

October of this year, NMFS issued new regulations, including a mandate for lobster fishermen to use sinking rope to connect their strings of lobster pots. The intent of this rule is to reduce the amount of rope in the water column and thus the risk of a whale becoming entangled. Traditionally, lobstermen have fished using floating rope because in the strong tides and rocky sea floor we experience in many areas off the coast of Maine, sinking rope can chaff, abrade, and break quite easily. These rules, which are due to take effect in October of this year will increase fishermen's overhead cost by requiring more frequent replacement of degraded rope, and pose a safety hazard for our lobstermen. Canadian fishermen experience no similar restrictions on their gear, thereby reducing their overhead costs relative to U.S. fishermen. This not only gives them a competitive advantage in the marketplace, but also provides no benefit to the endangered species of whales our lobstermen are making sacrifices to protect.

Canada should be praised, however, for its efforts to implement regulations on its shipping industry, including imposing speed limits in areas whales are known to frequent. NMFS's Take Reduction Team has developed similar regulations for shippers transiting areas of U.S. waters, and NMFS sent its final rule to the Office of Management and Budget nearly 1 year ago, but to date, that office has failed to release it. I find it inexcusable that the administration finds it acceptable to impose harsh restrictions on the lobster industry, which is comprised of hardworking small businessmen struggling to make ends meet, but refuses to impose restrictions on a multi-billion dollar industry. This despite the fact that the cost of the ship strike rules, expressed as a percentage of the affected industry's total earnings, will be a fraction of the cost of the gear restrictions. This inequity is exacerbated by the fact that since 2001, nearly three times more whales have been confirmed killed by ship strikes than by entanglement in fishing gear.

I expect that this resolution will serve to spur productive conversations between the U.S. and Canada that will ultimately lead to development of bilateral whale protection measures. By agreeing to equal protection measures in U.S. and Canadian waters, we can not only guarantee more comprehensive protection for endangered whales, but also a fair distribution of cost to affected industries and a level playing field for both U.S. and Canadian products.

SENATE RESOLUTION 457—RECOGNIZING THE CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE CHINESE NEW YEAR OR SPRING FESTIVAL

Mr. REID submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 457

Whereas the Chinese New Year is celebrated on the second new moon following the winter solstice;

Whereas February 7, 2008, marks the first day of the Chinese New Year for 2008, also known as the Year of the Rat or the Year of Wu Zi;

Whereas the Chinese New Year festivities begin on the first day of the first lunar month and end 15 days later with the celebration of the Lantern Festival;

Whereas there are approximately 3,500,000 Chinese-Americans in the United States, many of whom will be commemorating this important occasion;

Whereas this day will be marked by celebrations throughout our country as Chinese-Americans gather to watch the dragon and lion dances; and

Whereas the United States Postal Service will debut a new stamp series for the 12 animals in the Chinese calendar on February 9, 2008, with the series continuing through 2019: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes the cultural and historical significance of the Chinese New Year or Spring Festival;

(2) in observance of the Chinese New Year, expresses its deepest respect for Chinese-Americans and all those throughout the world who will be celebrating this significant occasion; and

(3) wishes Chinese-Americans and all those who observe this holiday a happy and prosperous new year.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 4038. Mr. VITTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, to amend the Indian Health Care Improvement Act to revise and extend the Act.

SA 4039. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4040. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4041. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4042. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4043. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4044. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself,

Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4045. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4046. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4047. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4048. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4049. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4050. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4051. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4052. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4053. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4054. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4055. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4056. Mr. DEMINT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3899 proposed by Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. SMITH, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, and Mr. SALAZAR) to the bill S. 1200, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.