision extending authorizations for certain fiscal year 2004 projects across the Department of Defense (sec. 2703).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees note that these extensions were requested by the Department of Defense in their legislative proposal to Congress.

TITLE XXVII—BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACTIVITIES

BUDGET ITEMS

Summary and explanation of tables

The budget request included $220.7 million for the ongoing cost of environmental remediation and other activities necessary to continue implementation of the 1988, 1991, 1993, and 1995 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) rounds. The conference agreement authorizes funding for these activities in section 2701 of this Act, including an increase of $75.0 million to accelerate the cleanup of BRAC properties.

In addition, the budget requested an authorization of appropriations of $8.2 billion for implementation of the 2005 BRAC round. Section 2703 of this Act authorizes appropriations requested for BRAC activities in fiscal year 2008. Included in the $8.2 billion requested for BRAC is an authorization of appropriations for $6.4 billion in military construction projects that would be initiated in fiscal year 2008. The full project authorization amount of these projects is $8.7 billion. Section 2702 of this Act provides the authorization for these projects.

The conference agreement includes a general reduction to the BRAC 2005 account that does not reduce the amount authorized for any specific project.

The following table provides the specific amount authorized for each BRAC military construction project as well as the amount authorized for appropriations for all BRAC activities, including military construction, environmental costs, relocation and other operation and maintenance costs, permanent change of station costs for military personnel, and other BRAC costs.

TITLE XXVII – BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

Agency	Commission Number	Location	State	Project	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
Air Force	80 110	Elmendorf AFB, AK	AK	Aircraft Maintenance Complex	11,400	11,400
Air Force	80 110	Elmendorf AFB, AK	AK	Ops and Med Training	12,200	12,200
Air Force	80 110	Elmendorf AFB, AK	AK	Composite Support Complex	9,600	9,600
Army	11	JFHQ Montgomery	AL	Headquarters Building, Joint Forces	36,100	36,100
Army	148	Redstone Arsenal	AL	AMC & USASAC Headquarters Increment 1	127,000	30,000
MDA	134	Redstone Arsenal, AL	AL	Construct Von Braun Complex	221,800	73,600
Army	13	Arkadelphia	AR	Armed Forces Reserve Center	12,200	12,200
Army	13	Fort Chaffee	AR	Vehicle Maintenance Facility, Joint Forces	31,300	31,300
Air Force	92 93 103	Little Rock AFB, AR	AR	AGE / Engine Facility	2,800	2,800
Army	73	AFRC Bell	CA	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment 2	22,100	22,100
Navy	184	China Lake	CA	Fuse Test Facility	9,476	9,476
Navy	184	China Lake	CA	Hardware in the Loop Facility	13,890	13,890
Navy	188	China Lake	CA	Fixed Wing Transfer Facility/AF	8,600	8,600
Navy	71	NS San Diego	CA	Renovate COMINEWARCOM HQ	19,558	19,558
Navy	71	NS San Diego	CA	Child Development Center	7,079	7,079
Navy	71	NWS Seal Beach	CA	MOMAU 15 Collocation to Building 78	5,150	5,150
Navy	71	NS San Diego	CA	Upgrade Magnetic Silencing Facility for MCMs	6,000	6,000
Army	6	Fort Carson	CO	Hospital Addition	27,000	27,000
Army	6	Fort Carson	CO	Troop Health Clinic	54,000	54,000
Army	6	Fort Carson	CO	Brigade Combat Team Complex, Increment 3	-	90,000
Army	6	Fort Carson	CO	Division Headquarters Complex, Increment 2	-	20,000
Army	6	Fort Carson	CO	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	13,200	13,200
Air Force	91 143B	Buckley AFB, CO	CO	Utility Infrastructure Construction	10,080	10,080
CIFA	131	Peterson AFB, CO	CO	CIFA West Office Building	2,383	2,383
Army	4	Eglin Air Force Base	FL	Indoor Firing Range	4,850	4,850
Army	4	Eglin Air Force Base	FL	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	3,300	3,300
Army	4	Eglin Air Force Base	FL	Live Fire Exercise Breach Facility	3,750	3,750
Army	4	Eglin Air Force Base	FL	Shotgun Assault Course	3,000	3,000
Army	4	Eglin Air Force Base	FL	Grenade Launcher Range	1,050	1,050
Army	4	Eglin Air Force Base	FL	Hand Grenade Qualification Course	1,000	1,000
Army	4	Eglin Air Force Base	FL	Urban Assault Course	1,500	1,500
Navy	65	Jacksonville	FL	Hanger/Parking Apron	-	19,761

TITLE XXVII – BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

Agency	Commission Number	Location	State	Project	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
Air Force	104	MacDill AFB, FL	FL	AFR CE and Disaster Prep Training	3,500	3,500
Air Force	104	MacDill AFB, FL	FL	AFR FF Admin/Training	1,150	1,150
Air Force	104	MacDill AFB, FL	FL	AFR Aeromedical Stag Sqd Training	3,150	3,150
Air Force	104	MacDill AFB, FL	FL	AFR Comm Squadron Training	940	940
Air Force	104	MacDill AFB, FL	FL	AFR Add Services Flight Training	840	840
Air Force	104	MacDill AFB, FL	FL	AFR SF Squadron Training	2,200	2,200
Air Force	113 115	Homestead ARB, FL	FL	AFRC Add Avionics and ECM Shop	2,150	2,150
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB, FL	FL	JSF Academic Simulator Facility	26,000	26,000
Air Force	125	Eglin AFB, FL	FL	USMC Hangar	16,800	16,800
Air Force	128	NAS Pensacola, FL	FL	AF CSO Training Hangar	36,500	36,500
Air Force	128	NAS Pensacola, FL	FL	CSO Training Facility	13,000	13,000
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Troop Health Clinic - Winder, Sand Hill	5,100	5,100
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Troop Health Clinic - Harmony Church	16,000	16,000
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Troop Dental Clinic - Solomon, Sand Hill	3,550	3,550
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Modified Record Fire Range 2	4,500	4,500
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Modified Record Fire Range 1	4,500	4,500
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	23,000	23,000
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	General Instruction Complex 1	24,000	24,000
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Training Aid Support Center Conversion	3,800	3,800
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Infrastructure Support Increment 1	148,000	74,000
Army	9	Fort Benning	GA	Training Support Brigade Complex Increment 2	73,000	73,000
Navy	62	Fort Gillem	GA	RIA-14 Facility	3,764	3,764
Air Force	79 103	Moody AFB, GA	GA	Dormitory, 120-PN	14,000	14,000
Air Force	87	Robins AFB, GA	GA	Relocate 202 EIS Ops	1,700	1,700
Army	18	Keauhaha	HI	Armed Forces Reserve Center	49,200	49,200
Army	162	Iowa AAP	IA	Industrial Waste Treatment Plant	3,000	3,000
Air Force	106	Cheyenne MAP AGS, ID	WY	Squadron Operations Addition	3,200	3,200
Army	19	Lake County	IL	Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,000	25,000
Army	19	Mt Vernon Armory	IL	Armed Forces Reserve Center	26,400	26,400
Army	151	Rock Island Arsenal	IL	Metal Parts Production Add/Alt	10,600	10,600
Air Force	142	Scott AFB, IL	IL	HQ USTRANSCOM Facilities	83,800	83,800
Army	20	Lafayette	IN	Armed Forces Reserve Center	28,605	28,605

TITLE XXVII – BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

Agency	Commission Number	Location	State	Project	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
Army	10	Fort Riley	KS	Combat Aviation Brigade Complex Increment 2	-	109,000
Army	9	Fort Knox	KY	Armed Forces Reserve Center Phase 1	12,000	12,000
Army	143	Fort Knox	KY	Human Resources Command Cplx, Ph 2 Incr 1	-	55,000
Army	23	Baton Rouge	LA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	40,666	40,666
Army	73	Baton Rouge	LA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	8,000	8,000
Navy	64	NAS/JRB New Orleans	LA	Flag Housing	1,527	1,527
Navy	64	NAS/JRB New Orleans	LA	Library	3,377	3,377
Navy	64	NAS/JRB New Orleans	LA	Recreation Center	2,186	2,186
Navy	64	NAS/JRB New Orleans	LA	General Administrative Building	9,158	9,158
Navy	64	NAS/JRB New Orleans	LA	Veterinary Facility	806	806
Air Force	106	New Orleans ARS, NAS New Orl JRI	LA	Relocate 214 EIS Ops	1,200	1,200
Air Force	94	Barnes MPT AGS, MA	MA	Add To Munitions Stge	5,000	5,000
Air Force	94	Barnes MPT AGS, MA	MA	ASA Alert Complex	16,500	16,500
Army	5	Aberdeen Proving Ground	MD	C4ISR Facilities Phase 3, Increment 1	245,000	141,000
Army	5	Aberdeen Proving Ground	MD	C4ISR (I2WD) Facilities Phase 2	104,000	104,000
Army	169	Aberdeen Proving Ground	MD	Medical Research Lab, Chem Bio Defense	27,000	27,000
Army	187	Aberdeen Proving Ground	MD	Research Lab, Army	2,900	2,900
Navy	184	Indian Head	MD	Explosives Development Facility	28,789	28,789
Air Force	129	Andrews AFB, MD	MD	HQ & Readiness Center (Increment 1)	51,000	28,000
DISA	140	Fort Meade, MD	MD	Construct DISA Building	151,994	151,994
NSA	130	Fort Meade, MD	MD	Construct Adjudication Facility	94	94
TMA	169	WRNMMC Bethesda	MD	MEDCEN Add/Alt Increment 1	497,000	214,800
Navy	65	Kittery	ME	SERE School and Addition to Building B315	12,740	12,740
Navy	65	Brunswick	ME	NMCB 27 Facilities	9,295	9,295
Air Force	95	Selfridge ANGB, MI	MI	Add to Fuel/Corrosion Control	1,050	1,050
Army	27	Faribault Army Natl Guard	MN	Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,000	16,000
Army	28	AFRC Jefferson Brk	MO	Armed Forces Reserve Center	27,100	27,100
Army	29	Missoula Armory	MT	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,200	19,200
Air Force	94	Great Falls IAP AGS, MT	MT	Upgrade Munitions Storage	3,300	3,300
Army	4	Fort Bragg	NC	Troop Medical Clinic	16,500	16,500
Air Force	103	Pope AFB, NC	NC	Reconfigure Base Supply Building 560	796	796
Air Force	103	Pope AFB, NC	NC	Reconfigure Wing HQ Bldg 753	778	778

TITLE XXVII – BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

Agency	Commission Number	Location	State	Project	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
Air Force	104 119	Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	NC	Fitness Center Addition B4210	1,600	1,600
Air Force	104 119	Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	NC	Recreation Center Addition Bldg 3728	820	820
Air Force	104 119	Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	NC	Construct Flightline Kitchen Facility	960	960
Air Force	104	Grand Forks AFB, ND	ND	Convert Hangar for UAV Corrosion Control	1,280	1,280
Navy	88	McGuire AFB	NJ	Construct Helicopters Hangars & MAG HQ	37,809	37,809
Navy	88	McGuire AFB	NJ	Joint Use Reserve Training Center	20,580	20,580
Navy	88	McGuire AFB	NJ	NAVY VR Fleet Logistics Operations Facility	65,824	27,558
Air Force	187	Kirtland AFB, NM	NM	Space Vehicle Facility	42,700	42,700
Army	34	AFRC Farmingdale	NY	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment 1	86,000	65,000
Army	34	AFRC Niagara Falls	NY	Armed Forces Reserve Center	27,000	27,000
Army	53	Fort Hamilton	NY	Armed Forces Reserve Center	84,000	64,000
Army	37	Columbus	OH	Armed Forces Reserve Center	29,000	29,000
Army	37	Springfield	OH	Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,400	25,500
Navy	174	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	Naval Aero-Medical Research Lab	13,600	13,600
Air Force	170 188A	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	Alter Acquisition Mgt Fac (HSG/YA & Fixed Wing)	15,000	15,000
Air Force	170	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	Alter Materials Laboratory (HSG/YA Labs)	6,200	6,200
Air Force	170	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	Radiation Calibration Facility	4,600	4,600
Air Force	170	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	AFIOH Facility	54,000	54,000
Air Force	170	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	AFRL/HE (Brooks)	32,000	32,000
Air Force	170	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	USAFSAM Consult Service	18,500	18,500
Air Force	170	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	USAFSAM (Increment 1)	81,000	51,000
Air Force	170 187 188A	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	Dining Facility	980	980
Air Force	187	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	OH	AFRL/HE (MESA)	34,000	34,000
Army	x	Fort Sill	OK	ADA Brigade Complex, Increment 1	156,000	89,000
Army	38	Fort Sill	OK	Armed Forces Reserve Center	34,000	34,000
Army	38	McAlester	OK	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,000	18,000
Army	38	Norman	OK	Armed Forces Reserve Center	43,200	43,200
Army	38	Vance AFB	OK	Armed Forces Reserve Center	15,000	15,000
Army	38	West Oklahoma City	OK	Armed Forces Reserve Center	41,000	41,000
Army	126	Fort Sill	OK	Training Aids Support Center	6,000	6,000
Army	126	Fort Sill	OK	ADA School Complex Incr 2	-	87,000
Air Force	108	Tinker AFB, OK	OK	AFR Squad Ops/Life Support	6,900	6,900

TITLE XXVII -- BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

Agency	Commission Number	Location	State	Project	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
DLA	177	Def Distrib Depot Ok City (DDOO)	OK	Construct General Purpose Warehouse	22,000	22,000
Army	7	Letterkenny Army Depot	PA	Guided Missle Launcher Eqmt Shop, Depot	11,600	11,600
Army	40	AFRC Bristol	PA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,000	25,000
Army	40	AFRC Scranton	PA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	32,000	32,000
Army	57	Tobyhanna Army Depot	PA	Radar Test Range	2,450	2,450
Navy	73	NMCRC Lehigh Valley	PA	NMCRC Reading to NMCRC Lehigh Valley, PA	8,600	8,600
DLA	177	Def Dist Depot Susquehanna	PA	Construct General Purpose Warehouse	38,350	38,350
Army	50	Fort Jackson	SC	Drill Sergeant School	24,000	24,000
Army	124	Fort Jackson	SC	Joint Religous Education & Training Center	11,600	11,600
Navy	71	Goose Creek	SC	EODMU-6 Detachment Boat Shops	1,580	1,580
Air Force	3A	Shaw AFB, SC	SC	BOS Project for HQ 3rd Army	25,000	25,000
Army	x	Fort Bliss	TX	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	18,500	18,500
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Brigade Combat Team Complex #3 Increment 1	286,000	103,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Digital Multipurpose Training Range	15,000	15,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Urban Assault Course	2,300	2,300
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Convoy Live Fire Training Range	3,200	3,200
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Infantry Squad Battle Course	2,400	2,400
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Troop Health Clinic	42,000	42,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Physical Fitness Facility	22,000	22,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Youth Center Expansion	2,000	2,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Information System Processing Center	6,100	6,100
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Infrastructure Support Phase 2	55,000	55,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Combat Aviation Brigade Complex Increment 2	-	90,000
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Close Combat Tactical Trainer Facility	6,100	6,100
Army	10	Fort Bliss	TX	Brigade Combat Team Complex #2 Increment 2	-	70,000
Army	44	AFRC East Houston	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	36,000	36,000
Army	44	AFRC Fort Bliss	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	49,900	49,900
Army	44	Northwest Houston	TX	Armed Forces Reserve Center	31,900	31,900
Army	174	Fort Sam Houston	TX	Battlefield Health & Trauma, Incr 2	-	53,000
Air Force	137C	Randolph AFB, TX	TX	Randolph - CPOs Admin Center Facility Constructio	10,900	10,900
Air Force	147 170	Lackland AFB, TX	TX	HQ Admin Center (AFCEE & AFRPA & AFWSE)	37,000	37,000
Navy	174	Fort Sam Houston, TX	TX	Battlefield Health & Trauma Bio-Med Lab	7,473	7,473

TITLE XXVII – BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

Agency	Commission Number	Location	State	Project	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
Air Force	113	Carswell ARS, NAS Fort Worth JRB	TX	AFR Munitions Igloos	1,250	1,250
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston, TX	TX	Medical Training Facilities (Increment 1)	225,000	96,400
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston, TX	TX	Medical E&T - Dining Facilities	38,000	38,000
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston, TX	TX	METC Student Dorm #1 (Increment 1)	87,700	46,500
Air Force	172	Fort Sam Houston, TX	TX	METC Student Dorm #2 (Increment 1)	80,700	47,000
TMA	172	Fort Sam Houston	TX	ADA+E51L San Antonio Military MEDCEN North Inc	467,300	156,035
TMA	172	Fort Sam Houston	TX	Health Clinic	43,100	43,100
Army	121	Fort Lee	VA	Increment 2	-	212,000
Army	121	Fort Lee	VA	Increment 1	427,000	173,000
Army	122	Fort Lee	VA	Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation	13,400	13,400
Army	169	Fort Belvoir	VA	Infrastructure Support Increment 1	152,000	20,000
Navy	131	Quantico	VA	Investigative Agency Facilities	143,132	143,132
Navy	164	NSY Norfolk	VA	Ship Maintenance Engineering Facility Modification	19,362	19,362
Navy	166	NSY Norfolk	VA	Engineering Management Facility Conversion	9,506	9,506
Navy	184	Dahlgren	VA	RDA-T&E Consolidated Facility	28,930	28,930
DECA	139	Fort Lee, VA	VA	Facility for Consolidation	23,389	23,389
DIA	167	Rivanna Station, Charlottesville	VA	Joint Use Intelligence Facility - Phase 1	62,000	41,000
MDA	134	Fort Belvoir, VA	VA	Construct Headquarters Command Center	25,100	25,100
NGA	168	Fort Belvoir, VA	VA	Construct NGA Building	1,114,800	428,879
TMA	169	Fort Belvoir	VA	Hospital 1st Increment	498,000	219,400
WHS	133	Fort Belvoir, VA	VA	Office Complex, Increment 1	702,199	321,546
Army	45	AFRC Rutland	VT	Armed Forces Reserve Center	23,000	23,000
Army	46	AFRC Yakima	WA	Armed Forces Reserve Center	20,000	20,000
Navy	166	Bremerton	WA	Ship Maint Engineering Consolidation	130	130
Navy	76	Fort Lewis	WA	Relocate Navy Cargo Handling Fac, Battalion 5	7,333	7,333
Army	49	JFHQ Cheyenne	WY	Armed Forces Reserve Center	32,500	32,500
Army		Various Locations		Planning and Design	-	153,900
Navy		Washington	DC	Planning and Design	-	20,127
Air Force		Various Locations		MILCON Planning and Design	0	25,487
				Total Military Construction and P&D	5,718,985	6,419,758

TITLE XXVII -- BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

Agency	Commission Number	Location	State	Project	Project Authorization	Authorization of Appropriation
Army		Various	Various	Environmental	0	86,756
Navy		Various	Various	Environmental	0	16,953
Air Force		Various	Various	Environmental	0	8,696
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Environmental	0	0
				Total Environmental	0	112,405
Army		Various	Various	Operations and Maintenance	0	442,967
Navy		Various	Various	Operations and Maintenance	0	205,656
Air Force		Various	Various	Operations and Maintenance	0	222,601
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Operations and Maintenance	0	317,492
				Total Operation and Maintenance	0	1,188,716
Army		Various	Various	Military Personnel PCS	0	0
Navy		Various	Various	Military Personnel PCS	0	2,499
Air Force		Various	Various	Military Personnel PCS	0	12,256
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Military Personnel PCS	0	0
				Total Military Personnel PCS	0	14,755
Army		Various	Various	Other	0	244,502
Navy		Various	Various	Other	0	1,711
Air Force		Various	Various	Other	0	30,548
Defense Wide		Various	Various	Other	0	161,920
				Total Other	0	438,681
Defense Wide		Various	Various	General Reduction	0	-133,914
				TOTAL FY2008 FUNDING FOR BRAC 2005	5,718,988	8,040,401

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990 (sec. 2701)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2701) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2008 for ongoing activities that are required to implement the decisions of the 1988, 1991, 1993, and 1995 base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds.

The House bill contained a similar provision (section 2404(a)(8)).

The House recedes with an amendment to the amount authorized.

Authorized base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account 2005 (sec. 2702)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2403) that would authorize military construction projects for fiscal year 2008 that are required to implement the decisions of the 2005 Defense Base Realignment and Closure round.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2702).

The House recedes with an amendment to the amounts authorized.

The table included in this title of the report lists the specific amounts authorized at each location.

Authorization of appropriations for base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 (sec. 2703)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2404(a)(9)) that would authorize appropriations for the Department of Defense for military construction projects for fiscal year 2008 that are required to implement the decisions of the 2005 Defense Base Realignment and Closure round.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2703) that would also specify the amount authorized for each military department and for the defense agencies.

The House recedes with an amendment to the amounts authorized.

The State list contained in this report is the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location for the purposes of notifications under section 2704 of this Act.

Authorized cost and scope of work variations (sec. 2704)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2704) that would require that each Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) military construction project carried out with amounts authorized for appropriations by sections 2701 and 2703 of this title be subject to the limits on cost and scope variations contained in section 2853 of title 10, United States Code. Furthermore, this provision would establish, as a baseline for the determination of variations, the cost and scope contained in the military construction project data for each project provided to the congressional defense committees annually in justification material accompanying each President's budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment to the thresholds that trigger cost variation reports and would require a one-time report on cost or scope variations on existing BRAC construction projects for the 2005 base closure round. The amendment would also establish the amount specified for such projects in the annual military construction authorization act as the baseline for reporting.

Transfer of funds from Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 to Department of Defense Housing Funds (sec. 2705)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds from the Department of Defense (DOD) Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) account to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund (FHIF), enabling the use of the privatization authorities to meet the family housing requirements associated with the 2005 BRAC recommendations. It also would allow similar transfers of funds to the Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund (MUHIF).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would add a certification to the notice of transfer. This notice would require the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 funds, used in the transfer to the FHIF or the MUHIF, to be specified for that purpose in the conference report that accompanies the most recent Military Construction Authorization Act.

Comprehensive accounting of funding required to ensure timely implementation of 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommendations (sec. 2706)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2842) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a comprehensive accounting of the funding required to ensure all decisions of the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment round remain on schedule to be completed by September 15, 2011.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Relocation of units from Roberts United States Army Reserve Center and Navy-Marine Corps Reserve Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana (sec. 2707)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2611) that would permit the Secretary of the Army to use land in the vicinity of the Baton Rouge airport in Baton Rouge, Louisiana to site an Army Reserve Center and a Navy-Marine Corps Reserve Center, if such location was determined by the Secretary to be in the national security and public interest of the Nation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment clarifying that the funds authorized to be appropriated for base closure in this Act may be used to locate the reserve centers on a more suitable piece of property in the same vicinity as the airport. The conferees understand that the Department of the Army agrees that an alternate parcel not adjacent to the airport is more suitable for carrying out the intent of the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation for reserve component transformation in Louisiana.

Acquisition of real property, Fort Belvoir, Virginia, as part of the realignment of the installation (sec. 2708)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2837) that would direct the Secretary of the Army to enter into an agreement with the Administrator of the General Services Administration (GSA) to exchange property for the purpose of making a parcel of GSA property in Springfield, Virginia, available for incorporation into Fort Belvoir, Virginia.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2853) that would permit the Secretary to enter into an agreement to transfer jurisdiction of the Springfield parcel to the Army. The Senate amendment would also allow the Army to provide additional forms of compensation to the Administrator.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would allow the Secretary to consider and purchase other parcels in the vicinity of Fort Belvoir, in addition to the GSA property, for the purpose of relocating personnel to that installation. The conferees agree to require the Secretary to select any additional parcel of property not currently part of Fort Belvoir on the basis of best value and to use competitive procedures to acquire any such parcel of privately held land and real property.

The conferees note that the Army has already begun the process of evaluating alternate sites and support the Army's goal of relocating employees of the Washington Headquarters Service to Fort Belvoir in a way that minimizes costs and traffic congestion and facilitates compliance with the September 2011 deadline.

Report on availability of traffic infrastructure and facilities to support base realignments (sec. 2709)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2822) that would prohibit the relocation of members of the armed forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who are scheduled to be relocated to Fort Belvoir, Virginia, as a result of the closure of leased-office space in Arlington, Virginia, pursuant to the recommendations of the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. This limitation would be obviated when the Secretary of the Army certified that the necessary transportation infrastructure, as identified by the Fort Belvoir Environmental Impact Statement, to accommodate the total number of military members, military dependents, and civilian employees to be assigned to Fort Belvoir is substantially completed.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would state the sense of Congress that the Department should consider roads at military installations significantly impacted by a realignment of forces for designation as defense access roads and that the Secretary of Defense should ensure that the full range of permanent facilities are in place and ready for use prior to the movement of members of the armed forces, civilian employees, and their families. The amendment would also direct the Comptroller General to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than April 1, 2008, an assessment of significantly impacted installations for the purpose of determining whether military facility requirements will be met before the arrival of forces and whether sufficient funding has been programmed in the Defense Access Roads program to mitigate community traffic congestion.

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

Authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects outside the United States (sec. 2801)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2806) that would further amend section 2808 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136), as amended, to extend for 1 year, through the end of fiscal year 2008, the temporary authority provided to the Secretary of Defense to use funds appropriated for operation and maintenance to carry out construction projects intended to satisfy certain operational requirements in support of a declaration of war, national emergency, or other contingency. The House bill would require advance notification to Congress of such projects.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2814) that would also extend the authority for 1 year and would remove the Secretary's authority to waive the annual dollar limitation on this authority.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would remove the authority to waive the dollar limitation and would also allow the Department of Defense to use this authority retroactively for nine fiscal year 2007 projects the Department intended to execute in September 2007, but which they were precluded from executing due to the suspension of this authority resulting from the failure to provide timely notification to Congress regarding the obligation of funds for other such fiscal year 2007 projects. The nine projects are:

(1) MUNS storage area, Balad Air Base (AB), $22.0 million;

(2) MNSTC–I beddown barracks, Camp Phoenix, $37.0 million;

(3) CSAR helicopter ramp, Balad AB, $9.7 million;

(4) Airfield overruns, Balad AB, $15.5 million;

(5) Strategic fuel reserve, Camp Speicher, $19.0 million;

(6) Aeromedical evacuation compound, Balad AB, $15.3 million;

(7) DS Maintenance facility (FY2005), Balad AB, $10.8 million;

(8) Dining facility #5 (FY2004), Balad AB, $15.2 million; and

(9) Pave Aspen connectors, Kuwait, various sites, $11.6 million.

All nine of these projects are in Iraq except as noted.

The conferees believe the Department requires some flexibility to meet emerging war-related facility needs, but expect the Department to use this authority more judiciously in the future.

Clarification of requirement for authorization of military construction (sec. 2802)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2818) that would amend sections 2801(a) and 2802(a) of title 10, United States Code, to add land acquisitions and defense access road projects to the definition of military construction and to the types of projects for which the Secretary of Defense and the secretaries of the military departments would be permitted to carry out once authorized by law.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees intend for the Secretary of Defense or the secretaries of the military departments to include in each annual budget submission a request for specific authorization for each land acquisition and defense access road project to be carried out using appropriations for military construction. The request should be listed either as a separate project, or clearly indicated in the military construction project data in the justification material in support of the budget request if the land acquisition or defense access road is proposed to be carried out as part of a larger military construction project. The conferees intend this provision to clarify what they believe to be the requirements under existing law.

Increase in thresholds for unspecified minor military construction projects (sec. 2803)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would amend section 2805(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, by raising the threshold of the cost of a minor construction project authorized by this section from $1.5 million to $2.5 million. This provision would also raise the threshold of the cost of a construction project intended solely to correct a deficiency that is life-threatening, health-threatening, or safety-threatening from $3.0 million to $4.0 million.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would raise the threshold of the cost of a minor construction project authorized by section 2805(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, from $1.5 million to $2.0 million.

Temporary authority to support revitalization of Department of Defense laboratories through unspecified minor military construction projects (sec. 2804)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would provide the Department of Defense (DOD) with additional authority to improve DOD laboratories using minor construction authorities similar to those already contained in section 2805 of title 10, United States Code. The temporary authority would expire on September 30, 2012.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2815).

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Extension of authority to accept equalization payments for facility exchanges (sec. 2805)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would extend the authority provided in section 2809 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375) by an additional 3 years, until September 30, 2010.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2817).

The House recedes.

Modifications of authority to lease military family housing (sec. 2806)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2802) that would amend section 2828 of title 10, United States Code, by raising from $500,000 to $1.0 million in annual rental payments the threshold for which prior congressional notification of overseas leases is required.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2851) that would also modify section 2828 of title 10, United States Code, to grant the Secretary of the Army additional authority to enter into high-cost leases for up to 600 units in the United States and set an annual per unit ceiling of $100,000 on the cost of any overseas leased unit. The provision would also combine and consolidate the existing authorities for high-cost leases in Italy for the Army and the Navy into a single limit applicable to the entire Department of Defense.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the proposed maximum cost ceiling on a foreign leased unit but would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on leases in foreign countries costing in excess of $60,000 per unit per year. The report would include the requirement for such high-cost leases and the options available to decrease those costs. The conferees note that the military departments have already identified to the committees leases costing in excess of $100,000 per unit per year and intend to use this report to evaluate options for addressing this issue in the future.

The conferees believe the administration's proposal to increase the per unit cost ceiling on leased units in Korea is unaffordable. The conferees support the continued consolidation of forces in Korea and urge the Department of Defense to pursue other means to provide adequate family housing in Korea.

Expansion of authority to exchange reserve component facilities (sec. 2807)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2804) that would expand the authority of the Secretary of Defense to exchange reserve component facilities with other federal agencies including the United States Postal Service.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Limitation on use of alternative authority for acquisition and improvement of military housing for privatization of temporary lodging facilities (sec. 2808)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2803) that would limit the privatization of Army lodging to 13 installations. The provision would also direct the Secretary of the Army to submit a report not later than June 1, 2009 that would describe the implementation of the pilot program and evaluate its efficiency, and would direct the Comptroller General to submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the pilot program and of the Secretary's report.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that limits the privatization of Army lodging to 13 military installations until 120 days after the Secretary of the Army submits a report to the congressional defense committees and the Comptroller General. The Secretary of the Army's report shall be submitted no earlier than 8 months after a notice of transfer associated with the 13 military installations, and shall describe the implementation of the privatization of temporary lodging facilities, evaluate the efficiency of the program, and include any recommendations the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate regarding the expansion of the program.

The amendment would also direct the Comptroller General to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 90 days of the Secretary of the Army's report that reviews both the privatization of temporary lodging facilities and the report of the Secretary.

Additional solicitations for the privatization of temporary lodging facilities would be permitted 120 days after Congress receives the report from the Secretary of the Army.

Two-year extension of temporary program to use minor military construction authority for construction of child development centers (sec. 2809)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2816) that would amend section 2810 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Division B of Public Law 109–163) to extend by 2 years the temporary authority provided to the Secretary of Defense to use higher minor construction thresholds for the construction of child development centers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on housing privatization initiatives (sec. 2810)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2866) that would require the Comptroller General to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing information on housing privatization transactions carried out by the Department of Defense that are behind schedule or in default. The report would describe remedies available to address the problems with these projects.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

Requirement to report real property transactions resulting in annual costs of more than $750,000 (sec. 2821)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would amend section 2662 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense, the secretaries of the

military departments, or their designees, to notify Congress prior to entering into a transaction or contract action that results in or includes the acquisition, lease or license, or any other use by entities of the Department of Defense of real property if the estimated annual rental or cost is more than $750,000.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Consolidation of real property provisions without substantive change (sec. 2822)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2811) that would consolidate the real property authorities provided under sections 2663 and 2677 of title 10, United States Code, as requested by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2835).

The Senate recedes.

Modification of authority to lease non-excess property of the military departments (sec. 2823)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would amend section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, to require the secretary of a military department to use competitive procedures to select lessees for transactions authorized by paragraph (a) of section 2667. The provision would also eliminate the authority for the secretary concerned to receive in-kind consideration or to use rental and other proceeds for facility operation support.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the secretary concerned to use procedures other than open competition to enter into certain transactions that would result in a benefit to the public. The amendment would also clarify the use by the secretary concerned of in-kind consideration, rental, or other proceeds received as a result of the transaction.

The conferees intend the definition of real property maintenance services used in the provision to be limited to pavement clearance, refuse collection and disposal, grounds and landscape maintenance, and pest control.

Cooperative agreement authority for management of cultural resources on certain sites outside military installations (sec. 2824)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2812) that would expand the authority of the Department of Defense to enter into agreements for the management of cultural resources on sites outside as well as inside the boundaries of military installations if such agreements would relieve or eliminate current or anticipated restrictions on military operations.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1026).

The Senate recedes.

Agreements to limit encroachments and other constraints on military training, testing, and operations (sec. 2825)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would allow agreements to limit encroachment to provide for the ongoing upkeep and management of buffer zones bordering defense installations, in addition to the authority to acquire the property provided under current law. The House bill would also allow the fair market value of a group of related properties or interest to be calculated cumulatively.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2833) that would permit Department of Defense entities to acquire an interest in property where the cost of acquiring the interest exceeds the fair market value of the property, if the Secretary of Defense or the secretary of a military department certifies that the military value of the acquisition provides benefits that justify a payment in excess of the fair market value.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would also provide the authority to acquire interests at greater than fair market value if the military value of the property justifies such acquisition.

Expansion to all military departments of Army pilot program for purchase of certain municipal services for military installations (sec. 2826)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2814) that would expand the authority of the Secretary of the Army to purchase local government services for Department of Defense installations from the neighboring local governments. This expansion would allow each of the military services to conduct a pilot program with three military installations and extend the pilot program until September 30, 2012.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Prohibition on commercial flights into Selfridge Air National Guard Base (sec. 2827)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2816) that would prohibit the use of commercial service aircraft at Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress on Department of Defense actions to protect installations, ranges, and military airspace from encroachment (sec. 2828)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2864) that would include findings and state the sense of Congress regarding development near military installations and the Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) program of the Department of Defense. The provision would also require a report on ways the Department can improve or make greater use of the REPI program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment to modify the sense of Congress.

Reports on Army and Marine Corps operational ranges (sec. 2829)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2834) that would expand a reporting requirement on changing requirements for Army training ranges that was contained in section 2827 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Division B of Public Law 109–364), to include the impact of the proposal contained in the fiscal year 2008 budget to permanently increase the size of the active-duty component of the Army by 65,000 personnel. The report by the Secretary of the Army would also include an assessment of the potential expansion of the Joint Readiness Training Center at Fort Polk, Louisiana, and an assessment of the available training capacity in Germany.

The provision would also add a similar reporting requirement with respect to the proposal in the fiscal year 2008 budget request to expand the size of the Marine Corps by 27,000 personnel. This report would include an analysis of a proposal under consideration by the Marine Corps to expand the training range at Marine Corps Base Twentynine Palms, California.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment to create a freestanding report rather than a modification to a prior report, and to add a requirement for the Secretary of Defense to review and analyze the separate reports regarding the Army and the Marine Corps and inform the congressional defense committees of the steps the Office of the Secretary of Defense is taking to coordinate the activities of the Army and the Marine Corps on these matters.

The conferees expect the report by the Secretary of the Army to be informed by the programmatic environmental impact statement that the Army has conducted on the growth of the Army and, to the extent possible, by the subsequent site-specific assessments. The conferees are concerned that the Army does not have a plan in place to provide for adequate training for an additional six brigades, and that recent statements of intent to accelerate the growth of the Army ahead of the schedule in the fiscal year 2008 budget will exacerbate this problem. The conference agreement therefore modifies the Senate provision to require the report by the Secretary of the Army to address the impact of any acceleration plan that is included in the fiscal year 2009 budget request.

Niagara Air Reserve Base, New York, basing report (sec. 2830)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2817) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report containing a plan of the aviation assets anticipated to be based at Niagara Air Reserve Base, New York.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 2841).

The House recedes with an amendment that would change the date on which the report is due. The plan should review C–130 aircraft which could be available in the future as additional Primary Assigned Aircraft at Niagara Air Reserve Base, beyond the 12 currently programmed for the installation, and should contain an analysis of the support structure available at Niagara Air Reserve Base to accommodate such additional force structure.

Report on the Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site, Colorado (sec. 2831)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would place conditions on any expansion of the Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site, Colorado.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2867) that would require the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the requirement for and impacts of an expansion of the Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Land Conveyances

Modification of conveyance authority, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California (sec. 2841)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2838) that would repeal the amendment made by section 2867 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) to the underlying authority granted to the Secretary of the Navy by section 2851 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261) to grant an easement for a road through Camp Pendleton, California. The House bill would remove language that limited the effect of State law with respect to this road.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Grant of easement, Eglin Air Force Base, Florida (sec. 2842)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would grant to the Mid Bay Bridge

Authority an easement for a road right-of-way over land at Eglin Air Force Base, Florida to facilitate the construction of a road connecting the northern landfall of the Mid Bay Bridge to Florida State Highway 85.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to provide the Secretary of the Air Force the discretion to convey the property for the intended purpose.

Land conveyance, Lynn Haven Fuel Depot, Lynn Haven, Florida (sec. 2843)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2833) that would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to convey to Florida State University a parcel of land consisting of approximately 40 acres at Lynn Haven Fuel Depot, Lynn Haven, Florida, for the purpose of permitting the university to develop the property as a new satellite campus. The House bill would further authorize the Secretary to accept reduced tuition or scholarships for military personnel as in-kind consideration for the recovery of costs to convey the property.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2851) that would allow the Secretary of the Air Force to convey the property. The Senate amendment would further provide for the reversion of the property if at any time the Secretary determines that the property conveyed is not being used in accordance with the purpose as specified in the provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would replace the reversionary clause with a requirement that if the property is not used for the intended purpose, the university would have to pay the Secretary the fair market value of the property, excluding any improvements made by the university.

Modification of lease of property, National Flight Academy at the National Museum of Naval Aviation, Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida (sec. 2844)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2857) that would amend section 2850(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) by authorizing additional educational purposes to the list of uses for which the Secretary of the Navy would be permitted to lease certain real property, without consideration, to the Naval Aviation Museum Foundation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Land exchange, Detroit, Michigan (sec. 2845)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2855) that would authorize the Commandant of the Coast Guard to enter into an equal-value land exchange with the City of Detroit, Michigan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment regarding the environmental remediation of the parcels to be exchanged.

Transfer of jurisdiction, former Nike missile site, Grosse Ile, Michigan (sec. 2846)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2835) that would transfer jurisdiction over property comprising a former Nike missile site on Grosse Ile, Michigan, from the Environmental Protection Agency to the Department of the Interior to incorporate the site into the Detroit River International Wildlife Refuge.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2856).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the process used to determine the standard of remediation activities to be conducted and would delete the requirement that a specific Corps of Engineers district carry out the remediation of the property.

Modification to land conveyance authority, Fort Bragg, North Carolina (sec. 2847)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2852) that would amend section 2836 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85) to authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, to Harnett County, North Carolina, a parcel of real property totaling 137 acres at Fort Bragg, North Carolina, for educational purposes and the construction of public school structures. The provision would also authorize the Secretary to require the County to cover administrative and other costs for the conveyance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Land conveyance, Lewis and Clark United States Army Reserve Center, Bismarck, North Dakota (sec. 2848)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2854) that would permit the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, approximately 2 acres of real property, including improvements, at a reserve center in Bismarck, North Dakota, to the United Tribes Technical College, to support education and training at the college.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Land exchange, Fort Hood, Texas (sec. 2849)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2836) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey approximately 200 acres at Fort Hood, Texas, to the City of Copperas Cove, Texas, to permit the City of Copperas Cove, Texas, to improve local roads for the installation and the community.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle D—Energy Security

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Energy Conversation Forum

The conferees are aware that, in the spring of 2006, the Secretary of Defense initiated and continues to lead a monthly interagency seminar program known as the Energy Conversation Forum. The conferees are very encouraged that other departments and agencies such as the Department of Energy, the Department of State, the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the intelligence community have agreed to actively participate in this important initiative.

The conferees acknowledge the considerable efforts of the Energy Conversation Forum and continue to support the Secretary's interagency energy education program including the energy seminar program and energy interagency networks. The conferees recognize that the energy security challenges faced by the Department of Defense, the U.S. Government as a whole, and the Nation cannot be solved by a single agency. The conferees strongly believe that all federal agencies must work together to achieve necessary national energy objectives of conservation and efficiency. Therefore, the conferees encourage the Secretary to continue efforts such as the Energy Conversation Forum to enhance information exchange as a necessary first step in addressing the complex energy issues facing this nation.

Report on water conservation projects

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on water conservation efforts and methods in the Department of Defense. The report should describe the Department's investment, by type, in water conservation programs in fiscal years 2006, 2007, and 2008; the investment levels necessary to meet the Department's water conservation requirements under Executive Order 13423 of January 24, 2007; an assessment of whether water conservation projects should continue to be funded inside the Energy Conservation Investment Program or should instead be financed in a separate water conservation program; and an assessment of the demonstrated or potential return on investment of various water conservation technologies including metering, water control systems, xeriscaping, waterless urinals, utility system upgrades, and water efficiency standards for new or replacement equipment and appliances in Department of Defense facilities. The report should also include any proposed legislative changes the Secretary believes to be necessary to allow the Department to meet its water conservation goals. The report should be submitted not later than March 31, 2008.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Repeal of congressional notification requirement regarding cancellation ceiling for Department of Defense energy savings performance contracts (sec. 2861)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2851) that would repeal section 2853 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), which lowered the notification ceiling for the Department of Defense to $7.0 million, and would thereby restore the notification ceiling to $10.0 million, consistent with the ceiling established for all other government agencies in section 8287(a)(2)(D)(iii) of title 42, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Definition of alternative fueled vehicle (sec. 2862)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1092) that would revise the definition of alternative fueled vehicle in section 303(3) of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 13211(3)).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Use of energy efficient lighting fixtures and bulbs in Department of Defense facilities (sec. 2863)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2853) that would require that each building constructed or significantly altered by the Department of Defense is equipped, to the maximum extent feasible, with lighting fixtures and bulbs that are energy efficient. Further, the provision would require that each lighting fixture or bulb that is replaced in the normal course of maintenance of buildings under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense or the secretary of a military department is replaced, to the maximum extent feasible, with a lighting fixture or bulb that is energy efficient. The provision would allow the Secretary of Defense to waive the requirements of the section under certain conditions.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Reporting requirements relating to renewable energy use by Department of Defense to meet Department electricity needs (sec. 2864)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2854) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the Department of Defense produces or procures, from renewable

energy sources, not less than 25 percent of the total quantity of electric energy it consumes within its facilities and in its activities during fiscal year 2025 and each fiscal year thereafter. The House provision would also prohibit the use of leases, privatization, service contracts, or other third-party financing means to achieve the 25 percent goal.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to report annually on progress made by the Department toward meeting the goal of producing or procuring at least 25 percent renewable energy to meet the Department's electricity needs by 2025. These reports would include a description of the financing methods used to procure renewable energy in the previous fiscal year and a projection of the Department's future use of renewable energy through fiscal year 2025.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

Revised deadline for transfer of Arlington Naval Annex to Arlington National Cemetery (sec. 2871)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2861) that would extend the current deadline for the transfer of approximately 36 acres of land at the Arlington Naval Annex to the Secretary of the Army for incorporation into Arlington National Cemetery from January 1, 2010 to no later than January 1, 2013.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to extend the existing deadline for 1 additional year, to January 1, 2011.

Transfer of jurisdiction over Air Force Memorial to Department of the Air Force (sec. 2872)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2862) that would require the Secretary of the Army to transfer the administrative jurisdiction, custody, and control of the Air Force Memorial to the Secretary of the Air Force.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to transfer the administrative jurisdiction, custody, and control of the Air Force Memorial to the Secretary of the Air Force and would provide that if the Air Force Memorial is transferred to the Secretary of the Air Force, the United States shall not pay any costs to maintain or repair the Air Force Memorial.

The conferees remain concerned about the requests by both private foundations and the military services to use appropriated funds for the maintenance of military memorials and museums at the expense of other military requirements. The conferees expect that original agreements signed by the private foundations for the design, construction, and maintenance of military memorials are carried out in good faith without transferring a perpetual cost for maintenance and repair of the memorial to the military services.

Report on plans to replace the monument at the Tomb of the Unknowns at Arlington National Cemetery, Virginia (sec. 2873)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1048) that would require the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit a report to Congress evaluating the feasibility and advisability of repairing or replacing the monument at the Tomb of the Unknowns in Arlington National Cemetery, Virginia. The Senate amendment would prohibit either Secretary from taking any action to replace the monument until 180 days after the report had been submitted to Congress.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Increased authority for repair, restoration, and preservation of Lafayette Escadrille Memorial, Marnes-la-Coquette, France (sec. 2874)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1089) that would amend section 1065 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) to increase by $500,000 the amount the Secretary of the Air Force is authorized to contribute towards the ongoing repair, restoration, and preservation of the Lafayette Escadrille Memorial in Marnes-la-Coquette, France.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Addition of Woonsocket local protection project (sec. 2875)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2863) that would require the Secretary of the Army to assume responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the Woonsocket local protection project in Rhode Island.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment requiring the city of Woonsocket to convey the property to the Secretary of the Army at no cost as a condition of the Secretary's assumption of responsibility for the project.

Repeal of moratorium on improvements at Fort Buchanan, Puerto Rico (sec. 2876)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2868) that would repeal those aspects of the moratorium on construction at Fort Buchanan, Puerto Rico that remain in effect after exceptions to that moratorium were enacted in section 2871 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Establishment of national military working dog teams monument on suitable military installation (sec. 2877)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2863) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into an agreement with National War Dogs Monument, Inc., to establish and maintain, at a suitable location at Fort Belvoir, Virginia, or another military installation in the United States, a national monument to honor the sacrifice and service of United States Armed Forces working dog teams that have participated in military operations of the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report regarding removal of missiles from 564th Missile Squadron (sec. 2878)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the feasibility of establishing an association between the 120th Fighter Squadron of the Montana Air National Guard and active duty personnel stationed at Malmstrom Air Force Base. The provision would also prevent more than 40 missiles from being removed from the 564th Missile Squadron until 15 days after the report was submitted.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on condition of schools under jurisdiction of Department of Defense education activity (sec. 2879)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2861) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report, by March 1, 2008, on the condition of schools under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense Education Activity (DODEA).

This provision would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the standards for acceptable sizes and conditions of DODEA school facilities, to assess the existing inventory of facilities, to develop a master plan and investment strategy to correct deficiencies, and to submit this plan to the congressional defense committees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report on facilities and operations of Darnall Army Medical Center, Fort Hood Military Reservation, Texas (sec. 2880)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1047) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the facilities and operations of the Darnall Army Medical Center at Fort Hood Military Reservation, Texas, including a plan for correcting any deficiencies identified in the report.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on feasibility of establishing a regional disaster response center at Kelly Air Field, San Antonio, Texas (sec. 2881)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1080) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Homeland Security, to submit to Congress a report on the feasibility of establishing a national disaster response center at Kelly Air Field in San Antonio, Texas, to plan, coordinate, and direct the federal, State, and local response to regional disasters.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require a report on a regionally oriented disaster response center at Kelly Air Field. The amendment would also add findings on the need for increased disaster response capabilities and would make clarifying changes.

Naming housing facility at Fort Carson, Colorado, in honor of the Honorable Joel Hefley, a former member of the United States House of Representatives (sec. 2882)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2864) that would designate one of the military family housing areas or facilities constructed for Fort Carson, Colorado, using housing privatization authorities provided by subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, in honor of former Representative Joel Hefley.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Naming Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Center at Rock Island, Illinois, in honor of the Honorable Lane Evans, a former member of the United States House of Representatives (sec. 2883)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2865) that would designate the Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Center at Rock Island, Illinois, in honor of former Representative Lane Evans.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Naming a research laboratory at Air Force Rome Research Site, Rome, New York, in honor of the Honorable Sherwood L. Boehlert, a former member of the United States House of Representatives (sec. 2884)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2866) that would designate a new laboratory building at the Air Force Rome Research Site, Rome, New York, as the ''Sherwood L. Boehlert Engineering Center''.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Naming an administrative building at Joint Systems Manufacturing Center, Lima, Ohio, in honor of the Honorable Michael G. Oxley, a former member of the United States House of Representatives (sec. 2885)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2867) that would designate the administrative building under construction at the Joint Systems Manufacturing Center in Lima, Ohio as the ''Michael G. Oxley Administration and Technology Center''.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Naming of Logistics Automation Training Facility, Army Quartermaster Center and School, Fort Lee, Virginia, in honor of General Richard H. Thompson (sec. 2886)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2868) that would designate the Logistics Automation Training Facility of the Army Quartermaster Center and School at Fort Lee, Virginia, as the ''General Richard H. Thompson Logistics Automation Training Facility''.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority to relocate Joint Spectrum Center to Fort Meade, Maryland (sec. 2887)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2843) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out an agreement to relocate the Joint Spectrum Center (JSC) from Annapolis, Maryland to Fort Meade, Maryland or another military installation if the Secretary determined that the relocation would be in the best interest of national security and the agreement provided equitable terms to facilitate the relocation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would designate any new construction required as part of the agreement to be authorized in accordance with section 2802 of title 10, United States Code.

The conferees encourage the Department of Defense to initiate discussions with Anne Arundel county and their developer to attempt to reach consensus on equitable terms for such relocation.

The conferees recognize that critical missions at the JSC at Annapolis are being conducted in leased facilities that may not meet the anti-terrorism and force protection (AT–FP) standards adopted by the Department of Defense in 2005. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees by May 30, 2008, a report on the facility at Annapolis containing the following:

(1) the results of a security and vulnerability assessment for the facility;

(2) a description of the plan to ensure the facility meets all of the Department's AT–FP standards; and

(3) an analysis of the investment required for the facility to meet AT–FP standards.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

General military construction transfer authority

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2811) that would provide an authority to transfer up to $200.0 million in military construction authorizations between projects for fiscal year 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Modification of land management restrictions applicable to Utah national defense lands

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2862) that would sunset the restrictions contained in section 2815 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) on October 1, 2013, and would also clarify the definition of Utah national defense lands in that Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on opportunities for leveraging funds of the Department of Defense and States to prevent disruption in event of electric grid or pipeline failures

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2852) that would require the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on approaches by which the Department of Defense may leverage federal and State resources to harden critical infrastructure to prevent disruptions in the event of major electric grid, natural gas, or petroleum pipeline failures.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to submit a report on approaches by which the Department of Defense may contribute or receive funds and other resources, which when combined with resources from other funding sources, such as State System Benefit Trust Funds, Clean Air Act State Implementation Funds, and State Homeland Security Critical Infrastructure Grants, will accelerate efforts to harden critical functions on and around military and security facilities to prevent disruptions in the event of major electric grid, natural gas, or petroleum pipeline failures. This report should be submitted to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Report on water conservation projects

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2865) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on water conservation efforts and methods in the Department of Defense and the investment levels necessary to meet the Department's water conservation requirements under Executive Order 13423.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on this matter. That reporting requirement is contained elsewhere in the statement of managers.

Retention of proceeds from enhanced use leases at Selfridge Air National Guard Base

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2815) that would direct that all proceeds derived from the execution of an enhanced use lease (EUL) at Selfridge Air National Guard Base (ANGB), Michigan be retained by that installation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees understand that the proceeds of the proposed EUL at Selfridge ANGB are currently anticipated to be provided entirely on an in-kind basis rather than in cash. The conferees have been assured that the Air Force intends to use the proceeds from this lease at Selfridge ANGB and that if the benefit received by the Air Force ever exceeds what Selfridge ANGB could put to effective use, the remainder would be applied to other Air National Guard facilities within the State of Michigan.

TITLE XXIX—WAR RELATED AND EMERGENCY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXIX - WAR-RELATED MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

State/ Country	Account	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Armory - Regimental and Battalion HQ	5,160		5,160
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Armory - Intelligence Battalion	4,180		4,180
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Armory - 5th Marine Regiment	10,890		10,890
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Bachelor Quarters and Armory - Horno	34,970		34,970
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Bachelor Quarters and Dining Facility	24,390		24,390
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Company HQ - Military Police	8,240		8,240
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Explosive Ordnance Detachment - Ops Facility	13,090		13,090
California	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON	Intelligence Surveillance Reconnaissance Camp	1,114		1,114
California	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Regimental Headquarters Addition	4,440		4,440
COLORADO	Army	FORT CARSON	SOLDIER FAMILY ASSISTANCE CENTER	8,100		8,100
GEORGIA	Army	FORT STEWART	SOLDIER FAMILY ASSISTANCE CENTER	6,000		6,000
KANSAS	Army	FORT RILEY	TRANSITIONING WARRIOR SUPPORT COMPLEX	50,000		50,000
KENTUCKY	Army	FORT CAMPBELL	SOLDIER FAMILY ASSISTANCE CENTER	7,400		7,400
LOUISIANA	Army	FORT POLK	SOLIDER FAMILY ASSISTANCE CENTER	4,900		4,900
NEW YORK	Army	FORT DRUM	WARRIOR IN TRANSITION FACILITIES	38,000		38,000
North Carolina	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE	Maintenance/Operations Complex-2/9	43,340		43,340
TEXAS	Army	FORT HOOD	WIT UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	9,100		9,100
TEXAS	Defense-Wide	FORT SAM HOUSTON	BURN REHABILITATION CENTER	21,000		21,000
Afghanistan	Army	BAGRAM AB	ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING			0
Afghanistan	Army	BAGRAM AB	Ammunition Supply Point	62,000		62,000
Afghanistan	Army	BAGRAM AB	Power Plant	41,000		41,000
AFGHANISTAN	Army	BAGRAM AIR BASE	NEW ROADS	27,000		27,000
AFGHANISTAN	Army	BAGRAM AIR BASE	BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 3	23,000		23,000
AFGHANISTAN	Army	BAGRAM AIR BASE	BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 4	21,000		21,000
AFGHANISTAN	Army	BAGRAM AIR BASE	CIED ROAD - RTE ALASKA	16,500		16,500
AFGHANISTAN	Army	BAGRAM AIR BASE	CIED ROAD - RTE CONNECTICUT	54,000		54,000
AFGHANISTAN	Army	BAGRAM AIR BASE	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	5,100		5,100
AFGHANISTAN	Air Force	BAGRAM AIR BASE	STRATEGIC RAMP	43,000		43,000
AFGHANISTAN	Air Force	BAGRAM AIR BASE	PARALLEL TAXIWAY PH 2	21,400		21,400
AFGHANISTAN	Air Force	BAGRAM AIR BASE	EAST SIDE HELO RAMP	44,400		44,400
AFGHANISTAN	Army	GHAZNI	ROTARY WING PARKING	5,000		5,000
AFGHANISTAN	Army	KABUL	CONSOLIDATED COMPOUND	36,000		36,000
AFGHANISTAN	Air Force	KANDAHAR	ISR RAMP	26,300		26,300

TITLE XXIX - WAR-RELATED MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

State/ Country	Account	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
DJIBOUTI	Navy	CAMP LEMONIER	NETWORK INFRASTRUCTURE EXPANSION	6,270		6,270
DJIBOUTI	Navy	CAMP LEMONIER	DINING FACILITY	20,780	-20,780	0
DJIBOUTI	Navy	CAMP LEMONIER	CJTF HOA HQ FACILITY	29,710	-29,710	0
DJIBOUTI	Navy	CAMP LEMONIER	WATER PRODUCTION	19,140		19,140
Iraq	Army	CAMP ADDER	Power Plant	39,000	-39,000	0
Iraq	Army	CAMP ADDER	Petroluem Oil & Lubricant Storage Area	10,000		10,000
Iraq	Army	CAMP ADDER	Waste Water Treatment and Collection System	9,800		9,800
Iraq	Army	CAMP ADDER	Multi Class Storage Warehouse	17,000		17,000
Iraq	Army	CAMP ADDER	Entry Control Point	4,850		4,850
IRAQ	Army	CAMP ADDER	CONVOY SUPPORT CENTER RELOCATION, PH II	39,000		39,000
Iraq	Army	AL ASAD	Power Plant	40,000	-40,000	0
Iraq	Army	AL ASAD	Landfill Construction	3,100		3,100
Iraq	Army	AL ASAD	Urban By Pass Road	43,000		43,000
IRAQ	Army	AL ASAD	HOT CARGO RAMP	18,500		18,500
IRAQ	Army	AL ASAD	SOUTH AIRFIELD APRON (INDIA RAMP)	28,000		28,000
Iraq	Army	CAMP ANACONDA	Landfill Construction	6,200		6,200
Iraq	Army	CAMP ANACONDA	Power Plant	39,000	-39,000	0
Iraq	Army	CAMP ANACONDA	Urban By Pass Road	43,000		43,000
IRAQ	Army	CAMP ANACONDA	HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATOR	4,300		4,300
IRAQ	Air Force	BALAD AIR BASE	HELICOPTER MAINTENANCE FACS	34,600		34,600
IRAQ	Air Force	BALAD AIR BASE	FOXTROT TAXIWAY	12,700		12,700
IRAQ	Air Force	BALAD AIR BASE	FIGHTER RAMP	11,000		11,000
IRAQ	Army	CAMP CONSTITUTION	JUVENILE TIFRIC	11,700		11,700
IRAQ	Army	CAMP CROPPER	BRICK FACTORY	9,500		9,500
Iraq	Army	FALLUJAH	Landfill Construction	880		880
Iraq	Army	CAMP MAREZ	Landfill Construction	880		880
Iraq	Army	MOSUL	Urban By Pass Road	43,000		43,000
Iraq	Army	Q-WEST	Power Plant	26,000	-26,000	0
IRAQ	Army	Q-WEST	NORTH ENTRY CONTROL POINT	11,400		11,400
IRAQ	Army	Q-WEST	PERIMETER SECURITY UPRADE	14,600		14,600
Iraq	Army	CAMP RAMADI	Landfill Construction	880		880
Iraq	Army	SCANIA	Entry Control Point	5,000		5,000
IRAQ	Army	SCANIA	WATER STORAGE TANKS	9,200		9,200
Iraq	Army	CAMP SPEICHER	Power Plant	39,000	-39,000	0

TITLE XXIX - WAR-RELATED MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

State/ Country	Account	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Iraq	Army	CAMP SPEICHER	Landfill Construction	5,900		5,900
Iraq	Army	CAMP SPEICHER	Waste Water Treatment and Collection System	9,800		9,800
Iraq	Army	CAMP SPEICHER	Rotary Wing Parking Apron	49,000		49,000
IRAQ	Army	CAMP SPEICHER	MILITARY CONTROL POINT	5,800		5,800
IRAQ	Army	CAMP SPEICHER	AVIATION NAVIGATION FACILITIES	13,400		13,400
Iraq	Army	CAMP TAQQADUM	Landfill Construction	880		880
Iraq	Army	TIKRIT	Urban By Pass Road	43,000		43,000
Iraq	Army	CAMP VICTORY	Landfill Construction	6,200		6,200
Iraq	Army	CAMP VICTORY	Entry Control Point	5,000		5,000
Iraq	Army	CAMP VICTORY	Level 3 Hospital	13,400		13,400
Iraq	Army	CAMP VICTORY	Waste Water Treatment and Collection System	9,800		9,800
IRAQ	Army	CAMP VICTORY	WATER SUPPLY, TREATMENT & STORAGE, PH III	13,000		13,000
IRAQ	Army	CAMP VICTORY	WATER TREATMENT AND STORAGE, PHASE II	18,000		18,000
Iraq	Army	CAMP WARRIOR	Landfill Construction	880		880
Iraq	Army	IRAQ VARIOUS	Facilities Replacement, Phase I	36,000		36,000
Iraq	Army	IRAQ VARIOUS	Facilities Replacement, Phase II	36,000		36,000
Iraq	Army	IRAQ VARIOUS	Overhead Cover- eGlass	30,000		30,000
IRAQ	Army	VARIOUS LOCATIONS, IRAQ	E-GLASS OVERHEAD COVER, PHASE IV	105,000		105,000
KYRGYZSTAN	Air Force	MANAS AIR BASE	STRATEGIC RAMP	30,300		30,300
KUWAIT	Army	CAMP ARIFJAN	COMMUNICATION CENTER	30,000		30,000
OMAN	Air Force	MASIRAH AIR BASE	EXPEDITIONARY BEDDOWN SITE	6,300	-6,300	0
QATAR	Air Force	AL UDEID	FACILITY REPLACMENTS	40,000	-40,000	0
QATAR	Defense-Wide	AL UDEID	LOGISTICS STORAGE WAREHOUSE	6,600		6,600
Worldwide Unspecified	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Planning and Design (February/October requests)	64,200		64,200
WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING AND DESIGN - WIT	14,600		14,600
Worldwide Unspecified	Navy	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	Planning and Design (February/October requests)	11,791		11,791
WORLDWIDE UNSPEC	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE	PLANNING & DESIGN	35,000		35,000
California	Fam House Con, N	CAMP PENDLETON	Improvements	10,692		10,692
California	Fam House Con, N	TWENTYNINE PALMS	Improvements	1,074		1,074

TITLE XXIX - WAR-RELATED MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

State/ Country	Account	Installation	Project Title	FY2008 Budget Request	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
MARYLAND	BRAC05 - Defense Wid	BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL	MED-2: Add/Alter Medical Center Increment 1	205,200		205,200
VIRGINIA	BRAC05 - Defense Wid	FORT BELVOIR	MED-2: Add/Alter Medical Center Increment II	184,000		184,000
MARYLAND	BRAC05 - Defense Wid	BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL	MED-2: Planning and Design	3,500		3,500
VIRGINIA	BRAC05 - Defense Wid	FORT BELVOIR	MED-2: Planning and Design	4,000		4,000
MARYLAND	BRAC05 - Defense Wid	BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL	MED-2: Operation and Maintenance	10,210		10,210
VIRGINIA	BRAC05 - Defense Wid	FORT BELVOIR	MED-2: Operation and Maintenance	9,000		9,000
			TOTAL TITLE XXIX	2,438,531	-279,790	2,158,741

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2901)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1515) that would authorize $526.5 million in fiscal year 2008 for Army military construction projects related to operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2901) that would authorize $752.7 million for such projects.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize $1.26 billion in fiscal year 2008 for Army military construction projects.

The conference agreement includes funding for additional projects not included in the House bill or the Senate amendment that were requested by the President in his budget amendment submitted on October 22, 2007.

The conferees agree to the reductions in the House bill to power plants proposed for construction in Iraq. The conferees do not believe such projects, which would provide mainly long-term benefits or return on investment, should be included in the absence of any agreement for a long-term United States presence in Iraq.

The conferees also agree to prohibit the obligation of funds for a communication center at Camp Arifjan, Kuwait and a brick factory at Camp Cropper, Iraq until the Secretary of Defense provides a report to the congressional defense committees describing the rationale for and policy implications of these projects. The conferees note that the legal implications of having detainees under the control of the United States performing labor in a brick factory have not been explained to Congress to date.

Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2902)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1515) that would authorize $169.1 million in fiscal year 2008 for Navy military construction projects to support increasing the size of the Marine Corps inside the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision, but included funding for those projects in title XXII.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize $198.8 million in fiscal year 2008 for Navy military construction projects.

The conference agreement includes funding for additional projects not included in the House bill or the Senate amendment that were requested by the President in his budget amendment submitted on October 22, 2007.

The conferees did not authorize funding requested for two projects in Djibouti. The conferees share the concerns expressed in the Senate report accompanying the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2008, that large investments in military construction in Djibouti are not justified at this time given the absence of a strategy for the new Africa Command or a long-term lease for the land these facilities in Djibouti would be built on. Furthermore, the conferees note that the determination to place a project in a supplemental request should not be determined by the location of the installation, but rather on the enduring nature of the investment. Considering Djibouti's long-term strategic interest to the United States and the size of the investment proposed, the Department of Defense should generally insert future military construction projects for Djibouti into the normal base budget request. The conferees urge the Department to address these concerns and resubmit these projects in a future budget request, if appropriate.

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2903)

The conferees agree to a provision that would authorize $258.7 million in military construction projects for the Air Force in support of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The House and Senate bills included no similar provisions.

The conference agreement includes funding for additional projects that were requested by the President in his budget amendment submitted on October 22, 2007.

The conferees have not authorized funding of $40.0 million requested for replacement of expeditionary facilities at Al Udeid Air Base, Qatar. The conferees are concerned that permanent facilities for the same purpose were constructed and completed in March 2007 from amounts provided in 2004 for emergency supplemental appropriations, but currently cannot be inhabited due to a lack of electricity. The conferees note that the Department of the Air Force may be in violation of section 2801 of title 10, United States Code, which states, ''a military construction project includes all construction work, or any contribution authorized by this chapter, necessary to produce a complete and useable facility. . .'' The conferees note that the Air Force predicts that the new dormitories, dining halls, and support facilities at Al Udeid Air Base may not be ready for occupancy until March 2008, and may require the use of portable electrical generators at significant further expense to the Air Force. The conferees direct the Secretary of the Air Force to ensure the current facilities are complete and useable with a permanent power source before requesting any further authorizations for new facilities at Al Udeid Air Base, Qatar.

The conferees have not authorized funding of $6.3 million requested to relocate an expeditionary force site at Masirah Island Air Base, Oman. The conferees note that the project is required to relocate a current expeditionary site per the request of the host nation, and that according to the budget justification documents for this project, the ''current U.S. mission requirements dictate the need for planned troop beddowns in the near term at Masirah Island.'' The conferees note that other statutory authorities exist to permit field commanders to meet such operational requirements.

Authorized defense agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2904)

The conferees agree to a provision that would authorize $27.6 million in military construction projects for the defense agencies in support of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan and to provide facilities for the treatment of wounded service members.

The House and Senate bills included no similar provisions.

The conference agreement includes funding for additional projects that were requested by the President in his budget amendment submitted on October 22, 2007.

Authorized base closure and realignment activities funded through Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 and related authorization of appropriations (sec. 2905)

The conferees agree to a provision that would authorize $415.9 million for military construction projects, planning, and design, and operation and maintenance for base closure activities related to the construction of new medical facilities at the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, and Fort Belvoir, Virginia.

The House bill and Senate amendment included no similar provisions.

This funding was requested by the President in his budget amendment submitted to Congress on October 22, 2007 and is in addition to the funding for these same projects authorized in title XXVII of this Act, which reflects the original February budget request.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

Overview

Title XXXI authorizes appropriations for atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2008, including: the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment; research and development; nuclear weapons activities; nuclear nonproliferation activities; naval nuclear propulsion; environmental cleanup; operating expenses; and other expenses necessary to carry out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (Public Law 95–91). This title authorizes appropriations in five categories: (1) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA); (2) defense environmental cleanup; (3) other defense activities; (4) defense nuclear waste disposal; and (5) energy security and assurance.

The budget request for atomic energy defense activities at the Department included $15.9 billion for atomic energy defense activities, a less than 1 percent increase above the fiscal year 2007 operating plan level. Of the total amount requested:

(1) $9.4 billion is for NNSA, of which

(a) $6.5 billion is for weapons activities,

(b) $1.7 billion is for defense nuclear nonproliferation activities, including $50.0 million for fiscal year 2008 war-related funding,

(c) $808.2 million is for naval reactors, and

(d) $394.7 million is for the Office of the Administrator;

(2) $5.4 billion is for defense environmental cleanup;

(3) $764.0 million is for other defense activities; and

(4) $292.0 million is for defense nuclear waste disposal.

The budget request also included $5.9 million for energy security and assurance within energy supply.

The conferees agree to authorize $16.1 billion for atomic energy defense activities, an increase of $193.3 million above the budget request.

Of this amount, the conferees agree to authorize:

(1) $9.6 billion for NNSA, of which

(a) $6.5 billion would be for weapons activities, a decrease of $45.7 million below the budget request,

(b) $2.0 billion would be for defense nuclear nonproliferation, an increase of $230.0 million above the budget request,

(c) $808.2 million would be for naval reactors, the amount of the budget request, and

(d) $400.0 million would be for the Office of the Administrator, an increase of $5.0 million above the budget request;

(2) $5.4 billion would be for defense environmental cleanup activities, an increase of $4.0 million above the budget request;

(3) $764.0 million would be for other defense activities, the amount of the budget request; and

(4) $292.0 million would be for defense nuclear waste disposal, the amount of the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize $5.9 million for energy security and assurance, the amount of the budget request.

The following table summarizes the budget request and the authorizations:

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Discretionary Summary By Appropriation					
Appropriation Summary:					
Energy supply and conservation	5,860	6,000			5,860
Atomic Energy Defense Activities					
National nuclear security administration:					
Weapons activities	6,511,312	6,511,312	6,472,172	-45,738	6,465,574
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	1,722,646	1,817,646	1,859,646	230,000	1,952,646
Naval reactors	808,219	808,219	808,219		808,219
Office of the administrator	394,656	399,656	399,656	5,000	399,656
Total, National nuclear security administration	9,436,833	9,536,833	9,539,693	189,262	9,626,095
Environmental and other defense activities:					
Defense environmental cleanup	5,363,905	5,363,905	5,410,905	4,000	5,367,905
Other defense activities	763,974	763,974	663,074		763,974
Defense nuclear waste disposal	292,046	292,046	242,046		292,046
Total, Environmental & other defense activities	6,419,925	6,419,925	6,316,025	4,000	6,423,925
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	15,856,758	15,956,758	15,855,718	193,262	16,050,020
Total, Discretionary Funding	15,862,618	15,962,758	15,855,718	193,262	16,055,880

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Reques	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Energy Supply And Conservation					
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability					
Operations and analysis					
Infrastructure security & energy restoration	5,860	6,000			5,860
Weapons Activities					
Directed stockpile work					
Life extension programs					
B61 Life extension program	63,115	58,915	63,115		63,115
W76 Life extension program	175,571	175,571	115,571		175,571
W80 Life extension program					
Total, Life extension programs	238,686	234,486	178,686		238,686
Stockpile systems					
B61 Stockpile systems	75,091	75,091	75,091		75,091
W62 Stockpile systems	2,153	2,153	2,153		2,153
W76 Stockpile systems	69,238	69,238	69,238		69,238
W78 Stockpile systems	38,991	38,991	38,991		38,991
W80 Stockpile systems	32,372	32,372	32,372		32,372
B83 Stockpile systems	25,012	25,012	25,012		25,012
W84 Stockpile systems					
W87 Stockpile systems	57,147	57,147	57,147		57,147
W88 Stockpile systems	46,713	46,713	46,713		46,713
Total, Stockpile systems	346,717	346,717	346,717		346,717
Reliable replacement warhead	88,769	68,769	195,069	-22,769	66,000
Weapons dismantlement and disposition	52,250	52,250	72,250	20,000	72,250

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Reques	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Stockpile services					
Production support	284,979	284,979	284,979		284,979
Research and development support	33,329	33,329	33,329		33,329
R&D certification and safety	181,984	181,984	181,984		181,984
Management, technology, and production	205,576	205,576	205,576		205,576
Responsive infrastructure	14,946	14,946	14,946	-14,946	
Total, Stockpile services	720,814	720,814	720,814	-14,946	705,868
Total, Directed stockpile work	1,447,236	1,423,036	1,513,536	-17,715	1,429,521
Campaigns:					
Science campaign					
Primary assessment technologies	63,527	63,527	63,527		63,527
Dynamic materials properties	98,014	98,014	98,014		98,014
Advanced radiography	30,995	30,995	30,995		30,995
Secondary assessment technologies	80,539	80,539	80,539		80,539
Test readiness					
Total, Science campaign	273,075	273,075	273,075		273,075
Engineering campaign					
Enhanced surety	24,803	24,803	5,503		24,803
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology	19,691	19,691	6,491		19,691
Nuclear survivability	8,813	8,813	3,213		8,813
Enhanced surveillance	80,614	84,628	32,314		80,614
Engineering campaign construction activities					
MESA, other project costs	7,630	7,630	7,630		7,630
Construction:					
01-D-108 Microsystem & engineering science applications (MESA), Sandia National Laboratories,	11,198	11,198	11,198		11,198
Total, Engineering campaign construction activities	18,828	18,828	18,828		18,828
Total, Engineering campaign	152,749	156,763	66,349		152,749

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign					
Ignition	97,537	97,537	99,537	3,300	100,837
Support of stockpile programs					
NIF diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	67,935	67,935	69,609	2,500	70,435
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	10,440	10,440	10,440		10,440
University grants/other ICF support					
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas	3,213	3,213	3,213		3,213
Facility operations and target production	86,083	86,083	92,083	9,300	95,383
Inertial fusion technology					
NIF assembly and installation	136,912	136,912	136,912		136,912
High-energy petawatt laser development					
Program increase		15,100			
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign	**402,120**	**417,220**	**411,794**	**15,100**	**417,220**
Construction:					
96-D-111 National ignition facility (NIF), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA	10,139	10,139	10,139		10,139
Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign	**412,259**	**427,359**	**421,933**	**15,100**	**427,359**
Advanced simulation and computing campaign					
Operations and maintenance	585,738	590,738	585,738	5,000	590,738
Pit manufacturing and certification					
Pit manufacturing	155,838	155,838	155,838		155,838
Pit certification	45,999	45,999	37,999		45,999
Pit manufacturing capability	54,479	54,479	49,479		54,479
Modern pit facility					
Pit campaign support activities at NTS					
Consolidated plutonium center other project cost (OPC)	24,914			-24,914	
Total, Pit manufacturing and certification	**281,230**	**256,316**	**243,316**	**-24,914**	**256,316**

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Reques	House Authorized	Senate Authortze	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Readiness Campaign					
Stockpile readiness	18,924	18,924	18,924		18,924
High explosives and weapon operations	9,835	9,835	9,835		9,835
Non-nuclear readiness	25,592	25,592	25,592		25,592
Tritium readiness					
Operations and maintenance	73,231	73,231	73,231		73,231
Total, Tritium readiness	73,231	73,231	73,231		73,231
Advanced design and production technologies	33,587	33,587	33,587		33,587
Total, Readiness campaign	161,169	161,169	161,169		161,169
Total, Campaigns	1,866,220	1,866,420	1,751,580	-4,814	1,861,406
Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF)					
Operations of facilities					
Operations of facilities	1,159,305	1,159,305	1,159,305		1,159,305
Operations of facilities					
Kansas City Plant					
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory					
Los Alamos National Laboratory					
Nevada Test Site					
Pantex		15,000	36,800	36,800	36,800
Sandia National Laboratory					
Savannah River Site					
Y-12 Production Plant		10,000		10,000	10,000
Institutional site support				-4,009	-4,009
Total, Operations of facilities		25,000	36,800	42,791	42,791
Total, Operations of facilities	1,159,305	1,184,305	1,196,105	42,791	1,202,096
Program readiness	71,466	71,466	71,466		71,466
Material recycle and recovery	69,962	69,962	69,962		69,962
Containers	19,184	19,184	19,184		19,184
Storage	35,133	35,133	35,133		35,133
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,355,050	1,380,050	1,391,850		1,397,841

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Construction:					
08-D-801 High pressure fire loop (HPFL) Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	7,000	7,000	7,000		7,000
08-D-802 High explosive pressing facility Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	25,300	25,300	25,300		25,300
08-D-804 TA-55 Reinvestment project Los Almos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	6,000	6,000	6,000		6,000
07-D-140 Project engineering and design (PED) various locations	2,500	2,500	2,500		2,500
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, Los Alamos National Los Alamos, NM	26,672	26,672	26,672		26,672
06-D-140 Project engineering design (PED) various locations	23,862	23,862	23,862		23,862
06-D-402 NTS replace fire stations 1 & 2 Nevada Test Site, NV	6,719	6,719	6,719		6,719
05-D-140 Project engineering design various locations	7,000	7,000	7,000		7,000
04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy facility replacement project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	95,586	95,586	45,586		95,586

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
04-D-128 TA-18 Criticality experiments facility (CEF), Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM and Nevada Test Site, NV	29,455	29,455	29,455		29,455
01-D-124 HEU materials facility, Y-12 National Security Complex,Oak Ridge, TN	77,000	77,000	77,000		77,000
Total, Construction	307,094	307,094	257,094		307,094
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,662,144	1,687,144	1,648,944	42,791	1,704,935
Secure transportation asset					
Operations and equipment	130,845	130,845	130,845		130,845
Program direction	84,801	84,801	84,801		84,801
Total, Secure transportation asset	215,646	215,646	215,646		215,646
Nuclear weapons incident response	161,748	161,748	171,748		161,748
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program					
Operation and maintenance	231,023	231,023	231,023	-31,000	200,023
Construction					
08-D-601 Mercury highway Nevada Test Site, NV	7,800	7,800	7,800		7,800
08-D-602 Potable water system upgrades Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN	22,500	22,500	22,500		22,500
07-D-253 TA 1 heating systems modernization (HSM) Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM	13,000	13,000	13,000		13,000
06-D-601 Electrical distribution system upgrade, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	2,500	2,500	2,500		2,500

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
06-D-602 Gas main and distribution system upgrade, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	1,900	1,900	1,900		1,900
06-D-603 Steam plant life extension project (SLEP), Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN	15,020	15,020	15,020		15,020
Total, Construction	62,720	62,720	62,720		62,720
Total, Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	293,743	293,743	293,743	-31,000	262,743
Environmental projects and operations					
Long term response actions					
Long term stewardship	17,518	17,518	17,518		17,518
Total, Environmental projects and operations	17,518	17,518	17,518		17,518
Safeguards and security					
Defense nuclear security					
Operations and maintenance	721,318	721,318	783,718	20,000	741,318
Construction:					
08-D-701 Nuclear materials S&S upgrade project, Los Almos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	49,496	49,496	49,496		49,496
05-D-170 Project engineering and design, various locations	8,000	8,000	8,000		8,000
Total, Construction	57,496	57,496	57,496		57,496
Total, Defense nuclear security	778,814	778,814	841,214	20,000	798,814
Cybersecurity	102,243	102,243	102,243		102,243
Total, Safeguards and security	881,057	881,057	943,457	20,000	901,057
Subtotal, Weapons Activities	6,545,312	6,545,312	6,556,172	9,262	6,554,574

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Adjustments					
Use of prior year unobligated balances			-50,000	-55,000	-55,000
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-34,000	-34,000	-34,000		-34,000
Transfer to Office of the Administrator					
Total, Adjustments	-34,000	-34,000	-84,000	-55,000	-89,000
Total, Weapons Activities	6,511,312	6,511,312	6,472,172	-45,738	6,465,574
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation					
Nonproliferation and verification R&D					
Operations and maintenance	265,252	280,252	315,252	50,000	315,252
Total, Nonproliferation & verification R&D	265,252	280,252	315,252	50,000	315,252
Nonproliferation and international security	124,870	147,870	137,870	13,000	137,870
International nuclear materials protection and cooperation	371,771	401,771	381,771	30,000	401,771
Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program	181,593	181,593	195,593	10,000	191,593
Fissile materials disposition	215,685	215,685	215,685		215,685
Construction:					
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River, SC	60,000	60,000	60,000		60,000
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	333,849	333,849	333,849		333,849
Total, Construction	393,849	393,849	393,849		393,849
Total, Fissile materials disposition	609,534	609,534	609,534		609,534

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Global threat reduction initiative	119,626	196,626	119,626	77,000	196,626
International nuclear materials protection and cooperation FY08 war-	30,000		30,000		30,000
Global threat reduction initiative FY08 war-related	20,000		20,000		20,000
IAEA Nuclear Fuel Bank			50,000	50,000	50,000
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,722,646	1,817,646	1,859,646	230,000	1,952,646
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,722,646	1,817,646	1,859,646	230,000	1,952,646
Naval Reactors					
Naval reactors development					
Operation and maintenance	765,519	765,519	765,519		765,519
Total, Operation and maintenance	765,519	765,519	765,519		765,519
Construction:					
08-D-901 Shipping and receiving and warehouse complex (SRWC), Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory (BAPL),	9,000	9,000	9,000		9,000
08-D-190 Project engineering and design Expended Core Facility M-290 recovering discharge station, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	550	550	550		550
07-D-190 Materials research technology complex (MRTC), Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory (BAPL), Pittsl	450	450	450		450
Total, Construction	10,000	10,000	10,000		10,000
Total, Naval reactors development	775,519	775,519	775,519		775,519
Program direction	32,700	32,700	32,700		32,700
Total, Naval Reactors	808,219	808,219	808,219		808,219

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Office Of The Administrator					
Office of the administrator	394,656	399,656	399,656	5,000	399,656
Total, Office Of The Administrator	394,656	399,656	399,656	5,000	399,656
Defense Environmental Cleanup					
Closure sites:					
Ashtabula	295	295	295		295
Columbus					
Closure sites administration	11,834	11,834	11,834		11,834
Fernald					
Miamisburg	30,308	30,308	30,308		30,308
Rocky Flats					
Total, Closure sites	42,437	42,437	42,437		42,437
Hanford site:					
2012 completion projects					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition					
Plutonium finishing plant (PFP)	98,002	98,002	98,002		98,002
SNF stabilization and disposition	99,815	99,815	99,815		99,815
Nuclear facility D&D river corridor closure project	215,221	215,221	215,221		215,221
Solid waste stabilization and disposition					
Total, 2012 completion projects	413,038	413,038	413,038		413,038

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
2035 completion projects					
Solid waste stabilization and disposition 2035	236,788	236,788	236,788		236,788
Soil and water remediation - groundwater vadose zone	105,552	105,552	105,552		105,552
Nuclear facility D&D - remainder of Hanford	98,753	98,753	98,753		98,753
Operate waste disposal facility	3,329	3,329	3,329		3,329
SNF stabilization and disposition/storage					
Richland community and regulatory support	19,620	19,620	19,620		19,620
Total, 2035 completion projects	464,042	464,042	464,042		464,042
Total, Hanford site	877,080	877,080	877,080		877,080
Office of River Protection:					
Waste treatment and immobilization plant					
Construction:					
01-D-416 Waste treatment and immobilization plant					
01-D-16A Low activity waste facility	143,000	143,000	143,000		143,000
01-D-16B Analytical laboratory	45,000	45,000	45,000		45,000
01-D-16C Balance of facilities	72,000	72,000	72,000		72,000
01-D-16D High level waste facility	177,000	177,000	177,000		177,000
01-D-16E Pretreatment facility	253,000	253,000	253,000		253,000
Total, Construction	690,000	690,000	690,000		690,000
Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant	690,000	690,000	690,000		690,000
Tank farm activities					
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	272,972	272,972	272,972		272,972
River protection community and regulatory support	471	471	471		471
Total, Tank farm activities	273,443	273,443	273,443		273,443
Total, Office of River protection	963,443	963,443	963,443		963,443

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Idaho National Laboratory:					
SNF stabilization and disposition/storage					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	2,250	2,250	2,250		2,250
SNF stabilization and disposition - 2012	29,188	29,188	29,188		29,188
Solid waste stabilization and disposition	168,623	168,623	168,623		168,623
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	61,616	61,616	61,616		61,616
Construction					
06-D-401 Sodium bearing waste treatment project, Idaho	112,800	112,800	112,800		112,800
Total, Construction	112,800	112,800	112,800		112,800
Soil and water remediation - 2012	112,389	112,389	112,389		112,389
Nuclear facility D & D	13,373	13,373	13,373		13,373
Non-nuclear facility D & D					
Idaho community and regulatory support	3,787	3,787	3,787		3,787
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	504,026	504,026	504,026		504,026
NNSA sites					
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	8,680	8,680	8,680		8,680
NNSA Service Center	29,096	29,096	29,096		29,096
Nevada	81,106	81,106	81,106		81,106
Kansas City Plant					
California site support	370	370	370		370
Pantex	12,411	12,411	12,411		12,411
Sandia National Laboratories					
Nevada off-sites					
Los Alamos National Laboratory	139,467	139,467	139,467		139,467
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	271,130	271,130	271,130		271,130

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Reques	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Oak Ridge Reservation:					
Solid waste stabilization and completion - 2006					
Soil and water remediation - Melton Valley					
Solid waste stabilization and disposition - 2012	72,285	72,285	72,285		72,285
Soil and water remediation - offsites	6,379	6,379	6,379		6,379
Nuclear facility D & D, East Tennessee technology park	3,353	3,353	3,353		3,353
Nuclear facility D & D, Y-12 National Security Complex	19,855	19,855	19,855		19,855
Nuclear facility D & D, Oak Ridge National Laboratory	51,446	51,446	51,446		51,446
Solid waste stabilization and disposition					
Science current generation					
Solid waste stabilization and disposition					
OR reservation community and regulatory support	5,966	5,966	5,966		5,966
Building 3019	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	179,284	179,284	179,284		179,284
Savannah River sites:					
2012 completion projects					
Nuclear facility D&D					
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition					
Construction:					
04-D-423 Container surveillance capability in 105K	31,000	31,000	31,000		31,000
Total, Construction	31,000	31,000	31,000		31,000
Total, 2012 completion projects	31,000	31,000	31,000		31,000
2035 completion projects					
SNF stabilization, disposition/storage					
SR community and regulatory support	12,500	12,500	12,500		12,500
Nuclear material stabilization and disposition	311,811	311,811	311,811		311,811
Spent nuclear fuel sabilization and disposition	31,133	31,133	31,133		31,133
Solid waste stabilization and disposition	61,528	61,528	77,528		61,528
Soil and water remediation	75,191	75,191	75,191		75,191
Nuclear facility D&D	2,908	2,908	23,908		2,908

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorized	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Construction:					
08-D-414 Project engineering and design Plutonium Vitrification Facility, VL	15,000	15,000	15,000	-6,000	9,000
Total, Construction	15,000	15,000	15,000	-6,000	9,000
Total, 2035 completion projects	510,071	510,071	547,071	-6,000	504,071
Tank farm activities					
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	524,018	524,018	524,018		524,018
Construction:					
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River	131,000	131,000	131,000		131,000
03-D-414 Salt waste processing facility PED, Savannah River	10,001	10,001	10,001		10,001
Total, Construction	141,001	141,001	141,001		141,001
Total, Tank farm activities	665,019	665,019	665,019		665,019
Total, Savannah River site	1,206,090	1,206,090	1,243,090	-6,000	1,200,090
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant					
Waste isolation pilot plant	133,018	133,018	133,018		133,018
Central characterization project	32,898	32,898	32,898		32,898
Transportation	27,134	27,134	27,134		27,134
Community and regulatory support	26,689	26,689	26,689		26,689
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	219,739	219,739	219,739		219,739
Program direction	309,760	309,760	309,760		309,760
Program support	33,146	33,146	33,146		33,146

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Safeguards and Security:					
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,927	4,927	4,927		4,927
Oak Ridge Reservation	18,490	18,490	18,490		18,490
Fernald					
West Valley	1,600	1,600	1,600		1,600
Paducah					
Portsmouth	11,667	11,667	11,667		11,667
Richland/Hanford Site	87,297	87,297	87,297		87,297
Savannah River Site	149,400	149,400	149,400		149,400
Total, Safeguards and Security	273,381	273,381	273,381		273,381
Technology development	21,389	21,389	31,389	10,000	31,389
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	463,000	463,000	463,000		463,000
Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup	5,363,905	5,363,905	5,410,905	4,000	5,367,905
Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,363,905	5,363,905	5,410,905	4,000	5,367,905
Other Defense Activities					
Health, safety and security					
Health, safety and security	329,305	329,305	327,405		329,305
Program direction	100,043	100,043	100,043		100,043
Total, Health, safety and security	429,348	429,348	427,448		429,348

Department of Energy National Security Programs
(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2008 Request	House Authorized	Senate Authorize	Conference Change	Conference Authorized
Office of Legacy Management					
Legacy management	148,063	148,063	148,063		148,063
Program direction	11,000	11,000	11,000		11,000
Total, Office of Legacy Management	159,063	159,063	159,063		159,063
Nuclear energy					
Infrastructure					
Idaho facilities management					
INL infrastructure O&M					
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security	75,949	75,949	75,949		75,949
Total, Infrastructure	75,949	75,949	75,949		75,949
Total, Nuclear energy	75,949	75,949	75,949		75,949
Defense related administrative support	99,000	99,000			99,000
Office of hearings and appeals	4,607	4,607	4,607		4,607
Subtotal, Other defense activities	767,967	767,967	667,067		767,967
Adjustments:					
Use of prior year balances	-990	-990	-990		-990
Less security charge for reimbursable work (NE)	-3,003	-3,003	-3,003		-3,003
Total, Adjustments	-3,993	-3,993	-3,993		-3,993
Total, Other Defense Activities	763,974	763,974	663,074		763,974
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal					
Defense nuclear waste disposal	292,046	292,046	242,046		292,046

ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST

International Atomic Energy Agency nuclear fuel bank

The conferees support the creation of an international nuclear fuel bank under the auspices of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Some countries—including Iran—have justified the need for developing their own uranium enrichment and reprocessing facilities, which could make nuclear reactor fuel or nuclear weapons-usable materials, based on the claim that they could not trust foreign countries to reliably supply nuclear fuel for their reactors. The creation of international mechanisms to supplement the international nuclear fuel market could remove the need for national enrichment and reprocessing capabilities in states that do not currently possess them, and support global nonproliferation efforts and discourage new countries from developing national uranium enrichment facilities.

The conferees note that the House has already passed H.R. 885, the International Nuclear Fuel for Peace and Nonproliferation Act, and note that the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations has reported S. 1138, the Nuclear Safeguards and Supply Act of 2007. Conferees note that additional work will be required in order to provide appropriate guidance to the executive branch regarding criteria for access by foreign countries to any fuel bank established at the IAEA with materials or funds provided by the United States.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize $9.5 billion for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) of the Department of Energy, including funds for weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation programs, naval reactor programs, and the Office of the Administrator, an increase of $100.0 million above the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize $9.5 billion, an increase of $102.9 million above the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize $9.6 billion for NNSA, an increase of $189.3 million above the budget request.

The budget request included $6.5 billion for weapons activities. The House bill would authorize $6.5 billion, the amount of the budget request. The Senate amendment would authorize $6.5 billion, a decrease of $39.1 million below the budget request. The conferees agree to authorize $6.5 billion, a decrease of $45.7 million below the budget request.

Within weapons activities, the conferees agree to authorize $66.0 million for the Reliable Replacement Warhead, a decrease of $22.8 million below the budget request. The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $20.0 million above the budget request for weapons dismantlement and disposition. The budget request included $14.9 million for responsive infrastructure. The conferees agree to authorize no funds for this item. The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $15.1 million above the budget request in inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign as follows: a $3.3 million increase for ignition; a $2.5 million increase for National Ignition Facility (NIF) diagnostics, cryogenics, and experimental support; and a $9.3 million increase in facility operations and target production. The conferees also agree to authorize an increase of $5.0 million above the budget request in the advanced simulation and computing campaign to support NIF computational needs. The budget request included $24.9 million for the consolidated plutonium center. The conferees agree to authorize no funds for this item. In readiness in technical base and facilities, the conferees agree to authorize the following increases above the budget request in operations of facilities: a $36.8 million increase for infrastructure repair at the Pantex Plant; and a $10.0 million increase for the Y–12 complex, composed of $5.0 million for infrastructure repair and $5.0 million for activities in support of the Uranium Processing Facility. The conferees agree to authorize $262.7 million for the facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program, a decrease of $31.0 million below the budget request. Within safeguards and security, the conferees agree to authorize an increase of $20.0 million above the budget request to address training and equipment shortages at NNSA sites. Within weapons activities, the conferees agree to authorize the use of $55.0 million of prior year unobligated balances as a funding adjustment to offset fiscal year 2008 requirements.

The budget request included $1.7 billion for defense nuclear nonproliferation, including $50.0 million for fiscal year 2008 war-related funding. The conferees agree to authorize $2.0 billion, an increase of $180.0 million above the base budget request and the amount requested for fiscal year 2008 war-related funding (the authorization of $50.0 million for fiscal year 2008 war-related funding is provided elsewhere in Division C of this Act). The conferees agree to authorize an additional $50.0 million in funding for the Nonproliferation and Verification Research and Development program for proliferation detection including next-generation nuclear detection technologies, nuclear explosion monitoring, and technologies to support improved nuclear material forensic capabilities. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $13.0 million in funding for the Nonproliferation and International Security program as follows: an increase of $8.0 million for Global Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention; and an increase of $5.0 million for Dismantlement and Transparency, including technical support to the Six Party process on the denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $30.0 million in funding for the International Nuclear Materials Protection and Cooperation program as follows: an increase of $20.0 million for the Second Line of Defense Core program and Megaports; an increase of $3.0 million to reduce the risk of theft and proliferation of weapons-usable nuclear materials from the Russian Federation; and an increase of $7.0 million to ensure the sustainability of weapons of mass destruction nonproliferation programs in Russia. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $10.0 million in funding for the Elimination of Weapons-Grade Plutonium Production program to accelerate shutdown of the plutonium producing reactor at Zheleznogorsk, Russia. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $77.0 million in funding for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative as follows: an increase of $5.0 million for Reduced Enrichment for Research and Test Reactors, including the conversion of research and test reactors from the use of highly-enriched uranium to low-enriched uranium; an increase of $2.0 million for U.S. Radiological Threat Reduction; an increase of $40.0 million for International Radiological Threat Reduction; and an increase of $30.0 million for Emerging Threats and Gap Material. The conferees agree to authorize $50.0 million for the International Atomic Energy Agency nuclear fuel bank.

The budget request included $808.2 million for the naval reactors program. The conferees agree to authorize this program at the requested level. The budget request included $394.7 million for the Office of the Administrator. The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $5.0 million above the budget request within the Office of the Administrator program direction account for the purposes of expanding and strengthening staff capacity, capabilities, and resources in support of defense nuclear nonproliferation activities.

Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize $5.4 billion for the Department of Energy for defense environmental cleanup for fiscal year 2008, the amount of the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize $5.4 billion for defense environmental cleanup, an increase of $47.0 million above the budget request.

The conferees agree to include a provision that would authorize $5.4 billion for defense environmental cleanup, an increase of $4.0 million above the budget request. The conferees agree to authorize $9.0 million for project 08–D–414/PED 08–01, the plutonium vitrification facility at the Savannah River Site, a decrease of $6.0 million below the budget request. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $10.0 million for technology development and deployment.

Other defense activities (sec. 3103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize $764.0 million for the Department of Energy for other defense activities for fiscal year 2008, the amount of the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize $664.1 million for the Department of Energy for other defense activities, a decrease of $100.9 million below the budget request.

The conferees agree to include a provision that would authorize $764.0 million, the amount of the budget request.

Defense nuclear waste disposal (sec. 3104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize $292.0 million for defense nuclear waste disposal, the amount of the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize $242.0 million, a decrease of $50.0 million below the budget request.

The conferees agree to include a provision that would authorize $292.0 million, the amount of the budget request.

Energy security and assurance (sec. 3105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3105) that would authorize $6.0 million for the Department of Energy for other atomic energy defense activities for fiscal year 2008 for energy security and assurance programs, an increase of $140,000 above the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize $5.9 million for energy security and assurance programs, the amount of the budget request.

The conferees reluctantly provide the funds requested in acknowledgment of the national security nexus for a limited set of activities conducted within the Department of Energy Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability. If the Department intends to continue to request some portion of funds for this office within budget code 050, the conferees expect a fulsome description of and justification for this funding; items absent from prior budget requests.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

Reliable Replacement Warhead program (sec. 3111)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would place a cap on the

funding available for the Reliable Replacement Warhead (RRW) program and prohibit funding for any RRW activities beyond phase 2A.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) from using fiscal year 2008 funds to support activities under the RRW program beyond phase 2A of the phased nuclear weapons acquisition process.

The conferees note that House Report 110–146 set forth the view of Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives that the NNSA RRW program activities should not exceed phase 2A activities in fiscal year 2008. The conferees have provided $66.0 million for RRW phase 2A activities.

The conferees believe clarification of the United States' long-term nuclear weapons policy is a prerequisite to any major decisions on the size and composition of the nuclear weapons stockpile and the complex that supports it. To that end, the conference agreement includes section 1062 establishing a congressionally appointed bipartisan commission to examine U.S. nuclear policy and strategic posture, and section 1070, requiring that a new Nuclear Posture Review be submitted to Congress in December 2009.

On September 7, 2007, JASON completed a technical review of the RRW with a focus on the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL)/Sandia National Laboratory design, now known as WR1. The review was undertaken at the request of the NNSA. In this review, JASON made four findings with accompanying recommendations. The four findings are:

(1) Certification for WR1 will require new experiments, enhanced computational tools, and improved scientific understanding of the connection of the results from such experiments and simulations to the existing nuclear explosive test data.

(2) The physical understanding of the enhanced surety features, which address a top requirement for WR1, is still under development.

(3) New fabrication processes are proposed for WR1 with the intent of simplifying manufacturing and achieving cost savings but their impact on performance must be further understood.

(4) In the absence of new nuclear-explosive testing, the challenges to certification must be met in a peer review regime that establishes confidence in the WR1 design. Peer review is essential to establishing the technical credibility of new designs. Peer review for RRW certification must play a larger role than provided for by current NNSA guidelines or envisaged in the LLNL plans.

The JASON findings begin to identify the challenges of the RRW program and the scientific work and general processes that need substantial focus and attention. The conferees believe that the RRW program will present many scientific challenges and urge the NNSA to ensure that the stockpile stewardship scientific, computational, and experimental capabilities and tools are maintained and expanded as necessary to support RRW.

The conferees urge the NNSA to approach the RRW program cautiously, with a commitment to address and resolve all issues as completely as possible. Using an outside technical review mechanism, such as JASON, is necessary to fully understand the technical issues associated with RRW. The conferees urge the NNSA to consider how a regularized external review could be undertaken.

Nuclear test readiness (sec. 3112)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3121) that would repeal section 3113 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) and extend the requirement for a test readiness report required by section 4208 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2528), but require the Secretary of Energy to submit the report biennially on March 1 of each odd-numbered year beginning on March 1, 2009.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of reporting requirement (sec. 3113)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3136) that would modify the report required by section 3111 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163). Section 3111 required the Secretary of Energy to submit a report on the Reliable Replacement Warhead (RRW) by March 2007. The amendment would make the report a biennial report through 2013. In addition, the provision would require that the report be submitted in a classified form with an unclassified summary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would eliminate the requirement for a biennial report through 2013 and retain the requirement that the report be submitted in a classified form with a detailed unclassified summary.

The conferees note that the Secretary of Energy has failed to submit the report required by section 3111, and remind the Secretary that the report was due to Congress on March 1, 2007. The conferees believe that this report is important to future decisions about the RRW program.

Limitation on availability of funds for fissile materials disposition program (sec. 3114)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would require the Secretary of Energy to certify to the congressional defense committees what portions of the fiscal year 2008 and prior fiscal years' funds for the fissile materials disposition program will be obligated and expended in fiscal years 2008 and 2009, before any of the fiscal year 2008 funds are obligated or expended. In the event that any of the fiscal year 2008 funds will not be obligated in fiscal years 2008 or 2009, the provision would authorize the Secretary to use such fiscal year 2008 funds for obligation to any other nonproliferation program in which the funds could be obligated and expended in fiscal years 2008 or 2009.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the Secretary of Energy from obligating more than 75 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the fissile materials disposition program for fiscal year 2008 until the Secretary, in consultation with the Administrator for Nuclear Security, submits a report to the congressional defense committees setting forth a plan for obligating and expending prior year funds that remain available for obligation after January 1, 2008, as well as fiscal year 2008 funds.

The conferees continue to fully support the goals of the United States Surplus Fissile Materials Disposition program, which include disposition of U.S. surplus weapons-grade plutonium and use of the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility for such plutonium disposition. The conferees emphasize that the program has important nonproliferation benefits and is consistent with the national security interests of the United States. The disposition of surplus weapons-grade plutonium will demonstrate to the international community our commitment to permanently eliminating materials that could be used for nuclear weapons.

The conferees also continue to fully support the goals of the Russian Surplus Fissile Materials Disposition program, which include disposition of the Russian Federation's surplus weapons-grade plutonium. However, the conferees are concerned by the remaining lack of certainty on issues relating to the path forward for Russian plutonium disposition.

The conferees request an update from the Secretary of Energy at the earliest possible date on the subjects covered in the report the Secretary submitted to the congressional defense committees relating to the Russian Surplus Fissile Materials Disposition program, in response to the requirement under section 3121 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364).

Modification of limitations on availability of funds for waste treatment and immobilization plant (sec. 3115)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would amend section 3120a of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) to require an independent review and certification by the Secretary of Energy of the earned value management system for the waste treatment and immobilization plant at the Department of Energy's Hanford Site.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Modification of sunset date of the Office of the Ombudsman of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation program (sec. 3116)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3137) that would extend the sunset date for the Office of the Ombudsman for the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation program for 5 years to October 28, 2012.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3118) that would permanently establish the Office of the Ombudsman, provide the Office of the Ombudsman with contracting authority, and expand the scope of the duties of the office. In addition, the provision would change the nature of the funding that supports the office from mandatory to discretionary.

The House recedes.

Technical amendments (sec. 3117)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3125) that would make technical amendments to the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521 et seq.)

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Study on using existing pits for the Reliable Replacement Warhead program (sec. 3121)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security, in consultation with the Nuclear Weapons Council, to analyze and then report on the feasibility of using existing plutonium pits to remanufacture warheads in the Reliable Replacement Warhead (RRW) program. The report to the congressional defense committees would be due no later than February 1, 2008. The report would be unclassified but may include a classified annex.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the scope of the study and the report to look at the feasibility of using existing plutonium pits in the RRW program, in lieu of newly manufactured pits.

The study would include an analysis of certification, manufacturing, and technical issues relating to the use of existing plutonium pits and would be due to the congressional defense committees no later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

Report on retirement and dismantlement of nuclear warheads (sec. 3122)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would direct the Administrator for Nuclear Security, in consultation with the Nuclear Weapons Council, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the retirement and dismantlement of the nuclear warheads that are not part of the enduring stockpile but that have not been dismantled. The report would be due no later than February 1, 2008 and would include a plan to accelerate the dismantlement of such nuclear warheads and an assessment of the feasibility of implementing an accelerated schedule.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would change the due date of the report to March 1, 2008. Further, the amendment would specify that the nuclear warheads that are the subject of the report would be those warheads that will not be part of the enduring stockpile as of December 31, 2012, but that have not yet been dismantled. In addition, the amendment would require the Administrator to include in the report an assessment of the capacity of the nuclear weapons complex and the resources needed to accommodate an accelerated schedule.

Plan for addressing security risks posed to nuclear weapons complex (sec. 3123)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would direct the Administrator for Nuclear Security to conduct an assessment of the physical security and cyber security risks posed to the nuclear weapons complex at the Department of Energy (DOE) and the security technologies employed within the complex. The report on the assessment would be submitted to the congressional defense committees and would include a site-specific description of security technologies, a description of how DOE establishes investment priorities, and a multi-year plan for replacement and maintenance of technologies.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require that the plan be prepared in consultation with the Director of the Office of Health, Safety, and Security of the DOE. The amendment would also add the report as an additional element to the National Nuclear Security Administration annual future-years nuclear security program plan.

Department of Energy protective forces (sec. 3124)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to conduct a review of the protective forces at the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) sites and submit a report to the congressional defense committees setting forth the results of the review. The report would be due no later than March 1, 2008 and would include an assessment of the potential risks associated with contractual incentives and mechanisms to provide protective forces. In addition, the report would require an assessment of specific alternative management options for managing the protective forces.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3124) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the management of protective forces at the Department of Energy (DOE) sites with category I nuclear material. This would include the relevant NNSA sites. The report would be due 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act and would include a discussion of the management, contractual structure, training, benefits, and alternative management options for the DOE protective forces.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the elements of each report into companion assessments and reviews of the protective forces at the DOE and NNSA sites with category I nuclear materials. These assessments and reviews would be included in sequential reports, first by the Comptroller General and then the Administrator for Nuclear Security. The amendment would require the Comptroller General report to be submitted 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act. No later than 90 days following the receipt of the Comptroller General report the Secretary of Energy, in conjunction with the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management, would submit to the Committees on Armed Services a report on the management of the protective forces, using the Comptroller General report as a starting point. The DOE review would include an assessment of the options for management identified by the Comptroller General, as well as other options that the Secretary may identify. In addition, the DOE report would include an analysis and assessment of the role contractor incentives play in the management and performance of the protective forces.

Evaluation of National Nuclear Security Administration strategic plan for advanced computing (sec. 3125)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3138) that would require the Secretary of Energy to enter into an agreement with an independent entity to conduct an evaluation of the strategic plan for advanced computing of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA). The report would be due 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act. The report would include: an assessment of the role played by NNSA high performance computing research in maintaining U.S. leadership in computer capabilities and the impact of funding reductions to such leadership; the way in which NNSA uses computational capabilities to support the Stockpile Stewardship programs; the NNSA relationship with private industry; and the efforts of the Department of Energy (DOE) to coordinate supercomputing work within the DOE, with other government agencies, and with private industry.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would modify the elements of the assessment. Included in the report would be: an assessment of the adequacy of the strategic plan in supporting the Stockpile Stewardship program; the role of research and development in high performance computing in fulfilling the missions of the NNSA and maintaining U.S. leadership in this area; and the impact of changes in investment levels or strategies to fulfill the missions of the NNSA. The amendment would also require an assessment of DOE efforts to coordinate supercomputing work within the DOE, to develop joint strategies with other federal agencies and private industry, and to share developments and capitalize on innovations with private industry. This amendment would also require the report to be submitted by the Secretary of Energy 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.

Sense of Congress on the nuclear nonproliferation policy of the United States and the Reliable Replacement Warhead program (sec. 3126)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3122) that would set forth the sense of Congress that the United States should take a number of actions with respect to nonproliferation matters. The provision would also set forth the sense of Congress that any decision to manufacture or deploy a Reliable Replacement Warhead should be formulated in the broader context of the progress made by the United States toward each of the enumerated goals.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify several of the actions enumerated in the Senate provision. The amendment would also modify item number five with respect to the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty by replacing it with a sense of Congress that the United States should sustain the Science-Based Stockpile Stewardship program, which provides the basis for certifying the U.S. nuclear deterrent and maintaining the moratorium on underground nuclear weapons testing.

Department of Energy report on plan to strengthen and expand International Radiological Threat Reduction program (sec. 3127)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3115) that would require the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to Congress that sets forth a specific plan for strengthening and expanding the Department of Energy (DOE) International Radiological Threat Reduction (IRTR) program within the Global Threat Reduction Initiative. The plan would include actions to address the issues raised and recommendations made in the March 13, 2007 Government Accountability Office report titled ''Focusing on the Highest Priority Radiological Sources Could Improve DOE's Efforts to Secure Sources in Foreign Countries.'' The report would be due no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Energy to submit the report to Congress no later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act and would modify several of the elements required to be in the report. This would include a clarification that the Secretary should give high priority to materials that present the highest risk.

The conferees support the idea that the IRTR program should concentrate on securing the radiological materials that present the highest risk, but also encourage the DOE to take advantage of opportunities, as they arise, to increase the security of radiological materials, even if the materials to be secured represent a lower comparative risk.

Department of Energy report on plan to strengthen and expand Materials Protection, Control, and Accounting program (sec. 3128)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3116) that would require the Secretary of Energy to submit to Congress a report that sets forth a specific plan for strengthening and expanding the Department of Energy Materials Protection, Control, and Accounting program. The plan would include actions to address the issues raised and recommendations made in the February 2007 Government Accountability Office report titled ''Progress Made in Improving Security at Russian Nuclear Sites, but the Long-Term Sustainability of U.S. Funded Security Upgrades is Uncertain.'' The plan would also include a

long-term operational plan to secure all weapons-usable material and warhead sites as quickly and effectively as possible and ensure that sufficient funding is available to carry out these activities. The report would be due 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify several of the elements required to be in the report, and would direct the Secretary to submit the report to Congress no later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Agreements and reports on nuclear forensics capabilities (sec. 3129)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3139) that would authorize the Secretary of Energy, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, and in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Director of National Intelligence, to enter into agreements with countries or other entities to collect data and conduct such analysis as is needed to determine the source of components or fissile materials used or attempted to be used in a nuclear device or weapon. The provision would also require the Secretary of Energy, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit a report to Congress identifying the progress made on entering into such agreements, including the identification of any major obstacles to such agreements. The provision would also require the President to submit a report to Congress setting forth the procedures and standards to be used to determine the source of the nuclear weapons, material, or component, and whether the country or group knowingly or negligently provided the material or component used or attempted to be used. This report would also assess the capability to make such a determination and a plan for addressing any capability shortfalls.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees urge the Secretary of Energy to identify in the portion of the report dealing with international agreements, in the section identifying any obstacles to completing such agreements, any additional legal or administrative authorities that are needed to implement such agreements, if such additional authorities are needed.

Report on status of environmental management initiatives to accelerate the reduction of environmental risks and challenges posed by the legacy of the Cold War (sec. 3130)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3123) that would require the Secretary of Energy to prepare a report on the status of environmental management initiatives, including the progress made in reducing environmental risks and challenges, the status of enforceable milestones and plans for the future, any proposals for legislation to carry out environmental management initiatives, and an estimate of the life cycle cost of the environmental management program. The report would be submitted to the congressional defense committees concurrent with the budget justification materials in support of the Department of Energy's budget for fiscal year 2009. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit the report to the Government Accountability Office for review. The Comptroller General would be allotted 180 days to review and assess the required report and then submit a report to the congressional defense committees setting forth the results of the review.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would modify the date for submittal of the report and the elements to be included. The amendment would require the Secretary to submit the report to the congressional defense committees and the Government Accountability Office not later than September 30, 2008. The amendment would require a listing of major mandatory milestones and commitments, together with a summary providing a more general description of milestones or types of milestones that are projected to be or are in jeopardy of being missed at each site. The amendment would also require a description of the process currently being instituted by the Department in its Order 430.1A for the nomination and acceptance of new work scope into the environmental management program, as well as a listing of pending nominations, and life cycle cost estimates and schedules to address them. The amendment would further require an estimate of the life cycle cost of the current program scope. Finally, the amendment would require the Comptroller General to submit a review of the report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 30, 2009.

Subtitle D—Nuclear Terrorism Prevention

Definitions (sec. 3131)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would define terms used in sections 3132 through 3135 of the Senate amendment. These provisions relate to nuclear terrorism prevention.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sense of Congress on the prevention of nuclear terrorism (sec. 3132)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3133) that would set forth the sense of Congress that the President should: make the prevention of a nuclear terrorist attack on the United States of the highest priority; request additional funding to accelerate programs to prevent nuclear terrorism; and work with the international community to reduce the dangers of nuclear terrorism. The provision would further state that the United States and the international community should make additional efforts to ensure that all nuclear weapons worldwide are secure and accounted for and that formula quantities of strategic special nuclear material worldwide are eliminated, removed, or secure and accounted for. The provision would also specify that the International Atomic Energy Agency should be funded appropriately to fulfill its role in protecting nuclear material and combating nuclear smuggling.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Minimum security standard for nuclear weapons and formula quantities of strategic special nuclear material (sec. 3133)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3134) that would set forth the policy of the United States to work with the international community to ensure that nuclear weapons around the world are secure and accounted for and that all formula quantities of strategic special nuclear material are eliminated, removed, or are secure and accounted for. In furtherance of this policy, the provision would set forth actions that the President should take to seek the broadest possible international agreement on a global standard for nuclear security and to work with other countries and the International Atomic Energy Agency to ensure that security of nuclear weapons and formula quantities of strategic special nuclear material is upgraded to meet the global standard. Actions that the United States takes in support of this goal would include providing appropriate financial and technical support to support security upgrades, and working with other governments to ensure that appropriate security rules, regulations, and enforcement measures are in place.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the statements urging presidential action reflect the sense of Congress.

Annual report (sec. 3134)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3135) that would require the President, in consultation with relevant federal departments and agencies, to submit an annual report to Congress on the security of nuclear weapons, formula quantities of strategic special nuclear material, radiological material, and related equipment around the world. The report would include a section on relevant programs and would specifically include: a list of facilities and sites that are determined to be the highest priority for security and accounting of nuclear weapons and related equipment, or the elimination, removal or security and accounting of formula quantities of strategic special nuclear material and radiological materials; a related prioritized diplomatic and technical plan that includes the role that the international community is playing and could play, including a plan for securing contributions; and an assessment of the progress made in implementing the plan. The report would be due annually on September 1, beginning on September 1, 2008.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would limit the report to nuclear weapons and related equipment and formula quantities of strategic nuclear material. In addition, the amendment would clarify that the report would not cover such weapons, material, and equipment in the United States. The amendment would sunset the reporting requirement after calendar year 2012.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Authority to use International Nuclear Materials Protection and Cooperation program funds outside the former Soviet Union

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3117) that would amend section 3124 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) to modify certain emergency authorities relating to the use of Department of Energy International Nuclear Materials Protection and Cooperation program funds outside the former Soviet Union.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Findings

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3132) that would set forth a number of findings concerning: the possibility that terrorists may acquire and use a nuclear weapon against the United States; the programs and international mechanisms designed to address that threat; and the recognition that additional efforts are needed to address that threat.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that the ''National Strategy for Combating Terrorism'' states that ''[w]eapons of mass destruction in the hands of terrorists is one of the gravest threats we face.'' Similarly, former Senator

Sam Nunn has stated that ''[s]tockpiles of loosely guarded nuclear weapons material are scattered around the world, offering inviting targets for theft or sale.''

The conferees support strengthening and expanding, as much as possible, the programs designed to address these threats and other threats arising from the proliferation of nuclear, as well as radiological, weapons and weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise. Such programs include the nonproliferation programs of the Department of Energy (DOE). These programs are critical to U.S. national security and should be a top priority. Significant progress has been made over the last 15 years, but much remains to be done. The DOE nonproliferation programs would benefit from additional funding to support new and expanded program activities. Elsewhere in this Act, the conferees have included a number of provisions and additional funding for these programs to ensure that, wherever possible, actions are taken to address threats involving nuclear and radiological weapons, and weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise, including actions to reduce the possibility that a terrorist could ever acquire and use a nuclear weapon against the United States.

TITLE XXXII—WAR RELATED NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION ADOPTED

Additional war-related authorization of appropriations for National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1517) that would authorize $50.0 million in additional fiscal year 2008 funding for Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom for defense nuclear nonproliferation, the amount of the fiscal year 2008 war-related budget request for that purpose.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3101) that would include in the overall amount authorized for defense nuclear nonproliferation an additional $50.0 million for the defense nuclear nonproliferation programs requested in the fiscal year 2008 war-related budget.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would specify that of the amounts authorized, $30.0 million is for the International Nuclear Materials Protection and Cooperation program and $20.0 million is for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative.

TITLE XXXIII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION ADOPTED

Authorization (sec. 3301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize $22.5 million for fiscal year 2008 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize $27.5 million for the DNFSB.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees are concerned that in the future the DNFSB may not have the resources to attract and retain the technical staff needed to meet its statutory responsibilities.

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3401) that would authorize $17.3 million for the operation and maintenance of the Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Remedial action at Moab Uranium milling site (sec. 3402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3402) that would require the Secretary of Energy to complete remediation at the Moab site and remove the tailings to the Crescent Junction site in Utah no later than October 1, 2019.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 879) that would require the Secretary to develop a strategy to complete the remediation of the Moab site no later than January 1, 2019. In addition, the provision would direct the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate, the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Appropriations of each of the Senate and the House of Representatives on how the existing cost, scope, and schedule for remediation would be changed to meet the implementation plan

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to Congress no later than October 2, 2019, if the Secretary will not be able to complete the remediation at the Moab site by October 1, 2019.

The conferees urge the Secretary to submit any such report notifying Congress of any delay as soon as the Secretary of Energy is aware of any delay.

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations for fiscal year 2008 (sec. 3501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3501) that would authorize a total of $135.3 million for fiscal year 2008, equal to the President's budget request. Of the amount authorized, $20.0 million would be available for the disposal of obsolete vessels; $13.8 million for capital improvements at the United States Merchant Marine Academy; and $8.3 million for maintenance and repair of school ships at the State Maritime Academies.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would increase the amount authorized by an additional $9.0 million. Of the additional amount authorized, $1.5 million would be for maintenance and repair of school ships at the State Maritime Academies; and $2.5 million would be for expenses and capital improvements at the United States Merchant Marine Academy.

The amendment would further clarify the amounts currently authorized in law for expenses: to maintain and preserve a U.S. flag merchant fleet under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, $156.0 million; for paying reimbursement under section 3517 of the Maritime Security Act of 2003 (46 U.S.C. 53101 note), $19.5 million; for assistance to small shipyards and maritime communities under section 54101 of title 46, United States Code, $25.0 million; and for the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5)) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, $30.0 million.

Temporary authority to transfer obsolete combatant vessels to the Navy for disposal (sec. 3502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3502) that would allow the Secretary of Transportation to transfer no fewer than three combatant vessels in the non-retention fleet of the Maritime Administration to the Navy for disposal.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Vessel disposal program (sec. 3503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3503) that would require the Secretary of Transportation to submit a comprehensive report on the current plan for disposal of vessels in the non-retention fleet of the Maritime Administration.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Transportation to convene a working group composed of representatives from the Department of the Navy, the Maritime Administration, the Coast Guard, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and any other federal or State organizations who deal with the disposal of obsolete vessels. The Secretary is directed to charter the working group to make recommendations for the best practices that meet or exceed, and harmonize, the requirements of federal and State environmental laws and regulations applicable to the storage, disposal, and interim transportation of such vessels. The amendment would further require the Secretary to report the results of the working group to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Subtitle B—Programs

Commercial vessel chartering authority (sec. 3511)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5101) that would amend chapter 575 of title 46, United States Code, to allow the Secretary of Transportation to enter into contracts or other agreements on behalf of the United States to purchase, charter, operate, or otherwise acquire the use of any vessels documented under chapter 121 of title 46, United States Code. The amendment would authorize the Secretary to use this authority as the Secretary deems appropriate.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Maritime Administration vessel chartering authority (sec. 3512)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5102) that would amend section 50303 of title 46, United States Code, to allow the Administrator of the Maritime Administration to charter vessels under the control of the Administrator. The consent of the Secretary of Defense would be required for vessels in the Ready Reserve Force or in the National Defense Reserve Fleet maintained in a retention status.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Chartering to State and local governmental instrumentalities (sec. 3513)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5103) that would amend section 11(b) of the Merchant Ship Sales Act of 1946 (50 U.S.C. App. 1744(b)), which would allow for chartering of vessels of the Ready Reserve Fleet on a reimbursable basis to States, localities, or territories of the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Disposal of obsolete Government vessels (sec. 3514)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5104) that would amend section 6(c)(1) of the National Maritime Heritage Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 5404(c)(1)), which would require the establishment of a priority system

for the disposal of obsolete vessels consistent with their material condition and their subsequent danger to the environment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Vessel transfer authority (sec. 3515)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5105) that would amend section 50304 of title 46, United States Code, to allow for the Secretary of Transportation to charter to other departments of the United States Government vessels under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, with prior consent required by the Secretary of Defense for vessels in the Ready Reserve Force or the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Sea trials for the Ready Reserve force (sec. 3516)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5106) that would amend section 11(c)(1)(B) of the Merchant Ship Sales Act of 1946 (50 U.S.C. App. 1744(c)(1)(B)) to change the period of activation and sea trials of vessels of the Ready Reserve Force to every 30 months, which would conform with current Coast Guard regulations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Review of applications for loans and guarantees (sec. 3517)

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5107) that would require the Administrator of the Maritime Administration to develop a comprehensive plan for the review of traditional and non-traditional applications for loans and guarantees under chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that contains a statement of findings and would require, within 90 days of receipt of all required documentation for a loan or guarantee, defined as a traditional loan, under chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, the Administrator to either accept or reject such application. The amendment would also require that within 180 days of receipt of all required documentation for a loan or guarantee, defined as a non-traditional loan under chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, the Administrator to either accept or reject such application.

Subtitle C—Technical Corrections

Technical corrections (secs. 3521–3529)

The Senate amendment contained a Title (Title LII—Technical Corrections) including a series of provisions (secs. 5201–5210) that would make corrections to various sections of title 46, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes to the following provisions of the Senate amendment: section 5202 (as amended); section 5203; section 5204; section 5205; section 5206; section 5207; section 5208, with the exception of subsection (c), (Oceanographic Research Vessels); section 5209; and section 5210.

The conferees understand that these provisions are technical or clarifying in nature and are part of the ongoing work of the Office of Law Revision Counsel to complete the re-codification of title 46, United States Code. The Committee on the Judiciary has reported legislation, H.R. 3387, with an accompanying report (H. Rept. 110–437) that would make substantially the same technical and clarifying changes to title 46.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Short title

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 5001) that would name the division of the bill as the ''Maritime Administration Authorities Act of 2007''.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Technical corrections

The Senate amendment contained a Title (Title LII—Technical Corrections) including a series of provisions (secs. 5201–5210) that would make corrections to various sections of title 46, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes to the following provisions: section 5201; subsection (a) of section 5202, Personal Injury to or Death of Seamen; and subsection (c) of section 5208, Oceanographic Research Vessels.

The conferees were advised by the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives that these provisions would not be necessary in connection with the re-codification and could have unintended substantive effect.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
I	APA		19	Transfer to Kiowa Warrior	31,000	*	Bell Helicopter	Fort Worth, TX
I	APA		28	Air Warrior primary survival gear carrier	800	Warner	Aerial Machine	Vesta, VA
I	APA		28	Air Warrior primary survival gear carrier	800	Webb	Aerial Machine and Tool Co.	Vesta, VA
I	WTCV		49	Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI)	11,500	Clinton	Competitive	N/A
I	WTCV		49	Arsenal Support Program Initiative (ASPI)	11,500	Schumer	Competitive	N/A
I	PAA		26	Smoke Grenades	4,000	Pryor	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
I	PAA		26	Smoke Grenades	4,000	Lincoln	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
I	OPA		54	DISN Hardware Enhancements	1,700	Levin	Detroit Arsenal	Warren, MI
I	OPA		128	Additional EOD Equipment	3,000	*	Army	Various
I	OPA		170	Urban Training Center	1,600	Lugar	General Dynamics	Muscatatuck Urban Training Center, IN
I	OPA		170	Urban Training Center	1,600	Bayh	General Dynamics	Muscatatuck Urban Training Center, IN
I	OPA		170	Laser Collective Combat Training System (LCCATS) Fielding Program	4,000	Reed	MPRI	Middletown, RI
I	APN		29	H-46 Communications Equipment	1,600	Webb	Rockwell Collins Inc.	Arlington, VA
I	APN		29	H-46 Communications Equipment	1,600	Warner	Rockwell Collins	Sterling, VA
I	APN		31	H-53 Integrated Mechanical Diagnostics Health Monitoring System	2,900	Thune	Goodrich Corp & Raven Industries	Goodrich Corp, (Vergennes, VT) & Raven Industries, (Sioux Falls, SD)
I	WPN		17	Allegany Ballistics Laboratory	30,000	Byrd	NavSea	ABL, Rocket Center, WV
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement	588,000	Lieberman	Electric Boat	Groton, CT
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement	588,000	Reed	Electric Boat	North Kingstown RI
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement	588,000	Dole	Northrop Grumman	Newport News, VA

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement	588,000	Dodd	General Dynamics Electric Boat	Groton, CT
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine Advance Procurement	588,000	Voinovich	Babcock & Wilcox	Barberton, OH
I	OPN		88	Sonobuoys	2,500	Levin	Sparton Electronics	Jackson, MI
I	OPN		88	Sonobuoys	2,500	Lugar	UnderSea Sensor Systems	Columbia City, IN
I	OPN		88	Sonobuoys	2,500	Stabenow	Sparton Electronics	Jackson, MI
I	OPN		88	Sonobuoys	2,500	Bayh	Undersea Sensor Systems	Columbia City, IN
I	OPN		88	Sonobuoys	2,500	Bill Nelson	Spartan Electronics	Florida
I	APAF		25	B-52	15,200	*	Air Force; Boeing	Various
I	APAF		49	KC-135 Global Air Traffic Management (GATM)	7,200	Sessions	Rockwell Collins	Huntsville, AL
I	APAF		49	KC-135 Global Air Traffic Management (GATM)	7,200	Inhofe	Rockwell Collins	Cedar Rapids, IA
I	APAF		49	KC-135 Global Air Traffic Management (GATM)	7,200	Cornyn	Rockwell Collins	Richardson, Texas; San Antonio, Texas
I	APAF		49	KC-135 Global Air Traffic Management (GATM)	7,200	Bill Nelson	Rockwell Collins	Florida
I	APAF		49	KC-135 Global Air Traffic Management (GATM)	7,200	Schumer	Rockwell Collins	Binghamton, NY
I	APAF		49	KC-135 Global Air Traffic Management (GATM)	7,200	Clinton	Rockwell Collins	Binghamton, NY
I	OPAF		28	Joint Threat Emitters	4,000	Levin	Air National Guard Combat Readiness and Training Center	Alpena, MI
I	OPAF		28	Joint Threat Emitters	4,000	Isakson	Northrop Grumman	Savannah Combat Readiness Center, Georgia
	OPAF		28	Joint Threat Emitters	4,000	Chambliss	Northrop Grumman	Savannah Combat Readiness Center, Georgia
I	PDW		78	M291 Skin Decontamination Kit	5,600	Clinton	Competitive	N/A
I	PDW		78	M291 Skin Decontamination Kit	5,600	Schumer	Competitive	N/A
I	PDW		78	M291 Skin Decontamination Kit	5,600	Pryor	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
I	PDW		78	M291 Skin Decontamination Kit	5,600	Lincoln	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Pine Bluff, AR
I	PDW		81	M22 ACADA	20,000	Thune	Army National Guard	Not Applicable

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * indicates Committee initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	PDW		81	M22 ACADA	20,000	Reid	Army National Guard	Nevada Army National Guard, Carson City, Nevada
I	PDW		81	Improved Chemical Agent Monitor	10,000	Thune	Army National Guard	Not Applicable
I	PDW		81	Improved Chemical Agent Monitor	10,000	Reid	Army National Guard	Nevada Army National Guard, Carson City, Nevada
I	PDW		81	Joint Biological Point Detection System	3,500	Dole	GD Armament & T.	Charlotte, NC
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Respiratory Infections Research	1,600	Ben Nelson	University of Nebraska Medical Center	Omaha, NE; Iowa State University
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Respiratory Infections Research	1,600	Hagel	University of Nebraska Medical Center	Omaha, NE
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Document Exploitation Systems	1,000	Warner	CACI	Fairfax, VA
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Organic Semiconductor Modeling and Simulation Research	1,000	Cornyn	University of Texas-Tyler	Tyler, Texas
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Activated Nanostructures for De-Icing	1,200	Pryor	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Activated Nanostructures for De-Icing	1,200	Lincoln	University of Arkansas at Little Rock	Little Rock, AR
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Kennedy	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Collins	Competitive	N/A
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Levin	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Stabenow	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Bingaman	Merit based	Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Roberts	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Domenici	Competitive	Competitive/Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Clinton	Competitive	N/A
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Dodd	Not Applicable	Merit Based
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Kerry	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601103A	3	URI Program Increase	8,000	Lautenberg	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Automotive Research	2,000	Levin	University of Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Automotive Research	2,000	Stabenow	University of Michigan	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Information Assurance Research	800	Warner	Norfolk State University	Hampton, VA
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Information Assurance Research	800	Webb	Norfolk State University	Norfolk, VA
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Nanoscale Biosensor Research	2,500	Pryor	University of Arkansas	Fayetteville, AR

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Nanoscale Biosensor Research	2,500	Lincoln	University of Arkansas	Fayetteville, AR
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Integrated Sensing and Communications Systems	800	Levin	Michigan Technological University	Houghton, MI
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Integrated Sensing and Communications Systems	800	Stabenow	Michigan Technological University	Houghton, MI
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Urban Simulation and Training Research	1,120	McConnell	University of Kentucky Research Foundation	Lexington, Kentucky and Fort Knox, Kentucky
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Network Security Research	1,600	McConnell	Electronic Warfare Associates	Bowling Green, Kentucky
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Low Temperature Vehicle Performance Research	800	Levin	Wayne State University	Detroit, MI
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Transparent Nanocomposite Armor Research	300	Thune	South Dakota School of Mines & Technology	Rapid City, SD
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Nanocomposite Materials Research	2,000	Bill Nelson	Florida State University	Florida
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Nanocomposite Materials Research	2,000	Martinez	Florida State University	Tallahassee, Florida
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Nanosensor Manufacturing Research	1,600	Kerry	UMass Lowell	Lowell, MA
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Nanosensor Manufacturing Research	1,600	Kennedy	UMass Lowell	Lowell, MA
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Ballistic Materials for Future Combat Systems	2,000	Reed	Textile Engineering and Manufacturing, TEAM	Staterville, RI
II	RDA	0602105A	5	IED Protection Materials Research	4,000	Ben Nelson	University of Nebraska, Lincoln	Lincoln, NE; Aberdeen Proving Ground Army Testing Facilities;Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
II	RDA	0602105A	5	IED Protection Materials Research	4,000	Hagel	University of Nebraska, Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Advanced Composite Materials Research	1,600	Stabenow	Michigan State University	East Lansing, MI
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Advanced Composite Materials Research	1,600	Levin	Michigan State University	East Lansing, MI
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Soldier Torso Armor Systems	2,000	Chambliss	Verco	Atlanta, GA
	RDA	0602105A	5	Soldier Torso Armor Systems	2,000	Isakson	Verco	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Advanced Microelectronics Manufacturing	2,000	Clinton	Binghamton University	Binghamton, NY
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Advanced Microelectronics Manufacturing	2,000	Schumer	Binghamton University	Binghamton, NY
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Integrated Remote Sensing Technologies	2,000	Bill Nelson	University of Florida	Florida

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* indicates Committee initiative		
II	RDA	0602303A	10	Materials for Munitions Protection Research	1,000	Reid	Rocky Research, LLC.	Boulder City, Nevada
II	RDA	0602308A	12	Photonics Research for Sniper Detection	4,000	Kennedy	Boston University Photonics Center	Boston, MA
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Medium/Heavy Duty Fuel Cell Vehicle Demonstration	3,000	Lieberman	United Technologies Corporation Power	South Windsor, CT
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Medium/Heavy Duty Fuel Cell Vehicle Demonstration	3,000	Dodd	UTC Power	Hartford, CT
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Transparent Armor Research	800	Levin	NanoCerox Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Military Fuels Research	1,600	McConnell	University of Kentucky Research Foundation	Lexington, Kentucky
II	RDA	0602624A	17	UGV Weaponization Technologies	1,800	Snowe	Howe and Howe Technologies	North Berwick, ME
II	RDA	0602624A	17	UGV Weaponization Technologies	1,800	Collins	Howe & Howe Technologies Inc.	North Berwick, ME
II	RDA	0602705A	18	High-Frequency, High-Power Electronic and Optoelectronic Devices	2,400	Dole	Hexatech	Morrisville, NC
II	RDA	0602705A	18	Advanced Rechargeable Batteries	1,600	Dole	SAFT	Valdese, NC
II	RDA	0602712A	20	Standoff IED Detection Technologies	3,200	Akaka	University of Hawaii; Arkansas State University; Florida A&M University	Hawaii; Arkansas; Florida
II	RDA	0602712A	20	Standoff IED Detection Technologies	3,200	Bill Nelson	Florida A&M University	Florida
II	RDA	0602712A	20	Standoff IED Detection Technologies	3,200	Pryor	Arkansas State University	Jonesboro, AR
II	RDA	0602712A	20	Standoff IED Detection Technologies	3,200	Lincoln	Arkansas State University	Jonesboro, AR
II	RDA	0602786A	27	Ballistic Materials for Force Protection	4,000	Snowe	University of Maine	Orono, ME
II	RDA	0602786A	27	Ballistic Materials for Force Protection	4,000	Collins	University of Maine	Orono, ME
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Fibrin Dressings	2,000	Clinton	Precision Pharma	Melville, NY
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Fibrin Dressings	2,000	Schumer	Precision Pharma	Melville, NY
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Fibrin Dressings	2,000	Dole	STB Lifesaving Tech.	Raleigh-Durham, NC
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Biomechanics Research	2,000	Warner	Virginia Tech	Blacksburg, VA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Biomechanics Research	2,000	Webb	Virginia Tech	Blacksburg, VA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Bioengineering for Soldier Survivability	1,600	Isakson	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Bioengineering for Soldier Survivability	1,600	Chambliss	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0603001A	29	AGAS Technology Development	1,600	Lieberman	Capewell Components	South Windsor, CT
II	RDA	0603001A	29	AGAS Technology Development	1,600	Dodd	Capewell Components	South Windsor, CT

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * indicates Committee initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Lower Limb Prosthetics Research	3,000	Kerry	Foster Miller	Waltham, MA, Bedford, MA, and Holliston, MA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Lower Limb Prosthetics Research	3,000	Kennedy	Foster Miller	Waltham, MA, Bedford, MA, and Holliston, MA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Combat Wound Initiative	2,000	Reed	Walter Reed AMC	Washington, DC
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Electronic Vital Signs Monitoring Systems	1,600	Reed	Northeast Knitting, Inc.	Pawtucket, RI
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Robotic Telesurgery Research	2,000	Ben Nelson	University of Nebraska Medical Center	Omaha, NE and Lincoln, NE
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Robotic Telesurgery Research	2,000	Hagel	University of Nebraska Medical Center	Omaha, NE
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Blast Trauma Detection Research	2,000	Clinton	Intellison	New York, NY
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Blast Trauma Detection Research	2,000	Schumer	Intellison	New York, NY
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Dengue Infections Research	3,000	Graham	GenPhar	Mt. Pleasant, SC
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Dengue Infections Research	3,000	Lieberman	Nanoviricides	West Haven, CT
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Dengue Infections Research	3,000	Dodd	Nanoviricides Inc.	West Haven, CT
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Tissue Regeneration Research	2,400	Dole	Wake Forest University	Winston-Salem, NC
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Sanders	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Byrd	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Bond	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Feingold	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Webb	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Burr	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Isakson	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Kerry	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Kennedy	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Lautenberg	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Akaka	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Boxer	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Tester	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Obama	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	10,000	Bingaman	N/A	N/A
II	RDA	0603003A	31	UAV Payload Delivery Systems	1,600	Sessions	Dynetics, Inc.	Huntsville, AL
II	RDA	0603003A	31	UAV Munitions Technologies	2,240	Reed	Textron Systems	Wilmington, MA
II	RDA	0603003A	31	UAV Munitions Technologies	2,240	Kennedy	Textron Systems	Wilmington, MA

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
				(Amounts in Thousands)		* Indicates Committee Initiative		
II	RDA	0603003A	31	UAV Munitions Technologies	2,240	Kerry	Textron Systems	Wilmington, MA
II	RDA	0603004A	32	Cannon Recoil Reduction System	800	Ensign	Software and Engineering Associates, Inc.	Carson City, Nevada
II	RDA	0603004A	32	Cannon Recoil Reduction System	800	Reid	Software & Engineering Associates	Carson City, Nevada
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Unmanned Ground Vehicle Initiative	12,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Hostile Fire Detection Systems	3,000	Cornyn	Mustang Technology Group	Allen, Texas
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Military Hybrid Engine Development Program	8,000	Levin	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Solid Hydrogen Storage Vehicle Research	2,400	Levin	Energy Conversion Devices/Ovonic Hydrogen Systems, LLC	Rochester Hills, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Fuel Cell Cost Reduction Research	1,600	Stabenow	Ballard Power Systems	Dearborn, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Fuel Cell Cost Reduction Research	1,600	Levin	Ballard Power Systems	Dearborn, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Tactical Vehicle APS Research	3,040	*	U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center	Warren, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Antiballistic Windshield Armor	4,000	Bayh	Defense CS	Mishawaka, IN
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Antiballistic Windshield Armor	4,000	Lugar	Defense CS	Mishawaka, IN
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Vehicle Design Optimization Tools	1,500	Levin	Western Michigan University	Kalamazoo, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Composite Armor Cab Development	3,000	Reed	TPI Composites	Warren, RI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Composite Armor Cab Development	3,000	Carper	University of Delaware	Newark, DE
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Fastening and Joining Research	800	Stabenow	Oakland University	Rochester, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Fastening and Joining Research	800	Levin	Oakland University	Rochester, MI
II	RDA	0603015A	38	Joint Fires and Effects Training Systems	2,000	Inhofe	Marine Del Ray	Del Ray, CA
II	RDA	0603710A	50	FCS Short Range Electro Optic (SREO) Sensor Research	3,200	Bill Nelson	DRS Technologies	Florida
II	RDA	0603734A	52	Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Development	2,000	Martinez	University of North Florida	Jacksonville, Florida
II	RDA	0603772A	53	Advanced Radar Technologies	2,000	Reed	Applied Radar, Inc.	North Kingstown, RI
II	RDA	0603305A	55	Radiation Space Hardening	2,000	Sessions	Analytical Systems, Inc	Huntsville, AL

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * indicates Committee initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603779A	86	Hawaii Undersea Chemical Weapons Assessment	5,500	Akaka	University of Hawaii; Environet, Inc.	Hawaii
II	RDA	0603782A	87	Warfighter Information Network - Tactical	100,000	*	General Dynamics C4 Tactical Networks	Scottsdale, AZ
II	RDA	0603807A	72	Future Medical Shelter Systems	7,500	Dodd	Oxley Inc.	Branford, CT
II	RDA	0603807A	72	Future Medical Shelter Systems	7,500	Lieberman	Oxley	Branford, CT
II	RDA	0603807A	72	Future Medical Shelter Systems	7,500	Pryor	EADS North America	Russellville, AR
II	RDA	0603807A	72	Future Medical Shelter Systems	7,500	Lincoln	EADS North America	Russellville, AR
II	RDA	0604622A	86	Advanced Thermal and Oil Management Controls Research	4,800	Levin	Engineered Machined Products Incorporated	Escanaba, MI
II	RDA	0604642A	88	Army Fuel Cell Non-Tactical Vehicle Propulsion	1,600	Levin	General Motors Corporation	Warren, MI
II	RDA	0604642A	88	Army Fuel Cell Non-Tactical Vehicle Propulsion	1,600	Schumer	General Motors	Honeoye Falls, NY
II	RDA	0604642A	88	Army Fuel Cell Non-Tactical Vehicle Propulsion	1,600	Stabenow	General Motors Corporation	Warren, MI
II	RDA	0604642A	88	Army Fuel Cell Non-Tactical Vehicle Propulsion	1,600	Clinton	General Motors	Honeoye Falls, NY
II	RDA	0605805A	137	HELSTF	6,000	Domenici	Northrop Grumman	White Sands Missile Range, NM
II	RDA	0203735A	155	Vehicle Health Management Systems Development	4,000	Sessions	DRS Test and Energy	Huntsville, AL
II	RDA	0203735A	155	Combat Vehicle Transmission Improvement	3,840	Bayh	Allison Transmission	Indianapolis, IN
II	RDA	0203735A	155	Combat Vehicle Transmission Improvement	3,840	Lugar	Allison Transmission	Indianapolis, IN
II	RDA	0203744A	157	Helicopter Autonomous Landing System (HALS)	4,000	Reid	Sierra Nevada Corporation	Sparks, Nevada
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Kennedy	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Collins	Competitive	N/A
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Stabenow	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Bingaman	Merit based	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Roberts	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Levin	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Domenici	Competitive	Competitive/Not Applicable

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * indicates Committee Initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Clinton	Competitive	N/A
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Dodd	Not Applicable	Merit Based
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Kerry	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0601103N	1	URI Program Increase	8,000	Lautenberg	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0601153N	3	Science and Technology Educational Outreach Programs	800	Warner	College of William and Mary, Navy	Virginia
II	RDN	0601153N	3	Science and Technology Educational Outreach Programs	800	Webb	Office of Naval Research	Dept of the Navy
II	RDN	0602114N	4	Jefferson Lab High Power FEL Development	2,000	Warner	Jefferson National Laboratory	Newport News, VA
II	RDN	0602114N	4	Jefferson Lab High Power FEL Development	2,000	Webb	Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility	Newport News, VA
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Underses Perimeter Security Systems	2,800	Reed	Rite Solutions	Middletown, RI
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Energy Systems Integration Research	2,000	Bill Nelson	Florida State University	Florida
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Energy Systems Integration Research	2,000	Martinez	Florida State University	Tallahassee, Florida
II	RDN	0602123N	5	UAV Fuel Cell Technologies	2,000	Clinton	Nanodynamics Energy, Inc.	Buffalo, NY
II	RDN	0602123N	5	UAV Fuel Cell Technologies	2,000	Schumer	Nanodynamics Energy, Inc.	Buffalo, NY
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Critical Composite Technologies for SOF Medium Range Endurance Craft	1,000	Collins	Maine Marine Manufacturing	Portland, ME
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Critical Composite Technologies for SOF Medium Range Endurance Craft	1,000	Snowe	Maine Marine Manufacturing	Portland, ME
II	RDN	0602131M	6	Rapid Awareness Systems	3,000	Akaka	Archinoetics	Hawaii
II	RDN	0602234N	7	Infrared Materials Center	2,000	Inhofe	Ardmore Development Authority	Ardmore, OK
II	RDN	0602271N	10	RF Power Technologies	2,000	Dole	RF Micro Devices	Greensboro, NC
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Excalibur UAV	800	Warner	Aurora Flight Sciences	Manassas, VA
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Excalibur UAV	800	Webb	Aurora Flight Sciences Corp.	Manassas, VA
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Navy Manufacturing and Repair Cell	4,000	Levin	Focus: HOPE	Detroit, MI
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Navy Manufacturing and Repair Cell	4,000	Stabenow	Focus: HOPE	Detroit, MI
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Electrochemical Field-Deployable System for Potable Water Generation	2,400	Ensign	American Pacific Corporation (AMPAC)	Las Vegas, Nevada
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Electrochemical Field-Deployable System for Potable Water Generation	2,400	Reid	American Pacific Corporation	Las Vegas, Nevada
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Development of Wide-Band Gap Semiconductor Materials	1,600	Stabenow	Dow Corning Corporation	Midland, MI

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * Indicates Committee initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Development of Wide-Band Gap Semiconductor Materials	1,600	Levin	Dow Corning Corporation	Midland, MI
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Single Generator Operations Lithium Ion Battery	5,000	Reid	Altair Nanotechnologies, Inc.	Sparks, Nevada
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Navy/USMC Fuel Cell Non-Tactical Vehicle Initiative	1,600	Schumer	General Motors	Honeoye Falls, NY
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Navy/USMC Fuel Cell Non-Tactical Vehicle Initiative	1,600	Levin	General Motors Corporation	Warren, MI
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Navy/USMC Fuel Cell Non-Tactical Vehicle Initiative	1,600	Clinton	General Motors	Honeoye Falls, NY
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Fuel Cell Manufacturability Research	2,750	Clinton	Delphi Corporation - West Henrietta	West Henrietta, NY
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Fuel Cell Manufacturability Research	2,750	Schumer	Delphi Corporation - West Henrietta	West Henrietta, NY
II	RDN	0603235N	17	Project Athena	6,400	Reed	Raytheon	Portsmouth, RI
II	RDN	0603506N	34	Sensor Arrays for Multiple Applications (SAMA)	1,500	Bill Nelson	University of North Florida	Florida
II	RDN	0603513N	36	Smart Valve	2,400	Collins	Portland Valve, LLC	Portland, ME
II	RDN	0603513N	36	Smart Valve	2,400	Snowe	Portland Valve, Inc.	South Portland, ME
II	RDN	0603513N	36	Power Conversion Equipment	800	Inhofe	Westwood Corporation	Tulsa, OK
II	RDN	0603513N	36	High Temperature Superconducting AC Synchronous Propulsion Motor	2,000	Kennedy	American Superconductor	Westborough, MA
II	RDN	0603513N	36	Shipboard Flywheel Energy Storage System	600	Kennedy	Beacon Power	Wilmington, MA
II	RDN	0603553N	41	Improved Surface Vessel Torpedo Tube Launcher	1,600	Reed	Sea Corp	Middletown, RI
II	RDN	0603561N	43	Undersea Missile Launch Study (ULMS)	5,000	Lieberman	Electric Boat	Groton, CT
II	RDN	0603561N	43	Undersea Missile Launch Study (ULMS)	5,000	Reed	General Dynamics	Groton, CT
II	RDN	0603561N	43	Undersea Missile Launch Study (ULMS)	5,000	Dodd	General Dynamics Electric Boat	Groton, CT
II	RDN	0603561N	43	Twinline Thin Line Towed Array	3,200	Dodd	Chesapeake Sciences Corporation	Stonington, CT
II	RDN	0603561N	43	Twinline Thin Line Towed Array	3,200	Lieberman	Chesapeake Sciences	Stonington, CT
II	RDN	0603739N	63	Highly Integrated Optical Interconnects for Advanced Air Vehicles	1,600	Levin	Calumet Electronics Corporation	Calumet, MI

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
II	RDN	0604272N	76	High Power Fiber Laser- Base Pod	1,600	Lugar	SAIC, Crane NSWC	Crane, IN
II	RDN	0604272N	78	High Power Fiber Laser- Base Pod	1,600	Bayh	SAIC	Crane NSWC, IN
II	RDN	0604300N	100	Permanent Magnet Motor System	9,000	Dodd	DRS Technologies Inc.	Danbury, CT
II	RDN	0604300N	100	Permanent Magnet Motor System	9,000	Kennedy	DRS Power Systems	Fitchburg, MA, and Hudson, MA
II	RDN	0604300N	100	Permanent Magnet Motor System	9,000	Lieberman	DRS Power Systems	Danbury, CT
II	RDN	0604300N	100	Advanced Wireless Encryption Module	2,400	Ben Nelson	Transcrypt International	Lincoln, NE
II	RDN	0604503N	106	Improved Submarine Thin Line Towed Array Handler and Array Reliability	3,200	Reed	BAE	Newport, RI
II	RDN	0604558N	113	Submarine Electronic Chart Updates	2,700	Bill Nelson	The Consulting Network	Florida
II	RDN	0604756N	127	Phalanx Next Generation	6,800	Hatch	Raytheon, Colemak Systems Engineering	Kentucky, Utah
II	RDN	0604756N	127	Phalanx Next Generation	6,800	Sessions	JKM Manufacturing, Inc.	Eufaula, AL
II	RDN	0604756N	127	Phalanx Next Generation	6,800	McConnell	Raytheon Missile Systems	Louisville, Kentucky
II	RDN	0604771N	130	Human Clinic Trials - Infusable Hemostatic Drug	3,200	Dole	Entegrion, Inc.	Research Triangle,NC
II	RDN	0604800N	133	JSF continued competitive engine development	240,000	Warner	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
II	RDN	0604800N	133	JSF continued competitive engine development	240,000	Bayh	Rolls Royce	Cincinnati, OH
II	RDN	0604800N	133	JSF continued competitive engine development	240,000	Kennedy	General Electric, Rolls Royce	Lynn, MA, and Walpole, MA
II	RDN	0604800N	133	JSF continued competitive engine development	240,000	McConnell	General Electric	Evendale, Ohio and Lynn, Massachusetts
II	RDN	0604800N	133	JSF continued competitive engine development	240,000	Voinovich	General Electric	Cincinnati, OH
II	RDN	0604800N	133	JSF continued competitive engine development	240,000	Brown	Competitive	Competitive
II	RDN	0101221N	165	LINAC	3,000	Bayh	Indiana University	Crane NSWC, IN
II	RDN	0101221N	165	LINAC	3,000	Lugar	Indiana University, Crane NSWC	Crane, IN
II	RDN	0205633N	183	Structural Life Tracking	1,600	Webb	Technical Data Analysis, Inc.	Falls Church, VA
II	RDN	0205633N	183	Structural Life Tracking	1,600	Warner	TDA	Falls Church, VA
II	RDN	0206623M	187	Anti-Sniper Infrared Targeting System (ASITS)	5,000	McConnell	University of Kentucky Research Foundation	Lexington, KY

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* indicates Committee Initiative		
II	RDN	0206623M	187	Ultrasonic Consolidation of Embedded Sensors	1,200	Levin	Solidica, Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDN	0206623M	187	Ultrasonic Consolidation of Embedded Sensors	1,200	Stabenow	Solidica, Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDN	0708730N	213	National Shipbuilding Research Program	12,000	Sessions	Bender Shipbuilding	Mobile, AL
II	RDN	0708730N	213	National Shipbuilding Research Program	12,000	Lott	Competitive	Competitive
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	High-Energy Laser Systems Research	3,000	Ben Nelson	University of Nebraska, Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	High-Energy Laser Systems Research	3,000	Hagel	University of Nebraska, Lincoln	Lincoln, NE
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	Military Decision Cycle Time Research	2,400	Hagel	University of Nebraska, Omaha	Omaha, NE
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	Military Decision Cycle Time Research	2,400	Ben Nelson	University of Nebraska, Omaha	Omaha, NE
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	Secure Grid Research	2,400	Cornyn	University of Texas-Dallas; University of Texas-Arlington	Richardson, Texas; Arlington, Texas
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Kennedy	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Collins	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Stabenow	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Levin	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Bingaman	Merit based	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Roberts	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Domenici	Competitive	Competitive/Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Clinton	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Dodd	Not Applicable	Merit Based
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Kerry	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0601103F	2	URI Program Increase	8,000	Lautenberg	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0602102F	5	Advanced Carbon Fiber Research and Testing Initiative	3,000	Graham	Cytec, Inc.	Rock Hill, SC
II	RDAF	0602201F	6	Optical Connector Research	800	Stabenow	Translume Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDAF	0602201F	6	Optical Connector Research	800	Levin	Translume Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDAF	0602601F	11	Nuclear Test Seismic Research	2,400	Kerry	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0602601F	11	Rocket Payload Shielding Technologies	2400	Thune	South Dakota School of Mines & Technology	Rapid City, SD
II	RDAF	0602702F	14	Cyber Attack Mitigation Lab	1,900	Clinton	CACI Technologies, Inc.	Air Force Research Laboratory, Rome, NY
II	RDAF	0602702F	14	Cyber Attack Mitigation Lab	1,900	Schumer	CACI Technologies, Inc.	Air Force Research Laboratory, Rome, NY

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * Indicates Committee initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Bingaman	Merit based	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Lieberman	Ladish Corporation and Pratt & Whitney	Windsor, CT and Middletown, CT
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Reid	Timet	Henderson, Nevada
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Salazar	Metals Affordability Initiative	Nationwide - 35 states
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Dodd	Metals Affordability Initiative Consortium	Hartford, CT
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Kohl	Ladish Company	Cudahy, Wisconsin
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Rockefeller	Competitive	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Wyden	Metals Affordability Initiative Consortium	Elm Grove, WI
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Levin	Howmet Castings; Metals Affordability Initiative Consortium	Whitehall, MI; Various
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	5,000	Reed	National Grant Program; URI	Kingston, RI
II	RDAF	0603211F	22	Titanium Structures Initiative	1,600	Levin	Alcoa Howmet	Whitehall, MI
II	RDAF	0603211F	22	Titanium Structures Initiative	1,600	Warner	Alcoa Howmet	Hampton, VA
II	RDAF	0603401F	28	Thin Film Amorphous Solar Cells	3,200	Levin	Energy Conversion Devices	Auburn Hills, MI
II	RDAF	0603789F	34	Optical Interconnects Research	2,000	Ensign	OptiComp Corporation	Zephyr Cove, Nevada
II	RDAF	0603789F	34	Optical Interconnects Research	2,000	Reid	OptiComp Corporation	Zephyr Cove, Nevada
II	RDAF	0603438F	45	Self aware - Space Situational Awareness	25,000	*	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0603438F	45	Self aware - Space Situational Awareness	25,000	Kyl	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0604857F	61	OR5	6,100	*	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0604421F	76	Space Control Test	4,000	Sessions	Davidson Technologies	Huntsville, AL
II	RDAF	0604421F	76	RAIDRS Block 20	5,000	*	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0604425F	77	Space fence	9,600	*	Competitive	N/A
II	RDAF	0604800F	91	JSF continue competitive engine	240,000	Warner	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
II	RDAF	0604800F	91	JSF continue competitive engine	240,000	Bayh	Rolls Royce	Cincinnati, OH
II	RDAF	0604800F	91	JSF continue competitive engine	240,000	Kennedy	General Electric, Rolls Royce	Lynn, MA, and Walpole, MA
II	RDAF	0604800F	91	JSF continue competitive engine	240,000	McConnell	General Electric	Evendale, Ohio and Lynn, Massachusetts
II	RDAF	0604800F	91	JSF continue competitive engine	240,000	Voinovich	General Electric	Cincinnati, OH
II	RDAF	0604800F	91	JSF continue competitive engine	240,000	Brown	Competitive	Competitive

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
II	RDAF	0605860F	111	BMRST	4,000	Bill Nelson	Honeywell International	Florida
II	RDAF	0205219F	128	Predator Trainer Upgrade	3,200	Clinton	L-3 Communications	Binghamton, NY
II	RDAF	0205219F	128	Predator Trainer Upgrade	3,200	Schumer	L-3 Communications	Binghamton, NY
II	RDAF	0305111F	165	Operations Risk Management Visualization & Integration (ORM-VIZ)	600	Ben Nelson	ProLogic Inc.; Spiral Solutions	Omaha, NE; Hanscom AFB, MA; Fairmont West, VA
II	RDAF	0305164F	194	GPS User Equipment	63,200	*	Rockwell Collins; Raytheon; L3 Communications	Cedar Rapids, IA; El Segundo, CA; Anaheim, CA
II	RDAF	0401115F	217	C-130 De-Icing System	1,200	Webb	Defense Holdings, Inc.	Manassas, VA
II	RDAF	0401115F	217	C-130 De-Icing System	1,200	Warner	Defense Holding International	Manassas, VA
II	RDAF	0408011F	228	Combat Casualty Management System for AFSOC	2,900	Reid	Noninvasive Medical Technologies, Inc.	Las Vegas, Nevada
II	RDAF	0708011F	231	Laser Materials Processing	2,000	Ben Nelson	Royal Plastics Mfg., Inc	Minden, NE
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Research	8,000	Clinton	Microelectronics Advanced Research Corporation (MARCO)	Various
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Research	8,000	Isakson	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Research	8,000	Schumer	Microelectronics Advanced Research Corporation (MARCO)	Various
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Research	8,000	Martinez	Florida State University	Tallahassee, Florida
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Research	8,000	Kerry	Georgia Institute of Technology; Massachusetts Institute of Technology; University of California at Los Angeles; University of California at Berkeley; Carnegie Mellon University; others	Various
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Research	8,000	Hatch	Focus Center Research	US Universities
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Research	8,000	Cornyn	University of Texas-Austin; Texas A&M University; University of Texas-Dallas	Austin, Texas; College Station, Texas; Richardson, Texas
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	6	MFEL Program Increase	2,400	Kennedy	Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard University	Boston, MA

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee initiative		
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	6	MFEL Program Increase	2,400	Kerry	Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard University	Boston, MA
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	6	MFEL Program Increase	2,400	Dole	Duke University, Vanderbilt University, others	Durham, NC; TN, others
II	RDDW	0602384BP	14	Chem-Bio Protective Textile Fabric	800	Stabenow	Eastern Michigan University	Ypsilanti, MI
II	RDDW	0602384BP	14	Chem-Bio Protective Textile Fabric	800	Levin	Eastern Michigan University	Ypsilanti, MI
II	RDDW	0602384BP	14	Chem-Bio IR Detector	1,600	Collins	Orono Spectral Solutions	Orono, ME
II	RDDW	0602384BP	14	Chemical Agent Fate Model Verification	1,000	Levin	Kettering University	Flint, MI
II	RDDW	0602716BR	21	Blast Mitigation and Protection Analysis	1,000	Warner	George Mason University	Fairfax, VA
II	RDDW	0602718BR	21	Blast Mitigation and Protection Analysis	1,000	Webb	George Mason University	Fairfax, VA
II	RDDW	0602718BR	21	Comprehensive National Incident Management System	2,400	Warner	Virginia Tech	Blacksburg, VA
II	RDDW	0602718BR	21	Comprehensive National Incident Management System	2,400	Webb	Virginia Tech	Blacksburg, VA
II	RDDW	1160401BB	23	Foliage Penetration Reconnaissance & Survivability	2,000	Akaka	NovaSol	Hawaii
II	RDDW	0603384BP	34	Semiconducting Metal Oxide Sensors	800	Collins	Sensor Research and Development Corporation	Orono, ME
II	RDDW	0603384BP	34	Improved CBR Filters	1,600	Warner	New World Associates	Fredericksburg, VA
II	RDDW	0603384BP	34	Improved CBR Filters	1,600	Webb	New World Associates	Fredericksburg, VA
II	RDDW	0603384BP	34	Raman Chemical ID System	1,600	Kennedy	Ahura Corp.	Wilmington, MA
II	RDDW	0603665D8Z	38	Standoff Biometrics Technology	3,200	Kennedy	Retica Systems	Waltham, MA
II	RDDW	0603680D8Z	40	High Performance Defense Manufacturing Technology Research	8,000	*	Competitive	N/A
II	RDDW	0603680D8Z	40	Disruptive Manufacturing Technologies Research	8,000	*	Competitive	N/A
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Vehicle Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Logistics Program	8,000	Levin	Defense Logistics Agency	Fort Belvoir, VA
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Solid Hydrogen Storage Initiative	5,000	Levin	Defense Logistics Agency	Fort Belvoir, VA
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	UAV Battery Technologies	2,000	Bayh	Enerdel	Indianapolis, IN
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	UAV Battery Technologies	2,000	Lugar	Enerdel	Indianapolis, IN
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Biofuels Program	1,600	Levin	Defense Logistics Agency	Fort Belvoir, VA
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Emerging Critical Interconnect Technology Program	800	Bayh	Not Applicable	Crane NSWC, IN

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Emerging Critical Interconnect Technology Program	800	Lugar	Crane Naval Surface Warfare Center	Crane, IN
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Mobile Microgrid Research	3,000	Levin	NextEnergy Center	Detroit, MI
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Mobile Microgrid Research	3,000	Stabenow	NextEnergy Center	Detroit, MI
II	RDDW	0603716D8Z	44	Enhanced Water Remediation Research	800	Levin	Central Michigan University	Mt. Pleasant, Michigan
II	RDDW	0603755D8Z	50	High Performance Computing Modeling and Simulation	1,600	Bill Nelson	University of Central Florida	Florida
II	RDDW	0603826D8Z	60	Small Craft Integrated Common Operating Picture	800	Snowe	Technology Systems, Inc.	Brunswick, ME
II	RDDW	0603826D8Z	60	Small Craft Integrated Common Operating Picture	800	Collins	Technology Systems, Inc.	Brunswick, ME
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Asymmetric Warfare Initiative	800	Collins	Maine Emergency Management Agency	Augusta, ME
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Asymmetric Warfare Initiative	800	Warner	Not Applicable	Virginia
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Asymmetric Warfare Initiative	800	Webb	Office of Commonwealth Preparedness	Richmond, VA
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Asymmetric Warfare Initiative	800	Snowe	Maine Emergency Management Agency	Augusta, ME
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Cultural and Societal Modeling and Simulation	2,560	*	Simtex; Alion; others	West Lafayette, IN; Alexandria, VA; Hampton Roads, VA
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Joint Urban Fires Prototype (JUFP)	1,200	*	Joint Forces Command	Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake
II	RDDW	0603941D8Z	63	Test Range and Resource Analysis	1,000	Ensign	Desert Research Institute (DRI)	Las Vegas, Nevada
II	RDDW	0603941D8Z	63	Test Range and Resource Analysis	1,000	Reid	Desert Research Institute	Reno, Nevada
II	RDDW	1160402BB	65	Portable Power Sources Development	2,400	Stabenow	Adaptive Materials Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDDW	1160402BB	65	Portable Power Sources Development	2,400	Levin	Adaptive Materials Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDDW	0603881C	72	Short-Range Ballistic Missile Defense (SRBMD)	20,000	Kyl	Raytheon	Tucson, Arizona
II	RDDW	0603881C	72	Short-Range Ballistic Missile Defense (SRBMD)	20,000	Lott	Competitive	Competitive
II	RDDW	0603881C	72	Short-Range Ballistic Missile Defense (SRBMD)	20,000	Sessions	TBD	TBD
II	RDDW	0603881C	72	Arrow Co-Production	25,000	Lott	Competitive	Competitive

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* indicates Committee Initiative		
II	RDDW	0603881C	72	Arrow Co-Production	25,000	Sessions	TBD	TBD
II	RDDW	0603881C	72	Study of Upper-Tier Missile Program	10,000	Lott	Competitive	Competitive
II	RDDW	0603881C	72	Study of Upper-Tier Missile Program	10,000	Sessions	TBD	TBD
II	RDDW	0603884BP	75	Real-Time Viral Agent Detectors	1,500	Dole	Virus Detection Sys.	Cary, Wake, NC
II	RDDW	0603892C	82	Aegis BMD	49,000	Pryor	Raytheon Company	East Camden, AR
II	RDDW	0603892C	82	Aegis BMD	49,000	Lincoln	Raytheon Company	East Camden, AR
II	RDDW	0604165D8Z	100A	Prompt Global Strike	100,000	*	Competitive	N/A
II	RDDW	0604940D8Z	131	SAM Hardware Simulators	4,000	Chambliss	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0604940D8Z	131	SAM Hardware Simulators	4,000	Isakson	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0303140G	191	Software Assurance and Education Research	800	Levin	University of Detroit Mercy	Detroit, MI
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Manufacturing Supply Chain Research	1,600	Reed	National Grant Program	New England States
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Manufacturing Supply Chain Research	1,600	Snowe	Maine Manufacturing Extension Partnership	Augusta, ME
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Manufacturing Supply Chain Research	1,600	Collins	New England Manufacturing Supply Chain Initiative	Augusta, ME
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Castings Research	2,000	Reed	National Grant Program, Cumberland	Cumberland, RI
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Military High Pressure Packaging Program	3,200	Bayh	Ameriqual	Evansville, IN
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Military High Pressure Packaging Program	3,200	Lugar	Ameriqual Group	Evansville, IN
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Industrial Base Innovation Fund	24,000	*	Competitive	N/A
III	OMA		10	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System	2,800	Kennedy	Malden Mills	Lawrence, MA
III	OMA		10	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System	2,800	Kerry	Malden Mills	Lawrence, MA
III	OMA		10	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System	2,800	Graham	ADS	Barnwell, SC
III	OMA		110	Training Range Improvement	1,200	Chambliss	Meggitt Defense Systems-Caswell	Ft. Stewart, GA
III	OMN		230	Mk45 Mod 5" Gun Overhaul	11,760	McConnell	BAE Systems	Louisville, Kentucky
III	OMMC		10	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System	2,400	Stabenow	Peckham Industries	Lansing, MI
III	OMMC		20	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Akaka	Carwell Products Inc	Various USMC Installations

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* indicates Committee initiative		
III	OMMC		20	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Clinton	Carwell Products, Inc.	Cheektowaga, NY
III	OMMC		20	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Schumer	Carwell Products, Inc.	Cheektowaga, NY
III	OMAF		90	National Security Space Institute	2,640	Allard	Air Force Space Command	Colorado Springs, CO
III	OMAF		560	Mobile Shear	400	Reid	U.S. Air Force, 98th Range Wing	Nellis Air Force Base, Las Vegas, Nevada
III	OMDW		260	Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative	16,000	*	Competitive	None
III	OMDW		260	Defense Readiness Reporting System	1,200	Warner	Not Applicable	Virginia
III	OMDW		260	Defense Readiness Reporting System	1,200	Webb	JFCOM	Norfolk, VA
III	OMDW			Impact Aid	30,000	Ben Nelson	Federally Impacted Schools	Bellevue and schools with appropriate Native American populations
III	OMDW			Impact Aid	30,000	Chambliss	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid	30,000	Isakson	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Reed	National Grant	Newport/Middletown/Portsmouth
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Inhofe	Merit based	Competitive
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Kennedy	Competitive	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Murray	National program - formula allocations	National program
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Dorgan	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Mikulski	Competitive	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Menendez	Competitive	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Roberts	Merit based	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Salazar	Not Applicable	National program - formula allocation
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Clinton	Competitive	N/A

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * Indicates Committee Initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Akaka	Hawaii Dept. of Education	Hawaii
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Conrad	Competitive	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Durbin	Competitive	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Obama	Formula	Formula
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Boxer	Competitive	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Bayh	Not Applicable	N/A
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Bingaman	Merit based	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities	5,000	Cantwell	Competitive	Washington
III	OMDW			Special Assistance to Local Education Agencies	10,000	Chambliss	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
III	OMDW			Special Assistance to Local Education Agencies	10,000	Isakson	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
III	OMAR		80	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Akaka	Carwell Products, Inc.	Various USAR Installations
III	OMAR		80	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Schumer	Carwell Products, Inc.	Cheektowaga, NY
III	OMAR		80	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Clinton	Carwell Products, Inc.	Cheektowaga, NY
III	OMARNG		10	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System	3,200	Reed	Duro Industries	Fall River, MA
III	OMARNG		10	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System	3,200	Carper	Army National Guard	Washington, DC
III	OMARNG		40	National Guard Interoperability Upgrades	800	Webb	Virginia National Guard	Richmond, VA
III	OMARNG		40	National Guard Interoperability Upgrades	800	Warner	Not Applicable	Richmond, VA
III	OMARNG		70	Integrated Disaster & Rapid Data Management Systems	3,000	Collins	Global Relief Technologies	Portsmouth, NH
III	OMARNG		70	Integrated Disaster & Rapid Data Management Systems	3,000	Snowe	Global Relief Technologies	Portsmouth, NH
III	OMARNG		80	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Akaka	Carwell Products, Inc.	Various ARNG Installations
III	OMARNG		80	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Schumer	Carwell Products, Inc.	Cheektowaga, NY

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* indicates Committee Initiative		
III	OMARNG		80	Mobile Corrosion Protection	1,800	Clinton	Carwell Products, Inc.	Cheektowaga, NY
III	OMARNG		130	Operator Driving Simulator	2,400	Warner	FAAC, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI , Richmond, VA
III	OMARNG		130	Operator Driving Simulator	2,400	Webb	FAAC Inc.	Richmond, VA, and Ann Arbor, MI
III	OMARNG		130	Operator Driving Simulator	2,400	Levin	FAAC Incorporated	Ann Arbor, MI
III	OMARNG			Weapons Skills Trainer	4,000	Bill Nelson	Cubic Defense	Florida
III	OMANG		11	Controlled Humidity Protection	800	Graham	Logis-Tech	McEntire Joint National Guard Base
III	OMANG		11	Controlled Humidity Protection	800	Warner	Logis Tech	Richmond, VA
III	OMANG		11	Controlled Humidity Protection	800	Webb	Logis-Tech, Inc.	Manassas, VA
III	OM Transfer		50	Environmental Restoration Formerly Used Sites - Increased Funds	20,000	*	Competitive	None
XIII	OM	Misc Appr	190	CTR Increased Funds	80,000	*	Not Applicable	Various
XIV	OIG O&M		10	OIG O&M Increased Funds	10,000	*	Competitive	None
XIV	CAMD O&M			Chemical Demilitarization Program Increase	19,000	*	Merit based	Merit Based
XV	OPA		9A	Army MRAP	600,000	Biden	BAE; Force Protection International Inc., International Military Group of International Truck, others	York, PA; Ladson, SC; West Point, MS; Various
XV	OPA		9A	Army MRAP	600,000	Graham	BAE; Force Protection International Inc., International Military Group of International Truck, others	York, PA; Ladson, SC; West Point, MS; Various
XV	OPA		9A	Army MRAP	600,000	Casey	BAE; Force Protection International Inc., International Military Group of International Truck, others	York, PA; Ladson, SC; West Point, MS; Various
XV	OPA		9A	Army MRAP	600,000	Sanders	BAE; Force Protection International Inc., International Military Group of International Truck, others	York, PA; Ladson, SC; West Point, MS; Various

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
XV	OPA		9A	Army MRAP	600,000	Brown	BAE; Force Protection International Inc., International Military Group of International Truck, others	York, PA; Ladson, SC; West Point, MS; Various
XV	OPA		9A	Army MRAP	600,000	Lincoln	BAE; Force Protection International Inc., International Military Group of International Truck, others	York, PA; Ladson, SC; West Point, MS; Various
XV	OPA		9A	Army MRAP	600,000	Levin	BAE; Force Protection International Inc., International Military Group of International Truck, others	York, PA; Ladson, SC; West Point, MS; Various
XV	JIEDDO			Army Counter IED Systems	152,900	*	Syracuse Research Corporation; others	Various
XV	JIEDDO			Blast injury research	50,000	*	Competitive	Not Applicable
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alabama	SYSTEM SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ANNEX, PH2	20,000	Sessions	Army	REDSTONE ARSENAL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alabama	SYSTEM SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ANNEX, PH2	20,000	Shelby	Army	REDSTONE ARSENAL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alaska	Military Police Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	42,000	Army	Army	FORT RICHARDSON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alaska	Barracks (Grow the Force)	36,000	Army	Army	FORT RICHARDSON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alaska	Construction Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	14,800	Army	Army	FORT RICHARDSON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alaska	EOD/MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	11,600	Army	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alaska	Barracks (Grow the Force)	20,000	Army	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT
XXI	Milcon, Army		Alaska	RAILHEAD OPERATIONS FACILITY PHASE 1	8,900	Stevens	Army	FORT WAINWRIGHT
XXI	Milcon, Army		Arizona	General Instructional Building (Grow the Force)	13,600	Army	Army	FORT HUACHUCA
XXI	Milcon, Army		Arizona	AIT Trainee Complex (Grow the Force)	105,000	Army	Army	FORT HUACHUCA
XXI	Milcon, Army		Colorado	Engineer Bn HQ/Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	59,000	Army	Army	FORT CARSON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Colorado	Barracks (Grow the Force)	53,000	Army	Army	FORT CARSON

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * Indicates Committee initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXI	Milcon, Army		Colorado	MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	13,000	Army	Army	FORT CARSON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Colorado	Hospital Addition & Dental Clinic (Grow the Force)	18,000	Army	Army	FORT CARSON
XXI	Family House Const, Army		Colorado	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)	98,300	Army	Army	FORT CARSON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Florida	Dining facility, Camp Rudder, Eglin AFB	1,500	Bill Nelson	Army	Camp Rudder, Eglin AFB, FL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Georgia	Fire Station (Grow the Force)	5,500	Army	Army	FORT STEWART
XXI	Milcon, Army		Georgia	Barracks (Grow the Force)	25,000	Army	Army	FORT STEWART
XXI	Milcon, Army		Georgia	Human Resources Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	15,000	Army	Army	FORT STEWART
XXI	Milcon, Army		Georgia	Quartermaster Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	16,000	Army	Army	HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Illinois	COMBINED FIRE/POLICE FACILITY, PHASE 2	3,350	Durbin	Army	ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Illinois	COMBINED FIRE/POLICE FACILITY, PHASE 2	3,350	Obama	Army	ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kansas	MP HQ & MP I/R Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	23,000	Army	Army	FORT LEAVENWORTH
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kansas	Barracks (Grow the Force)	12,800	Army	Army	FORT LEAVENWORTH
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kansas	Engineer Bn HQ/Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	43,000	Army	Army	FORT RILEY
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kansas	Barracks (Grow the Force)	50,000	Army	Army	FORT RILEY
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kansas	Child Development Center (Grow the Force)	8,500	Army	Army	FORT RILEY
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kansas	Health & Dental Clinic (Grow the Force)	8,800	Army	Army	FORT RILEY
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kentucky	MP/CID/Medical Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	24,000	Army	Army	FORT CAMPBELL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Kentucky	Barracks (Grow the Force)	27,000	Army	Army	FORT CAMPBELL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Louisiana	4TH BDE, HEADQUARTERS	9,800	Landrieu	Army	FORT POLK
XXI	Milcon, Army		Louisiana	4TH BDE, HEADQUARTERS	9,800	Vitter	Army	FORT POLK
XXI	Milcon, Army		Louisiana	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,100	Landrieu	Army	FORT POLK
XXI	Milcon, Army		Louisiana	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,100	Vitter	Army	FORT POLK
XXI	Milcon, Army		Maryland	AUTOMOTIVE TECH EVALUATION FAC	12,200	Cardin	Army	ABERDEEN PG
XXI	Milcon, Army		Maryland	AUTOMOTIVE TECH EVALUATION FAC	12,200	Mikulski	Army	ABERDEEN PG

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* indicates Committee Initiative		
XXI	Milcon, Army		Michigan	GROUND SYS POWER & ENERGY LAB	18,500	Levin	Army	DETROIT ARSENAL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Michigan	GROUND SYS POWER & ENERGY LAB	18,500	Stabenow	Army	DETROIT ARSENAL
XXI	Milcon, Army		Missouri	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range (Grow the Force)	4,150	Army	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Missouri	Automated Pistol Range (Grow the Force)	2,700	Army	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Missouri	Manuever Enhance Bde Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	56,000	Army	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Missouri	Barracks (Grow the Force)	26,000	Army	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Missouri	Dining Facility-Basic Combat Training Complex (Grow the Force)	22,000	Army	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Missouri	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,000	Bond	Army	FORT LEONARD WOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Nevada	Wabuska rail spur, Hawthorne AAP	1,400	Reid	Army	Hawthorne AAP, NV
XXI	Milcon, Army		New Mexico	Engineer Bn HQ/Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	71,000	Army	Army	WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE
XXI	Milcon, Army		New York	BN/Forward Supt/Eng Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	41,000	Army	Army	FORT DRUM
XXI	Milcon, Army		New York	MP BN HQ/MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	38,000	Army	Army	FORT DRUM
XXI	Milcon, Army		New York	Barracks (Grow the Force)	61,000	Army	Army	FORT DRUM
XXI	Milcon, Army		North Carolina	BLOOD DONOR FACILITY	4,800	Dole	Army	FORT BRAGG
XXI	Milcon, Army		North Carolina	Barracks (Grow the Force)	73,000	Army	Army	FORT BRAGG
XXI	Milcon, Army		North Carolina	Ammunition Supply Point/Vehicle Maint (Grow the Force)	88,000	Army	Army	FORT BRAGG
XXI	Milcon, Army		North Carolina	Surv/Signal/Qtr/Eng/POL Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	54,000	Army	Army	FORT BRAGG
XXI	Family House Const, Army		North Carolina	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)	59,400	Army	Army	FORT BRAGG
XXI	Milcon, Army		Oklahoma	MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	3,300	Inhofe	Army	FORT SILL
XXI	Milcon, Army		South Carolina	Basic Training Complex (Grow the Force)	85,000	Army	Army	FORT JACKSON

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
				(Amounts in Thousands)		* Indicates Committee initiative		
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	Army Eval Task Force Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	84,000	Army	Army	FORT BLISS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	Barracks (Grow the Force)	11,400	Army	Army	FORT BLISS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	Health & Dental Clinic (Grow the Force)	16,500	Army	Army	FORT BLISS
XXI	Family House Const, Army		Texas	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)	35,600	Army	Army	FORT BLISS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	Air Defense Bde HQ/Patriot Bn Unit Ops Facil (Grow the Force)	46,000	Army	Army	FORT HOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	Barracks (Grow the Force)	45,000	Army	Army	FORT HOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,400	Cornyn	Army	FORT HOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,400	Hutchison	Army	FORT HOOD
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	Medical Companies Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	10,600	Army	Army	FORT SAM HOUSTON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Texas	Barracks (Grow the Force)	6,600	Army	Army	FORT SAM HOUSTON
XXI	Milcon, Army		Virginia	Barracks (Grow the Force)	32,000	Army	Army	FORT EUSTIS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Virginia	Transportation Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	43,000	Army	Army	FORT EUSTIS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Virginia	POL Truck Company Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	9,800	Army	Army	FORT LEE
XXI	Milcon, Army		Virginia	Barracks (Grow the Force)	6,900	Army	Army	FORT LEE
XXI	Milcon, Army		Virginia	Infantry Company Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	8,400	Army	Army	FORT MYER
XXI	Milcon, Army		Virginia	Barracks (Grow the Force)	12,400	Army	Army	FORT MYER
XXI	Milcon, Army		Washington	Railroad Yard Upgrade (Grow the Force)	14,600	Army	Army	FORT LEWIS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Washington	Barracks (Grow the Force)	32,000	Army	Army	FORT LEWIS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Washington	EOD/Eng/Med/MP Unit Operations Facilities (Grow the Force)	62,000	Army	Army	FORT LEWIS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Washington	Battlefield Surveil Bde Unit Ops Facilities (Grow the Force)	51,000	Army	Army	FORT LEWIS
XXI	Family House Const, Army		Washington	Family Housing Privatization (Grow the Force)	72,700	Army	Army	FORT LEWIS
XXI	Milcon, Army		Worldwide Unspecified	PLANNING & DESIGN	3,000	*	Army	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	Force Intel Ops Center - HQ Area (Grow the Force)	24,990	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * Indicates Committee Initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	Consolidated Comm/Electronics Shop (Grow the Force)	16,840	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	1st MLG Operations Center (Grow the Force)	18,160	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	1st MLG Armory (Grow the Force)	6,150	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	1st MLG Group and Battalion Ops Center (Grow the Force)	22,220	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	BEQ - Wounded Warrior Battalion (Grow the Force)	25,940	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP PENDLETON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	Hangar Modification (Grow the Force)	26,760	Marine Corps	Navy	MIRAMAR MCAS
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	Multi-Battalion Operations Center (Grow the Force)	33,770	Marine Corps	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	Multi-Battalion Operations Center (Grow the Force)	33,650	Marine Corps	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	Armory (Grow the Force)	5,920	Marine Corps	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	Landfill (Grow the Force)	13,560	Marine Corps	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS
XXII	Milcon, Navy		California	MOUT Facility (Phase 3) (Grow the Force)	21,390	Marine Corps	Navy	TWENTYNINE PALMS
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Connecticut	WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY	11,900	Dodd	Navy	NAVAL SUB BASE NEW LONDON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Connecticut	WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY	11,900	Lieberman	Navy	NAVAL SUB BASE NEW LONDON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Florida	ENGINEERING SERVICES FACILITY	9,900	Bill Nelson	Navy	CAPE CANAVERAL
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Hawaii	DRYDOCK NUMBER 1 AND 2	30,200	Akaka	Navy	PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Hawaii	DRYDOCK NUMBER 1 AND 2	30,200	Inouye	Navy	PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Indiana	SECURED ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYS FAC	12,000	Bayh	Navy	NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY CRANE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Maine	CONSOLIDATED EMERGENCY CONTROL CTR	9,700	Snowe	Navy	PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Maine	CONSOLIDATED EMERGENCY CONTROL CTR	9,700	Collins	Navy	PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Mississippi	FIRE STATION	6,770	Cochran	Navy	NAVAL AIR STATION MERIDIAN

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
					(Amounts in Thousands)	* Indicates Committee Initiative		
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Mississippi	FIRE STATION	8,770	Lott	Navy	NAVAL AIR STATION MERIDIAN
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Nevada	RANGE IMPROVEMENTS, B-20	11,460	Ensign	Navy	NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Nevada	RANGE IMPROVEMENTS, B-20	11,460	Reid	Navy	NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON
XXII	Milcon, Navy		New Jersey	JOINT INSTALLATION ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	4,100	Lautenberg	Navy	NAVAL AIR STATION LAKEHURST
XXII	Milcon, Navy		New Jersey	JOINT INSTALLATION ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	4,100	Menendez	Navy	NAVAL AIR STATION LAKEHURST
XXII	Milcon, Navy		North Carolina	Multi-Purpose Machine Gun Range - G10 (Grow the Force)	17,250	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		North Carolina	Landfill Cell (Grow the Force)	14,170	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		North Carolina	Wastewater System Modification (Grow the Force)	7,070	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		North Carolina	Main Gate Physical Security Upgrade (Grow the Force)	7,920	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		North Carolina	Physical Security Upgrades - Piney Green (Grow the Force)	6,660	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		North Carolina	BEQ - Wounded Warrior Battalion (Grow the Force)	27,270	Marine Corps	Navy	CAMP LEJEUNE
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Rhode Island	RECONSTRUCT WHARF BETWEEN PIERS 1 AND 2	9,990	Reed	Navy	NAVAL STATION NEWPORT
XXII	Milcon, Navy		South Carolina	Consolidated Dining Facility (Grow the Force)	24,430	Marine Corps	Navy	PARRIS ISLAND MCRD
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Virginia	JOINT FORCES COMMAND HQ FAC - BLDG 1	14,200	Warner	Navy	NORFOLK
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Virginia	JOINT FORCES COMMAND HQ FAC - BLDG 1	14,200	Webb	Navy	NORFOLK
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Virginia	Warfare Programs Support Center (Grow the Force)	5,000	Marine Corps	Navy	QUANTICO
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Washington	FLEET REGION READINESS CENTER	10,940	Murray	Navy	NAVAL STATION EVERETT
XXII	Milcon, Navy		Worldwide Unspecified	PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,000	*	Navy	VARIOUS WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

(Amounts in Thousands) * indicates Committee Initiative

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Alaska	REPLACE JOINT PME CENTER, PHASE 1	13,000	Stevens	Air Force	ELMENDORF AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Arkansas	REPAIR RUNWAY	9,800	Lincoln	Air Force	LITTLE ROCK AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Arkansas	REPAIR RUNWAY	9,800	Pryor	Air Force	LITTLE ROCK AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Illinois	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	8,200	Durbin	Air Force	SCOTT AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Massachusetts	RENOVATE ACQUISITION MGT FACILITY	12,800	Kennedy	Air Force	HANSCOM AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Montana	CONSTRUCT COMMUNITY ACTIVITY CENTER	7,000	Baucus	Air Force	MALMSTROM AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Nevada	JOINT TERMINAL AIR CONTROL VIRTUAL TNG FAC	4,950	Ensign	Air Force	NELLIS AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Nevada	JOINT TERMINAL AIR CONTROL VIRTUAL TNG FAC	4,950	Reid	Air Force	NELLIS AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		New Mexico	PJ/CRO RESCUE/RECOVERY TRNG CENTER	11,400	Bingaman	Air Force	KIRTLAND AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		New Mexico	PJ/CRO RESCUE/RECOVERY TRNG CENTER	11,400	Domenici	Air Force	KIRTLAND AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		North Dakota	CONTROL TOWER/RAPCON	13,000	Conrad	Air Force	GRAND FORKS AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		North Dakota	CONTROL TOWER/RAPCON	13,000	Dorgan	Air Force	GRAND FORKS AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Oklahoma	FUELS SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,700	Inhofe	Air Force	VANCE AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		South Carolina	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	11,000	Graham	Air Force	CHARLESTON AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		South Dakota	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING ADMIN FACILITY	16,600	Johnson	Air Force	ELLSWORTH AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		South Dakota	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING ADMIN FACILITY	16,600	Thune	Air Force	ELLSWORTH AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Utah	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,200	Bennett	Air Force	HILL AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Utah	MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,200	Hatch	Air Force	HILL AFB
XXIII	Milcon, Air Force		Worldwide Unspecified	PLANNING AND DESIGN	9,516	*	Air Force	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE
XXIV	Milcon, Defense Agencies		Kentucky	AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PHASE VIII	18,000	McConnell	DOD	BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT
XXIV	Milcon, Defense Agencies		Mississippi	SOF RIVERINE AND COMBATANT CRAFT OPS FAC	10,200	Cochran	SOCOM	STENNIS SPACE CENTER

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
				(Amounts in Thousands)		* Indicates Committee initiative		
XXIV	Milcon, Defense Agencies		Mississippi	SOF RIVERINE AND COMBATANT CRAFT OPS FAC	10,200	Lott	SOCOM	STENNIS SPACE CENTER
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Alaska	Add/alter readiness center, Kenai	1,400	Stevens	Alaska National Guard	Kenai, AK
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Arizona	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	10,870	Kyl	Army Guard	FLORENCE
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Arkansas	Professional Educ Ctr/Training Complex (Grow the Force)	18,423	Army	Army Guard	CAMP ROBINSON
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Colorado	SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	7,300	Allard	Air National Guard	BUCKLEY ANGB
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Colorado	SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	7,300	Salazar	Air National Guard	BUCKLEY ANGB
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Delaware	REPLACE C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	10,800	Biden	Air National Guard	NEW CASTLE
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Delaware	REPLACE C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	10,800	Carper	Air National Guard	NEW CASTLE
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Georgia	TROOP QUARTERS	9,000	Chambliss	Air National Guard	SAVANNAH IAP
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Georgia	TROOP QUARTERS	9,000	Isakson	Air National Guard	SAVANNAH IAP
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Idaho	TRAINING AREA RAILHEAD, PH 2	7,615	Craig	Army Guard	GOWEN FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Idaho	TRAINING AREA RAILHEAD, PH 2	7,615	Crapo	Army Guard	GOWEN FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Iowa	READINESS CENTER	13,166	Grassley	Army Guard	IOWA CITY
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Iowa	READINESS CENTER	13,166	Harkin	Army Guard	IOWA CITY
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Kansas	ASOS BEDDOWN	9,000	Brownback	Air National Guard	SMOKY HILL RANGE
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Michigan	INFANTRY PL BATTLE COURSE/LIVE FIRE RANGE	2,450	Levin	Army Guard	CAMP GRAYLING
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Michigan	INFANTRY PL BATTLE COURSE/LIVE FIRE RANGE	2,450	Stabenow	Army Guard	CAMP GRAYLING
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Michigan	USPFO AND READINESS CENTER, PH 2	4,239	Levin	Army Guard	LANSING
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Michigan	USPFO AND READINESS CENTER, PH 2	4,239	Stabenow	Army Guard	LANSING
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Minnesota	DESIGN JOINT FORCES HQ AND EMERGENCY OPS CTR	3,536	Klobuchar	Army Guard	Arden Hills Army Training Site

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
				(Amounts in Thousands)		* indicates Committee initiative		
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Mississippi	LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE/URBANASSAULT COURSE	4,000	Cochran	Army Guard	CAMP SHELBY
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Mississippi	LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE/URBANASSAULT COURSE	4,000	Lott	Army Guard	CAMP SHELBY
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Nebraska	SECURITY FORCES & COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	8,900	Hagel	Air National Guard	LINCOLN
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Nebraska	SECURITY FORCES & COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	8,900	Nelson, Ben	Air National Guard	LINCOLN
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Nevada	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	5,200	Ensign	Air National Guard	RENO-TAHOE IAP
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Nevada	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	5,200	Reid	Air National Guard	RENO-TAHOE IAP
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		New Hampshire	WING HEADQUARTERS, OPS AND TRAINING FACILITY	8,900	Gregg	Air National Guard	PEASE ANGB
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		New Hampshire	WING HEADQUARTERS, OPS AND TRAINING FACILITY	8,900	Sununu	Air National Guard	PEASE ANGB
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		New York	PARARESCUE FACILITY, PHASE 1	8,400	Clinton	Air National Guard	GABRESKI AIRPORT
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		New York	PARARESCUE FACILITY, PHASE 1	8,400	Schumer	Air National Guard	GABRESKI AIRPORT
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		North Dakota	Regional Training Institute Phase 1 (Grow the Force)	33,416	Army	Army Guard	CAMP GRAFTON
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Oregon	DESIGN READINESS CENTER	960	Smith	Army Guard	The Dalles
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Oregon	DESIGN READINESS CENTER	960	Wyden	Army Guard	The Dalles
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Pennsylvania	OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	6,300	Casey	Air National Guard	FORT INDIANTOWN GAP
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Rhode Island	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING FAC	5,000	Reed	Air National Guard	QUONSET STATE AIRPORT
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		South Dakota	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINT FACILITY	7,900	Johnson	Air National Guard	JOE FOSS FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		South Dakota	BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING MAINT FACILITY	7,900	Thune	Air National Guard	JOE FOSS FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		South Dakota	Joint force headquarters, Rapid City	900	Johnson	South Dakota National Guard	Rapid City, SD

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	CONF CHANGE	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
				(Amounts in Thousands)		* Indicates Committee Initiative		
XXVI	Milcon, Army Reserve		Texas	AFRC/BATTLE PROJECTION CTR, PH 2	15,000	Cornyn	Army Reserve	ELLINGTON FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Army Reserve		Texas	AFRC/BATTLE PROJECTION CTR, PH 2	15,000	Hutchison	Army Reserve	ELLINGTON FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Vermont	MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	1,996	Leahy	Army Guard	ETHAN ALLEN RANGE
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Vermont	Billeting, regional readiness tech center, Northfield	1,500	Leahy	Vermont National Guard	Northfield, VT
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Vermont	POOR FARM ROAD SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	6,600	Leahy	Air National Guard	BURLINGTON
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Virginia	Regional Training Institute Phase 1 (Grow the Force)	25,161	Army	Army Guard	FORT PICKETT
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Virginia	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,113	Warner	Army Guard	WINCHESTER
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Virginia	FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,113	Webb	Army Guard	WINCHESTER
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		West Virginia	C-5 PARKING APRON ADD/UPGRADE RUNWAY	12,000	Byrd	Air National Guard	E WV REG APT - SHEPHERD FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		West Virginia	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	17,300	Byrd	Air National Guard	YEAGER
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Wisconsin	FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	7,300	Kohl	Air National Guard	TRUAX FIELD
XXVI	Milcon, Army Guard		Worldwide Unspecified	PLANNING AND DESIGN (Other)	2,259	*	Army Guard	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE
XXVI	Milcon, Air National Guard		Worldwide Unspecified	PLANNING & DESIGN	1,000	*	Air National Guard	UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE
XXXI	NNSA			Nonproliferation	230,000	*	NNSA	Various
XXXI	NNSA			IAEA Fuel Bank	50,000	*	IAEA	IAEA
XXXI	NNSA			Weapons Dismantlement	20,000	*	NNSA	Pantex Plant, TX
XXXI	NNSA			NIF	15,100	*	NNSA	Lawrence Livermore National Lab, CA
XXXI	NNSA			Pantex Plant RTBF	36,800	*	NNSA	Pantex Plant, TX
XXXI	NNSA			Safeguards and Security	20,000	*	NNSA	Various
XXXI	NNSA			Office of the Administrator	5,000	*	NNSA	Washington, DC
XXXI	DOE-EM			Technology Development	10,000	*	Dept. of Energy	Various

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	APA		18	UH-60 Medevac and SAR Thermal Imaging	$1,000	Capps	U.S. Army National Guard	Salem, OR
I	APA		18	UH-60 Medevac and SAR Thermal Imaging	$1,000	Cole	FLIR Systems, Inc.	Wilsonville, OR
I	APA		18	UH-60 Medevac and SAR Thermal Imaging	$1,000	King, Peter	U.S. Army National Guard	Rochester, Islip, and Albany, NY
I	APA		18	UH-60L Upgrade	$1,600	Cummings	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
I	APA		18	UH-60L Upgrade	$1,600	Ellsworth	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
I	APA		18	UH-60L Upgrade	$1,600	King, Peter	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
I	APA		18	UH-60L Upgrade	$1,600	Ortiz	Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford, CT
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	Carnahan	BAE Systems, Inc.	
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	Garrett	BAE Systems, Inc.	
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	Gillibrand	Watervliet Arsenal	Watervliet, NY
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	Hare	BAE Systems, Inc.	
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	Hoekstra	BAE Systems, Inc.	
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	McNulty	Watervliet Arsenal	Watervliet, NY
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	Ryan, Timothy	BAE Systems, Inc.	
I	WTCV		37	Light Weight 155mm Howitzer (T)	$4,000	Taylor	BAE Systems, Inc.	
I	PAA		33	Outloading Module McAlester AAP	$800	Boren	McAlester AAP	OK
I	OPA		2	Flatbed tactical trailer refurbishment (M 871)	$800	Boyda	Army National Guard Readiness Center	Arlington, VA
I	OPA		26	Defense Advanced GPS Reciever (DAGR)	$4,000	Hinchey	U.S. Army	
I	OPA		26	Defense Advanced GPS Reciever (DAGR)	$4,000	Loebsack	U.S. Army; Rockwell Collins	
I	OPA		95	Windows Based Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data Systems (AFATDS)	$3,360	Cooper	Houston Barracks	Nashville, TN
I	OPA		105	Depot AIT (D-AIT) at ANAD and RRAD	$1,600	Akin	Intermec Technologies Corporation	Everett, WA
I	OPA		105	Depot AIT (D-AIT) at ANAD and RRAD	$1,600	Hall, Ralph	Intermec Technologies Corporation	Everett, WA
I	OPA		105	Depot AIT (D-AIT) at ANAD and RRAD	$1,600	Rogers, Mike (AL)	Intermec Technologies Corporation	Everett, WA
I	OPA		105	Depot AIT (D-AIT) at ANAD and RRAD	$1,600	Ross	Intermec Technologies Corporation	Everett, WA
I	OPA		169	Great Plains Joint Regional Training Center Radios	$500	Boyda	Smoky Hill Weapons Range	Salina, KS
I	OPA		169	FlexTrain Combat Training Cap (XCTC)	$2,000	Kline	SRI International	Menlo Park, CA; Minnesota National Guard
I	OPA		169	FlexTrain Combat Training Cap (XCTC)	$2,000	Oberstar	SRI International	Menlo Park, CA
I	OPA		169	FlexTrain Combat Training Cap (XCTC)	$2,000	Ortiz	SRI International	Menlo Park, CA; Texas National Guard

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	OPA		169	FlexTrain Combat Training Cap (XCTC)	$2,000	Whitfield	SRI International	Menlo Park, CA; Wendell H. Ford Regional Training Center
I	OPA		170	Fire Trainer Iteration II/Joint Fires and Effects Trainer System	$3,200	Cole	Techrizon, LLC	Lawton, OK (execution at Fort Sill, OK)
I	OPA		170	Combat Arms Training System (CATS) - ARNG	$3,200	Gingrey	FATS, Inc	Suwanee, GA
I	OPA		170	Air and Missile Defense Instrumentation System	$1,600	Reyes	Raytheon Company	El Paso, TX
I	OPA		170	Combat Skills Training Simulation Systems	$800	Space	Beamhit Corporation; Raydon Corporation	Columbia, MD; Daytona Beach, FL
I	APN		35	P-3C High Resolution Digital Recorder	$1,500	Andrews	AIP Mission Systems	Patuxent River, MD
I	APN		35	P-3C High Resolution Digital Recorder	$1,500	LoBiondo	Naval Air Warfare Center	Patuxent River, MD
I	APN		35	P-3C High Resolution Digital Recorder	$1,500	Saxton	L-3 Communications Systems East	Camden, NJ
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine (VCS) Advance Procurement	$588,000	Billirakis	Electric Boat; Newport News Shipyard	Groton, CT; Newport News, VA
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine (VCS) Advance Procurement	$588,000	Courtney	Electric Boat	Groton, CT
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine (VCS) Advance Procurement	$588,000	Davis, Jo Ann	Northrop Grumman Newport News	Newport News, VA
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine (VCS) Advance Procurement	$588,000	Drake	Northrop Grumman Newport News	Arlington, VA
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine (VCS) Advance Procurement	$588,000	Ellsworth	BWX Technologies	Lynchburg, VA
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine (VCS) Advance Procurement	$588,000	Langevin	Electric Boat; Newport News Shipyard	Groton, CT; Newport News, VA
I	SCN		4	Virginia Class Submarine (VCS) Advance Procurement	$588,000	Scott, Robert	Northrop Grumman Newport News	Arlington, VA
I	OPN		18	JP-5 Manifold (globe) (EVOs)	$1,600	Bishop, Timothy	Curtiss Wright Corporation	E. Farmingdale, NY
I	OPN		18	JP-5 Manifold (globe) (EVOs)	$1,600	King, Peter	Curtiss Wright Corporation	E. Farmingdale, NY
I	OPN		18	JP-5 Manifold (globe) (EVOs)	$1,600	McCarthy, Carolyn	Curtiss Wright Corporation	E. Farmingdale, NY
I	OPN		18	CVN Propeller Replacement Program	$3,800	Taylor	Rolls-Royce Naval Marine	Pascagoula, MS
I	OPN		24	Weapon Retriever Vehicle	$1,800	Hunter	The Marine Group, Inc.	Chula Vista, CA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	OPN		31	AN/SPQ-9B Radar for DDG 51 Modernization Program	$4,800	Ackerman	NGC Electronic Systems	Melville, NY
I	OPN		31	AN/SPQ-9B Radar for DDG 51 Modernization Program	$4,800	Bishop, Timothy	NGC Electronic Systems	Melville, NY
I	OPN		31	AN/SPQ-9B Radar for DDG 51 Modernization Program	$4,800	Israel	NGC Electronic Systems	Melville, NY
I	OPN		132	Laser Markmanship Training System (LMTS) for the Navy Reserve-Support PB	$1,600	Bartlett	MPRI	Columbia, MD
I	OPN		133	High Performance Computing Capability	$500	Hunter	IBM	San Diego, CA
I	OPN		137	Envelop Proctective Covers	$1,600	Kline	Shield Technologies Corporation	Eagan, MN
I	OPN		137	Envelop Proctective Covers	$1,600	McCollum	Shield Technologies Corporation	Eagan, MN
I	APAF		25	B-52 Bomber-UPGRADES	$15,200	Pomeroy	AF B-52 System Program Office	
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	Allen	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	DeLauro	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	Fallin	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	Gingrey	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	Larson	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	Lucas	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	Marshall	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		29	F-16, Block 42 Engine Upgrades - ANG	$23,200	Sullivan	Pratt and Whitney	East Hartford, CT
I	APAF		33	C-17 Globemaster III	$2,280,000	Akin	Boeing	Long Beach, CA
I	APAF		33	C-17 Globemaster III	$2,280,000	Bishop, Rob	Boeing	Long Beach, CA
I	APAF		33	C-17 Globemaster III	$2,280,000	Calvert	Boeing	Long Beach, CA
I	APAF		33	C-17 Globemaster III	$2,280,000	Carnahan	Boeing	Long Beach, CA
I	APAF		33	C-17 Globemaster III	$2,280,000	Granger	Boeing	Long Beach, CA
I	APAF		33	C-17 Globemaster III	$2,280,000	Hulshof	Boeing	Long Beach, CA
I	APAF		33	C-17 Globemaster III	$2,280,000	Rohrabacher	Boeing	Long Beach, CA
I	APAF		47	LAIRCM for AC-130	$5,000	Miller, Jeff	L-3 Crestview Aerospace	Crestview, FL
I	APAF		49	Global Air Traffic Management Program (GATM)	$7,200	Hinchey	Rockwell Collins	Binghampton, NY
I	APAF		49	Global Air Traffic Management Program (GATM)	$7,200	Loebsack	Rockwell Collins; U.S. Air Force	

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
I	MPAF		9	ICBM Remote Visual Assessment	$7,000	Bishop, Rob	ICBM System Program Office	Hill AFB, UT; F.E. Warren AFB, WY; Minot AFB, ND; Malstrom AFB, MT
I	OPAF		24	Science, Engineering and Laboratory Data Integration (SELDI)	$1,600	Bishop, Rob	Air Logistics Center	Hill AFB, Utah
I	OPAF		24	Information Modernization for Processing with Advanced Coating Technology (IMPACT)	$1,600	Marshall	Warner Robins Air Logistics Center	Warner Robins AFB, GA
I	OPAF		41	Ground Multiband Terminal (GMT)	$1,600	Israel	USAF Communications Units	
I	OPAF		53	Rescue Streamer Distress Signal Kit	$1,500	Abercrombie	National Guard Bureau & Rescue Technologies Corporation	Aiea, HI
I	PDW		58	MK47 Mod 0 Striker SOCOM Grenade Launcher	$4,600	Allen	General Dynamics Armament and Technical Products	Saco, ME
I	PDW		62	SOC-R Replacement Program	$3,600	Taylor	United States Marine, Inc.	Gulfport, MS
I	PDW		78	Joint Trans Decon System - (JSTDS-SS)	$5,000	Davis, Geoff	DRS Keco	Florence, KY
I	PDW		78	Joint Trans Decon System - (JSTDS-SS)	$5,000	Hare	Illinois National Guard	Monmouth, IL
I	PDW		78	M291/M295 Skin/Equipment Chemical Decontamination	$5,600	Snyder	Pine Bluff Arsenal	AR
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Activated Nanostructures for De-icing	$1,200	Snyder	University of Arkansas	Little Rock, AR
II	RDA	0601102A	2	CBR Functionally Integrated Reactive Surface Technologies (FIRST)	$2,400	Gillibrand	Starfire Systems, Inc.	Malta, NY
II	RDA	0601102A	2	CBR Functionally Integrated Reactive Surface Technologies (FIRST)	$2,400	Smith, Lamar	Southwest Research Institute	San Antonio, TX
II	RDA	0601102A	2	Center of Excellence for Industrial Metrology & Imaging	$1,600	Ryan, Timothy	Youngstown St. University	Youngstown, OH
II	RDA	0601103A	3	Advanced Medical Technology	$1,600	Smith, Lamar	University of Texas Health Science Center	San Antonio, TX
II	RDA	0601103A	3	National Trauma Institute	$1,600	Gonzalez	University of Texas Health Science Center	San Antonio, TX
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Electron Microprobe Facility	$1,400	Etheridge	Fayetteville State University Maritime Mission Center	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDA	0601104A	4	Electron Microprobe Facility	$1,400	McIntyre	Fayetteville State University Maritime Mission Center	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDA	0602105A	5	Ultra Lightweight Metallic Armor	$1,600	Costello	Magnesium Electron	Madison, IL

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Advanced Detection of Explosives (ADE) Program	$1,000	Abercrombie	Alaka'i Consulting and Engineering, Inc.	Largo, FL
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Network Enabled Combat Identification (CID)	$2,400	Andrews	BAE Systems, Inc.	Wayne, NJ
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Electromagnetic Geolocation	$1,000	Davis, Susan	QUASAR Federal Systems	San Diego, CA
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Network Enabled Combat Identification (CID)	$2,400	Pascrell	BAE Systems, Inc.	Wayne, NJ
II	RDA	0602120A	6	Network Enabled Combat Identification (CID)	$2,400	Rothman	BAE Systems, Inc.	Wayne, NJ
II	RDA	0602270A	9	Knowledge Integration and Management Center of Excellence (KIMCOE)	$3,000	Cummings	Morgan State University	Baltimore, MD
II	RDA	0602270A	9	Silver Fox and Manta UAS	$3,200	Franks	Advanced Ceramics Research	Tuscon, AZ
II	RDA	0602270A	9	Silver Fox and Manta UAS	$3,200	Giffords	Advanced Ceramics Research	Tuscon, AZ
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Digital Engine/Hydraulic Valve Actuation	$800	Lamborn	Sturman Industries	Woodland Park, CO
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Digital Engine/Hydraulic Valve Actuation	$800	Udall, Mark	Sturman Industries	Woodland Park, CO
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Light Utility Vehicle	$1,000	Hunter	Chenowth Product Racing Company, Inc.	El Cajon, CA
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Open Architecture for Stryker	$2,800	McKeon	Curtis Wright Controls	Santa Clarita, CA
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Andrews	Sea Box, Inc.	East Riverton, NJ
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Holt	Sea Box, Inc.	East Riverton, NJ
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Meehan	Thermo Fisher	Waltham, MA
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Ryan, Timothy	Ajax Tocco	Warren, OH
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Saxton	Sea Box, Inc.	East Riverton, NJ
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Tierney	Thermo Fisher	Waltham, MA
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Turner	Army Tank Automotive	Dearborn, MI
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab)	$2,400	Wilson, Joe	SCRA	Colombia, SC
II	RDA	0602601A	13	Teamline Secure Mobile MANET System	$1,200	Ryan, Timothy	Western DataCom	Westlake, OH
II	RDA	0602618A	14	Beneficial Infrastructure for Rotorcraft Risk Reduction Demonstrations (BIRRRD)	$800	Sestak	Aberdeen Proving Grounds	Aberdeen, MD
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Roll-to-Roll Microelectronics	$1,600	Myrick	Army Research Laboratory	Adelphi, MD
II	RDA	0602624A	17	Hospital Emergency Planning & Integration	$1,600	Shuster	ARDEC	Picatinny, NJ
II	RDA	0602709A	19	Power Efficient Microdisplay for Army Night Vision	$2,400	Hall, John	eMagin Corporation	Hopewell Junction, NY

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0602716A	21	LWI Training-based Collaborative Research	$21,000	Skelton	Leonard Wood Institute	Fort Leonard Wood, MO
II	RDA	0602720A	22	Propelling Agent (Slurry Gel)	$1,200	Brady, Robert	Day and Zimmermann(HQ in Philadelphia, PA), Hawthorne Corporation	Hawthorne, NV
II	RDA	0602782A	23	Advanced 3-D Locator (A3DL) Technology	$3,200	Sanchez, Loretta	L3 Communications - Interstate Electronics Corporation	Anaheim, CA
II	RDA	0602782A	23	C4ISR Integrated Digital Environment Service Model (IDESM)	$2,000	Saxton	NetIDEAS, Inc.	Mt. Laurel, NJ
II	RDA	0602782A	23	Integrated Lightweight Electronics Shelter	$1,400	Buyer	Purdue University	West Lafayette, IN
II	RDA	0602782A	23	Portable Flexible Communication Display Devices	$1,200	Andrews	Universal Display Corporation	Ewing, NJ
II	RDA	0602782A	23	Portable Flexible Communication Display Devices	$1,200	Bartlett	Universal Display Corporation	Ewing, NJ
II	RDA	0602782A	23	Portable Flexible Communication Display Devices	$1,200	Cummings	Universal Display Corporation	Ewing, NJ
II	RDA	0602782A	23	Portable Flexible Communication Display Devices	$1,200	Marshall	Universal Display Corporation	Ewing, NJ
II	RDA	0602782A	23	Portable Flexible Communication Display Devices	$1,200	Rothman	Universal Display Corporation	Ewing, NJ
II	RDA	0602783A	24	Biologically Inspired Security Infrastructure for Tactical Environments	$1,000	Miller, Jeff	Florida Institute for Human Machine Cognition	Pensacola, FL
II	RDA	0602786A	27	Active and Smart Packaging for Combat Feeding	$1,000	Gingrey	Printpack, Inc.	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602786A	27	ChemBio Protective Hangars	$1,600	Hulshof	Production Products	St. Louis, MO
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Bio-engineering for Enhancement of Soldier Survivability	$1,600	Gingrey	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Bio-engineering for Enhancement of Soldier Survivability	$1,600	Johnson, Hank	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Advanced Bio-engineering for Enhancement of Soldier Survivability	$1,600	Marshall	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Biofoam Protein Hydrogel for Battlefield Trauma	$2,000	Gingrey	CryoLife	Kennesaw, GA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Biofoam Protein Hydrogel for Battlefield Trauma	$2,000	Price, Tom	CryoLife	Kennesaw, GA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Epigenetics Disease Research	$1,600	McMorris Rogers	Institute for Systems Medicine	Spokane, WA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Oxygen Diffusion Dressings	$500	Hunter	Oxyband Technologies, Inc.	San Diego, CA
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Synthetic Malaria Vaccine	$2,400	DeLauro	Vaxinnate, Inc.	Cranbury, NJ
II	RDA	0602787A	28	Synthetic Malaria Vaccine	$2,400	Holt	Vaxinnate, Inc.	Cranbury, NJ
II	RDA	63001A	29	Processing and Packaging for Future Combat Rations	$1,600	Smith, Adam	Avure Technologies	Kent, WA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Advanced Proteomics Program	$1,200	Cooper	Vanderbilt University Medical Center	Nashville, TN
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Brown, Henry	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Buyer	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Filner	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Grijalva	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Kucinich	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	McDermott	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Michaud	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Miller, Jeff	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Shays	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Gulf War Illness Research	$10,000	Smith, Christoper	U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	Fort Detrick, MD
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Lower Limb Prosthetics Research	$3,000	McGovern	Foster-Miller, Inc.	Waltham, MA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Malaria Vaccine Development	$2,000	Smith, Adam	Seattle Biomedical Research Institute	Seattle, WA
II	RDA	0603002A	30	National Functional Genomics Center	$8,400	Castor	H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute	Tampa, FL

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603002A	30	Tracking the Health of Soldiers with Advanced Implantable Nano-Sensors	$1,600	Courtney	University of Connecticut	Storrs, CT
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Electrostatically Conductive Windscreen Laminates for Army Rotor Wing Aircraft	$1,200	Spratt	Aviation & Missile DEC; United Protective Technologies	Huntsville, AL; Charlotte, NC
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Polymer Matrix Composites for Rotorcraft	$2,400	Brady, Robert	V Systems Composites, Inc.	Chester, PA
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Power Defense Transmissions	$800	Spratt	U.S. Army; Timken Company	Fort Eustis, VA; Canton, OH
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Universal Control - FADEC	$3,000	Larson	Goodrich Pump and Engine Controls	West Hartford, CT
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Vectored Thrust Ducted Propeller (VTDP)	$4,000	Andrews	Piasecki Aircraft Corporation	Essington, PA
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Vectored Thrust Ducted Propeller (VTDP)	$4,000	Murphy, Patrick	Piasecki Aircraft Corporation	Essington, PA
II	RDA	0603003A	31	Vectored Thrust Ducted Propeller (VTDP)	$4,000	Sestak	Piasecki Aircraft Corporation	Essington, PA
II	RDA	0603004A	32	Micro Seeker System for Small Steerable Projectiles	$1,600	Dreier	Tanner Research, Inc.	Monrovia, CA
II	RDA	0603004A	32	Knowledge Driven Manufacturing System (KDMS)	$1,000	McCollum	Remmele Engineering	New Brighton, MN
II	RDA	0603004A	32	Electromagnetic Gun Initiative	$850	Sestak	Silicon Power Corporation	Malvern, PA
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Antiballistic Windshield Armor	$4,000	Donnelly	DefenseCS	Mishawaka, IN
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Diminishing Manufacturing Sources (DMSMS)	$2,000	Knollenberg	Automation Alley	Troy, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Diminishing Manufacturing Sources (DMSMS)	$2,000	Levin	Automation Alley	Troy, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Diminishing Manufacturing Sources (DMSMS)	$2,000	Miller, Candice	Automation Alley	Troy, MI
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Structures	$4,000	Buyer	Alcoa Defense Inc.	Lafayette, IN
II	RDA	0603005A	33	Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Structures	$4,000	Souder	Alcoa Defense Inc.	Lafayette, IN
II	RDA	0603008A	36	Advanced Wireless Technologies	$500	Ackerman	InterDigital Communications Corporation	King of Prussia, PA
II	RDA	0603008A	36	Advanced Wireless Technologies	$500	Sestak	InterDigital Communications Corporation	King of Prussia, PA
II	RDA	0603008A	36	Applied Comm and Info Network (ACIN)	$4,000	Andrews	U.S. Army CERDC	Fort Monmouth, NJ
II	RDA	0603008A	36	Applied Comm and Info Network (ACIN)	$4,000	LoBiondo	U.S. Army CERDC	Fort Monmouth, NJ

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603008A	36	Portable Mobile Emergency Broadband Systems	$3,000	Andrews	CECOM RDEC	Ft Monmouth, NJ
II	RDA	0603008A	36	Portable Mobile Emergency Broadband Systems	$3,000	Sestak	Rajant Corp	Malvern, PA
II	RDA	0603015A	38	Joint Fire and Effects Training System	$2,000	Cole	Institute for Creative Technologies	Marina Del Ray, CA (execution at Fort Sill, OK)
II	RDA	0603015A	38	Urban Warfare and Disaster Response Modeling and Simulation	$800	McIntyre	University of North Carolina	Chapel Hill, NC
II	RDA	0603100A	40	Center for Pulsed Power and Power Electronics	$2,400	Conaway	Texas Tech University	Lubbock, TX
II	RDA	0603100A	40	Center for Pulsed Power and Power Electronics	$2,400	Granger	Texas Tech University	Lubbock, TX
II	RDA	0603100A	40	Center for Pulsed Power and Power Electronics	$2,400	Neugebauer	Texas Tech University	Lubbock, TX
II	RDA	0603313A	46	Smart Energetic Architecture for Missile Systems	$1,600	McKeon	Pacific Scientific	Valencia, CA
II	RDA	0603710A	50	Hyperspectral Sensors for Improved Force Protection	$1,600	Akin	Clean Earth Technologies	Earth City, MO
II	RDA	0603710A	50	Cable Warning and Obstacle Avoidance System	$1,200	Hunter	Trex Enterprises Corporation	San Diego, CA
II	RDA	0603710A	50	Personal Miniature Thermal Viewer (PMTV)	$800	Michaud	Army Night Vision Lab	Fort Belvoir, VA
II	RDA	0603710A	50	Personal Miniature Thermal Viewer (PMTV)	$800	Sanchez, Loretta	Irvine Sensors Corporation	Costa Mesa, CA
II	RDA	0603734A	52	GEDAC Demonstration	$1,200	Franks	Army Construction Engineering Laboratory	Davis-Monthan, AZ
II	RDA	0603734A	52	GEDAC Demonstration	$1,200	Grijalva	Army Construction Engineering Laboratory	Davis-Monthan, AZ
II	RDA	0603734A	52	Synthetic Automotive Virtual Environments (SAVE)	$1,600	Hodes	Vehicle Control Training, LLC.	Dalton, NH
II	RDA	0603772A	53	Advanced Radar Transceiver IC Development	$800	Hayes	Sierra Monolithics	Redondo Beach, CA
II	RDA	0603772A	53	Advanced Radar Transceiver IC Development	$800	Saxton	Sierra Monolithics	Redondo Beach, CA
II	RDA	0603772A	53	Software Lifecycle Affordability Management (SLAM)	$2,000	Saxton	PRICE Systems, LLC	Mount Laurel, NJ

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0603305A	55	Advanced Hypersonic Weapon (AHW)	$7,000	Everett	U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command	Huntsville, AL
II	RDA	0603305A	55	Integrated Composite Mounting Hardware	$1,000	Johnson, Hank	CYC Enterprises, Inc.	Decatur, GA
II	RDA	0603305A	55	Next Generation Interceptors	$5,600	Everett	U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command	Huntsville, AL
II	RDA	0603305A	55	Radiation Hardening Initiative	$2,000	Everett	U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command	Huntsville, AL
II	RDA	0600305A	55	Radiation Hardening Initiative	$2,000	Rogers, Mike (AL)	Analytical Services	Huntsville, AL
II	RDA	0603327A	57	Advanced Extended Range Attack Missile (AERAM)	$800	Boyda	AMRDEC	
II	RDA	0603619A	59	Enhanced Holographic Imager	$1,600	Conaway	Zebra Imaging	Austin, TX
II	RDA	0603619A	59	Enhanced Holographic Imager	$1,600	Granger	Zebra Imaging	Austin, TX
II	RDA	0603779A	66	Hawaii Undersea Chemical Weapons Assessment	$5,500	Abercrombie	University of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI
II	RDA	0603779A	66	Hawaii Undersea Chemical Weapons Assessment	$5,500	Hirono	University of Hawaii	Honolulu, HI
II	RDA	0603779A	66	Vanadium Technology Program	$1,600	Wilson, Joe	Advanced Technology Institute	North Charleston, SC
II	RDA	0603807A	72	Leishmaniasis Skin Test Antigen	$1,000	Hunter	Allermed Laboratories, Inc.	San Diego, CA
II	RDA	0604270A	78	Bi-Directional English-Iraqi Instant Language Translator	$1,840	Kline	SpeechGear, Inc.	Northfield, MN
II	RDA	0604601A	83	Integration of Javelin onto CROWS	$1,600	Bean	Recon Optical Inc.	Barrington, IL
II	RDA	0604601A	83	Enhanced Flame Retardant Body Protection	$1,600	Spratt	Milliken and Company	Spartanburg, SC
II	RDA	0604604A	84	Track over Tire System for FMTVs	$800	McHugh	Camoplast Rockland Ltd.	Plattsburgh, NY
II	RDA	0604780A	105	Joint Training Integration and Evaluation Center	$1,720	Feeney	JTIEC	Orlando, FL
II	RDA	0604808A	111	Ground On-Board Oxygen Generation System (GO-BOGS)	$1,600	Calvert	Army MRMC	Ft Detarick, MD
II	RDA	0605013A	124	Health Informatics Initiative	$2,400	Castor	University of South Florida College of Public Health	Tampa, FL
II	RDA	0605326A	132	Gunfire Detection System for UAVs	$1,600	Everett	U.S. Army Aviation Warfighting Center	Fort Rucker, AL
II	RDA	0605702A	135	Robotic Manipulators for Explosive Ordnance Disposal	$480	Cubin	Square One Systems	Jackson, WY

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDA	0605805A	147	National Polymer Innovation Center (NPIC)	$1,000	Sutton	University of Akron	Akron, OH
II	RDA	0203758A	155	Virtual Simulation and Modernization of BFV Computers and Electronics	$1,600	McNerney	CPU Tech, Inc.	Santa Clara, CA
II	RDA	0708045A	177	Asymetric Threat Response and Analysis Program (ATRAP)	$2,400	Giffords	University of Arizona	Tucson, AZ
II	RDA	0708045A	177	Asymetric Threat Response and Analysis Program (ATRAP)	$2,400	Renzi	University of Arizona	Tucson, AZ
II	RDA	0708045A	177	Sensor Visualization and Data Fusion	$1,200	Tierney	Mercury Computer	Chelmsford, MA
II	RDA	0708045A	177	Blast Risk Analysis and Mitigation Application (BRAMA)	$1,600	Young, Don	Battle Command Lab	Fort Huachuca, AZ
II	RDA	0708045A	177	Constant Look Operational Support Environment	$1,600	Young, Don	Battle Command Lab	Fort Huachuca, AZ
II	RDA	0708045A	179	Specialized Compact Automated Mechanical Clearance Platform (SCAMP)	$400	Murphy, Patrick	Humanistic Robotics, Inc.	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDA	0708045A	179	Improved Manufacturing Process for SAPI	$3,200	Snyder	CoorsTek	Benton, AR
II	RDN	0601103N	1	Center for Nanoscience and Nanomaterials	$1,200	Watt	North Carolina A&T State University	Greensboro, NC
II	RDN	0601153N	3	Energetics Technology S&T Workforce Revitalization	$2,400	Hoyer	University of Maryland; Energetics Technology Center	College Park, MD; La Plata, MD
II	RDN	0602114N	4	Jefferson Lab High Power FEL Development for Naval Applications	$2,000	Davis, Jo Ann	Jefferson Lab	Newport News, VA
II	RDN	0602114N	4	High Energy Conventional Energetics	$5,000	Hoyer	Naval Surface Warfare Center; Applied Research Associates, Inc.	Indian Head, MD; Albuquerque, NM
II	RDN	0602123N	5	Optical Recognition Protocol for Biologics Detection	$800	Abercrombie	Pukoa Scientific	Oahu, HI
II	RDN	0602234N	7	Infrared Materials Center	$2,000	Cole	Ardmore Development Authority	Ardmore, OK
II	RDN	0602236N	9	PULSE!! Virtual Clinical Learning Lab and Center of Excellence	$2,400	Ortiz	Texas A&M University; Office of Naval Reserch	Corpus Christi, TX; Arlington, VA
II	RDN	0602435N	11	Marine Mammals-Effects of Sound	$800	Abercrombie	University of Hawaii Marine Mammal Research Program	Honolulu, HI
II	RDN	0602782N	14	Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) Docking and Recharging Station (ADRS)	$2,800	Pryce	Battelle	Columbus, OH
II	RDN	0603114N	15	Tactical Compact Optical Interrogator	$1,600	Abercrombie	Naval Research Laboratory	Washington, DC

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0603123	16	Critical Composites Technologies for Enabling Special Operations Forces Medium Range Endurance Craft (Mark VI)	$1,000	Allen	Maine Marine Manufacturing	Portland, ME
II	RDN	0603123N	16	High Speed Power Node Switching	$1,600	Brady, Robert	SPD Electrical	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDN	0603123N	16	High Speed Power Node Switching	$1,600	Murphy, Patrick	SPD Electrical	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDN	0603123N	16	High Speed Power Node Switching	$1,600	Schwartz	SPD Electrical	Philadelphia, PA
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Secure Infrastructure Tech Lab (SINTEL)	$3,200	Saxton	Stevens Institute of Technology	Hoboken, NJ
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Secure Infrastructure Tech Lab (SINTEL)	$3,200	Sires	Stevens Institute of Technology	Hoboken, NJ
II	RDN	0603123N	16	Swimmer Detection Sonar Network	$1,200	Shea-Porter	Scientific Solutions, Inc.	Nashua, NH
II	RDN	0603235N	17	Maritime Identification Surveillance Technology (MIST)	$1,600	Conaway	Office of Naval Research	Arlington, VA
II	RDN	0603235N	17	Maritime Identification Surveillance Technology (MIST)	$1,600	Davis, Geoff	Global Delta, LLC	Washington, D.C.
II	RDN	0603235N	17	Maritime Identification Surveillance Technology (MIST)	$1,600	LoBiondo	Office of Naval Research	Arlington, VA
II	RDN	0603235N	17	Project Athena Common Operational Picture (COP)	$6,400	Langevin	Raytheon	Portsmouth, RI
II	RDN	0603254N	30	Marine Mammal Awareness, Alert and Response Systems (MMAARS)	$2,400	Abercrombie	Naval Air Systems Command	
II	RDN	0603254N	30	Tactical E-Field Buoy Development	$1,600	Hunter	Information Systems Laboratories	San Diego, CA
II	RDN	0603513N	36	DDG-51 Class Perm Magnet Drive System	$2,000	Bartlett	DRS Power Technology	Fitchburg, MA
II	RDN	0603513N	36	DDG-51 Class Perm Magnet Drive System	$2,000	Davis, Susan	General Atomics	San Diego, CA
II	RDN	0603513N	36	High Temperature Superconductor (HTS)	$2,000	McGovern	American Superconductor Corporation	Westborough, MA
II	RDN	0603513N	36	High Temperature Superconductor (HTS)	$2,000	Meehan	American Superconductor Corporation	Westborough, MA
II	RDN	0603513N	36	Propulsor Manufacturing Technology Department (PMTD)	$2,400	Taylor	Rolls-Royce Naval Marine	Pascagoula, MS
II	RDN	0603561N	43	Twinline Thinline (TLTL) Submarine Towed Array	$3,200	Courtney	Chesapeake Science Corporation	Millersville, MD & Stonington, CT
II	RDN	0603564N	46	Wavemaker Replacement	$5,000	Van Hollen	Naval Surface Wartare Center	Bethesda, MD
II	RDN	0603724N	60	Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion	$800	Abercrombie	Ship Systems and Engineering, ONR	Arlington, VA
II	RDN	0603795N	71	Joint Stand Off Weapon	$3,000	Akin	LaBarge, Inc.	Joplin, MO

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0603795N	71	Joint Stand Off Weapon	$3,000	Blunt	LaBarge, Inc.	Joplin, MO
II	RDN	0603795N	71	76mm Super Rapid Medium Caliber Gun System Explosives Safety Review	$1,600	Brady, Robert	Oto Melara North America, Inc.	Lester, PA
II	RDN	0603795N	71	Project 9359C Affordable Weapon System	$15,200	Hunter	L-3 Communications Systems	San Diego, CA
II	RDN	0604272N	78	High Power Fiber Laser (HPFL) Based Pod	$1,600	Ellsworth	Naval Surface Warfare Center	Crane, IN
II	RDN	0604215N	85	Advanced Measurement Standards Development	$3,200	Calvert	Naval Surface Warfare Center	Corona, CA
II	RDN	0604261N	93	Deep Extended Echo Ranging (DEER)	$800	Murphy, Patrick	Navmar Applied Sciences Corporation	Warminster, PA
II	RDN	0604300N	100	DDG 1000 Permanent Magnet Motor System	$9,000	Bartlett	DRS Power Technology	Fitchburg, MA
II	RDN	0604300N	100	DDG 1000 Permanent Magnet Motor System	$9,000	Meehan	DRS Power Technology	Fitchburg, MA
II	RDN	0604300N	100	DDG 1000 Permanent Magnet Motor System	$9,000	Murphy, Christopher	DRS Power Technology	Fitchburg, MA
II	RDN	0604756N	127	Phalanx Next Generation	$6,800	Everett	Naval Sea Systems Command	Arlington, VA
II	RDN	0604757N	128	Distributed Detection, Classification, Localization	$1,600	Israel	Advanced Acoustic Concepts	Hauppauge, NY
II	RDN	0604771N	130	Human Clinical Trials - Infusable	$3,200	Jones, Walter	Navy Medical Research Center	Silver Spring, MD
II	RDN	0604771N	130	Implantable Middle Ear Hearing System	$1,000	Udall, Mark	Otologics	Boulder, CO
II	RDN	0604771N	130	US Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine	$1,600	Gillibrand	Trudeau Institute	Saranac Lake, NY
II	RDN	0604771N	130	US Navy Pandemic Influenza Vaccine	$1,600	McHugh	Trudeau Institute	Saranac Lake, NY
II	RDN/RDAF	0604800N/ 0604800F	133/91	F136 Interchangable Engine	$480,000	Butterfield	GE & Rolls Royce Fighter Engine Team	Cincinatti OH & Indianapolis, IN
II	RDN	0604759N	143	Network Expansion & Integration of Navy/NASA RDT&E Ranges & Facilities	$4,100	Hoyer	Patuxent River Naval Air Station	Patuxent River NAS, MD
II	RDN	0101221N	165	Advanced LINAC Facility	$3,000	Hill	Indiana University	Bloomington, IN
II	RDN	0204229N	173	Tactical Tomahawk Weapons Control System - Land Attack Open Architecture	$1,280	Sestak	Lockheed Martin	King of Prussia, MD
II	RDN	0205620N	181	Advanced Composite Materials for Acoustic Window Applications	$8,400	Taylor	Seemann Composites, Inc.	Gulfport, MS
II	RDN	0605104D8Z	182	MK 48 ADCAP Torpedo Post Launch Communication System	$1,800	Arcuri	Cortland Cable Company	Cortland, NY

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDN	0205633N	183	Age Exploration Model Enhancement and Vibration Analysis/Precision Measurement Integration	$2,000	Hoyer	ManTech Systems Engineering Corporation	Lexington Park, MD
II	RDN	0206623M	187	Ultrasonic Consolidation for Embedded Sensors	$1,200	Dingell	Solidica, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDN	0206623M	187	Ultrasonic Consolidation for Embedded Sensors	$1,200	Rogers, Mike (MI)	Solidica, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDN	0206623M	187	Ultrasonic Consolidation for Embedded Sensors	$1,200	Walberg	Solidica, Inc.	Ann Arbor, MI
II	RDN	0303109N	195	Joint Integrated Systems for Advanced Digital Networking (JIST-NET)	$1,000	Hunter	San Diego DEFCOMM	El Cajon, CA
II	RDAF	0602102F	5	Advanced Carbon-Fiber - Research and Testing Initiative	$3,000	Inglis	Cytec	Rock Hill, SC
II	RDAF	0602102F	5	Advanced Carbon-Fiber - Research and Testing Initiative	$3,000	Spratt	Cytec	Rock Hill, SC
II	RDAF	0602201F	6	Advancement of Intelligent Aerospace Systems	$2,000	McHugh	Clarkson University	Potsdam, NY
II	RDAF	0602202F	7	ChemBio Radio Frequency ID Tags	$800	Gillibrand	GE Global Research	Niskayuna, NY
II	RDAF	0602202F	7	ChemBio Radio Frequency ID Tags	$800	Gonzalez	Air Force Research Laboratory	San Antonio, TX
II	RDAF	0602202F	7	Warfighter Pocket XP - Next Generation	$1,600	McMorris Rogers	Itronix	Spokane Valley, WA
II	RDAF	0602202F	8	Integrated Electrical Starter/Generator (IES/G)	$2,000	Turner	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0602203F	8	Wavelength Agile Spectral Harmonic Oxygen Sensor and Cell Level Battery Controller	$1,200	Dreier	Advanced Projects Research, Inc.	La Verne, CA
II	RDAF	0602204F	9	Optikey Authentication Technology	$800	Courtney	Air Force Research Laboratory	Hanscom AFB, MA
II	RDAF	0602204F	9	Optikey Authentication Technology	$800	Porter	Optikey	Las Vegas, NV
II	RDAF	0602702F	14	Cyber Attack Mitigation and Exploitation Laboratory II (CAMEL)	$1,900	Arcuri	Air Force Research Lab/IFGB	Rome, NY
II	RDAF	0602702F	14	Lasercom Adaptive Optics	$1,600	Honda	AOptix Technolgy	Campbell, CA
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	F-35 Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) Shielding	$1,600	Calvert	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Bishop, Rob	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Turner	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Carnahan	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Akin	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Hayes	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Arcuri	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	DeLauro	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Holden	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Kaptur	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Rahall	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603112F	20	Metals Affordability Initiative	$5,000	Ryan, Timothy	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603203F	21	Versatile Affordable Advanced Turbine Engine (VAATE) for Supersonic Cruise Missiles	$8,400	Bishop, Rob	Air Force Research Lab; Williams International, LLC	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH; Walled Lake, MI
II	RDAF	0603203F	21	Moving Target Strike	$1,600	Miller, Jeff	Alpha Data Corporation; General Atomics	Fort Walton Beach; San Diego, CA
II	RDAF	0603231F	24	Variable Transmittance Visor	$1,000	Ryan, Timothy	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0603401F	28	Laser Communications	$1,600	Snyder	Space Photonics	Fayetteville, AR
II	RDAF	0603401F	28	Laser Communications	$1,600	Boozman	Space Photonics	Fayetteville, AR
II	RDAF	0603401F	28	Systematic Approach to Radiation Hardened Electronics (SHARE)	$1,500	Simpson	American Semiconductor	Boise, ID
II	RDAF	0603444F	30	High Accuracy Network Determination System (HANDS)	$5,200	Abercrombie	Air Force Research Laboratory and Oceanit	Honolulu, HI
II	RDAF	0603605F	33	Satellite Active Imaging National Tested (SAINT)	$2,400	Pearce	Trex	Albuquerque, NM
II	RDAF	0603605F	33	Satellite Active Imaging National Tested (SAINT)	$2,400	Wilson, Heather	Trex	Albuquerque, NM
II	RDAF	0604240F	70	B-2 Small Diameter Bomb	$5,800	McKeon	Northrop Grumman	
II	RDAF	0604421F	76	Space Control Test Capabilities	$4,000	Everett	U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command	Huntsville, AL
II	RDAF	0604602F	82	Internal 1000lbs Penetrator	$1,200	Sessions	General Dynamics	Niceville, FL
II	RDAF	0604602F	82	Internal 1000lbs Penetrator	$1,200	Granger	General Dynamics	Niceville, FL
II	RDAF	0604602F	82	Internal 1000lbs Penetrator	$1,200	Miller, Jeff	General Dynamics	Niceville, FL
II	RDAF	0604740F	88	Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)	$4,000	LoBiondo	Gestalt, LLC	King of Prussia, PA

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDAF	0604740F	88	Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)	$4,000	Andrews	Gestalt, LLC	King of Prussia, PA
II	RDAF	0604740F	88	Distributed Mission Interoperability Toolkit (DMIT)	$4,000	Sestak	Gestalt, LLC	King of Prussia, PA
II	RDAF	0605011F	94	Enhanced Smart Triple Ejector Rack	$1,600	Murphy, Patrick	EDO Mtech	Warminster, PA
II	RDAF	0604759F	105	FPS-16 Radar Mobilization Upgrade	$1,600	Miller, Jeff	BAE Systems	Fort Walton Beach, FL
II	RDAF	0207601F	160	Crowd Behavior Research for Joint Non-Lethal Weapons Directorate	$1,600	Gonzalez	Southwest Research Institute	San Antonio, TX
II	RDAF	0303150F	176	C2 Service Level Management Program	$8,000	Blunt	Electronic Systems Center	Hanscom AFB, MA
II	RDAF	0305207F	203	Rivet Joint Network Interface Growth	$2,400	Hall, Ralph	L-3 Communications Systems	Dallas, TX
II	RDAF	0305207F	203	Rivet Joint Network Interface Growth	$2,400	Granger	L-3 Communications Systems	Dallas, TX
II	RDAF	0401115F	217	C-130 AIRCAT CBM	$2,400	Marshall	Mercer Engineering Research Center	Warner Robins, GA
II	RDAF	0401119F	218	Inductive Thermography Systems Inspection	$1,600	Smith, Adam	C-5 System Program Office	Kent, WA
II	RDAF	0708011F	231	Production of Nanocomposites for Aerospace Applications	$1,600	Turner	Air Force Research Laboratory	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDAF	0708611F	234	Strategic Airlift Transformation and Integration Modeling (SATIM) (WRALC)	$2,600	Marshall		Warner Robins AFB, GA
II	RDAF	0708611F	234	SOF-Logistics Improvement	$3,000	Marshall		Warner Robins AFB, GA
II	RDAF	0708611F	234	Heavy Duty Hybrid Electric Vehicle	$2,000	Bartlett	Volvo Powertrain	Hagerstown, MD
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Center Research Program	$8,000	Marshall	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Center Research Program	$8,000	Gingrey	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Center Research Program	$8,000	Johnson, Hank	Georgia Institute of Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0601101E	2	Semiconductor Focus Center Research Program	$8,000	Honda	Microelectronics Advanced Research Corporation	Durham, NC
II	RDDW	0601384BP	6	Initiative for defense against bio warfare/terrorism	$1,250	Sestak	PolyMedix, Inc.	Radnor, PA
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	8	Medical Free Electron Laser Program	$2,400	Meehan	Air Force Office of Scientific Research	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	8	Medical Free Electron Laser Program	$2,400	Calvert	Air Force Office of Scientific Research	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	8	Medical Free Electron Laser Program	$2,400	Sanchez, Loretta	Air Force Office of Scientific Research	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	8	Medical Free Electron Laser Program	$2,400	Cooper	Air Force Office of Scientific Research	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDDW	0602227D8Z	8	Medical Free Electron Laser Program	$2,400	Wamp	Air Force Office of Scientific Research	Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
II	RDDW	1160401BB	23	Foliage Penetration Reconnaissance and Surveillance System	$2,000	Hirono	NovaSol	Honolulu, HI
II	RDDW	0603122D8Z	28	UGV Accelerated Development, Testing, and Evaluation	$800	Meehan	Technical Support Working Group	Tyngsboro, MA
II	RDDW	0603122D8Z	28	Ruggedized Mobile Secure Body Scan	$880	Taylor	Rapiscan Systems, Inc.	Ocean Springs, MS
II	RDDW	0603122D8Z	28	Contextual Arabic Blog and Slang Analysis Program	$1,000	Udall, Mark	Harbinger Technologies Group, Inc.; Acxiom Insight	McLean, VA, Broomfield, CO
II	RDDW	0603648D8Z	36	Distributed Network Switching	$1,600	Sanchez, Loretta	Raptor Networks Technology, Inc.	Santa Ana, CA
II	RDDW	0603712S	42	Emerging Critical Interconnection Technology Program	$800	Ellsworth	Naval Surface Warfare Center	Crane, IN
II	RDDW	0603720S	45	End to End Semi Fab Alpha Tool	$1,600	Sanchez, Loretta	Digibeam	Laguna Niguel, CA
II	RDDW	0603720S	45	Superlattice Nanotechnology	$1,600	Hayes	Northrop Grumman Corporation	Los Angeles, CA
II	RDDW	0603750D8Z	49	Simultaneous Field Radiation Technology	$3,100	Pickering	U.S. Navy Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command	
II	RDDW	0603826D8Z	60	Small Craft Integrated Common Operational Picture (SCICOP)	$800	Allen	Technology Systems, Inc.	Brunswick, ME
II	RDDW	0603826D8Z	60	Semi-Autonomous Robotic Manipulation and Sensing	$1,200	Udall, Mark	Stratom, Inc.	Boulder, CO
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Cultural and Societal Modeling & Simulation	$2,560	Forbes	Joint Forces Command	Suffolk, VA
II	RDDW	0603828D8Z	61	Joint Urban Fires Prototype	$1,200	Forbes	Joint Forces Command	Suffolk, VA
II	RDDW	1160402BB	65	Long Endurance Unattended Ground Sensor Technologies	$2,080	Pickering	U.S. Special Operations Command	
II	RDDW	1160402BB	65	Expendable Airdrop Delivery System	$800	McIntyre	USSOCOM/Dropmaster, Inc.	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDDW	1160402BB	65	Pulsed Energy Projectile	$1,000	Bartlett	Alliant Techsystems, Inc.	Rocket Center, WV
II	RDDW	1160408BB	65	Wi-Fi Test Laboratory	$2,700	Hayes	Partnership for Defense Innovation	Fayetteville, NC
II	RDDW	0603892C	82	Aegis (BMD) Signal Processor (BSP)	$16,000	Andrews	Lockheed Martin	Moorestown, NJ

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
II	RDDW	0603892C	82	Aegis (BMD) Signal Processor (BSP)	$16,000	Saxton	Lockheed Martin	Moorestown, NJ
II	RDDW	0603892C	82	SM-3 Ballistic Missile Defense-Deployment	$49,000	Ross	Raytheon	East Camden, AR
II	RDDW	0303191D8Z	100	Secure Miniaturized Free Space Optical Communications	$1,200	Rothman	LGS Innovations	Whippany, NJ
II	RDDW	0604940D8Z	131	Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator D - ITEAMS	$4,000	Marshall	Georgia Institute for Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0604940D8Z	131	Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator D - ITEAMS	$4,000	Gingrey	Georgia Institute for Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0604940D8Z	131	Advanced SAM Hardware Simulator D - ITEAMS	$4,000	Johnson, Henk	Georgia Institute for Technology	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	0605104D8Z	135	National Defense University Technology Research Program	$1,000	Smith, Adam	The Center for Technology and National Security Policy; National Defense University	Washington, DC
II	RDDW	0304210BB	200	Wireless Mobile Networking	$1,600	Cummings	Optemax, LLC	Marriottsville, MD
II	RDDW	0305102BQ	202	China Geo-Space Intelligence (GGI)	$2,500	Boustany	3001, Inc. Geospatial Company	Stennis Space Center, MS
II	RDDW	0305102BQ	202	China Geo-Space Intelligence (GGI)	$2,500	Jindal	3001, Inc. Geospatial Company	Stennis Space Center, MS
II	RDDW	0305102BQ	202	GeoSAR Enhancements	$3,200	Bartlett	EarthData International, Inc.	Frederick, MD
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	High Pressure Food Packaging Project	$3,200	Ellsworth	AmeriQual, LLC	Evansville, IN
II	RDDW	0708011S	226	Improved Collapsible Urethane Fuel Storage Tanks	$1,000	Davis, David	Seaman Corporation	Wooster, OH & Bristol, TN
II	RDDW	1160404BB	233	Wavelet Packet Modulation Modules	$2,000	Gingrey	Scientific Research Corporation	Atlanta, GA
II	RDDW	1160404BB	233	Advanced Mission Planning Tools for SOF	$3,200	Hulshof	Special Operations Mission Planning Office	Ft. Eustis, VA
II	RDDW	1160404BB	233	Advanced Mission Planning Tools for SOF	$3,200	Akin	Westar Aerospace and Defense Group	St. Charles, MO
II	RDDW	1160404BB	233	Semi-autonomous or Unattended Psychological Operations and Reconnaissance Tool (SUPORT)	$1,600	Spratt	SOCOM; Defense Technologies, Inc.	MacDill AFB, FL; Gastonia, NC
II	RDDW	1160405BB	234	Advanced Packaging and Direction Finding	$1,200	Bilirakis	The Windermere Group	Annapolis, MD
III	OMA		110	McAlester AAP Bomb Line Modernization	$2,000	Boren	McAlester Army Amunition Plant	McAlester, OK
III	OMA		240	Leadership for Leaders at Command and General Staff College at Ft. Leavenworth	$500	Boyda	U.S. Army CGSC/Kansas State University	Manhattan, KS
III	OMARNG		010	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System	$3,200	Taylor	U.S. Army National Guard	

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
III	OMARNG		040	ARNG Battery Modernization Program	$2,000	Blackburn	U.S. Army National Guard	Houston Barracks - Nashville, TN
III	OMARNG		040	ARNG Battery Modernization Program	$2,000	Cooper	U.S. Army National Guard	Houston Barracks - Nashville, TN
III	OMMC		010	Multi-Voltage EMI Hardened Fluorescent Stringable Tent Lighting System	$1,600	Spratt	Marine Corps Systems Command; Jamestown LLC	Quantico, VA; Clover, SC
III	OMAF		010	MBU-20P Oxygen Mask and Mask Light	$2,000	Dreier	Gentex Corporation	Rancho Cucamonga, CA
III	OMDW		190	Parents as Teachers - Heroes at Home	$2,400	Smith, Adam	Parents as Teachers National Center	St. Louis, MO
III	OMDW		190	Parents as Teachers - Heroes at Home	$2,400	Young, Don	Parents as Teachers National Center	St. Louis, MO
III	OMDW		190	Parents as Teachers - Heroes at Home	$2,400	Boyda	Parents as Teachers National Center	St. Louis, MO
III	OMDW		250	Port of Corpus Christi Militatry Seaport Infrastructure	$5,000	Ortiz	Port of Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi, TX
III	OMDW		260	Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiatrve	$16,000	Smith, Adam		McChord AFB, WA
III	OMDW		260	Global Force Mgmt. Visability Toolset	$1,200	Forbes	Joint Forces Command	Suffolk, VA
III	OM/DHP			Peace Through Health Care Initiative	$1,500	Franks	Operation Smile, Inc.	Norfolk, VA
III	OM/DHP			The Fort Drum Health Care Pilot Program	$400	McHugh	The Fort Drum Regional Health Planning Organization	Watertown, NY
III	OM/DHP			Madigan Army Medical Center	$1,000	Reichert	Tacoma Trauma Trust	Tacoma, WA
III	OM/DHP			Madigan Army Medical Center	$1,000	Smith, Adam	Tacoma Trauma Trust	Tacoma, WA
XIV	OM			Southwest Border Fence	$1,200	Hunter	U.S. Army National Guard	
XXI	MilCon, Army		Alabama	Aviation Intermediate Maintenance Hangar Phase 1	$1,513	Everett	Army	Fort Rucker
XXI	MilCon, Army		Alabama	Component Rebuild Shop	$800	Rogers, Mike (AL)	Army	Anniston Army Depot
XXI	MilCon, Army		Alabama	Child Care Center	$2,000	Cramer	Army	Redstone Arsenal
XXI	MilCon, Army		Arizona	Silver Bell Army Heliport Fire Station	$1,964	Giffords	Army	Silver Bell Army Heliport
XXI	MilCon, Army		Hawaii	Tactical Vehicle Wash Facility	$10,200	Abercrombie	Army	Kahuku Training Area
XXI	MilCon, Army		Kansas	Military Working Dog Facility	$1,900	Boyda	Army	Fort Riley
XXI	MilCon, Army		Kansas	Chapel Complex, Phase 1	$11,600	Boyda	Army	Fort Leavenworth
XXI	MilCon, Army		Kentucky	Child Development Center	$8,600	Whitfield	Army	Fort Campbell
XXI	MilCon, Army		Missouri	Chapel	$10,400	Skelton	Army	Fort Leonard Wood

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXI	MilCon, Army		New Jersey	Armament Integration Facility	$9,900	Frelinghuysen	Army	Picatinny Arsenal
XXI	MilCon, Army		New York	Automated Qualification Training Range	$9,600	McHugh	Army	Fort Drum
XXI	MilCon, Army		North Carolina	Community Emergency Services Facility	$2,900	Hayes	Army	Fort Bragg
XXI	MilCon, Army		North Carolina	Community Emergency Services Facility	$2,900	McIntyre	Army	Fort Bragg
XXI	MilCon, Army		North Carolina	Child Development Center	$8,700	Hayes	Army	Fort Bragg
XXI	MilCon, Army		North Carolina	Child Development Center	$8,700	McIntyre	Army	Fort Bragg
XXI	MilCon, Army		Oklahoma	Fire and Movement Range	$1,300	Cole	Army	Fort Sill
XXI	MilCon, Army		Texas	Rotor Blade Processing Facility	$11,200	Ortiz	Army	Corpus Christi Army Depot
XXI	MilCon, Army		Texas	Multi-Level Parking Facility	$1,000	Reyes	Army	Fort Bliss
XXI	MilCon, Army		Texas	CH-47 Maintenance Hangar	$18,000	Carter	Army	Fort Hood
XXI	MilCon, Army		Texas	CH-47 Maintenance Hangar	$18,000	Edwards	Army	Fort Hood
XXI	MilCon, Army		Texas	Child Youth Services Center	$8,500	Reyes	Army	Fort Bliss
XXI	MilCon, Army		Virginia	Unit Chapel	$5,900	Forbes	Army	Fort Lee
XXI	MilCon, Army		Virginia	Emergency Services Center	$288	Moran	Army	Fort Belvoir
XXI	MilCon, Army		Virginia	Training Support Center, Phase I	$594	Scott, Robert	Army	Fort Eustis
XXI	MilCon, Army		Washington	Alternative Fuel Facility	$3,300	Smith, Adam	Army	Fort Lewis
XXII	Family Housing, Navy		California	Air Conditioning, Vista del Sol	$4,800	Lewis, Jerry	Navy	Twentynine Palms
XXII	MilCon, Navy		California	Main Gate (Gate 6) Improvements	$3,000	Davis, Susan	Navy	Naval Station San Diego
XXII	MilCon, Navy		California	Global Weather Operations Center	$9,780	Farr	Navy	NSA Monterey
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Connecticut	Sub Learning Center	$9,260	Courtney	Navy	Sub Base New London
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Florida	Fire Station Expansion, Corry Station	$3,140	Miller, Jeff	Navy	Naval Air Station Pensacola
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Georgia	Combat Vehicle Warehouse	$9,980	Bishop, Sanford	Navy	Albany MCLB
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Indiana	Special Weapons Assessment Facility, Crane Division	$12,000	Ellsworth	Navy	NSWC Crane
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Maine	Dry Dock #3 Waterfront Supply Facility	$1,200	Shea-Porter	Navy	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Maryland	Advanced Energetics Reseach Lab Phase I	$9,450	Hoyer	Navy	Indian Head NSWC
XXII	MilCon, Navy		North Carolina	Main Gate Security Upgrades Phase II	$4,270	Jones, Walter	Navy	MCAS New River
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Rhode Island	Fitness Center	$900	Kennedy	Navy	Naval Station Newport
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Rhode Island	HAZMAT Storage Facility	$3,860	Kennedy	Navy	Naval Station Newport

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXII	MilCon, Navy		South Carolina	Nuclear, Biological, Chemical Training Facility	$3,500	Wilson, Joe	Navy	MCAS Beaufort
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Virginia	Electromagnetic Launch (Railgun) RDTE Facility	$10,000	Davis, Jo Ann	Navy	Naval Support Activity South Potomac
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Washington	Indoor Aircraft Washrack	$10,610	Larsen	Navy	Naval Air Station Whidbey Island
XXII	MilCon, Navy		Washington	Ocean Engineering Support Facility	$6,130	Dicks	Navy	Naval Base Kitsap
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Arizona	Repair Airfield Pavements	$5,500	Franks	Air Force	Luke AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Arkansas	Multi-purpose Education Facility	$9,800	Berry	Air Force	Little Rock AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Arkansas	Multi-purpose Education Facility	$9,800	Snyder	Air Force	Little Rock AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		California	Main Base Runway Phase 4	$8,500	McCarthy, Kevin	Air Force	Edwards AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		California	Main Base Runway Phase 4	$8,500	McKeon	Air Force	Edwards AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		California	Global Support Squadron Facility	$10,800	Tauscher	Air Force	Travis AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Florida	Explosive Ordinance Facility	$3,500	Castor	Air Force	MacDill AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Florida	1st Air Force HQ Facility	$8,400	Boyd	Air Force	Tyndall AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Georgia	Survival Recovery Center and Command Post	$5,000	Marshall	Air Force	Warner Robins AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Georgia	Commercial Access Gate	$7,500	Kingston	Air Force	Moody AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Guam	NW Field Technical Training Facility	$5,816	Bordallo	Air Force	Andersen AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Idaho	Logistics Readiness Center, 366th Wing	$1,593	Simpson	Air Force	Mountain Home AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Kansas	MXG Consolidation and Forward Logistics Center, Phase I	$6,300	Tiahrt	Air Force	McConnell AFB

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Mississippi	Mission Support Complex Phase II	$9,600	Wicker	Air Force	Columbus AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Missouri	Consolidated Communications Facility	$11,400	Skelton	Air Force	Whiteman AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		New Mexico	PJ/CRO Logistics Building	$3,700	Wilson, Heather	Air Force	Kirtland AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Ohio	Security Forces Operations Building	$640	Turner	Air Force	Wright Patterson AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		South Carolina	Shaw AFB Infrastructure	$9,300	Spratt	Air Force	Shaw AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Texas	Addition to Fitness Center	$5,800	Conaway	Air Force	Goodfellow AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Texas	Base Operations Ramp Phase I	$7,000	Thornberry	Air Force	Sheppard AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Texas	Taxiway West Flightline	$2,950	Cuellar	Air Force	Randolph AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Texas	Student Activity Center & Library	$5,200	Rodriguez	Air Force	Laughlin AFB
XXIII	MilCon, Air Force		Washington	Physiological Training Facility	$6,200	McMorris Rogers	Air Force	Fairchild AFB
XXIV	MilCon, Defense Wide		New Mexico	SOF CV-22 Simulator Facility	$711	Udall, Tom	Defense Wide	Cannon AFB
XXIV	MilCon, Defense Wide		Texas	Wilford Hall Medical Center	$130	Gonzalez	Defense Wide	Lackland AFB
XXIV	MilCon, Defense Wide		Virginia	SOF Parachute Drying Tower	$5,300	Drake	Defense Wide	NAS Oceana
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Colorado	Replace Squadron Operations	$7,300	DeGette	Air National Guard	Buckley AFB

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Colorado	Replace Squadron Operations	$7,300	Lamborn	Air National Guard	Buckley AFB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Colorado	Replace Squadron Operations	$7,300	Musgrave	Air National Guard	Buckley AFB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Colorado	Replace Squadron Operations	$7,300	Perlmutter	Air National Guard	Buckley AFB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Colorado	Replace Squadron Operations	$7,300	Salazar	Air National Guard	Buckley AFB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Colorado	Replace Squadron Operations	$7,300	Tancredo	Air National Guard	Buckley AFB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Colorado	Replace Squadron Operations	$7,300	Udall, Mark	Air National Guard	Buckley AFB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Florida	Replace Communications Facility, 125th Fighter Wing	$8,000	Crenshaw	Air National Guard	Jacksonville IAP
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Massachusetts	Fire Crash/Rescue Station	$7,300	Olver	Air National Guard	Barnes ANGB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Minnesota	Replace Storage Facilities	$1,500	Oberstar	Air National Guard	Duluth, MN
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Mississippi	ASOS/ATCS Training Center	$6,100	Pickering	Air National Guard	Key Field
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Mississippi	ASOS/ATCS Training Center	$6,100	Wicker	Air National Guard	Key Field

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		New Jersey	Air Support Ops Squadron Beddown, Atlantic City International Airport	$9,800	LoBiondo	Air National Guard	177th Fighter Wing New Jersey ANG
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		New York	Northeast Air Defense Sector Support Facility	$6,600	Arcuri	Air National Guard	Griffiss AFB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		New York	Upgrade Base Facilities	$5,100	Walsh	Air National Guard	Hancock Field ANGB
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		North Carolina	235th Air Traffic Control Squadron Facility	$4,000	Hayes	Air National Guard	Badin ANG
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Tennessee	Communications Training Complex	$6,200	Wamp	Air National Guard	Lovell Field
XXVI	MilCon, Air National Guard		Texas	Multi-use Aviation Fire Station	$7,200	Lampson	Air National Guard	Ellington Field
XXVI	Milcon, Air Reserve		California	Joint Deployment Processing Facility	$972	Calvert	Air Reserve	March ARB
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Alabama	Add/Alter Readiness Center	$1,184	Aderholt	Army Guard	Fort Hamilton
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Arkansas	Cabot Readiness Center	$840	Berry	Army Guard	Cabot, AR
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Arkansas	Urban Assault Course	$1,900	Berry	Army Guard	Camp Robinson
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Arkansas	Urban Assault Course	$1,900	Snyder	Army Guard	Camp Robinson
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Florida	RTI Phase III, Joint Ops Center Training Facility	$15,524	Young	Army Guard	Camp Blanding
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Illinois	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	$888	LaHood	Army Guard	Camp Lincoln
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Indiana	Security Fence	$4,996	Visclosky	Army Guard	Muscatatuck

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Iowa	Main Entrance	$1,500	Boswell	Army Guard	Camp Dodge
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Kentucky	Readiness Center Phase II–London Joint Support Operations Center	$2,427	Rogers, Harold	Army Guard	London Readiness Center
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Maryland	Readiness Center	$829	Ruppersberger	Army Guard	Dundalk
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Michigan	Reserve Center	$4,239	Miller, Candice	Army Guard	Lansing
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Minnesota	Combined Arms Collective Training (CACTF) Phase 2	$12,600	Kline	Army Guard	Camp Ripley
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Minnesota	Armed Forces Reserve Center Add/Alter Phase 2	$4,239	Miller, Candice	Army Guard	Lansing
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Minnesota	Field Maintenance Shop	$1,366	Walz	Army Guard	Arden Hills Army Training Site
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Minnesota	Combined Arms Collective Training (CACTF) Phase 2	$12,600	Oberstar	Army Guard	Camp Ripley
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Mississippi	Live Fire Shoot House/Urban Assault Course	$4,000	Taylor	Army Guard	Camp Shelby
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Montana	Readiness Center	$906	Rehberg	Army Guard	Miles City
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		New York	Combined Support Maintenace Facility	$2,727	Hall, John	Army Guard	Camp Smith
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		North Carolina	Field Maintenance Shop	$3,733	Shuler	Army Guard	Asheville
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Ohio	Security Forces Complex	$7,600	Hobson	Army Guard	Rickenbacker ANGB
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Ohio	Base Engineering, Operations & Classroom Facility	$1,500	Kaptur	Army Guard	Camp Perry
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Ohio	Training Building (#613) Alteration	$1,500	Ryan, Timothy	Army Guard	Ravenna Training & Logistics Site
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Oklahoma	Training Facility Phase V	$2,705	Boren	Army Guard	Camp Gruber
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Pennsylvania	Readiness Center Rehabilitation	$263	Carney	Army Guard	Wilkes-Barre

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI
(Dollars in Thousands)

TITLE	ACCT	PE	LINE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	MEMBER	SUGGESTED RECIPIENT	SUGGESTED LOCATION OF PERFORMANCE
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Pennsylvania	Expand Aircraft Parking/Relocate Taxiway	$1,000	Holden	Army Guard	Harrisburg Airport
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Pennsylvania	Readiness Center	$9,000	Murtha	Army Guard	Waynesburg
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Vermont	Readiness Center	$792	Welch	Army Guard	Ethan Allen Range
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		Washington	Tacoma Readiness Center	$152	Dicks	Army Guard	Tacoma
XXVI	MilCon, Army Guard		West Virginia	Multipurpose Building	$4,900	Mollohan	Army Guard	Camp Dawson
XXVI	MilCon, Army Reserve		California	Hi-Tech Center	$6,874	Matsui	Army Reserve	BT Collins USARC/AMSA
XXVI	MilCon, Army Reserve		New Jersey	Tactical Training Base, Phase I	$5,900	Saxton	Army Reserve	Fort Dix
XXVI	MilCon, Navy Reserve		Georgia	Marine Corps Reserve Center	$310	Gingrey	Navy Reserve	Windy Hill
XXVI	MilCon, Navy Reserve		Texas	Joint Indoor Training Tank	$4,970	Granger	Navy Reserve	Fort Worth NAS JRB
XXXI	DOE			Advanced Simulation and Computing Campaign	$5,000	Tauscher	National Nuclear Security Administration	Laboratories & Participating Universities
XXXI	DOE			National Ignition Campaign and Enhanced Target Production	$15,100	McNerney	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, General Atomics	Livermore, CA; San Diego, CA
XXXI	DOE			National Ignition Campaign and Enhanced Target Production	$15,100	Tauscher	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, General Atomics	Livermore, CA; San Diego, CA
XXXI	DOE			Operations of Facilities	$5,000	Wamp	Y-12 National Security Complex	Oak Ridge, TN
XXXI	DOE			Operations of Facilities Infrastructure Repairs and Equipment Replacement	$38,800	Thornberry	Pantex Plant	Amarillo, TX
XXXI	DOE			Uranium Processing Facility (UPF)	$5,000	Wamp	Y-12 National Security Complex	Oak Ridge, TN

From the Committee on Armed Services, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

IKE SKELTON,
JOHN M. SPRATT,
GENE TAYLOR,
NEIL ABERCROMBIE,
SILVESTRE REYES,
VIC SNYDER,
ADAM SMITH,
LORETTA SANCHEZ,
MIKE MCINTYRE,
ELLEN O. TAUSCHER,
ROBERT A. BRADY,
ROBERT E. ANDREWS,
SUSAN A. DAVIS,
RICHARD LARSEN,
JIM COOPER,
JIM MARSHALL,
MADELEINE Z. BORDALLO,
MARK UDALL,
DUNCAN HUNTER,
JIM SAXTON,
JOHN M. MCHUGH,
TERRY EVERETT,
ROSCOE BARTLETT,
HOWARD ''BUCK'' MCKEON,
MAC THORNBERRY,
WALTER B. JONES,
ROBIN HAYES,
W. TODD AKIN,
J. RANDY FORBES,
JOE WILSON,
MICHAEL R. TURNER,
JOHN KLINE,
THELMA DRAKE,

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, for consideration of matters within the jurisdiction of that committee under clause 11 of rule X:

LEONARD L. BOSWELL,
PAT J. MURPHY,

From the Committee on Education and Labor, for consideration of secs. 561, 562, 675, 953, and 3118 of the House bill, and secs. 561, 562, 564, 565, and 3137 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

JOE COURTNEY,
TIMOTHY WALBERG,

From the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for consideration of secs 311–313 and 1082 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

JOHN D. DINGELL,
ALBERT R. WYNN,

From the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for consideration of secs. 831, 833, 1022, 1201, 1203, 1204, 1206–1208, 1221, 1222, 1231, 1241, 1242, Title XIII, and sec. 3117 of the House bill, and secs. 871, 934, 1011, 1201–1203, 1205, 1211, 1212, 1214, 1215, 1217, 1219, 1232, Title XIII, secs. 1511, 1512, 1532, 1533, 1539–1542, 1571, 1574–1576, 1579, 3134, and 3139 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

TOM LANTOS,
GARY ACKERMAN,
ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN,

From the Committee on Homeland Security, for consideration of sec. 1076 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

BENNIE G. THOMPSON,
CHRISTOPHER P. CARNEY,
DANIEL E. LUNGREN,

From the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, for consideration of secs. 325, 326, 328–330, 604, 653, 674, 801, 802, 814, 815, 821–824, 1101–1112, 1221, 1231, and 1451 of the House bill, and secs. 366–370, 603, 684, 821, 823, 842, 845, 846, 871, 902, 937, 1064, 1069, 1074, 1093, 1101–1106, 1108, 1540, 1542, and 2851 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

HENRY A. WAXMAN,

From the Committee on Science and Technology, for consideration of secs. 846, 1085, and 1088 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

BART GORDON,
GABRIELLE GIFFORDS,
VERNON J. EHLERS,

From the Committee on Small Business, for consideration of secs. 828, 1085, 1088, 4001, 4002, 4101–4103, 4201–4203, and 4301–4305 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

NYDIA M. VELÁZQUEZ,
JASON ALTMIRE,

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of secs. 523 and 1048 of the House bill, and sec. 311–313, 353, 1070, 2853, 2855, 2863, 5101, 5202, and 5208 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

SAM GRAVES,

From the Committee on Veterans Affairs, for consideration of secs. 525, 1421, 1433, and 1453 of the House bill, and secs 701, 710, 1084, 1611, 1612, 1621, 1626, 1634, 1641, 1654, 1662, and 1702–1712 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

BOB FILNER,
MIKE MICHAUD,
STEVE BUYER,

From the Committee on Ways and Means, for consideration of sec. 536 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

DAVE CAMP,
Managers on the Part of the House.

CARL LEVIN,
TED KENNEDY,
J. LIEBERMAN,
JACK REED,
DANIEL K. AKAKA,
BILL NELSON,
BEN NELSON,
EVAN BAYH,
MARK PRYOR,
JIM WEBB,
CLAIRE MCCASKILL,
J. WARNER,
JAMES M. INHOFE,
JEFF SESSIONS,
SUSAN M. COLLINS,
SAXBY CHAMBLISS,
LINDSEY GRAHAM,
ELIZABETH DOLE,
JOHN CORNYN,
MEL MARTINEZ,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 8 of rule XII, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

4288. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Importation of Live Swine, Swine Semen, Pork, and Pork Products From the Czech Republic, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland [Docket No. APHIS-2006-0106] (RIN: 0579-AC33) received November 28, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

4289. A letter from the Regulatory Officer, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Sale and Disposal of National Forest System Timber; Timber Sale Contracts; Purchaser Elects Government Road Construction (RIN: 0596-AC40) received November 28, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

4290. A letter from the Regulatory Officer, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Sale and Disposal of National Forest System Timber; Free Use to Individuals; Delegation of Authority (RIN: 0596-AC09) received November 28, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

4291. A letter from the Regulatory Officer, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Sale and Disposal of National Forest System Timber; Timber Sale Contracts; Indices To Determine Market-Related Contract Term Additions (RIN: 0596-AC29) received November 28, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

4292. A letter from the Principal Deputy Associate Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Acetamiprid; Pesticide Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2007-0105; FRL-8340-6] received November 28, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

4293. A letter from the Principal Deputy Associate Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Bacillus Thuringiensis Vip3Aa20 Protein and the Genetic Material Necessary for its Production in Corn; Extension of Temporary Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2007-0574; FRL-8340-5] received November 28, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

4294. A letter from the Chairman and CEO, Farm Credit Administration, Farm Credit Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Disclosure to Investors in System-wide and Consolidated Bank Debt Obligations of the Farm Credit System (RIN: 3052-AC40) received November 28, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

4295. A letter from the Chief, Programs and Legislation Division, Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense, transmitting Notice of the decision to conduct a standard competition of the Base Operating Support function at Goodfellow Air Force Base, Texas, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2461; to the Committee on Armed Services.

4296. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting letter on the approved retirement of General William T. Hobbins, United States Air Force, and his advancement to the grade of general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

4297. A letter from the Principal Deputy Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, Department of Defense, transmitting authorization of Colonel Garrett Harencak to wear the insignia of the grade of brigadier general in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.

4298. A letter from the Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Russel L. Honore, United States Army, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

4299. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Annual Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts (FBAR) for calendar year 2006, pursuant to Public Law 107-56, section 361(b); to the Committee on Financial Services.

4300. A letter from the Regulatory Specialist Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Risk-Based Capital Standards: Revised Capital Adequacy Guidelines (Basel II: Advanced Approach) — received November 27, 2007, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.